

# Álgebra Conmutativa (examen 1)

Eduardo León (梁遠光)

Octubre 2020

## Parte I

### Ejercicio 1.

- a) Muestre que, si  $m, n$  son relativamente primos, entonces  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$  es trivial.
- b) Sean  $A$  un anillo,  $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$  un ideal y  $M$  un  $A$ -módulo. Pruebe que  $(A/\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_A M$  es isomorfo a  $M/\mathfrak{a}M$ .

*Solución.*

- a) Por conveniencia, abreviaremos  $M_n = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  y  $M = M_m \otimes M_n$ . Por el algoritmo de Euclides, existen  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  tales que  $am + bn = 1$ . Entonces la transformación  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilineal universal  $\varphi : M_m \times M_n \rightarrow M$  es idénticamente cero, porque

$$\varphi(x, y) = (am + bn) \cdot \varphi(x, y) = a \cdot \varphi(mx, y) + b \cdot \varphi(x, ny) = a(0) + b(0) = 0$$

Por la propiedad universal de  $\varphi$ , el único  $\mathbb{Z}$ -homomorfismo  $\tilde{\varphi} : M \rightarrow M$  tal que el diagrama

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_m \times M_n & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} \\ & & M \end{array}$$

conmuta es el homomorfismo identidad de  $M$ . Pero el homomorfismo cero también cumple con este requerimiento. Por lo tanto,  $M$  es un  $\mathbb{Z}$ -módulo cuyos homomorfismos cero e identidad coinciden, y esto exige que  $M$  sea el  $\mathbb{Z}$ -módulo trivial.

- b) Sea  $N$  un  $A$ -módulo arbitrario. Por la propiedad universal del producto tensorial, cualquiera de los siguientes datos proporciona información canónicamente equivalente a los demás:

- Una aplicación  $A$ -lineal  $f : (A/\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_A M \rightarrow N$ .
- Una aplicación  $A$ -bilineal  $f : A/\mathfrak{a} \times M \rightarrow N$ .
- Una aplicación  $A$ -bilineal  $f : A \times M \rightarrow N$  tal que  $f(r, m) = 0$  para todo  $r \in \mathfrak{a}, m \in M$ .
- Una aplicación  $A$ -lineal  $f : A \otimes_A M \rightarrow N$  tal que  $f(r \otimes m) = 0$  para todo  $r \in \mathfrak{a}, m \in M$ .
- Una aplicación  $A$ -lineal  $f : M \rightarrow N$  tal que  $f(rm) = 0$  para todo  $r \in \mathfrak{a}, m \in M$ .
- Una aplicación  $A$ -lineal  $f : M \rightarrow N$  tal que  $\mathfrak{a}M \subset \ker f$ .
- Una aplicación  $A$ -lineal  $f : M/\mathfrak{a}M \rightarrow N$ .

Al usar la misma letra  $f$  para datos ostensiblemente diferentes, enfatizamos que las diferencias entre estos datos son meramente cosméticas, ya que existe una manera canónica de “convertir el formato” sin pérdida o ganancia de información.

Entonces el producto tensorial  $(A/\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_A M$  es canónicamente isomorfo a  $M/\mathfrak{a}M$ .

**Ejercicio 2.** Sean  $A$  un anillo local,  $M, N$  dos  $A$ -módulos finitamente generados y  $P = M \otimes_A N$ . Muestre que  $P$  es trivial si y sólo si alguno de los factores  $M, N$  es trivial.

*Solución.* Sean  $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$  el ideal maximal y  $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$  el cuerpo residual. Dado un  $A$ -módulo  $M$ , denotemos por  $M'$  el  $k$ -espacio vectorial  $M' = M/\mathfrak{m}M$ . Por el ejercicio anterior,

$$P' \cong k \otimes_A P \cong (k \otimes_A M) \otimes_k (k \otimes_A N) \cong M' \otimes_k N'$$

En la categoría de  $k$ -espacios vectoriales, se debe cumplir  $\dim_k P' = \dim_k M' \cdot \dim_k N'$ .

