

Logged in as "mambelli"

Log Off

Create Survey My Surveys **Address Book** My Account **Need Help?** Home You have a basic account. To remove the limits of a basic account and get unlimited questions, upgrade now! survey title: **OSG Site Administrators workshop** collect responses analyze results design survey evaluation **Edit Title** current report: Default Report ‡ Add Report **View Summary Browse Responses Response Summary** Total Started Survey: 11 Total Completed Survey: 11 (100%) Filter Responses **Crosstab Responses** Page: Evaluation **Download Responses** 1. How did you attend the workshop? **Create Chart Download Share Responses** Response Response Percent Count I was at the GOC in Indianapolis 100.0% 11 I joined remotely 0.0% 0 I checked the material offline 0.0% 0 answered question 11 skipped question 0 2. How would you rate the sessions? **Create Chart Download** Did Liked Liked Not Rating Response not N/A Count a lot it Average bad like Plenary: Welcome, OSG 27.3% 45.5% 27.3% 0.0% 0.0% 2.00 11 fundamentals, OSG 1.2 (5) (3) (0) (0) (3) 10.0% 30.0% 30.0% 30.0% 0.0% Plenary: sessions introduction 2.20 10 (3) (3) (3) (1) (0) 20.0% 0.0% 0.0% 30.0% 50.0% Installfest 1a: GUMs and CE 1.40 10 (2)(0)(0)(3)(5)

Installfest 1b: High Availability, troubleshooting	9.1% (1)	18.2% (2)	27.3% (3)	0.0%	45.5% (5)	2.33	11
Security	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	0.0%	45.5% (5)	2.00	11
Networking	0.0%	18.2% (2)	9.1% (1)	0.0%	72.7% (8)	2.33	11
Installfest 2a: SEs	18.2% (2)	18.2% (2)	0.0%	0.0%	63.6% (7)	1.50	11
Installfest 2b: SEs	9.1% (1)	27.3% (3)	0.0%	0.0%	63.6% (7)	1.75	11
Virtual Machines	18.2% (2)	36.4% (4)	9.1% (1)	0.0%	36.4% (4)	1.86	11
Monitoring	18.2% (2)	27.3% (3)	18.2% (2)	0.0%	36.4% (4)	2.00	11

Hide replies Any comment about the sessions?

1. We need to have security not up against the install fest next time, I have missed the security sessions twice in a row.

Thu, Aug 13, 2009 2:41 PM



4

Virtual machine guys were excellent--I learned a lot!

2. The time taken in the plenary section to introduce the various sessions was largely a waste of valuable time. Session agendas should have been posted online ahead of time (which they mostly were, thank you) and made available in print for those arriving undecided about which sessions to attend.

(I didn't need to hear that in the networking session we would discuss <...drumroll...> tools for network troubleshooting and so on.)

I found the use of split sessions very unfortunate. I missed out on the opportunity to upgrade my site in order to attend the other sessions. Networking, Security and Monitoring are all very important for site admins (new and old), but new site admins in particular were stuck either installing their new site, OR learning what to do with the installation and how to make it better. The potential message was that security, monitoring and networking performance are afterthoughts for sites, which is not a good message.

I appreciated the effort to make hands-on exercises in the networking and VM sessions, though in the end got very little out of the exercises. The discussions however were useful and informative. Tue, Aug 11, 2009 5:58 PM



The VM session almost didn't fit in here - the role of site admins and the affects of VMs on sites did not get much discussion - not that it wasn't useful, but it was farthest afield in some sense. This is fitting though, since VMs are not very "active" in the current grid usage, whereas security, networking and monitoring are all "old" topics (despite the continual introduction of new tools and resources). Find... 3. It would have been nice to have more time in the SE/HA Mon, Aug 10, 2009 6:33 PM GUMS sessions to be able to do a hands-on installation. It felt like all of the time was given to presentations on the install process, but not enough non-presentation time dedicated to performing actual installs with the experts to give hands-on advice. Find... 4. Extension cords in the auditorium. :) Mon, Aug 10, 2009 3:03 PM 11 answered question skipped question 0

3. During the workshop were you able to install/upgrade any of the following?	Create Chart	Download
	Response Percent	Response Count
CE	60.0%	3
GUMS	60.0%	3
HA GUMS	0.0%	0
SE: BeStMan gateway over FS	40.0%	2
SE: BeStMan-xrootd	0.0%	0
SE: BeStMan-hadoop	20.0%	1
Hide replies Other (please specify)	20.0%	1
Installations done when I got back to Mon, Aug for home institution. I am going to try BeStMan-xrootd from the instructions.	10, 2009 3:03 PM	G Find
a	nswered question	5
	skipped question	6

