Microlocal Analysis
University of Melbourne

October 1, 2018

Abstract

Acknowledgements

Contents

1	Introduction		5
	1.1	Motivation	5
	1.2	History	5
2	Functional analytic background		6
	2.1	Fourier transform and tempered distribution	6
	2.2	Sobolev Spaces [?, Chapter 4]	7
	2.3	Compact and Fredholm operators	8
3	Symbols and Pseudodifferential Operators		12
	3.1	Symbols	13
	3.2	Properties of Symbols	13
	3.3	Quantisation	19
		3.3.1 Adjoint	24
		3.3.2 Composition theorem	26
		3.3.3 Asymptotic Summation	26
		3.3.4 Reduction	28
	3.4	Quantisation and symbol	30
	3.5	L^2 and Sobolev boundedness	30
4	Ellipticity and Microlocalisation		31
	4.1	Microlocalisation	31
		4.1.1 Pseudolocality	32
		4.1.2 Elliptic, Characteristic, Wavefront sets	34
5	Fredholm problem of wave operator on torus		42
	5.1	Propagation of singularity phenomenon	42
A	App	pendix	44

Chapter 1

Introduction

- 1.1 Motivation
- 1.2 History

Chapter 2

Functional analytic background

In addition to fixing notations, this chapter serves to introduces concepts and theorems that are integral to the theory of microlocal analysis and it's application to Fredholm problems for pseudodifferential operators.

2.1 Fourier transform and tempered distribution

Definition 2.1 (Schwartz space). The space of Schwartz (test) functions of rapidly decaying functions on \mathbb{R}^n , denoted $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is the space of smooth functions $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ such that for any multi-index $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left| x^{\beta} D_x^{\alpha} \varphi(x) \right| < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

We can define a countable family of seminorm on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\|\varphi\|_{k} := \max_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq k} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} |x^{\beta} D_{x}^{\alpha} \varphi(x)|$$
(2.2)

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This makes $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into a Frechet space with metric

$$d(\varphi, \psi) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-k} \frac{\|\varphi - \psi\|_k}{1 + \|\varphi - \psi\|_k}$$

for any $\varphi, \psi \in$

 $sch(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which defines a complete metric topology on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 2.2. 1. We note that the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is non-empty since it contains all the compactly supported smooth functions $\chi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$. In fact,

$$C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \exists C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \mid x \mid > R \implies u(x) = 0 \} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is a dense inclusion.

2. $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with pointwise multiplication and addition is a commutative algebra over \mathbb{C} without identity since $1 \notin \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is also closed under several useful

elementary operations including coordinate multiplication and partial differentiation

$$x_j: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

 $D_{x_j}: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$

Definition 2.3 (Tempered distribution).

Lemma 2.1. The injection $\iota : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by $(\ref{eq:sigma})$ has dense image in the weak topology.

Lemma 2.2 (Extension by duality).

Theorem 2.3 (Schwartz representation theorem).

Theorem 2.4 (Schwartz Kernel Theorem [?, Chapter 4.6, p. 345]). Let M, N be compact manifold and

$$T: C^{\infty}(M) \to \mathcal{D}'(N)$$

be a continuous linear map $(C^{\infty}(M))$ being given Frechet space topology and D'(N) the weak* topology). Define a bilinear map

$$\begin{split} B: C^{\infty}(M) \times C^{\infty}(N) &\to \mathbb{C} \\ (u,v) &\mapsto B(u,v) = \langle v, Tu \rangle \,. \end{split}$$

Then, there exist a distribution $k \in \mathcal{D}'(M \times N)$ such that for all $(u, v) \in C^{\infty}(M) \times C^{\infty}(N)$

$$B(u,v) = \langle u \otimes v, k \rangle$$
.

We call such k the kernel of T.

2.2 Sobolev Spaces [?, Chapter 4]

Definition 2.4 (Sobolev Spaces). Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. We define the k^{th} -order L^p -based Sobolev space on \mathbb{R}^n as the Banach space

$$W^{p,k}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid D^\alpha u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \mid \alpha \mid \leq k \}$$

with the norm

$$||u||_{W^{p,k}} = ||u||_{L^p} + ||D^k u||_{L^p}.$$

For p=2, we have denote $H^k:=W^{2,k}$ and note that result from Fourier analysis gives

$$H^{k}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) = \left\{ u \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \mid \langle \xi \rangle^{k} \, \hat{u} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \right\}$$

allowing us to extend the definition to each real order $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$H^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \langle \xi \rangle^s \, \hat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\} = \Lambda^{-s} L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

where $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of tempered distribution on \mathbb{R}^n and $\Lambda^s: \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ being the operator $\Lambda^s u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\langle \xi \rangle^s \hat{u})$. This forms a Hilbert space with inner product given by

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{H^s} = \langle \Lambda^s u, \Lambda^s v \rangle_{L^2}$$
.

Remark 2.5. It is straightforward to show that the derivative operator D_{x_j} is a continuous linear operator $D_{x_j}: H^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and thus by induction, for any multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $D^{\alpha}: H^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to H^{s-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 2.5 (Sobolev Embedding theorem). Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. If s > n/2, then every $u \in H^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded and continuous.

2.3 Compact and Fredholm operators

In this section we shall restrict our attention to just maps between Banach spaces (unless specified otherwise). As per definition (??), a compact operator between Banach spaces is one where the image of all bounded sets are precompact. We shall denote the set of all compact (continuous) operators between V and W as $\mathcal{K}(V,W) \subset \mathcal{L}(V,W)$. Some elementary result pertaining to compact operator are given below.

Lemma 2.6. Let V, W be Banach spaces.

1. K(V, W) is a closed linear subspace in $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ in the (operator-)norm topology, i.e. K is closed and closed under linear combination.

- 2. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and T(V) is finite dimensional, then T is compact.
- 3. If $T \in \mathcal{K}(V, W)$ then $T' \in \mathcal{K}(W', V')$.

Theorem 2.7. Let V, W, Y be Banach spaces, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}(V, Y)$. If for all $u \in V$, the estimate

$$||u||_V \le C (||Tu||_W + ||Ku||_Y)$$

holds for some positive real constant $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, then the image, T(V) is closed.

Proof. Let $\{Tu_n \in T(V) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, u_n \in V\}$ be a convergent sequence in T(V) with limit $w \in W$. We need to show that there exist $v \in V$ such that Tv = w. Let $L = \ker T$. There are two cases

Case 1 ($\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, d(u_n, L) \leq a < \infty$).

By definition of distance of a point to a set, for each n there exist $x_n \in L$ such that $||u_n - x_n|| \le 2a$. We can therefore define, for each n, $v_n = u_n - x_n$. Note that $||v_n|| \le 2a$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} Tv_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tu_n + Tx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tu_n + 0 = w$. Since the sequence v_n is bounded and K is compact, there exist a subsequence $\{v_{n_j}\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $Kv_{n_j} \to y_0 \in Y$. Then, applying the estimate on $v_{n_j} - v_{n_{j+k}}$, we get, as $j \to \infty$

$$||v_{n_{j}} - v_{n_{j+k}}||_{V} \leqslant C \left(||Tv_{n_{j}} - Tv_{n_{j+k}}||_{W} + ||Kv_{n_{j}} - Kv_{n_{j+k}}||_{Y}\right)$$

$$\to (||w - w||_{W} + ||y_{0} - y_{0}||_{Y})$$

$$= 0$$

which shows that $\{v_{n_j}\}_j$ is a Cauchy and therefore has a limit $v \in V$. Using continuity we get $w = \lim_{n \to \infty} Tv_n = \lim_{j \to \infty} Tu_{n_j} = T\lim_{j \to \infty} u_{n_j} = Tv$ as required.

Case 2 ($d(u_n, L) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$).

We can assume without loss of generality that $d(u_n, L) \ge 1, \forall n$. For each n, there exist $x_n \in L$ such that $1 \le d(u_n, L) \le ||v_n|| \le d(u_n, L) + 1$ where $v_n := u_n - x_n$. Define $w_n = v_n / ||v_n||$. Since w_n is a bounded sequence (bounded by 1), there is a subsequence Kw_{n_j} that converges, with limit $y_0 \in Y$. Furthermore, $T(w_n) = T(u_n - x_n) / ||u_n - x_n|| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ since $||u_n - x_n|| \ge d(u_n, L) \to \infty$. Therefore, the estimate applied on $w_{n_j} - w_{n_{j+k}}$ gives

$$||w_{n_{j}} - w_{n_{j+k}}||_{V} \leq C (||Tw_{n_{j}} - Tw_{n_{j+k}}||_{W} + ||Kw_{n_{j}} - Kw_{n_{j+k}}||_{Y})$$

$$\to (||0 - 0||_{W} + ||y_{0} - y_{0}||_{Y})$$

$$= 0$$

as $j \to \infty$, showing that $\{w_{n_j}\}_j$ is a Cauchy sequence and therefore have a limit

 $w \in V$. But, $Tw = \lim_{j \to \infty} Tw_{n_j} = 0 \implies w \in L$, yet

$$d(w_n, L) = \inf_{x \in L} \left\| \frac{v_n}{\|v_n\|} - x \right\|$$

$$= \|v_n\| \inf_{x \in L} \|v_n - x\|$$

$$= \|v_n\| \inf_{x \in L} \|u_n - x\|$$

$$= \|v_n\| d(u_n, L)$$

$$\geqslant 1$$

implying that, in the limit as $n \to \infty$, $d(w, L) \ge 1$ which is a contraction.

Theorem 2.8. Let X, Y be Hilbert spaces and $T: X \to Y \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ be a continuous (therefore bounded) linear operator. Suppose T satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \forall u \in X, \quad & \|u\|_X \leqslant C \left(\|Tu\|_Y + \|u\|_Z\right) \\ \forall v \in Y, \quad & \|v\|_V \leqslant C' \left(\|T^*v\|_X + \|v\|_{Z^*}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $Z \subseteq X$ and $Z^* \subseteq Y$ are compact subsets, then T is Fredholm, i.e. T(X) is closed in Y and both kerT, cokerT are finite dimensional.

proof sketch.

Lemma 2.9 (Riez's inequality). Let X be a normed linear space. Given a nondense subspace (or closed proper subspace) $Y \subset X$ and any $r \in (0,1)$, then there exist $x \in X$ with ||x|| = 1 such that

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \|x - y\| \geqslant r.$$

Proof.

