

# Introduction and Overview

EC 421, Set 1

Edward Rubin

# Prologue

# Why?

## Motivation

Let's start with a few **basic, general** questions:

# Why?

## Motivation

Let's start with a few **basic, general** questions:

1. What is the goal of econometrics?
2. Why do economists (or other people) study or use econometrics?

# Why?

## Motivation

Let's start with a few **basic, general questions**:

1. What is the goal of econometrics?
2. Why do economists (or other people) study or use econometrics?

**One simple answer:** Learn about the world using data.

# Why?

## Motivation

Let's start with a few **basic, general questions**:

1. What is the goal of econometrics?
2. Why do economists (or other people) study or use econometrics?

**One simple answer:** Learn about the world using data.

- *Learn about the world* = Raise, answer, and challenge questions, theories, assumptions.
- *data* = Plural of datum.

# Why?

## Example

One might (reasonably) guess a company's **sales** are a function of its advertising spending, price, and intensity of competitors.

# Why?

## Example

One might (reasonably) guess a company's **sales** are a function of its **advertising spending, price, and intensity of competitors**.

So, one might hypothesize a model  $\text{Sales} = f(\text{Ad}, \text{Price}, \text{Comp})$

where

- **Ad** represents dollars spent on advertising,
- **Price** is the product's price,
- **Comp** gives the product's competition.



# Why?

## Example

One might (reasonably) guess a company's **sales** are a function of its **advertising spending, price, and intensity of competitors**.

So, one might hypothesize a model  $\text{Sales} = f(\text{Ad}, \text{Price}, \text{Comp})$

where

- **Ad** represents dollars spent on advertising,
- **Price** is the product's price,
- **Comp** gives the product's competition.

We expect that **sales**  $\uparrow$  with **advertising** and  $\downarrow$  with **price** and **competition**.

But who needs to *expect*?

We can *test* these hypotheses **using regression**.

But who needs to *expect*?

We can *test* these hypotheses **using regression**.

*More importantly:* Regression estimates the *size* of these effects

- *How much* does an additional dollar of **advertising** increase **sales**?
- *How much* does a one-dollar increase in **price** decrease **sales**?
- *How much* does an additional **competitor** reduce **sales**?

These (causal) questions are central to efficient decision-making and are the bread and butter of econometrics.

# Why?

## Example, cont.

Regression model:

$$\text{Sales}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Ad}_i + \beta_2 \text{Price}_i + \beta_3 \text{Comp}_i + \varepsilon_i$$

With this basic regression model, we can test/estimate/quantify the (linear) relationship between sales and advertising, price, and competition.

# Why?

## Example, cont.

Regression model:

$$\text{Sales}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Ad}_i + \beta_2 \text{Price}_i + \beta_3 \text{Comp}_i + \varepsilon_i$$

## (Review) Questions

# Why?

## Example, cont.

Regression model:

$$\text{Sales}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Ad}_i + \beta_2 \text{Price}_i + \beta_3 \text{Comp}_i + \varepsilon_i$$

## (Review) Questions

- Q: How do we interpret  $\beta_1$ ?

# Why?

## Example, cont.

Regression model:

$$\text{Sales}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Ad}_i + \beta_2 \text{Price}_i + \beta_3 \text{Comp}_i + \varepsilon_i$$

## (Review) Questions

- **Q:** How do we interpret  $\beta_1$ ?
- **A:** An additional dollar of **advertising** corresponds with a  $\beta_1$ -unit change in **sales** (holding **price** and **competition** fixed).

# Why?

## Example, cont.

Regression model:

$$\text{Sales}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Ad}_i + \beta_2 \text{Price}_i + \beta_3 \text{Comp}_i + \varepsilon_i$$

## (Review) Questions

- Q: Are the  $\beta_k$  terms population parameters or sample statistics?



# Why?

## Example, cont.

Regression model:

$$\text{Sales}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Ad}_i + \beta_2 \text{Price}_i + \beta_3 \text{Comp}_i + \varepsilon_i$$

## (Review) Questions

- **Q:** Are the  $\beta_k$  terms population parameters or sample statistics?
- **A:** Greek letters denote **population parameters**. Their estimates get hats, e.g.,  $\hat{\beta}_k$ . Population parameters represent the **average** behavior across the population.

# Why?

## Example, cont.

Regression model:

$$\text{Sales}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Ad}_i + \beta_2 \text{Price}_i + \beta_3 \text{Comp}_i + \varepsilon_i$$

## (Review) Questions

- Q: Can we interpret the estimates for  $\beta_2$  as causal?

# Why?

## Example, cont.

Regression model:

$$\text{Sales}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Ad}_i + \beta_2 \text{Price}_i + \beta_3 \text{Comp}_i + \varepsilon_i$$

## (Review) Questions

- **Q:** Can we interpret the estimates for  $\beta_2$  as causal?
- **A:** Not without making more assumptions and/or knowing more about the data-generating process.

# Why?

## Example, cont.

Regression model:

$$\text{Sales}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Ad}_i + \beta_2 \text{Price}_i + \beta_3 \text{Comp}_i + \varepsilon_i$$

## (Review) Questions

- Q: What is  $\varepsilon_i$ ?

