CLT FOR RANGE OF RANDOM WALKS ON HYPERBOLIC GROUPS

MAKSYM CHAUDKHARI, KUNAL CHAWLA, CHRISTIAN GORSKI, EDUARDO SILVA

ABSTRACT. We prove a central limit theorem for random walks with a finitely supported step distribution on wreath products of the form $F \wr H = \bigoplus_H F \wr H$, where F is a non-trivial finite group and H is a non-elementary hyperbolic group.

1. Introduction

- (1) Talk about random walks on groups; trying to prove limit laws in general
- (2) Make a list of things known about lamplighters and why they are relevant
- (3) Say that in this paper we concentrate on the CLT

Here are some things that we should cite... I am missing many more but this is a good start. [Sal01].

- (1) The CLT for non-abelian free groups is due to [SS87] and [Led01]. Then for non-elementary hyperbolic groups with a finite exponential moment is due to [Bjö10]. This was generalized for any finite second moment measure in [BQ16a]. The last two results hold more generally for group acting on a Gromov hyperbolic space by isometries. [BQ16b] show a CLT for random walks on $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ with a finite second moment. See also [Gou17].
- (2) [EZ22] prove a law of large numbers for random walks on $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}^2$ with a finite $(2 + \varepsilon)$ -moment, for some $\varepsilon > 0$. They also discuss limit laws in other wreath products.
- (3) [BFGK24] prove a central limit theorem for random walks on the group of affine transformations of a horospherical product of Gromov hyperbolic spaces.
- (4) [Cho23a] proves a central limit theorem for groups acting with contracting elements.
- (5) [GTT22] prove a CLT with respect to the counting measure on the Cayley graph of a group acting on a hyperbolic space.
- (6) [Hor18] proves a CLT for random walks on mapping class groups and $Out(F_n)$.
- (7) [Bar22] proves a CLT for groups acting on a CAT(0) space.
- (8) [Gil08] proves that the drift of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr G$ is strictly larger than that of its projection to G.
- (9) [MSŠ23] prove a LLN and CLT for the capacity of the range of a random walk on a group.
- (10) [Sal01] proves a LLN and CLT for a simple random walk on a free group, conditioned on the boundary point.
- 1.1. **Main results.** Consider the switch-walk-switch word length $|\cdot|$ on $A \wr H$.

Theorem 1.1. Let A be a non-trivial group and H a non-elementary hyperbolic group. Consider a probability measure μ on $A \wr H$ such that μ_H is non-elementary and has a finite second moment, and such that μ has bounded lamp range. Denote by $\{w_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ the μ -random walk on $A \wr H$, and let $C = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(|w_n|)}{n}$ be the drift of the μ -random walk on $A \wr B$. Then the sequence of normalized random variables $\frac{|w_n|-Cn}{\sqrt{n}}$, $n \geq 1$, converges in law to a non-degenerate gaussian law.

What are the moment hypotheses for acylindrically hyperbolic base groups? Finite support? exponential tails?

We prove a central limit theorem for the range of random walks with a finite second moment on hyperbolic groups.

Theorem 1.2. Let H be a non-elementary hyperbolic group and let μ be a non-elementary probability measure on H with a finite second moment. Let C be the probability that the μ -random walk on H starting at e_H never returns to e_H . Then the sequence of normalized random variables $\frac{|R_n|-Cn}{\sqrt{n}}$, $n \geq 1$, converges in law to a non-degenerate gaussian law.

Date: January 2024.

2. Preliminaries

- 2.1. Hyperbolic groups. Say basic things about hyperbolicity; explain pivots
- 2.2. Lamplighter groups. We consider the wreath products $A \wr H$, where A is a finite non-trivial group and H is a finitely generated group. Let S_H be a finite and symmetric generating set of H. Then we consider the *switch-walk-switch* S_{sws} generating set of $A \wr H$, given by

$$S_{\text{sws}} := \left\{ (\delta_a, 0)(\mathbf{0}, s)(\delta_{a'}, 0) \middle| a, a' \in A \text{ and } s \in S_H \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.1 ([Par92, Theorem 1.2]). For any $g = (f, x) \in A \wr H$, the word length of g with respect to the standard generating set is

$$|g| = TSP(e_H, x, supp(f)).$$

- 2.3. Random walks on groups.
 - (1) Recall basic concepts of random walks on groups.
- 2.4. Defective adapted cocycles and the central limit theorem.
 - (1) Introduce all necessary results and definitions of Mathieu-Sisto.
 - (2) Introduce pivots and the results of Gouëzel that we will use.

