

Discrete logarithm in finite fields of small characteristic

September 6, 2017

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

INDEX CALCULUS

QUASI-POLYNOMIAL ALGORITHMS

INTRODUCTION

CONTEXT

- ▶ $G = \langle g \rangle$ cyclic group generated by g
- ▶ $N = \text{Card } G$.

We have an *isomorphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \exp_g : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, +) & \rightarrow & (G, \times) \\ n & \mapsto & g^n \end{array}$$

- ▶ $\log_g := \exp_g^{-1}$
- ▶ Compute g^n from n : **easy** (polynomial in $\log n$)
- ▶ Compute n from g^n : **hard** (discrete logarithm problem)

DEFINITIONS

Two families of algorithms:

- ▶ The *generic* algorithms (complexity: $O(\sqrt{N})$)
- ▶ The *index calculus* algorithms, using group structure
 - ▶ from now on: $G = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^\times$

Terminology:

- ▶ *small characteristic*: \mathbb{F}_{q^n} with $q \ll q^n$
- ▶ *quasi-polynomial complexity*: $\ell^{O(\log \ell)}$ where $\ell = \log(q^n)$
- ▶ *Notation*:

$$L_N(\alpha) = \exp((c + o(1))(\log N)^\alpha (\log \log N)^{1-\alpha})$$

$$L_N(0) = (\log N)^{c+o(1)}$$

$$L_N(1) = N^{c+o(1)}$$

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

- ▶ First appearance in [Diffie, Hellman '76]
- ▶ First sub-exponential algorithm in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ [Adleman '79]: $L_N(1/2)$
- ▶ Between 1984 and 2006: algorithms in $L_N(1/3)$

And more recently, in finite fields of small characteristic:

- ▶ New algorithm with $L_N(1/4)$ complexity [Joux '13]
- ▶ *Quasi-polynomial* algorithm [Barbulescu, Gaudry, Joux, Thomé '14]
- ▶ Second quasi-polynomial algorithm [Granger, Kleinjung, Zumbrägel '14]

SOME PRACTICAL RECORDS

Date	Field	Bitsize	Algorithm	Authors
2013/02	2^{1778}	1778	$L(1/4)$	Joux
2013/02	2^{1991}	1991	GKZ	Göloglu, Granger, McGuire, Zumbrägel
2013/05	2^{6168}	6168	$L(1/4)$	Joux
2014/01	$3^{6 \cdot 137}$	1303	$L(1/4)$, GKZ	Adj, Menezes, Oliveira, Rodríguez-Henríquez
2014/01	2^{9234}	9234	$L(1/4)$, GKZ	Granger, Kleinjung, Zumbrägel
2014	$3^{6 \cdot 509}$	4034	$L(1/4)$, GKZ	Adj, Menezes, Oliveira, Rodríguez-Henríquez

- Runtime of the last computation: 220 CPU years

INDEX CALCULUS

OVERVIEW

Goal: find $\log_g(h)$

0. first choose $F \subset G$ with $\langle F \rangle = G$
1. find multiplicative relations between elements in F
2. solve the associated linear system for $\{\log_g(f) \mid f \in F\}$
3. express h as a product of elements in F

Steps 1 and 3 depend on the structure of the finite field, different fields give different complexities for the index calculus.

AN EXAMPLE: ADLEMAN

Context:

- ▶ $G = \mathbb{F}_p^\times = \langle g \rangle$ for a prime p and $N = |G| = p - 1$
- ▶ $F = \{f \mid f \leq B, f \text{ prime}\}$ for a chosen integer B

Step 1: relations generation

- ▶ randomly choose $e \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$
- ▶ test if g^e is B -smooth
- ▶ if it is the case, it yields a relation in G :

$$g^e = \prod_{f \in F} f^{e_f}, e_f \in \mathbb{N}$$

that can be written

$$e = \sum_{f \in F} e_f \log_g(f).$$

AN EXAMPLE

Step 2: linear algebra: solve the linear system

Step 3: express h as a function of the elements in F :

- ▶ randomly choose $e \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$
- ▶ test if hg^e is B -smooth
- ▶ if it is the case, it yields a relation:

$$\log_g(h) = \sum_{f \in F} e_f \log_g(f) - e$$

Depends on B :

- ▶ B large: easier to find relations
- ▶ B large: need more relations to solve the system

Complexity: $L_N(1/2)$

QUASI-POLYNOMIAL ALGORITHMS

COMPARISON WITH ADLEMAN

Algorithm	Adleman	Quasi-polynomial
Field	$\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \cong \mathbb{F}_q[X]/(P)$
Elements repr. by	integers	polynomials
Subset $F \subset G$	primes $\leq B$	irreducibles of degree $\leq B$

Goal: find polynomials that factor into irreducible polynomials of small degree.