Let $x_0 \in \overline{Y}^c$ and $R = \inf_{y \in Y} ||y - x_0|| > 0$. Given any $\epsilon > 0$ we can pick (by definition of inf) a $y_0 \in Y$ such that

$$||y_0 - x_0|| < R + \epsilon.$$

Take $\epsilon < R \frac{1-r}{r}$ and define $x \in X$ to be

$$x = \frac{y_0 - x_0}{\|y_0 - x_0\|}.$$

Observe that ||x|| = 1 and

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \|x - y\| = \frac{1}{\|x_0 - y_0\|} \inf_{y \in Y} \|y_0 - x_0 - y\| \|x_0 - y_0\| \|$$

$$= \frac{1}{\|x_0 - y_0\|} \inf_{y \in Y} \|x_0 - y\| \quad \text{since } \alpha y - y_0 \in Y \text{ for any scalar } \alpha$$

$$\geqslant \frac{R}{R + \epsilon}$$

$$\geqslant \frac{R}{R + R^{\frac{1-r}{r}}}$$

$$= r$$

as required.

Riez's lemma gives us a clear distinction between finite and infinite dimensional Banach spaces.

Corollary. The closed unit ball in a Banach Space X is compact iff X is finite dimensional.

Proof. Let X be a Banach space and \overline{B} be closed unit ball.

Case 3 (\iff). If X is finite dimensional, it is isometrically isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where, by Heine-Borel theorem, the closed unit ball is compact.

Case 4 (\Longrightarrow). We will prove the contrapositive. Suppose, X is infinite dimensional. Let $x_0 \in \partial \overline{B}$ be an element in the unit sphere. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we will use Riez Lemma to obtain a unit vector x_n such that

$$\inf_{y \in \text{span}\{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}\}} ||x_n - y|| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}.$$

It is clear that $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a sequence of element in \overline{B} that has no convergent subsequence. Therefore \overline{B} is not compact.

Chapter 3

Symbols and Pseudodifferential Operators

In this chapter, we will introduce pseudodifferential operators (or ΨDOs) that generalises differential operators in Euclidean spaces, \mathbb{R}^n . We have shown before (??) that $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a continuous linear isomorphism. As such, the action on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of a m^{th} order differential operator with rapidly decaying smooth coefficient $c_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$P(x,D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} c_{\alpha}(x) D_x^{\alpha} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
(3.1)

is given by

$$P(x,D)u = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} P(x,\xi)u(y)dy\,d\xi \tag{3.2}$$

where $P(x,\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} c_{\alpha}(x) \xi^{\alpha}$ is the 'characteristic polynomial'.

Pseudodifferential operators are operators with the similar actions but with $P(x,\xi)$ generalised from polynomial in ξ to symbols $a=a(x,y,\xi)\in S^m_\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n)$. These are smooth functions with certain decay conditions in ξ similar to those of polynomials. We allow symbols to depends on an additional variable taking the role of y in the integral (3.2) above. A m^{th} order pseudodifferential operators, $A \in \Psi^m_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is thus an operator with action

$$Au(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} a(x,y,\xi) u(y) \,dy \,d\xi.$$

The procedure of turning a symbol into a pseudodifferential operator is known as the quantisation procedure.

The goal of this chapter is to build a 'calculus' of pseudodifferential operators and their symbols. This will include:

- rigourously define the space of symbols $S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p;\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- show that the quantisation procedure outlined above is well-defined.

3.1. SYMBOLS

- prove prove several topological and algebraic properties of $S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- Something about principal symbol and symbol calculus.

3.1 Symbols

We will first define symbols $a = a(x, \xi)$ as smooth functions in $\mathbb{R}^p_x \times \mathbb{R}^n_\xi$ for some $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will then take p = 2n when defining pseudo differential operators. The most important characteristic of symbols is their behaviour at infinity. In analogy to differential operator (3.1), we require $a(x, \xi)$ to be bounded in x and have polynomial decay of ever increasing order with successive ξ -derivative.

Historical note about hormander symbol class. Vasy's definition. Melrose generalisation etc...

Definition 3.1. The space $S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ of order m is the space of smooth functions $a \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for all multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^p$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$

$$\left| D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} a(x,\xi) \right| \leqslant C_{\alpha,\beta} \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{m-|\beta|}$$

uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Together with the family of seminorm (indexed by $N \in \mathbb{N}$)

$$||a||_{N,m} = \sup_{(x,\xi) \in \operatorname{Int}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid \alpha \mid + \mid \beta \mid \leqslant N} \frac{D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} a(x,\xi)}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|}}$$

gives a Frechet topology to $S_{\infty}^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 3.2.

- We can also defined the space of symbol, $S^m_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ on a set with non-empty interior $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ with $\Omega \subset \overline{\operatorname{Int}(\Omega)}$, so that the supremum in the seminorm above is taken over $(x, \xi) \in \operatorname{Int}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{R}^n$.
- The subscript ∞ refers the uniform boundedness condition.

Example 3.1. EXAMPLES!!

3.2 Properties of Symbols

In this section, we shall establish the following summarising theorem:

Theorem 3.1 (Summary). Given $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

1. $S_{\infty}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p;\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a graded commutative *-algebra over \mathbb{C} with continuous

14

inclusion

$$\iota: S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n) \to S^{m'}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

for all $m \leq m'$.

2. $S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ in the topology of $S_{\infty}^{m+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Refactorise this sections so that it has better narative flow. Something about classical symbols to prepare for propagation of singularity

We first prove continuous inclusion of lower order into higher order symbol space.

Proposition 3.2. Let $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ such that $\Omega \subset \overline{\operatorname{Int}(\Omega)}$. If $m, m' \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $m \leqslant m'$, then $S^m_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \subset S^{m'}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore, the inclusion map

$$\iota: S^m_\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \to S^{m'}_\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

is continuous.

Proof. Let the real numbers $m \leq m'$ be given. We note that for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\langle \xi \rangle^m \leqslant 1 \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^{m'}$$

and thus if $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have that $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^p, \forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$

$$\left| D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} a(x,\xi) \right| \leqslant C \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{m-|\beta|} \leqslant C \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{m'-|\beta|}$$

which show that $a \in S_{\infty}^{m'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ as well.

To show that ι is a continuous inclusion, it suffices to show that

$$\|\iota(a)\|_{N,m'} \leqslant C \|a\|_{N,m}$$

for any $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, this bound holds since

$$\frac{D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta a(x,\xi)}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m'-|\beta|}} \leqslant \frac{D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta a(x,\xi)}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|}}.$$

Proposition 3.3. Let $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ be such that $\Omega \subset \overline{\operatorname{Int}(\Omega)}$. Then, for any $m, m' \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$S^m_\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)\cdot S^{m'}_\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)=S^{m+m'}_\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Proof. Let $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $b \in S^{m'}_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be given. By (general) Leibinz formula, we have that for all multi-index α, β ,

$$\sup_{(x,\xi)\in\operatorname{Int}(\Omega)\times\mathbb{R}^{n}}\frac{\left|D_{x}^{\alpha}D_{\xi}^{\beta}a(x,\xi)b(x,\xi)\right|}{\langle\xi\rangle^{(m+m')-|\beta|}}\leqslant \sum_{\mu\leqslant\alpha,\gamma\leqslant\beta}\binom{\alpha}{\mu}\binom{\beta}{\gamma}\sup_{(x,\xi)\in\operatorname{Int}(\Omega)\times\mathbb{R}^{n}}\frac{\left|D_{x}^{\mu}D_{\xi}^{\gamma}a(x,\xi)\right|\left|D_{x}^{\alpha-\mu}D_{\xi}^{\beta-\gamma}b(x,\xi)\right|}{\langle\xi\rangle^{(m+m')-|\beta|}}$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{\mu\leqslant\alpha,\gamma\leqslant\beta}\binom{\alpha}{\mu}\binom{\beta}{\gamma}C\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^{n}}\frac{\langle\xi\rangle^{m-|\gamma|}\langle\xi\rangle^{m'-|\beta-\gamma|}}{\langle\xi\rangle^{(m+m')-|\beta|}}$$

$$=\sum_{\mu\leqslant\alpha,\gamma\leqslant\beta}\binom{\alpha}{\mu}\binom{\beta}{\gamma}C\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^{n}}\langle\xi\rangle^{|\beta|-(|\beta-\gamma|+|\gamma|)}$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{\mu\leqslant\alpha,\gamma\leqslant\beta}\binom{\alpha}{\mu}\binom{\beta}{\gamma}C$$

$$<\infty$$

where we have use the property of multi-index that $|\beta| = |\beta - \mu| + |\mu|$. We have thus shown that $S^m_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \cdot S^{m'}_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \subset S^{m+m'}_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$

For the reverse inclusion, let $c \in S_{\infty}^{m+m'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be given. Define

$$a: (x,\xi) \mapsto \langle \xi \rangle^m$$

 $b: (x,\xi) \mapsto \frac{c(x,\xi)}{a(x,\xi)}$

and observe that

• $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$. It is clear that a is smooth in both x and ξ . It is independent of x and thus any x derivative gives 0. We need only to check that for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$\left| D_{\xi}^{\beta} \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{m} \right| \leqslant C \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{m-|\beta|}$$

which can be proven by induction on n and β . We shall only prove the base case where n = 1 and $\beta = 1$. We have

$$|D_{\xi}\langle\xi\rangle^{m}| = |\partial_{\xi}(1+\xi^{2})^{m/2}| = |m\xi\langle\xi\rangle^{m-2}| = |m\frac{\xi}{\langle\xi\rangle}|\langle\xi\rangle^{m-1} \leqslant |m|\langle\xi\rangle^{m-1}$$

where we have used the fact that $|\xi| \leq \langle \xi \rangle$ for all ξ .

