# **Document Properties**

Title	
Protocols Involved	
Topic	
Version	1.0
Department	Risk Working Group
Author	Edo
Reviewed By	N/A
Classification	Public

## Version History

#	Date	Author(s)	Description
1.0			Final Release

## Feedback

For more information about this document and its contents, please reach out to Inverse Finance or to the author, contact information below:

Name	Edo
Discord	Inverse Finance
ID	edo#4889
Email	edoinverse@gmail.com

## Introduction

#### 1.1 Protocol #1

### 1.2 Inverse Finance

Inverse Finance's Risk Working Group (RWG) is to brief the Growth Working Group (GWG) on which, if any, risks the DAO will assume by fulfilling the proposal at hand. They may also raise awareness of the findings of the assessment to the partner protocols, in an effort to find a solution that satisfies all parties.


## 1.3 Methodology

To perform this risk assessment, the RWG will make use of the <u>OWASP Risk Methodology</u> to standardize the evaluation of risk for the studied collateral assets. As a reminder,

- Likelihood represents how likely a particular vulnerability is to be uncovered and exploited in the wild;
- Impact measures the technical loss and business damage of a successful attack;
- Severity demonstrates the overall criticality of the risk.

Likelihood and impact are categorized into three ratings: H, M and L, i.e., high, medium and low respectively. Severity is determined by likelihood and impact and can be classified into four categories accordingly, i.e., Critical, High, Medium, Low, and Note, shown in the accompanying figure.

To evaluate the risk, we go through a list of check items and each would be labeled with a severity category. For one check item, if our tool or analysis does not identify any issue, the risk is considered addressed appropriately. For any discovered issue, we recommend the GWG request the protocol/s involved for additional information and, depending on the severity, that a solution be found.



# **Findings**

## 2.1 Protocol #1

The risks are categorized in the following buckets: Governance, Market, Operational, Oracle, and Technological risks.

### Governance Risks -

Description	Likelihood	Impact	Severity

#### Market Risks -

Description	Likelihood	Impact	Severity

### Operational Risks -

Description	Likelihood	Impact	Severity

#### Oracle Risks -

Description	Likelihood	Impact	Severity

### Technological Risks -

Description	Likelihood	Impact	Severity

## Results

Below is a summary of our findings per our risk assessment. For the purpose of this document, anything with "Severity" Medium or above will be discussed.

## 3.1 Protocol #1

Severity	# of Findings
Critical	
High	
Medium	
Low	
Note	

Conclusion

Resources