



derivation of Black-Scholes formula in martingale form

Canonical name	DerivationOfBlackScholesFormulaInMartingaleForm
Date of creation	2013-03-22 17:20:37
Last modified on	2013-03-22 17:20:37
Owner	stevecheng (10074)
Last modified by	stevecheng (10074)
Numerical id	5
Author	stevecheng (10074)
Entry type	Derivation
Classification	msc 60H10
Classification	msc 91B28
Related topic	BlackScholesFormula

Contents

This entry derives the Black-Scholes formula in martingale form.

The portfolio process V_t representing a stock option will be shown to satisfy:

$$V_t = e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[V_T \mid \mathcal{F}_t]. \quad (1)$$

(The quantities appearing here are defined precisely, in the section on “Assumptions” below.)

Equation (??) can be used in practice to calculate V_t for all times t , because from the specification of a financial contract, the value of the portfolio at time T , or in other words, its pay-off at time T , will be a known function. Mathematically speaking, V_T gives the *terminal condition* for the solution of a stochastic differential equation.

0.1 Assumptions

0.1.1 Asset price

The asset or stock price X_t is to be modelled by the stochastic differential equation:

$$dX_t = \mu X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t, \quad (2)$$

where μ and $\sigma > 0$ are constants.

The stochastic process W_t is a standard Brownian motion adapted to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$.

See the main article on the <http://planetmath.org/BlackScholesFormulaBlackScholes> formula for an explanation and justification of this modelling assumption.

0.1.2 Money-market account

The money-market account accumulates interest compounded continuously at a rate of r . It satisfies the stochastic differential equation:

$$dM_t = r M_t dt. \quad (3)$$

This happens to take the same form as an ordinary differential equation, for the process M_t has no randomness in it at all, under the assumption of a fixed interest rate r .

The solution to equation (??) with initial condition M_0 is $M_t = M_0 e^{rt}$.

0.1.3 Portfolio process

The price of the option is derived by following a *replicating portfolio* consisting of Δ_t units of the stock X_t and Θ_t units of the money-market account. If V_t denotes the value of this portfolio at time t , then

$$V_t = \Delta_t X_t + \Theta_t M_t. \quad (4)$$

A certain “self-financing condition” on the portfolio requires that V_t also satisfy the stochastic differential equation:

$$dV_t = \Delta_t dX_t + \Theta_t dM_t. \quad (5)$$

This condition essentially says that we cannot input extra amounts of money out of thin air into our portfolio; we must start with what we have.

Equation (??) is not a mathematically proven statement, but another modelling assumption, justified by an analogous equation governing trading in discretized time periods.

0.2 Derivation

We first manipulate the stochastic differential equation (??) for the portfolio process V_t , to express it in terms of the Brownian motion W_t .

$$\begin{aligned} dV_t &= \Delta_t dX_t + \Theta_t r M_t dt && \text{from eq. (??) and (??)} \\ &= \Delta_t dX_t + r(V_t - \Delta_t X_t) dt && \text{from eq. (??)} \\ &= \Delta_t (\mu X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t) \\ &\quad + r(V_t - \Delta_t X_t) dt && \text{from eq. (??)} \\ &= rV_t dt + \Delta_t X_t ((\mu - r) dt + \sigma dW_t) && \text{rearrangement} \end{aligned}$$

0.2.1 Change of probability measure

Define the Brownian motion with drift λ :

$$\widetilde{W}_t = \lambda t + W_t, \quad \lambda = \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma}; \quad (6)$$

so that $d\widetilde{W}_t = \lambda dt + dW_t$, and

$$dV_t = rV_t dt + \sigma \Delta_t X_t d\widetilde{W}_t. \quad (7)$$

The introduction of the process \widetilde{W}_t is not merely for notational convenience but is mathematically meaningful. If the probability space we are working in is $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P})$, and W_t , for $0 \leq t \leq T$, is a standard Wiener process on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P})$, then \widetilde{W}_t will not be a standard Wiener process on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P})$, but it *will* be a standard Wiener process under $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{Q})$ with a *different probability measure* \mathbb{Q} .

The probability measure \mathbb{Q} is obtained by Girsanov's theorem. The exact form for \mathbb{Q} can be calculated, but it will not be needed in this derivation.

In finance, \mathbb{Q} is known as the *risk-neutral measure*, and the quantity λ is the *market price of risk*.

0.2.2 Discounted portfolio process is a martingale

From equation (??), we see that the value of the portfolio grows at the risk-free interest rate of r , apart from the randomness associated due to the stochastic differential $d\widetilde{W}_t$.

