

Microlocal Analysis Seminar : Symbols and Ψ DOs

September 11, 2018

Contents

1	Reminder: definitions and notations	1
1.1	Symbols	1
1.2	Quantisation	2
2	Properties of Symbols	3
3	Appendix	6
3.1	Functional Analysis background	6

1 Reminder: definitions and notations

1.1 Symbols

We shall here list the definition of the space of symbols of order $m \in \mathbb{N}$ in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n that one encounter in the literature. The main motivation is again based on the property of linear differential operators of order $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with smooth coefficient that, after Fourier transform gives the polynomial of ξ with smooth coefficient

$$P(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) \xi^\alpha.$$

Definition 1.1. The **space of symbol of order m** , denoted $S_\infty^m(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$, is the space of smooth functions $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for all multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^p, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$

$$\left| D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi) \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m - |\beta|}$$

uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^n$. We can also defined the space of symbol, $S_\infty^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ on a set with non-empty interior $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p$, $\Omega \subset \text{Int}(\Omega)$ such that the bound above is satisfied uniformly in $(x, \xi) \in \text{Int}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. The subscript ∞ refers the uniform boundedness condition in x . Together with the family of seminorms (indexed by $N \in \mathbb{N}$)

$$\|a\|_{N, m} = \sup_{(x, \xi) \in \text{Int}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{R}^n} \max_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N} \frac{D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m - |\beta|}} \quad (1)$$

gives a Frechet topology to $S_\infty^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Furthermore, we define the total symbol space as

$$S_\infty^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{R}} S_\infty^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

and the residual *residual* space of the filtration as

$$S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{R}} S_{\infty}^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Note: In defining pseudodifferential operators, we shall focus on the case where $p = 2n$, i.e. $a(x, y, \xi) \in S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}_{x,y}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

1.2 Quantisation

Pseudodifferential operators are defined using symbols. The main gadget is the following oscillatory integral:

$$S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n) \ni a \mapsto I(a) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x, y, \xi) \, d\xi \quad (2)$$

with action on Schwartz functions $u \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by

$$I(a)(u) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x, y, \xi) u(y) \, dy \, d\xi. \quad (3)$$

The integral 3 above might be divergent unless $m < -n$, but it can be interpreted as a tempered distribution, i.e. a linear function on $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with action

$$S(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni v \mapsto I(a)(u)(v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x, y, \xi) u(y) v(x) \, dy \, d\xi \, dx \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (4)$$

The process of turning the symbol a into an operator $S(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is known as the quantisation procedure. The goal of this talk is the following:

Goal :

To establish that the procedure above is well-defined, so that for each $a \in S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\begin{aligned} I(a) : S(\mathbb{R}^n) &\rightarrow S'(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ u \mapsto I(a)(u) & : S(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ v \mapsto I(a)(uv) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x, y, \xi) u(y) v(x) \, dy \, d\xi \, dx \end{aligned}$$

is a continuous linear map between Frechet spaces.

Remark. Given $a \in S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$, we sometimes write $A = Op(a) = I(a)$ for the operator $S(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by quantising the symbol a . Also, once the procedure above is proven to be well-defined, we will, with abuse of notation, identify the integral

$$I(a) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x, y, \xi) \, d\xi \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$

to be the *Schwartz Kernel* of the operator $I(a) : S(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2 Properties of Symbols

In this section, we shall establish the following summarising theorem:

Theorem 2.1 (Summary). *Given $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

1. $S_\infty^m(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Frechet space, hence completely metrisable.
2. $S_\infty^\infty(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a graded commutative $*$ -algebra over \mathbb{C} with continuous inclusion

$$\iota : S_\infty^m(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow S_\infty^{m'}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

for all $m \leq m'$.

3. $S_\infty^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $S_\infty^m(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ in the topology of $S_\infty^{m+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Exercise : Show that symbol spaces are Frechet spaces. That is, show that the family of seminorms in 1 separates points and that if a sequence is Cauchy in each seminorm, then there exist a unique symbol where the sequence converges in each seminorm.

Now, we prove continuous inclusion of lower order into higher order symbol space.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ such that $\Omega \subset \overline{\text{Int}(\Omega)}$. If $m, m' \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $m \leq m'$, then $S_\infty^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \subset S_\infty^{m'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, the inclusion map*

$$\iota : S_\infty^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow S_\infty^{m'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

is continuous.

Proof. Let the real numbers $m \leq m'$ be given. We note that for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\langle \xi \rangle^m \leq 1 \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^{m'}$$

and thus if $a \in S_\infty^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have that $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^p, \forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$

$$\left| D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi) \right| \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|} \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{m'-|\beta|}$$

which show that $a \in S_\infty^{m'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ as well.