# Why?

## Example, cont.

Regression model:

$$\text{Sales}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Ad}_i + \beta_2 \text{Price}_i + \beta_3 \text{Comp}_i + \varepsilon_i$$

## (Review) Questions

- **Q:** What is  $\varepsilon_i$ ?
- **A:** An individual's random deviation/disturbance from the population parameters.

Population parameters are averages; individuals are rarely average.

# Why?

## Example, cont.

Regression model:

$$\text{Sales}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Ad}_i + \beta_2 \text{Price}_i + \beta_3 \text{Comp}_i + \varepsilon_i$$

## (Review) Questions

- Q: Which assumptions do we impose when estimating with OLS?

# Why?

## Example, cont.

Regression model:

$$\text{Sales}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Ad}_i + \beta_2 \text{Price}_i + \beta_3 \text{Comp}_i + \varepsilon_i$$

## (Review) Questions

- Q: Which assumptions do we impose when estimating with OLS?
- A:
  - The relationship between the sales and the explanatory variables is linear in parameters, and  $\varepsilon$  enters additively.
  - The explanatory variables are exogenous, i.e.,  $E[\varepsilon|X] = 0$ .
  - You've also typically assumed something along the lines of:  
 $E[\varepsilon_i] = 0$ ,  $E[\varepsilon_i^2] = \sigma^2$ ,  $E[\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j] = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .
  - And (maybe)  $\varepsilon_i$  is distributed normally.

# Assumptions

## How important can they be?

You've learned how **powerful and flexible** ordinary least squares (OLS) regression can be.



# Assumptions

## How important can they be?

You've learned how **powerful and flexible** ordinary least squares (OLS) regression can be.

However, the results you learned required assumptions.

# Assumptions

## How important can they be?

You've learned how **powerful and flexible** ordinary least squares (OLS) regression can be.

However, the results you learned required assumptions.

**Real life often violates these assumptions.**

EC421 asks "What happens when we violate these assumptions?"

- Can we find a fix? (Especially: How/when is  $\beta$  *causal*?)
- What happens if we don't (or can't) apply a fix?

OLS still does some amazing things—but you need to know when to be **cautious, confident, or dubious**.

# Not everything is causal

## But what *is*?

Suppose you estimate our sales model for your boss.

$$\text{Sales}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \text{Ad}_i + \hat{\beta}_2 \text{Price}_i + \hat{\beta}_3 \text{Comp}_i + e_i$$

Can you trust that  $\hat{\beta}_2$  gives you the actual effect of price on sales?

# Not everything is causal

## But what *is*?

Suppose you estimate our sales model for your boss.

$$\text{Sales}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \text{Ad}_i + \hat{\beta}_2 \text{Price}_i + \hat{\beta}_3 \text{Comp}_i + e_i$$

Can you trust that  $\hat{\beta}_2$  gives you the actual effect of price on sales?

You should be asking several questions...

# Not everything is causal

## But what *is*?

Suppose you estimate our sales model for your boss.

$$\text{Sales}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \text{Ad}_i + \hat{\beta}_2 \text{Price}_i + \hat{\beta}_3 \text{Comp}_i + e_i$$

Can you trust that  $\hat{\beta}_2$  gives you the actual effect of *price* on *sales*?

You should be asking several questions...

1. *Where* does the *variation in price* come from?
  - Is it *random* (exogenous)?
  - *Why* are some products (or times) *more* expensive than others?
2. *Whom* do the data represent? Are they *relevant* to your setting?
3. How *confident* are you in your answer?

# Econometrics

Applied econometrics, data science, analytics require:

1. Intuition for the **theory** behind statistics/econometrics (assumptions, results, strengths, weaknesses).
2. Practical knowledge of how to **apply theoretical methods** to data.
3. Efficient methods for **working with data** (cleaning, aggregating, joining, visualizing).

# Econometrics

Applied econometrics, data science, analytics require:

1. Intuition for the **theory** behind statistics/econometrics (assumptions, results, strengths, weaknesses).
2. Practical knowledge of how to **apply theoretical methods** to data.
3. Efficient methods for **working with data** (cleaning, aggregating, joining, visualizing).

**This course** aims to deepen your knowledge in each of these three areas.



# Econometrics

Applied econometrics, data science, analytics require:

1. Intuition for the **theory** behind statistics/econometrics (assumptions, results, strengths, weaknesses).
2. Practical knowledge of how to **apply theoretical methods** to data.
3. Efficient methods for **working with data** (cleaning, aggregating, joining, visualizing).

**This course** aims to deepen your knowledge in each of these three areas.

- 1: As before.
- 2–3: R

# Econometrics

My "**big-picture takeaways**" (the *intuition* that I hope you form)

- most interesting questions are **causal**;
- **selection into treatment** dominates correlation (esp. cross-sectional);
- **measurement error** can too;
- causality comes from **design**—not from models/assumptions;
- ask about the **counterfactual**;
- **non-stationary** time series will lead you to bad conclusions;
- quantifying **uncertainty** is just as important as the effect estimate;
- consider **which population** your data represent;
- the **mean** is only one of many ways to summarize a population;
- don't mistake **mean reversion** for treatment effects/heterogeneity;
- many **maps** are just *population*;
- **graphs** should clearly communicate a *message*... beautifully.

*Next:* **R** basics + (More) Metrics review(s)