It is thus reasonable to expect that, if we normalize the portfolio value amount by the amount that cash grows due to accumulation of risk-free interest, the resulting process, V_t/M_t , should have a zero growth rate. That this is indeed the case can be verified by a computation with Itô's formula — more specifically, the for Itô integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\frac{V_t}{M_t}\right) &= d\left(V_t \cdot \frac{1}{M_t}\right) \\ &= (dV_t) \frac{1}{M_t} + V_t d\left(\frac{1}{M_t}\right) \\ &= r \frac{V_t}{M_t} dt + \sigma \Delta_t \frac{X_t}{M_t} d\widetilde{W}_t + V_t d\left(\frac{1}{M_t}\right) && \text{from eq. (??)} \\ &= r \frac{V_t}{M_t} dt + \sigma \Delta_t \frac{X_t}{M_t} d\widetilde{W}_t + \frac{V_t}{M_t} dt && \text{from } \frac{1}{M_t} = \frac{e^{-rt}}{M_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$d\left(\frac{V_t}{M_t}\right) = \sigma \Delta_t \frac{X_t}{M_t} d\widetilde{W}_t.$$

Or, in integral form:

$$\frac{V_{t_1}}{M_{t_1}} = \frac{V_{t_0}}{M_{t_0}} + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \sigma \Delta_t \frac{X_t}{M_t} d\widetilde{W}_t, \quad 0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \leq T. \quad (8)$$

Assuming Δ_t is a \mathcal{F}_t -adapted process — where $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ is the filtration generated by the Brownian motion W_t (or equivalently \widetilde{W}_t) — the Itô integral in equation (??) is a martingale under the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{Q})$.

0.2.3 Portfolio process as a conditional expectation

Then by the definition of a martingale, we have

$$\frac{V_{t_0}}{M_{t_0}} = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\frac{V_{t_1}}{M_{t_1}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_0} \right], \quad 0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \leq T,$$

where $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\cdot \mid \mathcal{F}_t]$ denotes the conditional expectation, of a random variable on the measurable space (Ω, \mathcal{F}_T) , under the probability measure \mathbb{Q} .

In particular, setting $t_0 = t \leq T$ and $t_1 = T$, and rearranging the factors of $M_t = e^{rt}$, we obtain the desired result, equation (??).

0.3 Existence of solutions

So far, we have derived the form of the solution for the portfolio value process V_t , *assuming that it exists*. Actually, if we were to take only equations (??) and (??) as the problem to solve mathematically, without any reference to the financial motivations, it is possible to work backwards and deduce the existence of the solution.

0.3.1 Proposed construction

Let \mathbb{Q} be the risk-neutral probability measure, and let U be any given $\mathbf{L}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{Q})$ random variable, representing the *terminal condition*. Define the family of random variables dependent on time,

$$V_t = e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[U \mid \mathcal{F}_t], \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (9)$$

It is easy to verify that, for any U , the process $V_t e^{-rt}$ is a martingale with respect to \mathcal{F}_t , the filtration generated by the Wiener process \widetilde{W}_t under the probability measure \mathbb{Q} .

0.3.2 Verification

We now invoke the martingale representation theorem for Itô processes: for any martingale Z_t , with respect to \mathcal{F}_t under the probability measure \mathbb{Q} , there exists a \mathcal{F}_t -adapted process G_t such that Z_t has the representation:

$$Z_{t_1} - Z_{t_0} = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} G_t d\widetilde{W}_t.$$

Letting $Z_t = V_t e^{-rt}$ and comparing with equations (??) and (??), we are motivated to *define* the \mathcal{F}_t -adapted processes:

$$\Delta_t = \frac{G_t e^{rt}}{\sigma X_t}, \quad \Theta_t = \frac{V_t - \Delta_t X_t}{M_t} = \frac{Z_t - G_t/\sigma}{M_0}.$$

Then the process V_t constructed by equation (??) trivially satisfies equation (??). And it is a simple matter to check that equation (??) holds as well:

$$\begin{aligned} dV_t &= d(Z_t e^{rt}) = e^{rt} dZ_t + r e^{rt} Z_t dt && \text{Itô's product rule} \\ &= e^{rt} G_t d\widetilde{W}_t + r V_t dt \\ &= \sigma \Delta_t X_t d\widetilde{W}_t + r V_t dt \\ &= \Delta_t X_t (r dt + \sigma d\widetilde{W}_t) && \text{add and subtract} \\ &\quad + r(V_t - \Delta_t X_t) && \text{the } dt \text{ term} \\ &= \Delta_t dX_t + r \Theta_t M_t dt, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used the SDE for X_t in terms of $d\widetilde{W}_t$ in place of dW_t :

$$dX_t = r X_t dt + \sigma X_t d\widetilde{W}_t,$$

obtained by substituting in equation (??), the differential of equation (??).

References

- [1] Bernt Øksendal. *Stochastic Differential Equations, An Introduction with Applications*, 5th edition. Springer, 1998.
- [2] Steven E. Shreve. *Stochastic Calculus for Finance II: Continuous-Time Models*. Springer, 2004.