To show that ι is a continuous inclusion, it suffices to show that

$$\|\iota(a)\|_{N, m'} \leq C \|a\|_{N, m}$$

for any $a \in S_\infty^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, this bound holds since

$$\frac{D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m'-|\beta|}} \leq \frac{D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|}}.$$

□

Proposition 2.3. *Let $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ be such that $\Omega \subset \overline{\text{Int}(\Omega)}$. Then, for any $m, m' \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$S_{\infty}^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \cdot S_{\infty}^{m'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) = S_{\infty}^{m+m'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

Proof. Let $a \in S_{\infty}^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $b \in S_{\infty}^{m'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be given. By (general) Leibniz formula, we have that for all multi-index α, β ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{(x, \xi) \in \text{Int}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\left| D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} a(x, \xi) b(x, \xi) \right|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{(m+m') - |\beta|}} &\leq \sum_{\mu \leq \alpha, \gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\alpha}{\mu} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \sup_{(x, \xi) \in \text{Int}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\left| D_x^{\mu} D_{\xi}^{\gamma} a(x, \xi) \right| \left| D_x^{\alpha-\mu} D_{\xi}^{\beta-\gamma} b(x, \xi) \right|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{(m+m') - |\beta|}} \\ &\leq \sum_{\mu \leq \alpha, \gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\alpha}{\mu} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} C \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\gamma|} \langle \xi \rangle^{m'-|\beta-\gamma|}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{(m+m') - |\beta|}} \\ &= \sum_{\mu \leq \alpha, \gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\alpha}{\mu} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} C \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{|\beta| - (|\beta-\gamma| + |\gamma|)} \\ &\leq \sum_{\mu \leq \alpha, \gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\alpha}{\mu} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} C \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

where we have use the property of multi-index that $|\beta| = |\beta - \mu| + |\mu|$. We have thus shown that $S_{\infty}^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \cdot S_{\infty}^{m'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \subset S_{\infty}^{m+m'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$

For the reverse inclusion, let $c \in S_{\infty}^{m+m'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be given. Define

$$\begin{aligned} a &: (x, \xi) \mapsto \langle \xi \rangle^m \\ b &: (x, \xi) \mapsto \frac{c(x, \xi)}{a(x, \xi)} \end{aligned}$$

and observe that

- $a \in S_{\infty}^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$. It is clear that a is smooth in both x and ξ . It is independent of x and thus any x derivative gives 0. We need only to check that for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$\left| D_{\xi}^{\beta} \langle \xi \rangle^m \right| \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|}$$

which can be proven by induction on n and β . We shall only prove the base case where $n = 1$ and $\beta = 1$. We have

$$\left| D_{\xi} \langle \xi \rangle^m \right| = \left| \partial_{\xi} (1 + \xi^2)^{m/2} \right| = \left| m \xi \langle \xi \rangle^{m-2} \right| = \left| m \frac{\xi}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right| \langle \xi \rangle^{m-1} \leq |m| \langle \xi \rangle^{m-1}$$

where we have used the fact that $|\xi| \leq \langle \xi \rangle$ for all ξ .

- $b \in S_{\infty}^{m'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$. We note first that $\langle \xi \rangle^m \neq 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and thus b is well-defined. Since division by $\langle \xi \rangle^m$ does not affect any of the x derivative, we only need to show that for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have

$$\left| D_{\xi}^{\beta} b(x, \xi) \right| \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{m+m'-|\beta|}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ uniformly in ξ . Indeed, observe that by the Leibinz formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| D_\xi^\beta b(x, \xi) \right| &\leq \sum_{\mu \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\mu} \left| D_\xi^\mu c(x, \xi) \right| \left| D^{\beta-\mu} \langle \xi \rangle^{-m} \right| \\
&\leq C \sum_{\mu \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\mu} \langle \xi \rangle^{m+m'-|\mu|} \langle \xi \rangle^{-m-|\beta-\mu|} \\
&\leq C \sum_{\mu \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\mu} \langle \xi \rangle^{m'-(|\mu|+|\beta-\mu|)} \\
&= C \sum_{\mu \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\mu} \langle \xi \rangle^{m'-|\beta|} \\
&= C 2^\beta \langle \xi \rangle^{m'-|\beta|}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have use the definition of c and applied the result proven for a with $m \mapsto -m$. Thus, $b \in S_\infty^{m'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

It is clear that $a \cdot b = c$ and we have therefore shown that $S_\infty^{m+m'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \subset S_\infty^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \cdot S_\infty^{m'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