We will use the following general criterion of Mathieu-Sisto.

Definition 1 (Defective adapted cocycle).

Theorem 2.2 ([MS20]). Suppose that Q_n is a defective adapted cocycle with defect

$$\Phi_{m,n} := Q_n - (Q_m + w_m Q_{m-n}).$$

Suppose that there exists C > 0 such that

$$\sup_{m,n\geq 1} \mathbb{E}[|\Phi_{m,n}|^2] \leq C.$$

Then the CLT holds for Q_n .

In this section we explain a generalization of [MS20, Theorems 4.1 & 4.2] (see Theorem 2.2)

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that Q_n is a defective adapted cocycle with defect

$$\Phi_{m,n} := Q_n - (Q_m + Z_m Q_{m-n})$$

and suppose that for some fixed polynomial p and $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[|\Phi_{m,n}|^2] \le p(\log(n))$$

whenever $m, n - m \ge N_0$. Then a CLT holds for Q_n .

Is this the formulation we want?

Here are the places in the proof of Mathieu-Sisto's CLT where the deviation inequality is used:

- (1) In Theorem 4.4 one obtains $V(Q_n) \le n \left(p(4\mathbb{E}(Q_1^2) + 16\log(n)) \right)$
- (2) Then in Lemma 4.5 it is cited a result of Hammersley [Ham62, Theorem 2]. This should be replaced by an inequality of the form $a_{n+m} \leq a_n + a_m + bp(\log(n+m))$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of non-negative real numbers. Suppose that there exists $b\geq 0$ and a polynomial $p:\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$a_{n+m} \le a_n + a_m + b\sqrt{p(\log(a_n + a_m))}, \text{ for each } m, n \ge 0.$$

Then the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_n}{n}$ exists.

(3) The deviation inequality is used in multiple occasions during the proof of Lemma 4.6. One should do the appropriate modifications.

- 3. CLT for the lamplighter over a hyperbolic group (using pivots)
- 3.1. Pivots. Let us consider a non-elementary hyperbolic group H, and let us fix a finite generating set S_H . Let $\delta \geq 0$ be the hyperbolicity constant of $Cay(H, S_H)$, and let us denote by $d_H: H \times H \to S_H$ $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ the word metric on H with respect to S_H .

Definition 2. Given a path $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k)$ on $Cay(H, S_H)$ and $g \in H$, let $\pi_{\gamma}(g)$ be the set of elements of γ that minimize the word metric to g. That is, we define

(1)
$$\pi_{\gamma}(g) := \{ \gamma_i \in \gamma \mid d_S(\gamma_i, g) \le d_S(\gamma_j, g) \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, k \}.$$

We now introduce the definition of pivots that we will use in the proof of Theorem ??. We refer to [Gou22, Section 4A] for details.

Definition 3. Let C, D > 0, $L \ge 20C + 100\delta + 1$, and $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$. Let $\mathbf{w} = \{w_n\}_{n \ge 0} \in (A \wr H)^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a sample path of the μ -random walk on $A \wr H$. Denote by w_n^H the projection to \overline{H} of w_n , for each $n \geq 0$. A time instant $m \geq 1$ is a (C, D, L, N)-pivot for the sample path **w** if the following three conditions hold.

(1) $d_H(w_m^H, w_{m+N}^H) \ge L$.

Let γ be an arbitrary geodesic path in $Cay(H, S_H)$ that connects w_m^H to w_{m+N}^H . Then

(2)

$$d_H(\pi_{\gamma}(w_k^H), w_m^H) \leq C$$
, for all $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$,

- (3) for all $m \leq k \leq m+N$ we have $d_H\left(w_k^H, \gamma\right) \leq D$, and (4) for all $k \geq m+N$, we have $d_H\left(\pi_{\gamma}\left(w_k^H\right), w_{m+N}^H\right) \leq C$.