• $b \in S_{\infty}^{m'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$. We note first that $\langle \xi \rangle^m \neq 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and thus b is well-defined. Since division by $\langle \xi \rangle^m$ does not affect any of the x derivative, we only need to show that for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have

$$\left| D_{\xi}^{\beta} b(x,\xi) \right| \leqslant C \langle \xi \rangle^{m+m'-|\beta|}$$

for some constant C>0 uniformly in $\xi.$ Indeed, observe that by the Leibinz formula

$$\left| D_{\xi}^{\beta} b(x,\xi) \right| \leqslant \sum_{\mu \leqslant \beta} {\beta \choose \mu} \left| D_{\xi}^{\mu} c(x,\xi) \right| \left| D^{\beta-\mu} \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{-m} \right|$$

$$\leqslant C \sum_{\mu \leqslant \beta} {\beta \choose \mu} \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{m+m'-|\mu|} \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{-m-|\beta-\mu|}$$

$$\leqslant C \sum_{\mu \leqslant \beta} {\beta \choose \mu} \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{m'-|\mu|+|\beta-\mu|}$$

$$= C \sum_{\mu \leqslant \beta} {\beta \choose \mu} \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{m'-|\beta|}$$

$$= C2^{\beta} \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{m'-|\beta|}$$

where we have use the definition of c and applied the result proven for a with $m \mapsto -m$. Thus, $b \in S^{m'}_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

It is clear that $a \cdot b = c$ and we have therefore shown that $S^{m+m'}_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \subset S^m_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \cup S^{m'}_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

The results above, together with the easily proven fact $a^*(x,\xi) := \overline{a(x,\xi)} \in S^m_\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n) \iff a \in S^m_\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n)$, gives the desired algebraic structure for $S^\infty_\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n)$. Next, we have a rather technical density result: the residual space, $S^{-\infty}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^p;\mathbb{R}^n)$, is dense in $S^m_\infty(\mathbb{R}^p;\mathbb{R}^n)$, but only with the topology of $S^{m+\epsilon}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^p;\mathbb{R}^n)$. The main strategy in this proof is to approximate any symbol with the very same symbol but cut off by a compactly supported function. As such, the main reason we **cannot** have density of $S^{-\infty}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^p;\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $S^m_\infty(\mathbb{R}^p;\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the same reason to the fact that Schwartz functions are not dense in the space of smooth bounded functions, in particular, $1 \in S^0_\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not in the closure of $S^{-\infty}_\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 3.4. Given any $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in S_{\infty}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^{p}; \mathbb{R}^{n})$, there exist a sequence in $S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{p}; \mathbb{R}^{n})$ that is bounded in $S_{\infty}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^{p}; \mathbb{R}^{n})$ and converges to a in the topology of $S_{\infty}^{m+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^{p}; \mathbb{R}^{n})$ for any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Proof. Let $a \in S_{\infty}^m(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be given. Let $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a non-negative smooth cut-off function, i.e. $\chi \geqslant 0$ and satisfies

$$\chi(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & , |\xi| < 1 \\ 0 & , |\xi| > 2. \end{cases}$$

Then, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$a_k(x,\xi) = \chi\left(\frac{\xi}{k}\right)a(x,\xi).$$

Now, given arbitrary $N, k \in \mathbb{N}$, observe that

$$|a_k| \leqslant C \langle \xi \rangle^{-N}$$

since a_k is compactly supported in ξ (as χ is compactly supported). Furthermore, by Leibinz formula and the symbol estimates on $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\left| D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} a_k(x,\xi) \right| \leqslant \sum_{\mu \leqslant \beta} {\beta \choose \mu} k^{-|\mu|} \left(D_{\xi}^{\mu} \chi \right) \left(\frac{\xi}{k} \right) \left| D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta-\mu} a(x,\xi) \right|$$

$$\leqslant C \sum_{\mu \leqslant \beta} {\beta \choose \mu} k^{-|\mu|} \left(D_{\xi}^{\mu} \chi \right) \left(\frac{\xi}{k} \right) \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta-\mu|} .$$

Since χ and all its derivatives are compactly supported, each term above is bounded in ξ and thus a_k is bounded in $S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p;\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that

$$\left| D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} a_k(x,\xi) \right| \leqslant C' \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{-N}$$

which allow us to conclude that $a_k \in S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

It remains to show that $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_k = a$ in $S^{m+\epsilon}_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$. In the first symbol norm, we observe that, using the symbol estimate for a

$$\|a_{k} - a\|_{0,m+\epsilon} = \sup_{(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^{p}\times\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|a_{k}(x,\xi)|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m+\epsilon}}$$

$$= \sup_{(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^{p}\times\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|(1 - \chi(\xi/k))||a(x,\xi)|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m+\epsilon}}$$

$$\leqslant \|a\|_{0,m} \sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|(1 - \chi(\xi/k))||a(x,\xi)|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{\epsilon}}$$

$$\leqslant \|a\|_{0,m} \langle k \rangle^{-\epsilon}$$

$$\to 0$$

as $k \to \infty$, since $|(1 - \chi(\xi/k))|$ is 0 in the region $|\xi| \le k$ and bounded by 1 otherwise. We remark upon the necessity of the extra decay given by $\langle \xi \rangle^{-\epsilon}$ factor. For other symbol norms we shall again use Leibinz formula:

$$\sup_{(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^p\times\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\left| D_x^{\alpha} D_\xi^{\beta} a_k(x,\xi) \right|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m+\epsilon-|\beta|}} \leqslant \sup_{(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^p\times\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m+\epsilon-|\beta|}} \sum_{\mu\leqslant\beta} \binom{\beta}{\mu} k^{-|\mu|} \left(D^{\mu}(1-\chi) \right) \left(\frac{\xi}{k} \right) \left| D_x^{\alpha} D_\xi^{\beta-\mu} a(x,\xi) \right| \\ \leqslant \sup_{(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^p\times\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{C}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m+\epsilon-|\beta|}} \sum_{\mu\leqslant\beta} \binom{\beta}{\mu} k^{-|\mu|} \left(D^{\mu}(1-\chi) \right) \left(\frac{\xi}{k} \right) \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta-\mu|} \\ = C \sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{\mu\leqslant\beta} \binom{\beta}{\mu} k^{-|\mu|} \left(D^{\mu}(1-\chi) \right) \left(\frac{\xi}{k} \right) \langle \xi \rangle^{-\epsilon-|\mu|} \\ \leqslant C' k^{-\epsilon} \\ \to 0$$

as $k \to \infty$ by the same argument as before. Thus, we have proven that $a_k \to a$ as $k \to \infty$ in $S^{m+\epsilon}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 3.3. Given $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ such that $\Omega \subset \overline{\operatorname{Int}(\Omega)}$, an order m symbol $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is (globally) **elliptic** if there exist $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$\inf_{|\xi| \ge 1/\epsilon} |a(x,\xi)| \ge \epsilon \langle \xi \rangle^m.$$

The importance of elliptic symbol is that they are invertible modulo $S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 3.5. Given $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ such that $\Omega \subset \overline{\operatorname{Int}(\Omega)}$. Let $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be an elliptic symbol of order m. Then there exist a symbol $b \in S^m_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$a \cdot b - 1 \in S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. We shall follow the general strategy of inverting the symbol outside of a compact set. Let $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a smooth cut off function, i.e $0 \le \phi \le 1$ and $\phi(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| < 1$ and $\phi(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| > 2$.

Let $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be an elliptic symbol, that is, for any fixed $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we have

$$|a(x,\xi)| \ge \epsilon \langle \xi \rangle^m$$

for any $|\xi| \ge 1/\epsilon$. Thus, we can define

$$b(x,\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1-\phi(\epsilon\xi/2)}{a(x,\xi)} & |\xi| \geqslant 1/\epsilon \\ 0 & |\xi| < 1/\epsilon. \end{cases}$$

We check:

b is well-defined and smooth.

We note that $|a(x,\xi)| > 0$ whenever $|\xi| \ge 1/\epsilon$ and therefore b is well defined in that region. For smoothness, we note first that b is smooth in the regions $|\xi| > 1/\epsilon$ and $|\xi| < 1/\epsilon$. Set $\delta = 1/(2\epsilon)$. In the region where $1/\epsilon - \delta < |\xi| < 1/\epsilon + \delta$, we have $|\epsilon \xi/2| < 1/\epsilon$ and therefore $b(x,\xi) \equiv 0$ in this region and is thus smooth. Since the we have covered $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$ by the three chart domain above, b is smooth by the (smooth) gluing lemma.

b is a symbol of order -m.

We can prove by induction that in the region $|\xi| \ge 1/\epsilon$

$$D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} b = a^{-1-|\alpha|-|\beta|} G_{\alpha\beta}$$

for all multi-index α, β , where $G_{\alpha\beta}$ is a symbol of order $(|\alpha| + |\beta|)m - |\beta|$.

Therefore, using the ellipticity estimate for a, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|b\|_{k,-m} &= \sup_{(x,\xi) \in \operatorname{Int}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\left| D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} b(x,\xi) \right|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{-m-k}} \\ &= \sup_{|\xi| \geqslant 1/\epsilon} \left| a^{-1-|\alpha|-|\beta|} G_{\alpha\beta} \right| \langle \xi \rangle^{m+k} \\ &\leqslant \frac{\|G_{\alpha\beta}\|_{0,(|\alpha|+|\beta|)m-|\beta|}}{\epsilon} \sup_{|\xi| \geqslant 1/\epsilon^{1+|\alpha|+|\beta|}} \langle \xi \rangle^{-m(1+|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \langle \xi \rangle^{m+k} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

as required.

b is an inverse of a modulo $S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The main observation is that the set where b fails to be the multiplicative inverse of a is a compact set (in ξ) and thus $a \cdot b - 1$ is in fact a compactly supported smooth function of ξ which is a subset of $S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Explicitly, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sup_{(x,\xi)\in \operatorname{Int}(\Omega)\times\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\left|\left.D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta(a\cdot b-1)\right.\right|}{\left<\xi\right>^{-N}}\leqslant \sup_{|\xi|\leqslant 1/\epsilon}\left<\xi\right>^N\left|\left.D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta(\phi(\xi\epsilon/2))\right.\right|<\infty.$$

3.3 Quantisation

As noted in (??), pseudodifferential operators are obtained via symbols via the quantisation procedure

$$S_{\infty}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^{n}) \ni a \mapsto I(a) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n}} \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x, y, \xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \tag{3.3}$$

with action on Schwartz functions $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by

$$I(a)(u) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x,y,\xi) u(y) \, dy \, d\xi.$$
 (3.4)

Unfortunately, unless m < -n, we have no guarantee that the integral 3.4 above is convergent. However, it can be interpreted as a tempered distribution, with action on a Schwartz function $v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by

$$I(a)(u)(v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x,y,\xi) u(y) v(x) \,\mathrm{d}y \,\mathrm{d}\xi \,\mathrm{d}x \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.5}$$

Hence, our immediate concern is to ensure that this quantisation procedure is well-defined. Explicitly, we want to show that for each $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$

$$I(a): \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

 $u \mapsto I(a)(u)$

is a continuous linear map between Frechet spaces.