Veamos que  $P$  es un  $A$ -módulo finitamente generado. De hecho, si  $X \subset M$  e  $Y \subset N$  son subconjuntos finitos que generan a  $M, N$ , respectivamente, entonces  $\{x \otimes y : x \in X, y \in Y\}$  es un subconjunto finito que genera a  $P$ . Entonces, por el lema de Nakayama,  $P$  es trivial si y sólo si  $P'$  es trivial, si y sólo si alguno de  $M', N'$  es trivial, si y sólo si alguno de  $M, N$  es trivial.

**Ejercicio 3.** Sean  $A$  un anillo,  $M$  un  $A$ -módulo finitamente generado y  $\phi : M \rightarrow A^n$  un  $A$ -homomorfismo sobreyectivo. Pruebe que  $K = \ker(\phi)$  también es un  $A$ -módulo finitamente generado.

*Solución.* Puesto que  $\phi$  es sobreyectivo, existen elementos  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$  tales que cada  $e_i = \phi(x_i)$  es el  $i$ -ésimo elemento de la base canónica de  $A^n$ . Definamos el  $A$ -homomorfismo  $\psi : A^n \rightarrow M$  por  $\psi(e_i) = m_i$  y denotemos por  $M' = \psi(A^n)$  su imagen. Entonces  $\psi$  rompe la sucesión exacta corta

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow[\phi]{\psi} A^n \longrightarrow 0$$

Tomemos un elemento arbitrario  $x \in M$  y pongamos  $x' = \psi \circ \phi(x)$ . Por construcción,  $x' \in M'$ , mientras que  $x - x' \in K$ . Entonces  $M = M' + K$ . Además, tenemos  $M' \cap K = 0$ , porque la restricción  $\psi|_{M'}$  es un isomorfismo de  $A$ -módulos. Entonces  $M = M' \oplus K$ . Finalmente, como  $M$  es finitamente generado, el cociente  $K \cong M/M'$  con mayor razón aún es finitamente generado.

**Ejercicio 4.** Sean  $A$  un anillo local,  $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$  su ideal maximal y  $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$  su cuerpo residual.

- Pruebe que todo  $A$ -módulo proyectivo finitamente generado es libre.
- Sea  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  un  $A$ -homomorfismo entre dos  $A$ -módulos finitamente generados. Denotando por un apóstrofe la aplicación del funtor  $k \otimes_A -$ , suponga que  $\varphi' : M' \rightarrow N'$  es un isomorfismo de  $k$ -espacios vectoriales. Muestre que, si  $N$  es un  $A$ -módulo libre, entonces  $M$  también es un  $A$ -módulo libre y  $\varphi$  es un  $A$ -isomorfismo.
- Suponga, además, que  $A$  es un dominio local con cuerpo de fracciones  $K$ . Denotando por una viñeta la aplicación del funtor  $K \otimes_A -$ , muestre que un  $A$ -módulo finitamente generado es libre si y solamente si  $\dim_k M' = \dim_K M^\bullet$ .

*Solución.* Sea  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  la base estándar de  $A^n$ . Dados un  $A$ -módulo  $M$  y un elemento  $x \in M$ , denotemos por  $x'$  la imagen de  $x$  en  $M'$  y denotemos por  $x^\bullet$  la imagen de  $x$  en  $M^\bullet$ .

- Sea  $M$  un módulo proyectivo finitamente generado y sean  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$  una cantidad minimal de generadores de  $M$ . Definamos el homomorfismo  $\pi : A^n \rightarrow M$  por  $\phi(e_i) = x_i$ . Por construcción,  $\pi$  es sobreyectivo, así que existe una solución  $\psi : M \rightarrow A^n$  al problema de levantamiento

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & A^n \\ & \nearrow \psi & \downarrow \pi \\ M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & M \end{array}$$

Pongamos  $K = \ker \pi$ . Entonces  $\psi$  rompe la sucesión exacta

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow A^n \xrightarrow[\pi]{\psi} M \longrightarrow 0$$