The following lemma will be our main tool.

Lemma 3.1. For any $C, D, \delta > 0$, and any $L \ge 20C + 100\delta + 1$, there exists N, R > 0 large such that

$$\sup_{i\geq 1} \mathbb{P}\left(\exists m\in[i,i+k] \text{ such that } m \text{ is an } (C,D,L,N)\text{-pivot}\right) \geq 1-Re^{-k/R}.$$

Sketch of proof. This is proven in proposition 4.11 in Gouezel's paper, where for Gouezel's definition of pivots, conditions 1,2, and 4 are met. To see why Gouezel's proof implies the lemma we state, we observe that for Gouezel's definition of pivots, the increments $w_n^{-1}w_{n+N}$ are drawn from some explicit finite set of isometries $S \subset H$ that receive positive support from μ_H^N . For this finite set of isometries, we can pick some D>0 large enough so that μ^N gives positive mass to each of the sets $\pi_H^{-1}(s) = \{(\varphi, s), \operatorname{supp}\varphi \subset B_D([e, s])\}$. Then tracing through the rest of Gouezel's proof we have the estimate required.

3.2. TSP structure along pivots.

Proposition 3.2. Suppose that we are looking at a sample path $\{w_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and that we have a pivoting time m. Then the group element $w_n = (f_n, x_n)$ satisfies the following. The support of f_n can be decomposed as a disjoint union

$$\operatorname{supp}(f_n) = P_1' \cup P_2' \cup P_3',$$

that satisfies the following properties. Let us denote $P_1 = P_1' \cup \{w_{m+u}^H\}$, $P_2 = P_2' \cup \{w_{m+u}^H, w_{m+u+N}^H\}$ and $P_3 = P_3' \cup \{w_{m+u+N}^H\}$. Let γ be an arbitrary geodesic path from w_m^H to w_{m+N}^H on $Cay(H, S_H)$. Then we have

- (1) for all $g \in P_1$, we have $d_H(\pi_{\gamma}(g), w_m^H) \leq C$,
- (2) for all $g \in P_2$ we have $d_H(g, \gamma) \leq D$, and
- (3) for all $g \in P_3$, we have $d_D\left(\pi_{\gamma}(g), w_{m+N}^H\right) \leq C$.

Definition 4. Let $g = (f, x) \in A \wr H$ and suppose that $\operatorname{supp}(f) = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P_3$. Let η be a path on $\operatorname{Cay}(A \wr H, S_{\operatorname{sws}})$ that realizes $|g|_{S_{\operatorname{sws}}}$. We define the associated coding of η as the word u in the alphabet $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$, such that $u_i = P_j$ if and only if at the *i*-th step of η , there is a lamp at a position in P_i which was modified for the first time.

We are going to abuse notation (in this draft) and not make a distinction between the elements visited by a path, and the coding in the alphabet $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ associated with it.

Definition 5. Given a path η , let us call a *backtracking* a subpath of η that is of the form $P_1P_2^*P_3^{\varepsilon}P_2^*P_1^{\varepsilon'}P_2^*P_3$ for $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \geq 1$. Here the * symbolizes 0 or more occurrences.

Lemma 3.3. Let η be a solution to the TSP for $|w_n|$. Then the coding of η does not have a subword of the form $P_1P_3^{\varepsilon}P_1^{\varepsilon'}P_3$, for $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \geq 1$.

Proof. Surgery, meaning that you glue together the excursions to P_1 , and you glue together the excursions to P_3 , and connect them with any path through P_2 . This gives something even shorter than optimal since each gluing strictly reduces the length of the path.

Corollary 3.4. Let η be a solution to the TSP for $|w_n|$. Then the number of backtrackings of η is at most $|P_2|$.

Proof. Every backtracking must contain at least one element of P_2 . (Recall that the path only has an element in its coding if it has not been visited before).

