

Author: Edward Plesa

NetID: eplesa2

Reviewer 1: Mike Apreza

NetID: maprez3

Reviewer 2: Kevin Rodriguez

NetID: krodri60

1- Short description of code presented (which assignment number, what part of the assignment, etc).

Programming Project 5: All the files were presented. The focus was less on the Token class.

2- This question references the Code Review Checklist available at course website.

Select one item from the checklist:

- Which checklist item has been selected?

Is the code well-structured, consistent in style, and consistently formatted?

- Does the code attempt to address the checklist item?

The code does address this checklist item.

- Why or why not? (Give a short description of how the checklist item is/isn't addressed)

The code presented has lines that run long (more wide), and it is a bit shorter. However, when you look at it as a whole, it looks pleasing to the eye, and it is actually a bit easier to read. All the files are consistent with this style besides the Token class, which is just a copy and paste, and that is understandable. The loops and conditional statements are a bit spread out, but that is what makes it well-structured.

3- Describe at least one part of the code that was well written and explain why it was well written.

One part of the code that was well written was mostly the algorithm itself. It has good indentation, looks very clear to read, and meets the requirements based on the results.

4- Describe at least one section of the code that could use some improvement. Why does the code need some improvement? What is one way the code could be improved.

One section that could use some improvement is a little few more comments to add on for certain parts. Other than that the code looks well done.