Entonces  $A^n \cong K \oplus M$ . Por ende,  $k^n \cong K' \oplus M'$ . Las clases  $x'_1, \dots, x'_n$  forman una base de  $M'$ , así que  $M' \cong k^n$ . Entonces  $K' = 0$ . Por ende,  $K = 0$ . Por ende,  $M \cong A^n$  es un  $A$ -módulo libre.

b) Sean  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  una cantidad minimal de generadores de  $M$  y sea  $y_i = \varphi(x_i)$ . Entonces,

- Por el lema de Nakayama,  $x'_1, \dots, x'_n$  es una base de  $M'$ .
- Puesto que  $\varphi'$  es un isomorfismo,  $y'_1, \dots, y'_n$  es una base de  $N'$ .
- Por el lema de Nakayama,  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  es un conjunto minimal de generadores de  $N$ .
- Puesto que  $N$  es libre,  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  es una base de  $N$ .

Sean  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  tales que  $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n = 0$ . Por  $A$ -linealidad, tenemos

$$\varphi(a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n) = a_1y_1 + \dots + a_ny_n = 0$$

Como  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  son linealmente independientes, tenemos  $a_i = 0$  para todo  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Entonces los generadores  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  son una base de  $M$ . Por lo tanto,  $M$  es libre y  $\varphi$  es un  $A$ -isomorfismo.

c) Sean  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  una cantidad minimal de generadores de  $M$ . Puesto que  $A$  no tiene divisores de cero, toda combinación  $K$ -lineal no trivial de la forma

$$\frac{p_1}{q_1}x_1^\bullet + \dots + \frac{p_n}{q_n}x_n^\bullet$$

se puede reescalar para obtener una combinación  $A$ -lineal no trivial

$$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$$

Entonces las siguientes proposiciones son equivalentes:

- $M$  es un  $A$ -módulo libre.
- $x_1, \dots, x_n$  son  $A$ -linealmente independientes.
- $x_1^\bullet, \dots, x_n^\bullet$  son  $K$ -linealmente independientes.
- $\dim_K M^\bullet = n$

Por el lema de Nakayama, siempre se cumple  $\dim_K M' = n$ , sea  $M$  libre o no. Por lo tanto,  $M$  es un  $A$ -módulo libre si y sólo si  $\dim_K M' = \dim_K M^\bullet$ .

**Ejercicio 5.** Sean  $A$  un anillo conmutativo y  $M$  un  $A$ -módulo noetheriano. Recuerde que un  $A$ -módulo  $M$  se dice *noetheriano* si toda cadena ascendente de  $A$ -submódulos de  $M$  eventualmente se estabiliza. Muestre que todo  $A$ -endomorfismo sobreyectivo  $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$  es un  $A$ -isomorfismo.

*Solución.* Sea  $M_n = \ker(\varphi^n)$ . Existe un instante  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  en el cual la cadena ascendente

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset M_3 \subset \dots$$

se estabiliza. Restringiendo  $\varphi^n$  al  $A$ -submódulo  $M_{2n} = M_n$ , obtenemos un endomorfismo  $\varphi^n : M_n \rightarrow M_n$  que es simultáneamente cero y sobreyectivo, lo cual sólo es posible si  $M_n = 0$ . Como la cadena se estabiliza en cero, en particular, tenemos  $\ker \varphi = M_1 = 0$ . Así pues,  $\varphi$  es un isomorfismo.

## Parte II

**Exercise 1.** Let  $f$  be a nonconstant polynomial over a field  $k$ . By Gauss' lemma,  $f$  admits an essentially unique prime factorization  $f = f_1^{r_1} f_2^{r_2} f_3^{r_3} \cdots f_n^{r_n}$ . Consider  $V(f)$  as a subset of a suitable affine space  $\mathbb{A}^m$ , regarded as the spectrum of the polynomial ring  $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ .

- a) Show that  $\text{rad}(f) = (f_1 f_2 f_3 \cdots f_n)$ .
- b) Show that the irreducible components of  $V(f)$  are precisely  $V(f_i)$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Remark.* It is *absolutely* not necessary to require  $k$  to be algebraically closed.