Reconsider the appropriateness of this remark and the abuse of writing I(a) = Op(a)

Remark 3.4. Given $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$, we sometimes write A = Op(a) = I(a) for the operator $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by quantising the symbol a. Also, once the procedure above is proven to be well-defined, we will, with abuse of notation, identify the integral

$$I(a) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x,y,\xi) \, d\xi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$

to be the Schwartz Kernel of the operator $I(a): \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Insert discussion about the usage of Schwartz kernal theorem here.

We will first establish the case for m < -n (write $m = -n - \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$). As mentioned, the oscillatory integral 3.4, is absolutely convergent and continuity comes from the bound given by the following computation: $\forall M \in \mathbb{N}, \forall a \in S_{\infty}^{-n-\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n), \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$|I(a)(\varphi)| \leqslant \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int |a(x,y,\xi)\varphi(x,y)| \, d\xi \, dx \, dy$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\|a\|_{0,-n-\epsilon}}{(2\pi)^n} \int \langle \xi \rangle^{-n-\epsilon} \, \langle (x,y) \rangle^{-M} \, \langle (x,y) \rangle^M \, |\varphi(x,y)| \, d\xi \, dx \, dy$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\|a\|_{0,-n-\epsilon} \, \|\varphi\|_M}{(2\pi)^n} \int \langle \xi \rangle^{-n-\epsilon} \, \langle (x,y) \rangle^{-M} \, d\xi \, dx \, dy$$

for any $M \in \mathbb{N}$, where Remember to make consistent choice for schwartz norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{M} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq M} \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}} \langle (x,y) \rangle^{M} \left| D_{x,y}^{\alpha} \varphi(x,y) \right|$$
(3.6)

is the Schwartz seminorm on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. If we choose $M \geq 2n+1$, the x,y integrals are convergent and since $m = -n - \epsilon < -n$, the ξ integral converges as well, hence we have

$$|I(a)(\varphi)| \leqslant C ||a||_{0,m} ||\varphi||_{M}$$

and hence $||I(a)||_{\mathcal{S}'} \leqslant C |a|_{0,m}$ which is the continuity statement for linear maps $S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The proposition below extend this result to general $m \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 3.6. The continuous linear map

$$I: S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$$

extends uniquely to a linear map

$$I: S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$$

which is continuous as linear map from $S^{m'}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ for arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and m' > m.

Proof. Let $m, m' \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m < m' be given. For any $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$, the density of $S^{-\infty}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ in $S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with the topology of $S^{m'}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ means that there exist a sequence $a_k \in S^{-\infty}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ so that $a_k \to a \in S^{m'}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Together with the completeness of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ (being a continuous linear map into \mathbb{C} which is complete), we have unique continuous linear extension of $I: S^{-\infty}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ to $S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ given by

$$I(a) := \lim_{k \to \infty} I(a_k)$$

which is continuous in the $S^{m'}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n)$ topology. Therefore, it is enough to show that for any $a \in S^{-\infty}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, there exist $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$|I(a)(\varphi)| \leqslant C ||a||_{N,m'} ||\varphi||_{M}$$
.

Let $a \in S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as above be given. It can be shown by induction that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(1 + \Delta_y)^N e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} = \langle \xi \rangle^N e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi}$$

where $\Delta_y = -\sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{y_j}^2$ is the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^n .

With this, we can use integratusing integration by parts to introduce extra ξ -decay in the integral. Explicitly, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$I(a)(\varphi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x,y,\xi) \varphi(x,y) \,d\xi \,dx \,dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \langle \xi \rangle^{-2N} (1+\Delta_y)^N a(x,y,\xi) \varphi(x,y) \,d\xi \,dx \,dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \langle \xi \rangle^{-2N} e^{i(x-y)\xi} \left(\sum_{|\mu|+|\nu| \leqslant 2N} C_{\mu,\nu} D_y^{\mu} a(x,y,\xi) D_y^{\nu} \varphi(x,y) \right) \,d\xi \,dx \,dy$$

where $C_{\mu,\nu}$ is a complex constant, independent of a, φ , involving only the binomial coefficient. Now, note that using the $2N^{th}$ symbol seminorm in $S_{\infty}^{m'}(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n)$ we

have the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \left| D_y^{\mu} a(x, y, \xi) \right| &= \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{m'} \frac{\left| D_y^{\mu} a(x, y, \xi) \right|}{\left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{m'}} \\ &\leq \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{m'} \sup_{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mu \mid + \mid \mu' \mid + \mid \mu'' \mid \leq 2N} \frac{\left| D_x^{\mu'} D_y^{\mu} a(x, y, \xi) \right|}{\left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{m' - \mid \mu'' \mid}} \\ &= \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{m'} \left\| a \right\|_{2N m'}. \end{aligned}$$

And using Schwartz seminorm, we have that for any $M \in \mathbb{N}$ greater than N,

$$\begin{split} \left| \; D_y^{\nu} \varphi(x,y) \; \right| &= \langle (x,y) \rangle^{-M} \; \langle (x,y) \rangle^{M} \; \left| \; D_y^{\nu} \varphi(x,y) \; \right| \\ &\leqslant \langle (x,y) \rangle^{-M} \max_{\nu \leqslant \leqslant M} \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}} \langle (x,y) \rangle^{M} \; \left| \; D_y^{\nu} \varphi(x,y) \; \right| \\ &\leqslant \langle (x,y) \rangle^{-M} \; \|\varphi\|_{M} \, . \end{split}$$

Bring together both bounds, we have for all positive integers M > N,

$$|I(a)(\varphi)| \leqslant \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{|\mu|+|\nu|\leqslant 2N} C_{\mu,\nu} \int \langle \xi \rangle^{-2N} |D_y^{\mu} a(x,y,\xi) D_y^{\nu} \varphi(x,y)| d\xi dx dy$$
$$\leqslant C' ||a||_{2N,m'} ||\varphi||_M \int \langle \xi \rangle^{m'-2N} \langle (x,y) \rangle^{-M} d\xi dx dy.$$

Thus, as long as m'-2N < -n, i.e. $N > \max\left(\frac{m'+n}{2}, 0\right)$, the integral above converges and there exist C > 0 independent of a, φ such that

$$|I(a)(\varphi)| \leqslant C ||a||_{2Nm'} ||\varphi||_{M}$$

which makes I(a) a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

By the Schwartz Kernel theorem ([]), each $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ defines a continuous linear operator

$$I(a): \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

which is teh desired result.

We can now define the space of m-order pseudodifferential operators to be the image of $S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n)$ under I.

Definition 3.5.

$$\Psi_{\infty}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) := \left\{ A = I(a) \mid a \in S_{\infty}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^{n}) \right\}$$

with the total space $\Psi_{\infty}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{R}} \Psi_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the residual space $\Psi_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \bigcap_m \Psi_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are defined similarly to that of symbol spaces.

Now, we make the observation that

$$D_{x_j}e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} = i\xi_j(-i)e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} = \xi_j e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi}$$

$$D_{\xi_j}e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} = i(x_j - y_j)(-i)e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} = (x_j - y_j)e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi}$$

and thus, by induction and Lebinz formula,

$$\begin{split} D_x^{\alpha} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} &= \xi^{\alpha} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} \\ x^{\beta} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} &= (y-D_{\xi})^{\beta} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} = \sum_{\mu < \beta} \binom{\beta}{\mu} y^{\mu} D_{\xi}^{\beta-\mu} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi}. \end{split}$$

Together with integration by parts, this shows that polynomial multiplication and derivative operations $x^{\beta}D_x^{\alpha}$ on $I(a)u, a \in S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n), u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be transform into operations involving only y and ξ variables, namely the integration variables in I(a)u. This suggest the following sharper result.

Proposition 3.7. Let $A \in \Psi^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with Schwartz kernel I(a), $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$, then,

$$A: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is a continuous linear map.

Proof. From the proof of (??), for sufficiently large $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$I(a)\varphi(x) = \sum_{|\mu|+|\nu|\leqslant 2N} C_{\mu,\nu} \int \langle \xi \rangle^{-2N} e^{i(x-y)\xi} D_y^{\mu} a(x,y,\xi) D_y^{\nu} \varphi(y) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \,\mathrm{d}y$$

is an absolutely convergent integral for any $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, we can differentiate under the integral sign and apply (??) to get

$$D_x^{\alpha} I(a) \varphi(x) = \sum_{\substack{|\mu|+|\nu| \leqslant 2N}} C_{\mu,\nu} \int \langle \xi \rangle^{-2N} D_x^{\alpha} \left(e^{i(x-y)\xi} D_y^{\mu} a(x,y,\xi) \right) D_y^{\nu} \varphi(y) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{\gamma \leqslant \alpha \\ |\mu|+|\nu| \leqslant 2N}} C_{\mu,\nu,\gamma} \int \langle \xi \rangle^{-2N} \, \xi^{\alpha-\gamma} e^{i(x-y)\xi} D_x^{\gamma} D_y^{\mu} a(x,y,\xi) D_y^{\nu} \varphi(y) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

for any multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Similarly, for multiplication by x^{β} , $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we can use (??). That, together with integration by parts in ξ gives

$$x^{\beta} D_x^{\alpha} I(a) \varphi(x) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \leqslant \alpha, \lambda \leqslant \beta \\ |\mu| + |\nu| \leqslant 2N}} C_{\mu,\nu,\gamma,\lambda} \int \langle \xi \rangle^{-2N} \xi^{\alpha-\gamma} y^{\lambda} \left(D_{\xi}^{\beta-\lambda} e^{i(x-y)\xi} \right) D_x^{\gamma} D_y^{\mu} a(x,y,\xi) D_y^{\nu} \varphi(y) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Thus, similar to the proof of (??),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| x^{\beta} D_{x}^{\alpha} I(a) \varphi(x) \right| \\ & \leqslant \sum_{\substack{\gamma \leqslant \alpha, \lambda \leqslant \beta \\ |\mu| + |\nu| \leqslant 2N}} C_{\mu,\nu,\gamma,\lambda} \int \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{-2N} \left| \xi^{\alpha-\gamma} \right| \left| y^{\lambda} \right| \left| D_{x}^{\gamma} D_{y}^{\mu} a(x,y,\xi) \right| \left| D_{y}^{\nu} \varphi(y) \right| \, \mathrm{d}\xi \, \mathrm{d}y \\ & \leqslant \|a\|_{N,m} \|\varphi\|_{M} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \leqslant \alpha, \lambda \leqslant \beta \\ |\mu| + |\nu| \leqslant 2N}} C_{\mu,\nu,\gamma,\lambda} \int \left\langle \xi \right\rangle^{-2N + |\alpha| + m} \left\langle y \right\rangle^{|\beta| - M} \, \mathrm{d}\xi \, \mathrm{d}y \\ & \leqslant C_{\alpha,\beta} \|a\|_{N,m} \|\varphi\|_{M} \end{aligned}$$

where $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$ are chosen so that

$$N > \frac{m + |\alpha| + n}{2}$$

$$M > n + |\beta|.$$

Hence, the (equivalent) Schwartz seminorm of $I(a)(\varphi)$ is bounded and hence $I(a)(\varphi) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as required.