Нс	ow were				Crea	te Chart	Downloa
		Great!	appropriate	to improve	N/A	Rating Average	Respons Count
	Registration process	63.6% (7)	36.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0%	1.36	,
	Breakfast	45.5% (5)	36.4% (4)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	1.44	,
	Lunch food	54.5% (6)	45.5% (5)	0.0% (0)	0.0%	1.45	,
	Coffee breaks	45.5% (5)	54.5% (6)	0.0% (0)	0.0%	1.55	
	Social dinner	63.6% (7)	18.2% (2)	0.0% (0)	18.2% (2)	1.22	,
	Auditorium (plenary session)	9.1% (1)	54.5% (6)	36.4% (4)	0.0%	2.27	
	Workshop rooms	10.0% (1)	70.0% (7)	20.0%	0.0% (0)	2.10	•
		Hid	e replies Any c	omment abo	out the org	ganization?	
1.	Not enough power in most rooms	5		Thu, Aug	13, 2009 2	2:41 PM	Find
2.	Workshop room was a bit crowde	ed.		Tue, Aug	11, 2009 [^]	11:28 AM	Find
3.	Organized well			Mon, Aug	10, 2009	3:56 PM	Find
4.	 lack of power in auditorum; then again, people should pay attention to the speakers and not work at home last day got a little warm in the small conference room 		Mon, Aug 10, 2009 3:13 PM			Find	
5.	Workshop rooms were very stuff attention.	y and hot.	Hard to pay	Mon, Aug	10, 2009	3:03 PM	S Find
					answered	d question	1
					akinna	d question	

5. Something you liked particularly?

Download

Response Count

		Hide replies	
1.	VM session was very good	Thu, Aug 13, 2009 2:41 PM	d
2.	The site is nice (other than the auditorium's unfriendliness to laptops), though it wouldn't take many more people to make the workshop sessions a bit awkward.	Tue, Aug 11, 2009 5:58 PM	d
3.	Hands was very helpfull for GUMS and CE installation. The SE sessions also helped though I did not do any hands on	Tue, Aug 11, 2009 11:28 AM 🧣 Fin	d
4.	hands-on session	Mon, Aug 10, 2009 3:56 PM 🔍 Fin	d
5.	The food was wonderful.	Mon, Aug 10, 2009 3:03 PM	d
6.	The hands on stuff.	Mon, Aug 10, 2009 2:56 PM 🔍 Fin	d
		answered question	
		skipped question	

6. What could we do better next time?					
			Response Count		
		Hide replies	4		
1.	2 full days, more breakouts.	Thu, Aug 13, 2009 2:41 PM	Find		
2.	A bit longer meeting somehow, though I understand the value of keeping it short. Slightly longer workshop sessions in particular would have been appreciated, possibly accomodated by shortening the plenary, which did not benefit much from the lightning overviews.	Tue, Aug 11, 2009 5:58 PM	Find		
3.	But it would have niceto have the requirements for the hands on posted sooner so one could prepare ahead of time	Tue, Aug 11, 2009 11:28 AM	Find		
4.	Shorten the plenary and give more time for the hands on stuff. Maybe consider a 2 1/2 day workshop,	Mon, Aug 10, 2009 2:56 PM	Find		

possibly running sessions more than once at different times so people could avoid having to pick and choose.

answered question 4
skipped question 7

7. Name and contact information	Downlo	<u>pad</u>
	Response Response Percent Cour	
Show replies Name:	100.0%	8
Hide replies OSG Site/s (if any):	87.5%	7
1. FNAL_FERMIGRID	Thu, Aug 13, 2009 2:41 PM 4 Find.	
2. STAR-BNL	Tue, Aug 11, 2009 5:58 PM 🔍 Find.	
3. IllinoisHEP	Tue, Aug 11, 2009 11:28 AM 🔍 Find.	
4. Caltech - CMS	Mon, Aug 10, 2009 6:33 PM	
5. BNL	Mon, Aug 10, 2009 3:56 PM 🔍 Find.	
6. OU	Mon, Aug 10, 2009 3:49 PM 🔍 Find.	
7. Vanderbilt	Mon, Aug 10, 2009 2:56 PM 4 Find.	
Show replies Email Address:	100.0%	8
	answered question	8
	skipped question	3

Anti-Spam Policy Terms of Use Privacy Statement Opt Out/Opt In Contact Us We're Hiring!

Copyright ©1999-2009 SurveyMonkey.com. All Rights Reserved. No portion of this site may be copied without the express written consent of SurveyMonkey.com. 37