

Machine Design Optimization Based on Finite Element Analysis using High-Throughput Computing

Wenying Jiang

T.M. Jahns

T.A. Lipo

WEMPEC

Y. Suzuki
JSOL Corp.

W. Taylor
UW-Madison, CS Dept.



WEMPEC

Wisconsin Electric Machines and Power Electronics Consortium

Search

Go!

HOME

ABOUT

PEOPLE

SPONSORSHIP

RESEARCH





EVENTS

COURSES

SCHOLARSHIPS

MERCHANDISE

WELCOME



WEMPEC

WEMPEC is an internationally renowned engineering research group located at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. With the support of our 80+ corporate sponsors, our team of professors, graduate students, and international scholars work together to research and develop the newest technologies in power electronics, actuators, sensors, drives, motion control, and drive applications.



WEMPEC

Wisconsin Electric Machines and Power Electronics Consortium

Search Go!

HOME | ABOUT | PEOPLE | SPONSORSHIP | RESEARCH | EVENTS | COURSES | SCHOLARSHIPS | MERCHANDISE

BAE SYSTEMS

DEL PHI



MERCURY MARINE

BOEING

Ford

NISSAN



CHRYSLER

ge

Milwaukee Nothing but HEAVY DUTY.

Carrier
A United Technologies Company

CATERPILLAR®




TOYOTA

JOHN DEERE

TRANE

Whirlpool



Project Objectives

To develop software that efficiently optimizes the design of various types of machines using *finite element (FE) analysis* in a *high throughput computing (HTC) environment* to achieve the best possible performance results in the least amount of computing time

Hybrid and Battery Electric Vehicles (EV)



Toyota Prius




Chevy Volt




Nissan Leaf



- Wide variety of vehicles available with innovative drivetrains to achieve high fuel economy




EV Electric Machine Requirements






- High Volumetric Power Density
- High Mass Specific Power
- High Efficiency
- High Peak Torque
- High Maximum Speed
- Wide Constant Power Speed Ratio
- High Maximum Temperature
- High Reliability
- Low Ripple Torque






Fractional-Slot Concentrated-Winding Surface Permanent Magnet Machine




- FSCW-SPM machine offers attractive performance features for EV applications
- Challenging to develop optimal design for this type of machine




Machine Design Optimization using Genetic Algorithm Technique


Genetic Evolution



Electromagnetic Finite Element Analysis



- Differential evolution provides an effective means of optimizing design of FSCW-SPM machine
 - Typically requires analysis of thousands of candidate designs
- Challenge is aggravated by the need for time-consuming electromagnetic finite element analysis to evaluate each design



Time Estimation for Machine Design Optimization using One Desktop

Time Duration for One FE Analysis

~ 10 min


No. of Designs Evaluated for One Optimization

~ 4000

Total Time for Completing One Optimization

~ 27 days 18hr 40 min

Implementing the machine design optimization in one desktop is impractical.




Performance Requirements for 55kW (Peak) / 30kW (Cont.) PM Machine

PM Machine Performance Requirements

<i>Parameter/Metric</i>	<i>Value</i>
Peak Power @ 2800 r/min	55 kW
Maximum Speed	14,000 r/min
Continuous Power	30 kW
Mass Power Density for Total Machine	>1.6 kW/kg
Vol. Power Density for Total Machine	>5.67 kW/l
Constant Power Speed Ratio	5:1
Maximum Phase Current	400 Arms
Peak Line-Line Back-EMF @ 2800 r/min	600 V
Efficiency at 20% Rated Torque up to the Max. Speed	>95%


- Requirements provided by U.S. DRIVE partnership between gov't & automakers
- 6 machine dimensional ratios chosen as most important for finding optimal design
- Attention focused on a particular geometry with 12 stator teeth and 10 magnet poles

SPM Machine Design Variables




Tooth width to slot pitch ratio	[0.1, 0.8]
Stator yoke thickness to tooth width ratio	[0.1, 0.8]
Magnet span to rotor pole pitch ratio	[0.5, 0.95]
Rotor yoke thickness to rotor pole pitch ratio	[0.1, 0.6]
Magnet thickness to air-gap thickness ratio	[1, 7]
Air-gap radius to stator outer radius ratio	[0.3, 0.75]

Machine Design Optimization Flowchart




Implementation of FE Analysis-Based Machine Design Optimization

- Differential evolution algorithm launches up to 100 candidate designs in each generation
 - Algorithm is designed to search out and focus on most promising regions of parameter space
 - *Opportunity for parallel analysis of all designs within generation*
- A user-defined objective function is used to evaluate performance metrics of all candidate designs
- Algorithm uses objective function results to formulate choices for next generation of designs




Implementation of Design Optimization in HTC Environment

Data Flow in HTC Environment



- Project Condor adopted as means of implementing parallel processing of all candidate design analysis within generation
- Made possible by JSOL Corporation donation of 100 JMAG licenses.