3.3.1 Adjoint

Now, we have shown that every pseudodifferential operator $A \in \Psi^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an operator

$$A: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Therefore, it has a Frechet space adjoint

$$A^{\dagger}: \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

defined by

$$A^{\dagger}u(\varphi) = u(A\varphi)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 3.8. Given the map

$$T: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$$

 $(x, y, \xi) \mapsto (y, x, -\xi)$

and a symbol $aS_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n)$, adjoint of the operator A with Schwartz kernel I(a) is uniquely given by the operator whose symbol correspond to the pullback of a under T, i.e.

$$I(a)^{\dagger} = I(T^*a) : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Proof. Let $\iota: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the continuous inclusion of Schwartz function into the space of tempered distribution given by $\iota(u)\varphi = \int u(x)\varphi(x)dx$ for all $u, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Suppose first $a \in S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$. We know from (??) that $I(a) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, thus $\iota \circ I(a) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a continuous function given by

$$\begin{split} \iota(I(a)u)\varphi &= \int I(a)(u)(x)\varphi(x)dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \int u(y)e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi}a(x,y,\xi)\varphi(x)\,\mathrm{d}\xi\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \int u(y)e^{i(y-x)\cdot\xi}a(x,y,-\xi)\varphi(x)\,\mathrm{d}\xi\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int u(y)I(T^*a)\varphi(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \iota(u)(I(T^*a)\varphi) \end{split}$$

for all $u, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Using the density of the residual space in $S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with the topology of $S^{m'}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$, m' > m, the identity above holds for any $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Finally, by the weak-* density of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\iota \circ I(a)$ has a unique continuous linear extension to a map $S: \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$S(u)(\varphi) = u(I(a)\varphi)$$

for any tempered distribution $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The last expression is also given by the adjoint $I(a)^{\dagger}(u)\varphi$, i.e. $\iota \circ I(a)$ extends continuously and uniquely to its adjoint. Therefore, together with the result above, we have

$$I(a)^{\dagger} = I(T^*a)$$

as required. \Box

Remark 3.6. Since $I(T^*a)$ is a composition of continuous map and that $T^*T^*a = a$, we can conclude that any symbol $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ defines a continuous function

$$I(a) = I(T^*a)^{\dagger} : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

A similar conclusion can be made if we instead use the L^2 -based pairing, i.e. the inner product on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int f(x) \overline{g(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

The corresponding Hilbert space adjoint, T^* of an operator T is then defined by

$$\int Tf(x)\overline{g(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x = \int f(x)\overline{T^*g(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Lemma 3.9. Given the transposition map

$$F: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$$

 $(x, y, \xi) \mapsto (y, x, \xi)$

and a symbol $aS_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n)$, L^2 -adjoint of the operator A with Schwartz kernel I(a) is uniquely given by the operator whose symbol correspond to the complex conjugate of the pullback of a under F, i.e.

$$I(a)^* = I(\overline{F^*a})$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of (??) with the computation replaced by

$$\int I(a)u(x)\overline{\varphi(x)} \, dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int u(y) \overline{\int e^{i(y-x)\cdot\xi} \overline{a(x,y,\xi)} \varphi(x) \, dx \, d\xi} \, dy$$
$$= \int u(y) \overline{I(\overline{F^*a}) \varphi(y)} \, dy$$

3.3.2 Composition theorem

In this section we shall prove that, just like symbol spaces, $\Psi_{\infty}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ forms a graded *-algebra. The difference being, this time, the algebra is *non-commutative* and has a unit $1 \in \Psi_{\infty}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. That is, we shall show that following theorem holds.

Theorem 3.10 (Summary). Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Psi_{\infty}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a graded *-algebra over \mathbb{C} with continuous inclusion

$$\iota: \Psi^m_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \Psi^{m'}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for any $m \leq m'$.

We shall prove this theorem by first accumulate several technical lemmas. Among them, the most important and useful is the reduction lemma that allow us remove the dependence of either x or y in the symbol $a(x, y, \xi) \in S_{\infty}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^{n})$.

3.3.3 Asymptotic Summation

Suppose we are given a sequence of symbols with decreasing order, $a_j \in S_{\infty}^{m-j}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we know that $a_j(x,\xi)$ has ever higher rate of decay for large $|\xi|$ with increasing j. However, the series $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} a_j(x,\xi)$ need not converge. However, we have the following notion of asymptotic convergence.

Definition 3.7 (Asymptotic summation). A sequence of symbols with decreasing order, $a_j \in S^{m-j}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ is **asymptotically summable** if there exist $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_j \in S_{\infty}^{m-N}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

We write

$$a \sim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j$$
.

Lemma 3.11. Every sequence of symbols with decreasing order is asymptotically summable. Furthermore, the sum is unique up to an additive term in $S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p;\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Sketch. Let $a_j \in S^{m-j}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. For uniqueness, suppose $a, a' \in S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ are both asymptotic sums of the sequence. We need to show that $a-a' \in S^{-\infty}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a - a' = \left(a - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_j\right) - \left(a' - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_j\right) \in S_{\infty}^{m-N}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

since both terms on the right are elements of $S^{m-N}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p;\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus,

$$a - a' \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_{\infty}^{m-N}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n) = S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

For existence, we construct $aS_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^p;\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Borel's method []. Let $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ be a bump function and define

$$a = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (1 - \chi) (\epsilon_j \xi) a_j(x, \xi)$$

where $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \ni \epsilon_j \to 0$ is a strictly monotonic decreasing sequence that converges to 0. We note that the sequence is a finite sum for any input (x, ξ) and hence define a smooth function. It remains to show that, for some choice of ϵ_j with sufficiently rapid decay,

$$\sum_{j\geqslant N} (1-\chi) (\epsilon_j \xi) a_j(x,\xi)$$

converges in $S^{m-N}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p;\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note: This is again an exercise in using symbol seminorms and Leibniz formula.

about smoothing operators

Proposition 3.12. Elements of the residual operator space are exactly smoothing operators. Explicitly, a pseudodifferential operator $A: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an element of $\Psi^{-\infty}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if there exist $c \in S^{-\infty}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that A = I(c).

3.3.4 Reduction

We will now show that $\Psi^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is exactly the range of $I: S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ restricted to $S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \subset S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 3.8. Let

$$\pi_L: \mathbb{R}^{3n}_{x,y,\xi} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,\xi}$$

be the projection map $(x, y, \xi) \mapsto (x, \xi)$. We define the **left quantisation map** as

$$q_L := I \circ \pi_L^* : S_\infty^m(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \to \Psi_\infty^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with elements $a_L \in S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ known as the **left reduced symbols**.

Lemma 3.13 (Reduction). For any $a(x, y, \xi) \in S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,y}; \mathbb{R}^n_{\xi})$ there exist unique $a_L(x, \xi) \in S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $I(a) = q_L(a_L) = I(a_L \circ \pi_L)$. Furthermore, with $\iota : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \ni (x, \xi) \mapsto (x, x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}$ being the diagonal inclusion map, we have

$$a_L(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{\alpha} \frac{i^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \iota^* D_y^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\alpha} a(x,y,\xi).$$
 (3.7)

Sketch. Note that

$$D_{\xi}^{\alpha} e^{i(x-y)\xi} = (x-y)^{\alpha} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} \implies I((x-y)^{\alpha}a) = I((-1)^{|\alpha|D_{\xi}^{\alpha}a})$$

where we have extended the identity that is true using integration by parts in $S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n)$ to general $S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n)$ using the density result of symbol space. Now, if we Taylor expand a around the diagonal x = y, we get

$$a(x, y, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le N-1} \frac{(-i)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} (x - y)^{\alpha} D_y^{\alpha} a(x, x, \xi) + r_N(x, y, \xi)$$

where

$$r_N(x,y,\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \frac{(-i)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} (x-y)^{\alpha} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{N-1} D_y^{\alpha} a(x,(1-t)x+ty,\xi) dt$$

for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying the identity above give us

$$I(a) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} A_j + R_N$$

$$A_j = I\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=j} \frac{i^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} D_y^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\alpha} a(x, x, \xi)\right) \in \Psi_{\infty}^{m-j}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$R_N \in \Psi_{\infty}^{m-N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Asymptotic summation lemma give us

$$b(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{\alpha} \frac{i^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} D_y^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\alpha} a(x,x,\xi) \in S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}^n)$$

so that $I(a) - I(b) \in \Psi_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It remains to show that $A \in \Psi_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \iff A = I(c), c \in S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 3.14 (Composition). Let $A \in \Psi^m_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $B \in \Psi^{m'}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $m, m' \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

- 1. $A^* \in \Psi^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- 2. $A \circ B \in \Psi^{m+m'}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Sketch. Let $A \in \Psi^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $B \in \Psi^{m'}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $m, m' \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. Since $A : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (??), we have the adjoint operator $A^* : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by

$$A^*u(\varphi) = u(\overline{A\varphi}), \quad u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n), \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We check that A^*u is indeed an element of $\underline{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ since it is the composition of the maps $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni \varphi \mapsto \overline{A\varphi}$ which are both continuous. Let $a \in S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that A = I(a). Observe that,

$$\begin{split} \langle Au, \varphi \rangle_{L^2} &= \int Au(x) \overline{\varphi(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int u(y) \overline{\int e^{i(x-y)\cdot \xi} \overline{a(x,y,\xi)} \varphi(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}\xi} \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int u(y) \overline{I(b) \varphi(y)} \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \langle u, A^* \varphi \rangle_{L^2} \end{split}$$

where $b(x, y, \xi) = \overline{a(y, x, \xi)} \in S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, $A^* \in \Psi_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For composition, applying the reduction lemma twice to get $a_L \in S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $b_L \in S^{m'}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ so that

$$A\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} a(x,\xi)\varphi(y) \,dy \,d\xi$$
$$B^*\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} \overline{b(x,\xi)}\varphi(y) \,dy \,d\xi$$

which shows that

$$AB\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} a(x,\xi)b(y,\xi)\varphi(y) \,dy \,d\xi$$

and thus $AB = I(a(x,\xi)b(y,\xi))$. Since $a(x,\xi)b(y,\xi) \in S_{\infty}^{m+m'}(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have the result $AB \in \Psi_{\infty}^{m+m'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as required.