*Solution.*

- a) Given a polynomial  $g \in R$ , we have

$$g \in \text{rad}(f) \iff \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : g^n \in (f) \iff \forall i : g \in (f_i) \iff g \in (f_1 \cdots f_n)$$

Thus,  $\text{rad}(f)$  and  $(f_1 \cdots f_n)$  are one and the same ideal of  $R$ .

- b) Given a point  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{A}^m$ , i.e., a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{p} \notin V(f) \iff f \notin \mathfrak{p} \iff \forall i : f_i \notin \mathfrak{p} \iff \forall i : \mathfrak{p} \notin V(f_i)$$

Thus,  $V(f)$  is the union of the subvarieties  $V(f_i)$ . Each  $V(f_i)$  is irreducible, because it has a generic point, namely, the prime ideal  $(f_i)$ . Moreover, this generic point is not contained in any of the other  $V(f_j)$ , hence the subvarieties  $V(f_i)$  are the irreducible components of  $V(f)$ .

**Exercise 2.** Fix a perfect field  $k$  and consider the affine plane  $\mathbb{A}^2$ , whose standard coordinates functions are  $T_1, T_2 \in k[\mathbb{A}^2]$ . Identify  $\mathbb{A}^1$  with the  $T_1$  axis and let  $f \in k[\mathbb{A}^1]$  be an arbitrary polynomial on just  $T_1$ .

- a) Show that  $X_1 = V(T_2 - f)$  is a plane curve isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^1$ .
- b) Show that  $X_2 = V(1 - fT_2)$  is a plane curve isomorphic to a cofinite open subset of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ .
- c) Show that  $X_1, X_2$  are *not* isomorphic.

*Remark.* The same absolute Galois group joke as in the previous exercise.

*Solution.* Let  $K = k(T_1)$  be the field of fractions of the unique factorization domain  $R = k[T_1]$ .

- a) Regard  $T_2 - f$  as a polynomial on just  $T_2$ . By Gauss' lemma,  $T_2 - f$  is irreducible over  $R$ , because it is primitive over  $R$  as well as irreducible over  $K$ . Therefore,  $X_1$  is an irreducible plane curve, and its coordinate ring is  $k[X_1] = k[\mathbb{A}^2]/(T_2 - f)$ .

Define the  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\varphi : k[\mathbb{A}^2] \rightarrow k[\mathbb{A}^1]$  by  $\varphi(T_1) = T_1$  and  $\varphi(T_2) = f$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a surjection, it induces a closed embedding  $\varphi^\# : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ . Moreover,  $\ker(\varphi) = (T_2 - f)$ , hence, by the first isomorphism theorem,  $\varphi$  descends to a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi} : k[X_1] \rightarrow k[\mathbb{A}^1]$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[\mathbb{A}^2] & & \mathbb{A}^2 \\ \pi \downarrow & \searrow \varphi & \uparrow \pi^\# \\ k[X_1] & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & k[\mathbb{A}^1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbb{A}^2 \\ & \nearrow \varphi^\# & \uparrow \pi^\# \\ \mathbb{A}^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}^\#} & X_1 \end{array}$$

Thus the induced map  $\tilde{\varphi}^\# : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X_1$  is the sought isomorphism.

- b) Using the same argument as in the preceding item, we deduce that  $X_2$  is an irreducible plane curve, and its coordinate ring is  $k[X_2] = k[\mathbb{A}^2]/(1 - fT_2)$ .

Define the  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\psi : k[\mathbb{A}^2] \rightarrow k[\mathbb{A}^1]_f$  by  $\psi(T_1) = T_1$  and  $\psi(T_2) = 1/f$ . Since  $\psi$  is a surjection, it induces a closed embedding  $\psi^\# : D_f \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ , where  $D_f \subset \mathbb{A}^1$  is the open subset where  $f$  does not vanish. Moreover,  $\ker(\psi) = (1 - fT_2)$ , hence, by the first isomorphism theorem,  $\psi$  descends to a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\tilde{\psi} : k[X_2] \rightarrow k[\mathbb{A}^1]_f$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[\mathbb{A}^2] & & \mathbb{A}^2 \\ \pi \downarrow & \searrow \psi & \uparrow \psi^\# \\ k[X_2] & \xrightarrow[\tilde{\psi}]{} & k[\mathbb{A}^1]_f \\ & & \uparrow \pi^\# \\ & & D_f \end{array}$$

Thus the induced map  $\tilde{\psi}^\# : D_f \rightarrow X_2$  is the sought isomorphism.

