

CCT College Dublin Continuous Assessment

Programme Title:	HDip in Data Analytics for Business						
Cohort:	FT						
Module Title(s):	Machine Learning for Business						
Assignment Type:	Group (Max 2 members)	Weighting(s):	50% (60% Group work and 40% Individual)				
Assignment Title:							
Lecturer(s):	Dr. Muhammad Iqbal						
Issue Date:	13th September 2023						
Submission	5 th November 2023						
Deadline Date:							
Late Submission Penalty:	Late submissions will be accepted up to 5 calendar days after the deadline. All late submissions are subject to a penalty of 10% of the mark awarded. Submissions received more than 5 calendar days after the deadline above will not be accepted and a mark of 0% will be awarded.						
Method of Submission:	Moodle						
Instructions for	Upload one or multiple files as word file, jupyter notebook, dataset and any						
Submission:	supporting information.						
Feedback Method:	Results posted in Moodle gradebook						
Feedback Date:	Three weeks after submission						

Learning Outcomes:

Please note this is not the assessment task. The task to be completed is detailed on the next page. This CA will assess student attainment of the following minimum intended learning outcomes:

- 1. Critically evaluate and implement appropriate clustering algorithms and interpret and document their results. (Linked to PLO 1, PLO 5)
- 2. Apply modelling to time series data to facilitate business intelligence needs (Linked to PLO 1, PLO 2, PLO 3)

Attainment of the learning outcomes is the minimum requirement to achieve a Pass mark (40%). Higher marks are awarded where there is evidence of achievement beyond this, in accordance with QQI *Assessment and Standards, Revised 2013*, and summarised in the following table:

Percentage	ССТ	QQI Description of Attainment			
Range	Performance	Level 6, 7 & 8 awards			
	Description				
90% +	Exceptional				

80 – 89%	Outstanding	Achievement includes that required for a Pass and in most respects is significantly and
70 – 79%	Excellent	consistently beyond this
60 – 69%	Very Good	Achievement includes that required for a Pass and in many respects is significantly beyond this
50 – 59%	Good	Achievement includes that required for a Pass and in some respects is significantly beyond this
40 – 49%	Acceptable	Attains all the minimum intended programme learning outcomes
35 – 39%	Fail	Nearly (but not quite) attains the relevant minimum intended learning outcomes
0 – 34%	Fail	Does not attain some or all of the minimum intended learning outcomes

Please review the CCT Grade Descriptor available on the module Moodle page for a detailed description of the standard of work required for each grade band.

The grading system in CCT is the QQI percentage grading system and is in common use in higher education institutions in Ireland. The pass mark and thresholds for different grade bands may be different from what you have experienced in the higher education system in other countries. CCT grades must be considered in the context of the grading system in Irish higher education and not assumed to represent the same standard the percentage grade reflects when awarded in an international context.

Assessment Task

Students are advised to review and adhere to the submission requirements documented after the assessment task.

This is a group-based project (Max 2 students) using the PYTHON programming language. Develop and deploy machine learning models in any one of the following areas only and analyse the results.

- Covid-19 datasets
- Transport datasets
- Energy
- Stock market dataset from only website: https://data.world/datasets/finance

The dataset should have at least 8000 rows and 10 columns (for example, type of variables may be categorical, continuous, and discrete) after cleaning and there is not any upper bound. The type of question(s) that you should formulate for the project will depend on the chosen domain of the dataset that your group is considering.

Project questions could be: (this is a small, suggested, sample of questions, other questions may be more appropriate to your project)

- How to measure similarity or dissimilarity between different clusters?
- Which clustering solution do you prefer, and why?
- How to analyse and investigate an inflation rate for a specific product?

Your group may start with a simple approach to initiate your project work based on project objectives and enhance your work using distinct approaches. The group would need to consider the following instructions (a - d) during the development of this group project.

- Logical justification based on the reasoning for the specific choice of machine learning approaches (supervised/ Unsupervised) for the chosen problem and dataset (s). Justify the rationale for using the project management framework/ activities (CRISP-DM, KDD, or SEMMA).
- b) Machine Learning models can be used for Prediction, Classification, Clustering and time series analysis. Your group should plan on trying multiple approaches (at least two), with proper parameter-selection using hyperparameter tuning and a comparison between the chosen modelling approaches if essential.
- c) You/ Your group should train the Machine learning models, test and further validate the models. Perform a comparison of two or more ML modelling outcomes using a Table or graph visualization. Your group may employ dimensionality reduction methods to prepare the dataset based on your project requirements.
- d) Depending on the complexity of the problem, develop the clustering profiles that clearly describe the characteristics of the specific data within the cluster.

Your group will present their findings and defend the results in the report (MS Doc). Your report should capture the following aspects that are relevant to your project investigations.

i) Motivation, description of problem domain, justification of project objectives in the above-mentioned areas.

(15 marks group)

ii) Characterization and normalization of data if required, train and test supervised ML models based on three different splits in the case of supervised learning and discuss the variation in accuracy/ score obtained from the models. Use appropriate metrics to justify your results in the case of unsupervised learning.

(25 marks group)

iii) Interpret and justify the results based on the problem specification or project objectives. Comments and description of Python code, conclusions of the project should be specified in the report as well as jupyter notebook. Citations and references should be in the Harvard Style.

(20 marks group)

iv) Each team member presents a PowerPoint presentation of their work (maximum 5 slides) to emphasize their distinctive contributions based on their involvement in the project's conceptual understanding, code development, and deployment.

(20 marks individual)

v) Each team member fully described their individual contributions to the project in a reflective journal, using at least 500 to 700 words as well as images, diagrams, figures, and visualizations to elaborate his/her work.