3.4 Quantisation and symbol

Lemma 3.15.

$$0 \to \Psi^{m-1}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \Psi^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to S^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n) / S^{m-1}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n) \to 0$$

Proposition 3.16.

$$\Psi^m_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\cdot \Psi^{m'}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\subset \Psi^{m+m'}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

3.5 L^2 and Sobolev boundedness

Proposition 3.17. $A: L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a bounded linear operator if $A \in \Psi^0_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 3.18 (Square root construction).

Proposition 3.19. $A: H^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to H^{s-m,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Chapter 4

Ellipticity and Microlocalisation

4.1 Microlocalisation

Roughly, the support of a distribution in \mathbb{R}^n consist of points $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where the distribution is non-zero after any smooth cut-offs near x.

Definition 4.1. The support of a tempered distribution $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by the set

$$\operatorname{supp}(u) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \phi(x) \neq 0, \phi u = 0 \}^c.$$

And the singular support of a distribution is the set of points where the distribution fails to behave like an element of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 4.2. The singular support of a tempered distribution $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by the set

$$\operatorname{singsupp}(u) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists \phi \in S(R^n), \phi(x) \neq 0, \phi(u) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \}^c$$

Note that if the singular support of a tempered distribution is empty, it is then an element of $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ The support of an operator is given by the support of its Schwartz kernel.

Definition 4.3. The support of a continuous linear operator $A: S(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by

$$\operatorname{supp}(A) = \operatorname{supp}(K_A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

where $K_A \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Schwartz kernel of A.

We note from the above that supports or singular supports are complement of open sets, therefore they are closed. We have the following result relating the support of a smooth function after the action of a continuous linear operator.

Proposition 4.1 (Calculus of support). Let $A : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a continuous linear operator and $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\operatorname{supp}(A\phi) \subset \operatorname{supp}(A) \circ \operatorname{supp}(\phi) := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists y \in \operatorname{supp}(\phi), (x, y) \in \operatorname{supp}(A) \right\}.$$

Proof. We shall show the contrapositive statement:

$$x \notin \operatorname{supp}(A) \circ \operatorname{supp}(\phi) \implies x \notin \operatorname{supp}(A\phi).$$

Suppose $x \notin \operatorname{supp}(A) \circ \operatorname{supp}(\phi)$. Observe that

$$\operatorname{supp}(A) \circ \operatorname{supp}(\phi) = \pi_x(\pi_y^{-1}(\operatorname{supp}(\phi)) \cap \operatorname{supp}(A))$$

where $\pi_{x,y}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ are the projection map to the respective coordinates. Since $\operatorname{supp}(A)$ is closed and $\operatorname{supp}(\phi)$ is compact, we have that $\operatorname{supp}(A) \circ \operatorname{supp}(\phi)$ is closed and thus x belongs to an open set. We can therefore choose a smooth cutt-off function $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ supported at x ($\chi(x) \neq 0$) but away from $\operatorname{supp}(A) \circ \operatorname{supp}(\phi)$. Thus,

$$\operatorname{supp}(A)\cap(\operatorname{supp}(\chi)\times\operatorname{supp}(\phi))=\emptyset$$

and hence $\chi(x)K_A(x,y)\phi(y)=0 \implies \chi A\phi=0$, as required.

4.1.1 Pseudolocality

We shall show two results on the singular support of pseudodifferential operators. The first result is that the singular support of any ΦDO is contained within the diagonal, i.e. they are smooth away from x = y. The second result is teh pseudolocality result that says that action ΨDO 's do not increase singular support of distributions.

Proposition 4.2. Let $A \in \Psi^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\operatorname{singsupp}(A) \subset \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid x = y\}.$$

Proof. We shall prove this theorem for elements of $\Psi_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and then extend by continuity to all orders. Let $A \in \Psi_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with symbol $a \in S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n};\mathbb{R}^n)$. Its singular support is given by the singular support of the kernel. Since all derivatives of a are $O(\langle \xi \rangle^{-\infty})$, the oscillatory integral below representing the kernel is absolutely convergent and, using integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{split} I(a) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} a(x,y,\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \frac{1}{(x-y)^\alpha} (-D_\xi^\alpha) \left(e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} \right) a(x,y,\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(x-y)^\alpha} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} (-D_\xi^\alpha) a(x,y,\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(x-y)^\alpha} I((-D_\xi^\alpha) a) \end{split}$$

which is true for all multi-index α of any order. Since all x, y-derivatives of a are uniformly bounded by $\langle \xi \rangle^{-N}$ for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we can differentiate under the integral sign to get the equation

$$D_x^{\beta} D_y^{\gamma} (x - y)^{\alpha} I(a) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int D_x^{\beta} D_y^{\gamma} e^{i(x - y) \cdot \xi} (-D_{\xi}^{\alpha}) a(x, y, \xi) \, d\xi$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int (-1)^{|\beta|} \xi^{\beta + \gamma} e^{i(x - y) \cdot \xi} (-D_{\xi}^{\alpha}) a(x, y, \xi) \, d\xi$$

where the last integral gives a smooth function, thus showing that $(x - y)^{\alpha}I(a)$ is smooth for all α , and hence I(a) is smooth away from x = y.

Now, for a general $A \in \Psi_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, we shall use the density of $S_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n) \subset S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and that I extends by continuity to a map $I: S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ in the topology $S_{\infty}^{m+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$??.

Proposition 4.3. Let $A \in \Psi^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in C^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\operatorname{singsupp}(Au) \subset \operatorname{singsupp}(u).$$

We call operators that satisfies the above property pseudolocal

Proof. Again we shall prove the contrapositive statement that

$$x \notin \operatorname{singsupp}(u) \implies x \notin \operatorname{singsupp}(Au)$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be compactly supported and $x_0 \notin \operatorname{singsupp}(u)$. We can choose $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, (normalised) so that $\chi \equiv 1$ in a neighbourhood of x_0 and that $\chi u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Observe that

$$Au = A(\chi u + (1 - \chi)u) = A(\chi u) + A(1 - \chi)u.$$

Since $\chi xu \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies A\chi u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [?], we have that

$$\operatorname{singsupp}(Au) = \operatorname{singsupp}(A(1-\chi)u).$$

Furthermore, we know that $x_0 \notin \text{supp}((1-\chi)u)$. Now, we shall further cut-off near x_0 by choosing a $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ compactly supported away from $\text{supp}(1-\chi)$ and $\phi \equiv 1$ near x_0 , i.e.

$$\operatorname{supp}(1-\chi)\cap\operatorname{supp}\phi=\emptyset.$$

We now have an operator $\phi A(1-\chi)$ with kernel

$$\phi(x)K_A(x,y)(1-\phi(y))$$

that vanishes (in particular smooth) on the diagonal. Since we have shown that the singular support of a pseudodifferential operator have to be contained in the diagonal, we conclude that $\phi A(1-\chi)$ is a smoothing operator, and thus $\phi A(1-\chi)u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as required.

4.1.2 Elliptic, Characteristic, Wavefront sets

We will now define *ellipticity at a point* in phase space which allow up to define various microlocal contructions that focus on localised (conically in phase space) behaviour Ψ DO's and distributions.

Definition 4.4. A pseudodifferential operator, $A \in \Psi_{\infty}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^{n}), m \in \mathbb{R}$ is **elliptic** at a **point** $(x_{0}, \xi_{0}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus \{0\}$ if there exist $\epsilon > 0$ such that its left-reduced symbol satisfies the lower bound

$$|\sigma_L(A)(x,\xi)| \ge \epsilon \langle \xi \rangle^m$$

in the region

$$\overline{U}_{\epsilon} = \left\{ (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \mid |x - x_0| \leqslant \epsilon, \left| \widehat{\xi} - \widehat{\xi_0} \right| \leqslant \epsilon, |\xi| \geqslant 1/\epsilon \right\}$$

where $\hat{\xi} = \xi/|\xi|$ for any non-zero $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We denote the set of all elliptic points of A as

$$Ell^m(A) = \{(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \mid A \text{ is elliptic of order } m \text{ at } (x,\xi)\}$$

and its complement in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ as

$$\Sigma^{m}(A) = Ell^{m}(A)^{c} \setminus \{(x,0) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\}$$
$$= \{(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus \{0\} \mid A \text{ is not elliptic of order } m \text{ at } (x,\xi)\}$$

Lemma 4.4. Let $A \in \Psi^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n), m \in \mathbb{R}$.

1. If $\sigma_m(A)(x,\xi)$ is homogeneous of degree m in ξ , then

$$Ell^{m}(A) = \{(x_{0}, \xi_{0}) \mid \xi_{0} \neq 0, \sigma_{m}(A)(x_{0}, \xi_{0}) \neq 0\}.$$

- 2. $Ell^m(A)$ is open in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$.
- 3. $Ell^m(A)$ is conic in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, in the sense that

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \in Ell^m(A) \implies (x_0, t\xi_0) \in Ell^m(A), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}.$$

- 4. $\Sigma^m(A)$ is closed conic.
- 5. if $B \in \Psi^{m'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\Sigma^{m+m'}(A \circ B) = \Sigma^{m}(A) \cup \Sigma^{m'}(B).$$

Proof. Let $A \in \Psi_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$ be given.