Finally, notice that  $D_f$  is a proper subset of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ , even if  $f$  has no roots in  $k$ . To see why, recall that the closed points of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  are the absolute Galois orbits in the algebraic closure  $\bar{k}$ , and we do have such orbits for the roots of  $f$  in  $\bar{k}$ .

- c) The  $k$ -algebras  $k[X_1]$  and  $k[X_2]$  cannot possibly be isomorphic, because the former has no invertible elements besides those of the ground field  $k$ , whereas the latter also has an inverse for  $f$ . Therefore,  $X_1, X_2$  cannot possibly be isomorphic as schemes over  $\text{Spec } k$ .

**Exercise 3.** Fix a ground field  $k$  and identify  $\mathbb{A}^4$  with the space  $2 \times 2$  matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix}$$

For convenience, define the following abbreviations:

$$p = x^2 + yz, \quad q = w^2 + yz, \quad d = xw - yz, \quad t = x + w$$

Recall that  $A$  is nilpotent if the following equivalent conditions hold:

- $A$  squares to zero, i.e.,  $A \in V(\mathfrak{a})$ , where  $\mathfrak{a} = (p, q, yt, zt)$
- $A$ 's characteristic polynomial is  $\lambda^2$ , i.e.,  $A \in V(\mathfrak{p})$ , where  $\mathfrak{p} = (d, t)$

Thus, we expect the ideals  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{p} \subset k[\mathbb{A}^4]$  to have the same radical.

- Show that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of  $k[\mathbb{A}^4]$ .
- Show that  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{p}$ .
- Show that  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is an irreducible closed affine cone in  $\mathbb{A}^4$ .

*Remark.* The Galois group joke is getting tired, sorry. But it is still true.

*Solution.*

- Regard  $V(x + w)$  as a copy of  $\mathbb{A}^3$  with standard coordinate functions  $x, y, z$ . Then  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is the quadric hypersurface  $V(p)$  of  $\mathbb{A}^3$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of  $k[\mathbb{A}^4]$  if and only if  $p$  is irreducible. Once again, we appeal to Gauss' lemma:  $p$  is primitive over  $k[y, z]$  and irreducible over  $k(y, z)$ , therefore it has to be irreducible over  $k[y, z]$ .
- To show that  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ , notice that
  - $p = xt - d$  and  $q = wt - d$  are elements of  $\mathfrak{p}$ .
  - $yt$  and  $zt$  are self-evidently elements of  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

To show that  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ , notice that

- $d^2 = pq - yzt^2$ , hence  $d^2 \in \mathfrak{a}$ , hence  $d \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ .
- $t^2 = p + q + 2d$ , hence  $t^2 \in (\mathfrak{a}, d)$ , hence  $t \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ .

c) Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of  $k[\mathbb{A}^4]$ , it follows that  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is an irreducible closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}^4$ . It only remains to show that  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is a cone, i.e., it is invariant under the group scheme action of  $G_m$  on  $\mathbb{A}^4$  by homogeneously rescaling the standard coordinates.

Recall that, classically, a subset  $V$  of a  $G$ -space  $X$  is  $G$ -invariant if  $G \cdot V = V$ . (In general,  $G \cdot V$  can be larger than  $V$ .) However, when working with schemes, it is usually not a good idea to work with the points of a space (since set-theoretic points often behave in rather strange ways), but rather with morphisms into or out of the space. Thus, we shall consider the following maps:

- $\varphi : G \times X \rightarrow X$ , the group action itself,
- $\pi : G \times X \rightarrow X$ , the projection onto the second factor,

and define  $V$  to be  $G$ -invariant if  $\varphi \circ \pi^{-1}(V) = V$ . In our particular situation, we have