Lemma 3.5. Consider a sequence of points $\{w_n\}_n$ of H, that satisfies the decomposition of $\operatorname{supp}(f_n)$ given by the three conditions of Proposition 3.2.

Let T be the length of a solution to $TSP(w_0, w_n, supp(f_n)) = TSP(w_0^H, w_n^H, P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P_3) = |w_n|_{A\wr H}$. Then there exists a path η that starts at w_0^H , finishes at w_n^H and visits all points in $supp(f_n)$ such that length(η) $\leq T + 100N(L + 2D)$, and such that, in the coding of η , all the elements of P_1 appear before any of the elements of P_3 .

Proof. Let us first consider η_0 the optimal solution to the TSP.

Lemma 3.3 implies Corollary 3.4 that the total number of backtrackings is the size of P_2 .

Finally, the argument goes as follows: first do all excursions of η_0 on P_1 , then visit all elements in P_2 , and then do all excursion in P_3 . In total we added at most $2D \times (\text{number of backtrackings}) + (\text{length of solution of TSP in P2 that visits all elements in P2}). And the number of backtrackings is at most <math>|P_2|$ by the previous claim.

In other words, we are saying that trying to solve the problem by first visiting all elements of P_1 , and then visiting all elements of P_3 , and crossing the middle section only once, is at a bounded length of being optimal.

Lemma 3.6. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists some C > 0 such that the following holds. set $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be an integer and let U be the waiting time until the first pivot after time m. Then we have

$$\sup_{m \ge 1} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=m}^{m+U+N} |g_i| \right)^2 \right] \le C.$$

Proof. I'll go into the construction of pivots and explain why this is true. Maybe there's a simpler reasoning using only the exponential estimates on U.

Recall that the way Gouezel constructs pivots is as follows: if we let $S \subset H$ be our finite Schottky set, then we can decompose some convolution power μ_H^N as

$$\mu_H^N = \alpha \mu_S + (1 - \alpha)\nu$$

for some positive $\alpha > 0$. Then we draw our increments as follows: let $\{\varepsilon_i\}_i$ be i.i.d. Bernoulli (α) random variables. If $\varepsilon_i = 1$, we draw $g_i' = s_i$ according to μ_S . Else we draw $g_i' = w_i$ according to ν . We observe that the sequence $\{g_1'...g_k'\}_k$ has the same distribution as $\{g_1...g_k\}_k$ for $g_i \sim \mu_H^N$.

Now we denote the resampled random walk by $g'_1...g'_n = w_1...w_{k_1}s_1w_{k_1+1}...w_{k_2}s_2...$, where the strings between s'_is may be empty. Now each string $w_{k_{i-1}+1}...w_{k_i}s_i$ is distributed according to $\nu^Z * \mu_S$, where Z is a geometric random variable with parameter α .

Now Gouezel tells us that, conditional on any realization of the increments drawn from ν , the number of μ_S increments ℓ until we see a pivot has an exponential tail. This implies that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=m}^{m+U+N}|g_i|\right)^2|\{w_i\}_i\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left(L\ell + \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}\sum_{k=K_i}^{K_{i+1}-1}|w_i|\right)^2|\{w_i\}_i\right].$$

Now we can integrate over the possible values of w_i and use independence in order to conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=m}^{m+U+N}|g_i|\right)^2\right]$$

is bounded uniformly over m.

3.3. **Proof of the CLT.** Let us define $\Phi_{n,m} = |w_n| - |w_m^{-1}w_n| - |w_m|$. Thanks to Theorem 2.2, it suffices to show that $\sup_{m,n\geq 1} \mathbb{E}(|\Phi_{n,m}|^2)$ is bounded.

We will do the proof for finitely supported μ .

We fix m and n. Let m + u be the first instant after m that you see a pivot.

If m + u + N > n, then we use Lemma 3.6

$$\mathbb{E}|\Phi_{n,m}|^2 \le \sup_{m \ge 1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=m}^{m+u} |g_i|\right)^2\right] \le C.$$

Otherwise, $m + u + N \leq n$ and we do the following.