MAIN IDEAS

1. Use homographies:

- ▶ Q polynomial that factors nicely
- ▶ $m(X) = \frac{aX+b}{cX+d}$ an homography

$m \cdot Q = (cX + d)^{\deg Q} Q(\frac{aX+b}{cX+d})$ factors nicely too

2. Use Idea 1 on $X^q - X$ (factors into linear polynomials)

3. Take advantage of the freedom for the defining polynomial

P of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} = \mathbb{F}_q[X]/(P)$ to simplify X^q

- ▶ We choose $P \mid h_1 X^q - h_0$ with h_0, h_1 polynomials of small degree (existence of h_0, h_1 **heuristic**)
- ▶ We obtain $X^q \equiv \frac{h_0(X)}{h_1(X)}$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]/(P)$

QUASI-POLYNOMIAL COMPLEXITY

Goal: \mathbb{F}_{q^n} field, find $\log Q$ for $Q \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$

- ▶ not able to express $\log Q$ as elements in F in one step

Proposition

Let Q be an element of a field \mathbb{F}_{q^n} . There exists a **heuristic** algorithm whose complexity is polynomial in $\ell = \log(q^n)$ which returns an expression of $\log Q$ as a linear combination of at most $O(\mathcal{N})$ logarithms $\log Q_j$ with $\deg Q_j \leq \lceil \frac{1}{2} \deg Q \rceil$.

- ▶ Barbulescu, Gaudry, Joux, Thomé: n even and $\mathcal{N} = q^2 \frac{n}{2}$
- ▶ Granger, Kleinjung, Zumbrägel: $\mathcal{N} = q + 2$

THE DESCENT




Figure: The descent tree

- ▶ Each node: one application of the Proposition (polynomial time algorithm), **number of node** = $\text{arity}^{\text{depth}}$
- ▶ $\text{arity} = \mathcal{N} = O(\ell^{O(1)})$, $\text{depth} = O(\log(\ell))$
- ▶ Complexity: $\ell^{O(\log \ell)}$

BARBULESCU, GAUDRY, JOUX AND THOMÉ

Context:

- ▶ $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_{q^{2m}} = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]/(P)$ with P irreducible polynomial of degree m dividing $h_1 X^q - h_0$, $\deg h_i \leq 2$.
- ▶ $F = \{\text{degree one polynomials}\} \cup \{h_1\}$

Descent: based on the equation

$$X^q Y - X Y^q = Y \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} (X - aY) \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Substitute X by $aQ + b$ and Y by $cQ + d$ in (1)
- ▶ Combine these equations to express Q as polynomials of degree $\leq \lceil \frac{1}{2} \deg Q \rceil$ (**linear algebra**)

GRANGER, KLEIJUNG AND ZUMBRÄGEL

Context:

- ▶ $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_{q^n} = \mathbb{F}_q[X]/(P)$ with P irreducible polynomial of degree n dividing $h_1 X^q - h_0$.

Descent: $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ irreducible of degree $2d$

- ▶ Factor Q in \mathbb{F}_{q^d} : $Q = \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} Q_j$ with $\deg Q_j = 2$
- ▶ Express each Q_j as $q + 2$ linear polynomials $R_{j,k}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[X]$ (this is called “on-the-fly” elimination)
- ▶ Q is expressed as $q + 2$ polynomials $N_k = \prod_{j=0}^{d-1} R_{j,k}$

Fact: The polynomials N_k are of degree d in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ (not $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[X]$).

“ON THE FLY” ELIMINATION

Input:

- ▶ $Q \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[X]$ and $\deg Q = 2$

Output:

- ▶ $Q \rightsquigarrow q + 2$ polynomials Q_i with $\deg Q_i = 1$ and $Q_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[X]$

Ideas

- ▶ Find (a, b, c) such that
 1. $\Delta = X^{q+1} + aX^q + bX + c$ splits into linear factors in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[X]$
 2. $Q \mid (X + a)h_0 + (bX + c)h_1$ (degree 3)
 (can be reduced to **root finding**)
- ▶ $\Delta = \frac{1}{h_1}((X + a)h_0 + (bX + c)h_1) \pmod{P}$
- ▶ $h_1\Delta = QL \pmod{P}$

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Implementation in Julia (based on Nemo/Flint):

Algorithm	BGJT	GKZ
Bottleneck	linear algebra	root finding
arity ($\mathbb{F}_{17^{2 \cdot 17}}$)	794	291
descent runtime ($\mathbb{F}_{17^{2 \cdot 17}}$) (seconds)	9.6	34
arity ($\mathbb{F}_{23^{2 \cdot 23}}$)	1477	531
descent runtime ($\mathbb{F}_{23^{2 \cdot 23}}$) (seconds)	80	140
arity ($\mathbb{F}_{29^{2 \cdot 29}}$)	2360	843
descent runtime ($\mathbb{F}_{29^{2 \cdot 29}}$) (seconds)	570	400

Open problems:

- ▶ practical improvements
- ▶ proofs of heuristics
- ▶ polynomial (heuristic) algorithm