1. Suppose the principal symbol $\sigma_m(A)(x,\xi)$ is homogeneous of order m in ξ . We need to show that

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \in Ell^m(A) \iff \xi_0 \neq 0, \ \sigma_m(A)(x_0, \xi_0) \neq 0.$$

If $\xi_0 = 0$, $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin Ell_{\infty}^m$ by definition of ellipticity. If $\sigma_m(x_0, \xi_0) = 0$, by homogeneity, we have

$$\sigma_m(x_0, t\xi_0) = t^m \sigma_m(x_0, \xi_0) = t^m \cdot 0 = 0$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. By definition of principal symbol, we can write the left symbol of A as

$$\sigma_L(A) = \sigma_m(A) + a$$

where $a \in S^{m-1}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Now, observe that for any $\epsilon > 0$, the set

$$\overline{U}_{\epsilon} = \left\{ (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \mid |x - x_0| \leqslant \epsilon, \left| \widehat{\xi} - \widehat{\xi_0} \right| \leqslant \epsilon, |\xi| \geqslant 1/\epsilon \right\}$$

contains the (open) half-line starting at $\widehat{\xi_0}/\epsilon$, i.e. the set $\{(x_0, t\xi_0/(|\xi_0|\epsilon)|t > 0\}$. However, by the symbol estimate of a,

$$\left| \sigma_{L}(A) \left(x_{0}, \frac{t\xi_{0}}{|\xi_{0}| \epsilon} \right) \right| \leqslant \left(\frac{t}{\epsilon |\xi_{0}|} \right)^{m} \left| \sigma_{m}(x_{0}, \xi_{0}) \right| + \left| a \left(x_{0}, \frac{t\xi_{0}}{|\xi_{0}| \epsilon} \right) \right|$$

$$= 0 + \left| a \left(x_{0}, \frac{t\xi_{0}}{|\xi_{0}| \epsilon} \right) \right|$$

$$\leqslant C \left\langle \frac{t\xi_{0}}{|\xi_{0}| \epsilon} \right\rangle^{m-1}$$

$$= C \left\langle t/\epsilon \right\rangle^{m-1}$$

and therefore

$$\inf_{(x,\xi)\in\overline{U}_{\epsilon}} \frac{\left|\sigma_{L}(A)(x,\xi)\right|}{\left\langle \xi\right\rangle^{m}} \leqslant \inf_{t>0} \frac{\left|\sigma_{L}(A)\left(x_{0}, \frac{t\xi_{0}}{|\xi_{0}|\epsilon}\right)\right|}{\left\langle t/\epsilon\right\rangle^{m}}$$

$$\leqslant \inf_{t>0} \frac{C\left\langle t/\epsilon\right\rangle^{m-1}}{\left\langle t/\epsilon\right\rangle^{m}}$$

$$= C\inf_{t>0} \left\langle t/\epsilon\right\rangle^{-1}$$

$$= 0$$

which means that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin Ell^m(A)$.

Conversely, if $\sigma_m(A)(x_0, \xi_0) \neq 0$, by continuity and homogeneity, $\sigma_m(A)$, is non-zero in a (closed) conic neighbourhood, i.e. there exist $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\sigma_m(A) \neq 0$ in

$$\overline{U}_{\epsilon} = \left\{ (x, \xi) \mid |x - x_0| \leqslant \epsilon, \left| \widehat{\xi} - \widehat{\xi_0} \right| \leqslant \epsilon, |\xi| \geqslant 1/\epsilon \right\}.$$

Again, writing the left symbol as a sum of the principal symbol an a lower order term, we observe that in \overline{U}_{ϵ} ,

$$\frac{|\sigma_{L}(A)(x,\xi)|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m}} \geqslant \frac{||\sigma_{m}(A)(x,\xi)| - |a(x,\xi)||}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m}}$$

$$= \left| \frac{|\xi|^{m}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m}} |\sigma_{m}(A)(x,\widehat{\xi})| - \frac{|a(x,\xi)|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m}} \right|$$

By the symbol estimate of a, the second term is tending to 0 which the first term is bounded below by $C = \inf_{(x,\xi) \in \overline{U}_{\epsilon}} |\sigma_m(A)(x,\xi)| > 0$. Therefore, choosing a smaller ϵ if necessary, we have $|a(x,\xi)|/\langle \xi \rangle^m < C$ and thus

$$\inf_{(x,\xi)\in\overline{U}_{\epsilon}}\frac{|\sigma_L(A)(x,\xi)|}{\langle\xi\rangle^m}\geqslant C'\geqslant\epsilon.$$

and therefore $(x_0, \xi_0) \in Ell^m(A)$.

2. We note first that if the principal symbol is homogeneous of degree m, the previous result applies and continuity of the principal symbol guarantee that the elliptic set is open (if $\sigma_m(A)$ is non-zero at a point, it is non-zero in an open neighbourhood of the point).

For the general case, suppose $(x_0, \xi_0) \in Ell^m(A)$. We therefore have for some $\epsilon > 0$,

$$|\sigma_L(A)(x,\xi)| \ge \epsilon \langle \xi \rangle^m$$

in the region

$$\overline{U}_{\epsilon}(x_0, \xi_0) = \left\{ (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \mid |x - x_0| \leqslant \epsilon, \left| \widehat{\xi} - \widehat{\xi_0} \right| \leqslant \epsilon, |\xi| \geqslant 1/\epsilon \right\}.$$

It suffice to show that there is an open neighbourhood of (x_0, ξ_0) where A remains elliptic. We can take desired open neighbourhood to be

$$V = \left\{ (x', \xi') \, | \, \xi' \neq 0, \, | \, x' - x_0 \, | < \epsilon/2, \, \left| \, \widehat{\xi'} - \widehat{\xi_0} \, \right| < \epsilon/2 \right\}.$$

Then, we can check that for every $(x', \xi') \in V$, A satisfies the elliptic estimate in $\overline{U}_{\epsilon/2}(x', \xi')$. Indeed, if $(x, \xi) \in \overline{U}_{\epsilon/2}(x', \xi')$, then

$$|x - x_0| \le |x - x'| + |x' - x_0| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

$$|\widehat{\xi} - \widehat{\xi_0}| \le |\widehat{\xi} - \widehat{\xi'}| + |\widehat{\xi'} - \widehat{\xi_0}| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

$$|\xi| \ge 2/\epsilon \ge 1/\epsilon$$

which shows that $\overline{U}_{\epsilon/2}(x',\xi') \subset \overline{U}_{\epsilon}(x_0,\xi_0)$. Therefore,

$$\inf_{(x,\xi)\in\overline{U}_{\epsilon/2}(x',\xi')} \frac{|\sigma_L(A)(x,\xi)|}{\langle\xi\rangle^m} \geqslant \inf_{(x,\xi)\in\overline{U}_{\epsilon}(x_0,\xi_0)} \frac{|\sigma_L(A)(x,\xi)|}{\langle\xi\rangle^m} \geqslant \epsilon \geqslant \epsilon/2$$

as required.

3. Again, this result is immediate if the principal symbol is homogeneous in ξ . In general, this result come from the observation that only $\hat{\xi} = \xi/|\xi|$ appears in \overline{U}_{ϵ} in the definition of $Ell^m(A)$, i.e. only the *direction* in the dual variable is important.

Explicitly, let $(x_0, \xi_0) \in Ell^m(A)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Clearly $t\xi_0 \neq 0$. And note that

$$\overline{U}_{\epsilon}(x_0, \xi_0) = \overline{U}_{\epsilon}(x_0, t\xi_0)$$

since $\hat{\xi} = \hat{t}\hat{\xi}$.

- 4. $\Sigma^m(A) = Ell^m(A)^c$ where $Ell^m(A)$ is open and conic. Since complement of conic set is conic, and complement of open is closed, we conclude that $\Sigma^m(A)$ is closed conic.
- 5. If both principal symbols are homoegenous of degree m, m' respectively, we can applied the result above and by symbol calculus, we have

$$Ell^{m+m'}(A \circ B) = \{(x,\xi) \mid \xi \neq 0, \sigma_{m+m'}(A \circ B) = \sigma_m(A)\sigma_{m'}(B) \neq 0\}$$

= \{(x,\xi) \left| \xi \neq 0, \sigma_m(A) \neq 0\} \cap \{(x,\xi) \left| \xi \neq 0, \sigma_{m'}(B) \neq 0\}
= Ell^m(A) \cap Ell^{m'}(B).

Taking complement give the desired result.

In general,

Definition 4.5. The wavefront set of a compactly supported tempered distribution

$$u \in C_c^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \text{supp}(u) \in \mathbb{R}^n \}$$

is given by

$$\mathrm{WF}(u) = \bigcap \left\{ \Sigma^0(A) \, | \, A \in \Psi^0_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), Au \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}.$$

For general tempered distribution $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, its wavefront set is given by

$$WF(u) = \bigcup_{\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} WF(\chi u).$$

Proposition 4.5. For compactly supported tempered distribution, $u \in C_c^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\pi(WF(u)) = \operatorname{singsupp}(u).$$

where $\pi(x,y) = x$ is the projection map.

Proof. To show $\pi(WF(u)) \subset \operatorname{singsupp}(u)$, we observe that, by definition of singular support,

$$x_0 \notin \text{singsupp}(u) \implies \exists \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \ \phi(x_0) \neq 0, \ \phi u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

But since multiplication by ϕ gives an operator in $\Psi^0_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is elliptic at (x_0, ξ) for any $\xi \neq 0$ (ϕ is its own principal symbol which happens to be homogeneous and non-zero for any $(x_0, \xi), \xi \neq 0$). Therefore, $x_0 \notin \pi(WF(u))$.

Conversely, if $x_0 \notin \pi(\mathrm{WF}(u))$, then for all $\xi \neq 0$, there exist $A_{\xi} \in \Psi^0_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that A_{ξ} is elliptic at (x_0, ξ) and $A_{\xi}u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since elliptic set $Ell^0(A_{\xi})$ is open and conic, we know that there exist $\epsilon = \epsilon(\xi)$ such that A_{ξ} is elliptic in the open conic set

$$V_{\xi} = \left\{ (x', \xi') \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \mid |x' - x_0| < \epsilon, \left| \widehat{\xi'} - \widehat{\xi} \right| < \epsilon \right\}.$$

Compactness of the sphere (note that $\xi' \mapsto \widehat{\xi'}$ is an embedding of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ into S^n) allow us to cover $\{x_0\} \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ with finite number of $V_{\xi_j}, j = 1, \ldots, N$ with corresponding operators A_{ξ_j} .