- $G = G_m$  is the affine line  $\mathbb{A}^1$  minus the origin, i.e.,  $\text{Spec } k[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}]$ ,
- $X = \mathbb{A}^4$  and  $V = V(\mathfrak{p})$ ,
- $\varphi : k[\mathbb{A}^4] \rightarrow k[\mathbb{A}^4] \otimes_k k[G_m]$ , defined by  $\varphi(x) = \lambda x$ ,  $\varphi(y) = \lambda y$ ,  $\varphi(z) = \lambda z$ ,  $\varphi(w) = \lambda w$ ,
- $\pi : k[\mathbb{A}^4] \rightarrow k[\mathbb{A}^4] \otimes_k k[G_m]$ , defined by  $\pi(x) = x$ ,  $\pi(y) = y$ ,  $\pi(z) = z$ ,  $\pi(w) = w$ ,

and we need to show that  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{p}$ , where  $\mathfrak{q}$  is the ideal generated by  $\pi(\mathfrak{p})$ . Then,

- $\mathfrak{q} = (d, t)$ , because  $\mathfrak{p} = (d, t)$  and  $\pi$  is an extension of scalars map,
- $\mathfrak{q} = (\lambda^2 d, \lambda t)$ , because  $\lambda$  is a unit of  $k[G_m]$ , hence a unit of  $k[\mathbb{A}^4] \otimes_k k[G_m]$ ,
- $\varphi(d) = \lambda^2 d$ , because  $d$  is homogeneous of degree 2,
- $\varphi(t) = \lambda t$ , because  $t$  is homogeneous of degree 1,
- $\varphi(\mathfrak{p})$  generates  $\mathfrak{q}$ , because  $\varphi(d)$  and  $\varphi(t)$  generate  $\mathfrak{q}$ .
- $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{p}$ , because  $\varphi$  is injective.

Therefore  $V(\mathfrak{p})$  is an affine cone.

**Exercise 4.** Let  $X$  be an affine scheme and let  $p \in X$  be any point. Construct the 1-to-1 correspondence between the prime ideals of the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  and the closed irreducible subsets of  $X$  containing  $p$ .

*Remark.* This is essentially the proof of Atiyah and MacDonald's proposition 3.11, specialized to the case when we localize at a prime ideal. However, as countless graduate students have complained about on the Internet, the authors' writing style leans too much on the terse side for the reader's good, so I have chosen to explain the proof's key insights using *English words*.

*Solution.* Since  $X$  is an affine scheme, there exists a ring  $A$  whose prime spectrum is isomorphic to  $X$  as a locally ringed space. Under this identification,  $p$  is a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  is the localization  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  at this prime ideal.

Let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be the localization map. Since  $\varphi$  maps every denominator  $g \notin \mathfrak{p}$  to a unit of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , every fraction  $f/g \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is associate to the image of its own numerator, i.e.,  $\varphi(f) = f/1$ . Thus, every ideal of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  contracts to, and is the extension of its own *ideal of numerators* in  $A$ . In particular, this means that each prime ideal of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  contracts to a distinct prime ideal of  $A$ , which then must be contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

Conversely, we need to ask under what circumstances  $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$  is an ideal of numerators. Since  $\mathfrak{a}$  cannot possibly be the ideal of numerators of any ideal of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  other than its own extension  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we deduce that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal of numerators if and only if  $\mathfrak{a}$  contains every numerator of  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , if and only if every denominator is cancellable (i.e., not a zero divisor) in the quotient ring  $A/\mathfrak{a}$ . In particular, a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$  is an ideal of numerators if and only if it is contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

Reinterpreting our results geometrically, the morphism  $\varphi^{\#} : \text{Spec } A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  is an embedding whose image consists of the generic points  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } A$  of closed irreducible subsets  $V(\mathfrak{q})$  containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Therefore, the prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  correspond to the closed irreducible subsets of  $X$  containing  $p$ .

**Exercise 5.** Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of sheaves on  $X$ , and let  $\mathcal{H}$  be its *presheaf* image.