Comparison between Condor and Single Computer Optimization

Rated Operating Condition Design Point

Rotor speed:

$n = 2800 \text{ r/min}$

Output mechanical power:

$P = 30 \text{ kW}$

Torque:

$T = 102.3 \text{ Nm}$

Torque Density Objective Function


$$OF_1 = \frac{\text{Calculated Active Mass to Produce Required Torque}}{\text{Base Machine Active Mass}}$$

Differential Evolution Control Parameters

- | | |
|---|---|
| Convergence tolerance (Tol): 1E-6 | -> Threshold for terminating optimization |
| No. of generation members (NP): 85 | -> No. of parallel design per generation |
| Crossover probability (Cr): 0.8 | -> Determine mutation aggressiveness |
| Scale factor (F): 0.8 | -> Controls the rate of evolution |


Baseline Machine: Existing prototype 12/10 FSCW-SPM machine designed for FreedomCar specifications with an active mass of 27.8 kg including the stator and rotor electromagnetics

- Same software has been applied to optimize the PM machine torque density using both the Condor HTC resources and a single computer
- Single computer was chosen from the Condor pool in order to provide a fair comparison.



Design Results of Condor and Single Computer Optimization

Optimal Design for Maximum Torque Density




Both optimizations converged at the 50th generation, with a total number of 4250 evaluated designs

Performance Metrics


Volume (m ³)	0.0025
Copper mass (kg)	10.108
Iron mass (kg)	8.4722
Magnet mass (kg)	2.0658
Total mass (kg)	20.646
Cost (\$)	172.6318
Torque ripple	0.0524
Power factor	0.9107
Magnet loss (W)	59.4385
Core loss (W)	282.3898
Copper loss (W)	609.6436
Efficiency	0.9693

The machine optimized for maximum torque density exhibits a mass reduction of 25.7% compared to the baseline machine



Comparison of Computation Times for Single Generation

Condor (HTC)




Min: 9 min 35 sec

Max: 20 min 0 sec

Total: 20 min 0 sec

Single Computer




Min: 8 min 19 sec

Max: 10 min 46 sec


Total: 13 hr 16 min 52 sec

- Condor exhibits acceleration factor of 39.8 for Generation #25
- All designs require longer time in Condor than in single computer due to overhead of processing designs in a shared HTC environment




Comparison of Total Computation Times for Design Optimization

Condor (HTC)



25 hr

Single Computer



718 hr

Min: 19 min 32 s

Max: 1 hr 4 min 34 s


Min: 13 h 17 m 10 s

Max: 17 h 29 m 18 s


Total: 25 hr 0 min 26 sec


Total: 29 days 22 hr 17 min 8 sec

- Total computation time has been accelerated by approx. 30:1 using HTC environment compared to single computer




Reduction of Total Computation Time Achieved to Date





Computation Time Breakdown and Acceleration Factor Improvements


Acceleration Factor as Function of the Number of Designs per Generation




Condor Time Breakdown for One Design Analysis

- Time for MATLAB to create scripts (~1 sec)
- Encapsulate script into VM format (~1 min)
- Waiting to be submitted (~2 min)
- Time between “execute” and “submit” (~10 sec)
- Time between “terminate” and “execute” VM start time, JMAG simulation time, fault time, VM shut down time (~13 min)
- Extract txt result from VM (~40 sec)
- Time for MATLAB to read results (~1 sec)

The achievable acceleration factor for a dedicated industrial HTC network (Window OS) is estimated to be 80 for 85 computers



Example of Opportunity for Reduction of Computational Time




Min: 9 min 44 sec

Max: 44 min 43 sec

→ **Total: 44 min 43 sec**


- Generation #29 required much longer than many other generations because of 3 outlier designs that required much longer to complete
 - Caused by long waiting delays in a few cases due to shared resources
- Algorithm is being modified to reject outlier cases, cutting delay times




Conclusions and Future Work

- HTC environment enables major acceleration of machine design optimization using differential evolution algorithm
- Efforts are currently under way to significantly reduce the current overhead time in Condor environment
 - Current goal is to improve the acceleration factor to >50 with 85 designs in each generation
- Project is being expanded to integrate FE-based thermal analysis into the optimization program
 - Major step towards the ultimate objective of multi-physics based machine design optimization that eventually includes structural analysis as well.


Multi-Physics Design of Electric Machines




Electromagnetic



Thermal



Work is under way to develop the necessary tools...



Structural

Stay tuned!