- (1) The three conditions at the beginning of this subsection are satisfies.
- (2) Our objective is to get a good upper bound for $\Phi_{n,m}$ in the inequality

$$|w_n| \ge |w_m| + |w_m^{-1}w_n| - \Phi_{n,m}$$

- (3) We first note that $||w_m| |w_{m+u}||$ has a finite second moment. Indeed, this amount is controlled by the increments done during u steps, and we know the distribution of how large u can be. That is, we use Lemma 3.6 to justify this. The same is true for $||w_m^{-1}w_n| |w_{m+u}^{-1}w_n||$. Again, this follows from a triangular inequality and Lemma 3.6.
- (4) From this, we just need a good upper bound for $\Phi_{n,m}$ in the inequality

$$|w_n| \ge |w_{m+u}| + |w_{m+u}^{-1}w_n| - \Phi_{n,m}.$$

- (5) We note that $||w_{m+u+N}^{-1}w_n| |w_{m+u}^{-1}w_n||$ is a bounded constant (since it only depends on N), and in particular has a finite second moment.
- (6) From this, we just need a good upper bound for $\Phi_{n,m}$ in the inequality

$$|w_n| \ge |w_{m+u}| + |w_{m+u+N}^{-1} w_n| - \Phi_{n,m}.$$

- (7) We look at the TSP between time 0 and n, we use the path η from the previous lemma to get a path which is near optimal and crosses only once the neighborhood of γ .
- (8) From this path we obtain near-optimal paths from $|w_{m+u}|$ and for $|w_{m+u+N}^{-1}w_n|$, by doing surgery near the endpoints of γ and possibly adding a constant bounded amount of length.

Indeed, we first take the path from the starting point to the last visit to P_1 , and we connect it to w_{m+u} . This is at most Optimal + L + 2D. Similarly we look at the first time we enter P_3 , and connect that to a path to w_{m+u+N} . This again adds at most Optimal + L + 2D.

- (9) From this, we directly apply 2.2.
 - 4. The CLT for the range of random walks on hyperbolic groups

We borrow the framework from [MS20] for proving a CLT - We observe the following trivial fact that whenever $1 \le m \le n$ and denoting $R_{m,n}$ for the range between times m and n we have

$$|R_n| = |R_m| + |R_{m,n}| - |R_m \cap R_{m,n}|.$$

In the language of [MS20], we say that $\{|R_n|\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a defective adapted cocycle with defect $\Phi_{m,n} := |R_m \cap R_{m,n}|$.

By theorem 4.2 in [MS20], to prove a CLT for the sequence $|R_n|$ it is enough to show a second-moment deviation inequality: that

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi_{m,n}^2] \le C.$$

For some C not depending on m, n. We instead prove a stronger version of the deviation inequality:

Proposition 4.1. There exists C > 0 such that for any $1 \le m \le n$.

$$\mathbb{P}(\Phi_{m,n} \ge k) \le Ce^{-k/C},$$

Proof. Let \hat{R}_n denote the range of the reversed random walk - that is, the random walk driving by $\hat{\mu}$. If is enough to show that for any $n, n' \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_{n,n'} |\hat{R}_n \cap R_n| \ge k) \le Ce^{-k/C}.$$

This is an immediate consequence of lemma 5.3 of [Cho23b]. (maybe this is actually Lemma 4.9 of the arxiv version of [Cho23b]?)

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

I have a question: do we know of ANY random walk that is transient but does not satisfy a CLT for range? I think this may be unknown

Whenever you have a positive density of cut times, I think you should be able to make a regeneration-type argument to prove a CLT for the range, so you need to look for transient random walks which travel sublinearly, maybe \mathbb{Z}^3 is a good candidate?

Yes indeed, on Z^3 the variance of the range is not like \sqrt{n} . However, with the appropriate normalization there is a CLT; this is proved here [JP70, JP71]. Maybe one can construct groups that interpolate between \mathbb{Z}^3 and something else to find a group that has different limit laws along different subsequences?

References

[Bar22] Corentin Le Bars. Central limit theorem on cat(0) spaces with contracting isometries, 2022. [Cited on page 1.]