- Show that the presheaf inclusion  $\iota : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  gives rise to a sheaf inclusion  $\iota^+ : \mathcal{H}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ .
- Show that  $\varphi$  is surjective if and only if every local section  $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$  arises from gluing the images of local sections  $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha)$  defined on an open cover  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of  $U$ .
- Show that, even if  $\varphi$  is surjective,  $\varphi(U) : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$  need not be surjective.

*Solution.*

- The existence and uniqueness of  $\iota^+$  follows from the universal property of  $\mathcal{H}^+$ . By construction,  $\iota^+$ 's stalks are those of  $\iota$ . We know that  $\iota$ 's stalks are injective, because they are direct limits of injective maps  $\iota(U) : \mathcal{H}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ . Therefore,  $\iota^+$  is stalkwise injective.

Suppose  $t, t' \in \mathcal{H}^+(U)$  have the same image in  $\mathcal{G}(U)$ . For each point  $p \in U$ , the stalks  $t_p, t'_p$  have the same image in  $\mathcal{G}_p$ , hence they are equal. In other words,  $t, t'$  agree on an open  $U_p \subset U$  containing  $p$ . By the gluing axiom,  $t, t'$  agree on the union of the opens  $U_p$ , which is all of  $U$ , of course. Therefore,  $\iota^+$  is not just stalkwise injective, but also injective on each open  $U \subset X$ .

- Given a local section  $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ , the following statements are equivalent:
  - For each point  $p \in U$ , there is a stalk  $t_p \in \mathcal{F}_p$  such that  $\varphi_p(t_p) = s_p$
  - For each point  $p \in U$ , there is a section  $t \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ , defined on a neighborhood  $V \subset X$  of  $p$ , such that  $\varphi(V)(t)$  agrees with  $s$  on a nested neighborhood  $W \subset U \cap V$  of  $p$ .
  - For each point  $p \in U$ , there is a section  $t \in \mathcal{F}(W)$ , defined on a nested neighborhood  $W \subset U$  of  $p$ , such that  $\varphi(W)(t)$  agrees with the restriction  $s|_W$ .
  - There exist sections  $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha)$ , defined on an open cover  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of  $U$ , such that each  $\varphi(U_\alpha)(t_\alpha)$  agrees with the restriction  $s|_{U_\alpha}$ .

Hence the following statements are equivalent:

- $\varphi$  is surjective, i.e.,  $\mathcal{H}^+ = \mathcal{G}$ .
  - $\varphi$  is stalkwise surjective, i.e.,  $\mathcal{H}_p = \mathcal{G}_p$ .
  - $\varphi$  is locally surjective, i.e., every local section  $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$  is obtained by gluing the images of local sections  $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha)$  defined on an open covering  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of  $U$ .
- Let  $X$  be any complex manifold,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$  its structure sheaf,  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_X^*$  its group sheaf of units, and  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  the morphism of sheaves induced by the covering map  $\pi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $\pi(z) = e^z$ .

A preimage of  $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$  under  $\varphi(U)$  is a solution of the following lifting problem:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbb{C} \\ & \nearrow t & \downarrow \pi \\ U & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathbb{C}^* \end{array}$$

Since  $\mathbb{C}$  is simply connected, the lift  $t \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  exists if and only if  $s_* : \pi_1(U) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*)$  is the trivial group homomorphism. In particular, when  $U$  is simply connected,  $t$  is guaranteed to exist no matter what  $s$  is. Even if  $U$  is not simply connected, we may cover it with simply connected opens  $U_\alpha \subset U$ , on each of which a lift  $t_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha)$  exists. Therefore,  $\varphi$  is a surjective morphism of sheaves.

However, unless  $X$  has dimension zero, it also has a neighborhood  $U \subset X$  biholomorphic to  $D \times Y$ , where  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^*$  is the punctured unit disc. Let  $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$  be the coordinate of  $D$ , and  $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow U$  any loop that projects to the circle of radius  $1/2$  in  $D$ . Then  $s_*(\gamma)$  is not the identity element of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*)$ , which implies that there is no lift  $t \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ . Hence  $\varphi(U) : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$  is not surjective.