

Final draft



# Introduction



# Chapter 1

## Markov Processes and State-Space Models

### 1.1 Notation

Let us fix the basic notation that will be employed henceforth. Upper case letters, e.g.  $X_t, Y_t$ , denote random variables, while lower case letters denote the realizations. Finite sequences are made compact using the semi-colon notation, e.g.  $x_{0:t} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_t)$  for some  $t \geq 0$ . The sets of outcomes are denoted by upper case calligraphic letters, e.g.  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ . The  $\sigma$ -algebra of a set  $\mathcal{X}$  reads  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ . The pair  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}))$  is a measurable space. The densities are represented with lower case cursive letters. For example, the density of  $X_t$  in  $x_t$  is  $p_t^\theta(x_t)$ , where  $\theta \in \Theta$  is some (eventually known) vector, while the density of  $X_t$  in  $x_t$ , given that  $X_{t-1}$  has previously taken value  $x_{t-1}$ , is  $p_t^\theta(x_t | x_{t-1})$ . Note that  $p_t^\theta(x_t | x_{t-1})$  is a member of the parametric family with parameters  $(\theta, x_{t-1})$ . The probability distribution of a random variable  $X_t$  is represented by  $\mathbb{P}_t(dx_t)$ , while the probability distribution of a sequence  $X_{0:t}$  is represented by  $\mathbb{P}_t(dx_{0:t})$ . The Dirac measure, i.e., the measure that assigns probability 1 to the singleton  $\{x\}$ , is denoted by  $\delta_x(dy)$ . The expectation operator is denoted by  $\mathbb{E}$ , where the section of  $\mathbb{E}$  at  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  denotes the operator computed with respect to the probability distribution  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a function defined on the random variable  $X \propto \mathbb{Q}$ . Then, we denote the expected value of  $\varphi(X)$  with

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\varphi(X)] = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \varphi(x) \mathbb{Q}(dx).$$

## 1.2 Markov Processes

Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}))$  and  $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}))$  be two (eventually equal) measurable spaces.

**Definition** A function  $P(x, dy) : (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

- for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $P(x, \cdot)$  is a probability measure on  $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}))$ , and
- for all subsets  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})$ , the map  $x \mapsto P(x, A)$  is measurable in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ ,

is defined a probability kernel from  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}))$  to  $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}))$ .

Notice that for any random variables  $X_1$  and  $X_0 = x_0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_1(dx_{0:1}) = \mathbb{P}_0(dx_0)P_1(x_0, dx_1),$$

where  $P_1(x_0, dx_1)$  is a probability kernel from  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}))$  to  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}))$ . This remark, provides some intuition about the link between probability kernels and conditional probabilities. As a matter of fact, it is possible to show that for given  $X_{0:1}$  with probability distribution  $\mathbb{P}_1(dx_{0:1})$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_1(X_1 \in dx_1 \mid X_0 = x_0) = P_1(x_0, dx_1). \quad (1.1)$$

Probability kernels can be used to define Markov processes. Before moving to the definition, we will introduce some important concepts. Recall that given two measures  $\mathbb{M}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  defined on a measurable space  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}))$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathbb{M}$ , that is  $\mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{M}$ , if for all sets  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\mathbb{M}(A) = 0$ , then it must also hold that  $\mathbb{Q}(A) = 0$ . If  $\mathbb{Q}(A)/\mathbb{M}(A)$  is well-defined for all  $A$ , then the Radon-Nikodym theorem guarantees that there exists a measurable function  $w(x) \geq 0$  such that

$$w(x) = \frac{\mathbb{Q}(dx)}{\mathbb{M}(dx)},$$

meaning that,

$$\mathbb{Q}(A) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} w(x) \mathbb{M}(dx).$$

Let  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_T$  be a finite measure of probability kernels from  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}))$  to  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}))$ . Fix some  $\mathbb{P}_0(dx)$  on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}))$ .

**Definition** A discrete-time Markov process is a sequence  $X_{0:T}$  of random variables whose joint distribution can be written as

$$\mathbb{P}_T(X_{0:T} \in dx_{0:T}) = \mathbb{P}_0(dx_0) \prod_{s=1}^T P_s(x_{s-1}, x_s). \quad (1.2)$$

The set  $\mathcal{X}$  is the state-space,  $\mathbb{P}_0$  is the initial distribution and the probability kernel  $P_t$  is the transition kernel.

It is possible to show that for any  $t \in T$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_T(X_t \in dx_t \mid X_{0:t-1} \in dx_{0:t-1}) = \mathbb{P}_T(X_t \in dx_t \mid X_{t-1} = x_{t-1}) = \mathbb{P}_t(x_{t-1}, dx_t).$$

The first equality implies conditional independence. The second equality implies that it is possible to identify conditional distributions with transition kernels. Assume  $\mathbb{P}_t, t \leq T$ , is a sequence of probability measures. Then, the following proposition holds.

**Proposition 1**

For all  $t \leq T$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_T(dx_{0:t}) = \mathbb{P}_0(dx_0) \prod_{s=1}^t P_s(x_{s-1}, dx_s) = \mathbb{P}_t(dx_{0:t}).$$

This means that the marginal distribution of  $X_{0:t}$  with respect to  $\mathbb{P}_T$  is  $\mathbb{P}_t$ .

## 1.3 State-Space Models





## Chapter 2

### Linear-Gaussian case



## Chapter 3

# Particle Filtering