We have a rather technical density result : the residual space is dense in $S_\infty^m(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$, but only with the topology of $S_\infty^{m+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$. The main strategy in this proof is to approximate any symbol with the very same symbol but cut off by a compactly supported function. As such, the main reason we **cannot** have density of $S_\infty^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ in $S_\infty^m(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the same reason to the fact that Schwartz functions are not dense in the space of smooth bounded functions, in particular, $1 \in S_\infty^0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is not in the closure of $S_\infty^{-\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Given any $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in S_\infty^m(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$, there exist a sequence in $S_\infty^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ that is bounded in $S_\infty^m(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and converges to a in the topology of $S_\infty^{m+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.*

Proof. Let $a \in S_\infty^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be given. Let $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a non-negative smooth cut-off function, i.e. $\chi \geq 0$ and satisfies

$$\chi(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & , |\xi| < 1 \\ 0 & , |\xi| > 2. \end{cases}$$

Then, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$a_k(x, \xi) = \chi\left(\frac{\xi}{k}\right) a(x, \xi).$$

Now, given arbitrary $N, k \in \mathbb{N}$, observe that

$$|a_k| \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-N}$$

since a_k is compactly supported in ξ (as χ is compactly supported). Furthermore, by Leibinz formula and the symbol estimates on $a \in S_\infty^m(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta a_k(x, \xi) \right| &\leq \sum_{\mu \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\mu} k^{-|\mu|} \left(D_\xi^\mu \chi \right) \left(\frac{\xi}{k} \right) \left| D_x^\alpha D_\xi^{\beta-\mu} a(x, \xi) \right| \\
&\leq C \sum_{\mu \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\mu} k^{-|\mu|} \left(D_\xi^\mu \chi \right) \left(\frac{\xi}{k} \right) \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta-\mu|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since χ and all its derivatives are compactly supported, each term above is bounded in ξ and thus a_k is bounded in $S_\infty^m(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and that

$$\left| D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta a_k(x, \xi) \right| \leq C' \langle \xi \rangle^{-N}$$

which allow us to conclude that $a_k \in S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

It remains to show that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k = a$ in $S_{\infty}^{m+\epsilon}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$. In the first symbol norm, we observe that, using the symbol estimate for a

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_k - a\|_{0, m+\epsilon} &= \sup_{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|a_k(x, \xi)|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m+\epsilon}} \\ &= \sup_{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|(1 - \chi(\xi/k))| |a(x, \xi)|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m+\epsilon}} \\ &\leq \|a\|_{0, m} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|(1 - \chi(\xi/k))|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{\epsilon}} \\ &\leq \|a\|_{0, m} \langle k \rangle^{-\epsilon} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, since $|1 - \chi(\xi/k)|$ is 0 in the region $|\xi| \leq k$ and bounded by 1 otherwise. We remark upon the necessity of the extra decay given by $\langle \xi \rangle^{-\epsilon}$ factor. For other symbol norms we shall again use Leibinz formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} a_k(x, \xi)|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m+\epsilon-|\beta|}} &\leq \sup_{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m+\epsilon-|\beta|}} \sum_{\mu \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\mu} k^{-|\mu|} (D^{\mu}(1 - \chi)) \left(\frac{\xi}{k} \right) |D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta-\mu} a(x, \xi)| \\ &\leq \sup_{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{C}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m+\epsilon-|\beta|}} \sum_{\mu \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\mu} k^{-|\mu|} (D^{\mu}(1 - \chi)) \left(\frac{\xi}{k} \right) \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta-\mu|} \\ &= C \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{\mu \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\mu} k^{-|\mu|} (D^{\mu}(1 - \chi)) \left(\frac{\xi}{k} \right) \langle \xi \rangle^{-\epsilon-|\mu|} \\ &\leq C' k^{-\epsilon} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ by the same argument as before. Thus, we have proven that $a_k \rightarrow a$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $S_{\infty}^{m+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

We shall show that the elements $a \in \langle x - y \rangle^w S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}_{x,y}^{2n}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ acts on $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via the Schwartz kernel

$$I(a) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x, y, \xi) d\xi.$$

Proposition 2.5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m, w \in \mathbb{R}$ with $m < -n$, then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} I : \langle x - y \rangle^w S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}_{x,y}^{2n}, \mathbb{R}^n) &\rightarrow (1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2) C_{\infty}^0(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \\ a \mapsto I(a) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x, y, \xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

extends by continuity to

$$I : \langle x - y \rangle^w S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}_{x,y}^{2n}, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow S'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$$

in the topology of $S_{\infty}^{m+\epsilon}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Proof. \square

3 Appendix

3.1 Functional Analysis background