[BFGK24] Amin Bahmanian, Behrang Forghani, Ilya Gekhtman, and Mallahi-Karai Keivan. A central limit theorem for random walks on horospherical products of Gromov hyperbolic spaces, 2024. [Cited on page 1.]

[Bjö10] Michael Björklund. Central limit theorems for Gromov hyperbolic groups. J. Theoret. Probab., 23(3):871–887, 2010. [Cited on page 1.]

[BQ16a] Y. Benoist and J.-F. Quint. Central limit theorem on hyperbolic groups. Izv. Math., 80(1):3–23, 2016.
[Cited on page 1.]

[BQ16b] Yves Benoist and Jean-François Quint. Central limit theorem for linear groups. Ann. Probab., 44(2):1308–1340, 2016. [Cited on page 1.]

[Cho23a] Inhyeok Choi. Central limit theorem and geodesic tracking on hyperbolic spaces and Teichmüller spaces. Adv. Math., 431:68, 2023. Id/No 109236. [Cited on page 1.]

[Cho23b] Inhyeok Choi. Random walks and contracting elements I: Deviation inequality and limit laws, 2023. [Cited on page 6.]

[EZ22] Anna Erschler and Tianyi Zheng. Law of large numbers for the drift of the two-dimensional wreath product. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 182(3-4):999–1033, 2022. [Cited on page 1.]

[Gil08] L. A. Gilch. Acceleration of lamplighter random walks. Markov Process. Related Fields, 14(4):465–486, 2008. [Cited on page 1.]

[Gou17] Sébastien Gouëzel. Analyticity of the entropy and the escape rate of random walks in hyperbolic groups. Discrete Anal., 2017:37, 2017. Id/No 7. [Cited on page 1.]

[Gou22] Sébastien Gouëzel. Exponential bounds for random walks on hyperbolic spaces without moment conditions. *Tunis. J. Math.*, 4(4):635–671, 2022. [Cited on page 3.]

[GTT22] Ilya Gekhtman, Samuel J. Taylor, and Giulio Tiozzo. Central limit theorems for counting measures in coarse negative curvature. *Compos. Math.*, 158(10):1980–2013, 2022. [Cited on page 1.]

[Ham62] J. M. Hammersley. Generalization of the fundamental theorem on sub-additive functions. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 58:235–238, 1962. [Cited on page 2.]

[Hor18] Camille Horbez. Central limit theorems for mapping class groups and $Out(F_N)$. Geom. Topol., 22(1):105–156, 2018. [Cited on page 1.]

[JP70] Naresh C. Jain and William E. Pruitt. The central limit theorem for the range of transient random walk. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 76:758–759, 1970. [Cited on page 6.]

[JP71] Naresh C. Jain and William E. Pruitt. The range of transient random walk. J. Analyse Math., 24:369–393, 1971. [Cited on page 6.]

[Led01] François Ledrappier. Some asymptotic properties of random walks on free groups. In Topics in probability and Lie groups: boundary theory, volume 28 of CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, pages 117–152. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001. [Cited on page 1.]

[MS20] P. Mathieu and A. Sisto. Deviation inequalities for random walks. Duke Math. J., 169(5):961–1036, 2020.
[Cited on pages 2 and 5.]

[MSŠ23] Rudi Mrazović, Nikola Sandrić, and Stjepan Šebek. Capacity of the range of random walks on groups. Kyoto J. Math., 63(4):783–805, 2023. [Cited on page 1.]

[Par92] Walter Parry. Growth series of some wreath products. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 331(2):751–759, 1992. [Cited on page 2.]

CLT FOR RANGE OF RANDOM WALKS ON HYPERBOLIC GROUPS

- [Sal01] François Salaün. Marche aléatoire sur un groupe libre: théorèmes limite conditionnellement à la sortie. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 333(4):359–362, 2001. [Cited on page 1.]
- [SS87] Stanley Sawyer and Tim Steger. The rate of escape for anisotropic random walks in a tree. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 76(2):207–230, 1987. [Cited on page 1.]