Now, consider the operator

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{\xi_j}^* A_{\xi_j}.$$

By the pseudolocality of pseudodifferential operators, we know that $A_{\xi_j}u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Longrightarrow A_{\xi_j}^*A_{\xi_j}u \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, $Au \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and A is elliptic at $(x_0,\xi), \forall \xi \neq 0$ with non-negative symbol. We can pick a smooth cut-off χ , $\chi \equiv 1$ when resticted to an $\epsilon/2$ -ball around x_0 forming an operator

$$A + (1 - \chi) \in \Psi^0_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

that is globally elliptic. We can construct a (global) elliptic parametrix E so that

$$1 - (E \circ A + E(1 - \chi)) \in \Psi_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Finally, we can choose any smooth cut-off ϕ with support subordinate to that of χ , i.e. $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subset \operatorname{supp}(\chi)$ and note that

$$\phi \circ E \circ (1 - \chi) \in \Psi_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

making it a smoothing operator []. Thus, we conclude

$$\phi u = \phi E \circ Au + \phi \circ E \circ (1 - \chi)u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

as required.

Definition 4.6. Let $a \in S_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a symbol. We say a is of order $-\infty$ at a point $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ (write $a = O(\langle \xi \rangle^{-\infty})$) if there exist $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for all $M \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a constant $C_M > 0$ such that

$$|a(x,\xi)| \leqslant C_M \langle \xi \rangle^{-M}$$

in the neigbourhood of (x_0, ξ_0) given by

$$\overline{U}_{(x_0,\xi_0)} = \left\{ (x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x - x_0| \leqslant \epsilon, \left| \widehat{\xi} - \widehat{\xi_0} \right| \leqslant \epsilon \right\}.$$

We define the cone support of the symbol a to be all the points in phase space that where it fails to be $O(\langle \xi \rangle^{-\infty})$.

conesupp
$$(a) = \{(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \mid a = O(\langle \xi \rangle^{-\infty}) \text{ at } (x,\xi) \}^c$$
.

Lemma 4.6. Let $a \in S_{\infty}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^n)$, then

- 1. conesupp(a) is a closed conic set in $\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^n$.
- 2. If $a = O(\langle \xi \rangle^{-\infty})$ at $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, then so is $D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} a(x, \xi)$ for any multi-index α, β

With the lemma above, we can in fact define the cone support of a symbol to be the smallest closed conic subset of the phase space (with $\xi \neq 0$) such that, in the complement, a and all its derivatives are of order $-\infty$.

Definition 4.7. Let $A \in \Psi_{\infty}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$ be pseudodifferential operator. We define the **essential support**, WF'(A), of A to be the cone support of its left symbol, i.e.

$$WF'(A) = \operatorname{conesupp}(\sigma_L(A)) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$$

Using symbol calculus we can prove the following result.

Lemma 4.7. Let $A \in \Psi^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $B \in \Psi^{m'}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be pseudifferential operators. Then

- 1. WF'(A) = conesupp($\sigma_R(A)$).
- 2. $WF'(A \circ B) \subset WF'(A) \cap WF'(B)$.
- 3. $WF'(A+B) = WF'(A) \cup WF'(B)$.

With the concept of essential support we can define the notion of *microlocal elliptic* parametrix which can be thought of as local inverse at an elliptic point of ΨDO 's.

Proposition 4.8. Let $A \in \Psi^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $z \notin \Sigma^m(A)$. Then there exist a (two-sided) microlocal parametrix $B \in \Psi^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$z \notin WF'(1 - AB)$$
 and $z \notin WF'(1 - BA)$.

Proof. Let $A \in \Psi^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is elliptic at $(x_0, \xi_0) \in Ell^m(A)$. For each $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ we define

$$\gamma_{\epsilon}(x,\xi) = \chi\left(\frac{x-x_0}{\epsilon}\right) (1-\chi(\epsilon\xi))\chi\left(\frac{\widehat{\xi}-\widehat{\xi_0}}{\epsilon}\right)$$

where $\chi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a smooth cut-off function that is identically 1/2-ball but supported only in the unit ball. Notice that $\gamma_{\epsilon} \in S^0_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with support given by

$$\operatorname{supp}(\gamma_{\epsilon}) \subset \left\{ (x,\xi) \mid |x - x_0| \leqslant \epsilon, |\xi| \geqslant \frac{1}{2\epsilon}, |\widehat{\xi} - \widehat{\xi_0}| \leqslant \epsilon \right\}.$$

Restricted to the conic set

$$\overline{U}_{\epsilon} = \left\{ (x, \xi) \mid |x - x_0| \leqslant \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \left| \widehat{\xi} - \widehat{\xi_0} \right| \leqslant \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \left| \xi \right| \geqslant \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right\} \subset \operatorname{supp}(\gamma_{\epsilon})$$

it is identically 1 and therefore γ_{ϵ} is elliptic at (x_0, ξ_0) . Let $L_{\epsilon} = \operatorname{Op}_L(\gamma_{\epsilon})$ be the corresponding pseudodifferential operator. Observe that, by construction

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \not\in \mathrm{WF}'(1 - L_{\epsilon})$$

since $1 - \gamma_{\epsilon}$ is supported away from an ϵ -neighbourhood of $x = x_0$ and the wavefront set of L_{ϵ} is contained in an ϵ -neighbourhood of (x_0, ξ_0) , i.e.

$$WF'(L_{\epsilon}) \subset N_{\epsilon}(x_0, \xi_0) := \left\{ (x, \xi) \mid |x - x_0| \leqslant \epsilon, \left| \widehat{\xi} - \widehat{\xi_0} \right| \leqslant \epsilon \right\}$$

since γ_{ϵ} is bounded below in some conic neighbourhood of every point in $N_{\epsilon}(x_0, \xi_0)$.

Now, let $G_s = \operatorname{Op}_L(\langle \xi \rangle^s)$ for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that G_s is globally elliptic with positive principal symbol. Consider the following operator,

$$J = (1 - L_{\epsilon}) \circ G_{2m} + A^*A \in \Psi^{2m}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with principal symbol

$$\sigma_{2m}(J) = (1 - \gamma_{\epsilon}) \langle \xi \rangle^{2m} + |\sigma_m(A)|^2.$$

Since $Ell^m(A)$ is open conic, we can choose ϵ is small enough so that $Ell^m(A) \subset \text{supp}(\gamma_{\epsilon})$. Then, by positivity of all terms involved, we have

$$\frac{|\sigma_{2m}(J)|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{2m}} = (1 - \gamma_{\epsilon}) + \frac{|\sigma_{m}(A)|^{2}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{2m}}$$

where the first term is bounded below by 1 outside of $\operatorname{supp}(\gamma_{\epsilon})$ while in $\operatorname{supp}(\gamma_{\epsilon})$ the second term is bounded below by ϵ since A is elliptic (of order m) at every point in $\operatorname{supp}(\gamma_{\epsilon})$. Therefore J is globally elliptic and thus have a global elliptic parametrix $H \in \Psi^{-2m}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We shall claim that

$$B = H \circ A^* \in \Psi^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is a (right) microlocal elliptic parametrix to A. Indeed,

$$B \circ A - 1 = HA^*A - 1$$

= $H(J - (1 - L_{\epsilon})G_{2m}) - 1$
= $(HJ - 1) - H(1 - L_{\epsilon})G_{2m}$.

Since H is a global parametrix to J, the first term above is a smoothing operator (i.e. an element of $\Psi_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and therefore have empty wavefront set. Furthermore, by [?] the wavefront set of the second term is a subste of WF' $(1 - L_{\epsilon})$ which does not contain (x_0, ξ_0) by construction.

Proposition 4.9. Pseudodifferential operators are microlocal in the following sense: Let $A \in \Psi^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u \in C_c^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$WF(Au) \subset WF(u).$$
 (4.1)

In fact, we have

$$WF(Au) \subset WF'(A) \cap WF(u)$$
.

Proof.

A partial converse to the above is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 4.10. Let $A \in \Psi^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u \in C_c^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$WF(u) \subset WF(Au) \cup \Sigma^m(A)$$
.

Chapter 5

Fredholm problem of wave operator on torus

5.1 Propagation of singularity phenomenon

Let $\mathbb{T} = [0,1]/0 \sim 1$ denote the torus and \mathbb{T}^n the *n*-dimensional torus. We shall study the d'Alembertian, i.e. the totally periodic wave operator, on $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{T}_t \times \mathbb{T}_x^{n-1}$

$$\Box := \partial_t^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_{x_i}^2. \tag{5.1}$$

We first note that the symbol of the operator,

$$\sigma(\Box) = \tau^2 - (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \dots + \xi_{n-1}^2) =: \tau^2 - |\xi|^2$$

is 0 precisely on the light cone $L = \{|\tau| = |\xi|\}$. The operator is therefore not elliptic everywhere in \mathbb{T}^n . We shall proceed by using the "complex absorption" method, i.e. we will perturb the operator by some operator -iQ so that $\Box -iQ$ is elliptic on a "large" enough subset of \mathbb{T}^n . Specifically, we can take

$$Q = \chi(t)\partial_t^2 \tag{5.2}$$

where $\chi: \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a smooth cut-off function supported away from $(-\delta+1/2, \delta+1/2)$ for some $\delta \in (0,1/2)$. Our goal will to prove the following theorem,

Theorem 5.1. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ be given and define

$$\chi^s = \left\{ u \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^n) \, | \, (\square - iQ)u \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^n) \right\}.$$

Then, the operator,

$$(\Box - iQ): \chi^s \to H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^n)$$

is a Fredholm operator.

Proof.

Theorem 5.2 (Propagation of singularity). Suppose we have

- 1. $P \in \Psi_{cl}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ a properly supported operator,
- 2. $\sigma_k(P) = p iq$ for real polyhomogeneous symbols $p, q \in S_{ph}^k(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$,
- 3. $A, B, B' \in \Psi^0_{cl}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ compactly supported and $q \geqslant 0$ on WF(B'),
- 4. for all $(x,\xi) \in WF(A)$, there exists $\sigma \geqslant 0$ such that for all $t \in [-\sigma,0]$

$$\exp(-t\langle\xi\rangle^{1-k}H_p)(x,\xi)\in\ell(B)$$

then for all $s, N \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exist C > 0 such that

$$||Au||_{H^s} \le C(||Bu||_{H^s} + ||B'Pu||_{H^{s-k+1}} + ||u||_{H^{-N}}).$$

Appendix A Appendix