(20 marks individual)

Submission Requirements

All assessment submissions must meet the minimum requirements listed below. Failure to do so may have implications for the marks awarded.

- The code and datasets should be provided and uploaded in zip format on Moodle.
- Number of Words for the report (4000 words +/-10%) excluding title page, diagrams, code and HARVARD References). Number of words used to express individual contributions is part of the mentioned words.
- Clearly detail the number of words used in the report.
- Describe the contribution of each team member in the project clearly and use a bar chart or pie chart
 to represent the effort and time spent during this project. Use version control like Github or any
 other tool to show the progress of both team members in CA1.
- The rubric is provided for the detailed breakdown of marks at the end of this CA.
- Use Harvard Referencing when citing third party material
- Be the student's own work.
- Include the CCT assessment cover page.
- Be submitted by the deadline date specified or be subject to late submission penalties
- Note: The names of group members must be uploaded on the link provided on Moodle until 21th September 2023 (23:59).
- Must be clearly specified the number of words used after each section in the report.

				RIC – Machine Learning for				
GRADE	90-100%	80-90%	70-79%	60-69%	50-59%	40-49%	35-39%	<35%
Performance	Exceptional	Outstanding	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Acceptable	Fail	Fail
Introduction to problem	An exceptional	An outstanding	An excellent	A very good introduction	A good introduction to	An adequate	A poor introduction to	An impecunious
Description, Motivation	introduction to problem		introduction to problem	to problem description	problem description and	introduction to problem	problem description and	introduction to
and Objectives (15%)	description and	problem description	description and	and motivation that	motivation that	description and	motivation that fails to	problem description
	motivation that provide	and motivation that	motivation that provide	provides a very	furnishes a largely	motivation that offers a	motivate the problem or	that fails entirely to
	a concise and clear case	provide a compact	a precise and clear case	convincing case for the	convincing case for the	somewhat weak case for	provide a case for the	motivate the problem
	for the proposed	and clear case for the	for the proposed	proposed Machine	proposed Machine	the proposed Machine	proposed Machine	An impecunious
	Machine Learning	proposed Machine	Machine Learning	Learning project. A very	Learning Project. A good	Learning Project. An	Learning Project. A poor	specification of
	project. An exceptional	Learning project. An	project. An excellent	good specification of	specification of	adequate specification	specification of	objectives.
	specification of	outstanding	specification of	objectives.	objectives.	of objectives.	objectives.	
	objectives concisely.	specification of	objectives succinctly.					
		objectives precisely.						
Characterization and	An exceptional	An outstanding	An excellent	A very good	A good characterization	An adequate	A poor characterization	An impecunious
cleaning of Dataset,	characterization and	characterization and	characterization and	characterization and	and cleaning of the	characterization and	and cleaning of the	characterization and
Training and Testing of	cleaning of a dataset	cleaning of dataset	cleaning of the dataset	cleaning of the dataset	dataset that summarizes	cleaning of the dataset	dataset that summarizes	cleaning of the
Models	that abstracts all details	that highlights all	that summarizes all	that summarizes all	all details from source to	that summarizes all	all details from source to	dataset. An
(25%)	from source to fields. An	details from source to	details from source to	details from source to	fields. A good accuracy	details from source to	fields. A poor accuracy	impecunious obtained
	exceptional accuracy	fields. An outstanding	fields. An excellent	fields. A very good	obtained based on the	fields. An adequate	obtained based on the	based on the training
	obtained based on the	accuracy obtained	accuracy obtained based	accuracy obtained based	training and testing of	accuracy obtained based	training and testing of	and testing of ML
	training and testing of	based on the training	on the training and	on the training and	ML models using three	on the training and	ML models using three	models using three
	ML models using three	and testing of ML	testing of ML models	testing of ML models	logical splits.	testing of ML models	logical splits.	logical splits.
	logical splits.	models using three	using three logical splits.	using three logical splits.	Cross-validation is used	using three logical splits.	Cross-validation is not	Cross-validation is not
	Cross-validation is used	logical splits.	Cross-validation is used	Cross-validation is used	to test the partial	Cross-validation is used.	used.	used.
	to test the	Cross-validation is	to test the	to test the partial	generalizability of the			
	generalizability of the	used to test the	generalizability of the	generalizability of the	model.			
	model and It should	generalizability of the	model and It should	model and It should				
	justify the results in an	model and It should	justify the results in an	justify the results.				
	exceptional way.	justify the results in an	excellent way.					
		outstanding way.						
Interpretation of results.	An exceptional	An outstanding	An excellent	A very good	A good interpretation	An adequate	A poor interpretation	An impecunious
Code description and	interpretation and	interpretation and	interpretation and	interpretation and	and explanation of the	interpretation and	and explanation of the	interpretation of the
comments, Conclusions,	explanation of the	explanation of the	explanation of the	explanation of the	results based on	explanation of the	results based on	results. No clear
citations, and	results based on	results based on	results based on	results based on	problem specification	results based on	problem specification	results obtained. An
references.	problem specification	problem specification	problem specification	problem specification	and objectives. The	problem specification	and objectives. No clear	impecunious
(20%)	and objectives. The	and objectives. The	and objectives. The	and objectives. The	results state that the	and objectives. The	results obtained. A poor	description of code
	results clearly state that	results clearly state	results clearly state that	results state that the	models are overfitted	results state that the	description of code using	using unsatisfactory
	the models are neither	that the models are	the models are neither	models are neither	but not under fitted. A	models are adequate. An	comments. The	comments. An
	overfitted nor	neither overfitted nor	overfitted nor	overfitted nor	good justification is	adequate justification is	comments are not	impecunious
	underfitted. An	underfitted. An	underfitted. An excellent	underfitted. A very good	provided. A good	provided. An adequate	satisfactory. A poor	demonstration of
	exceptional justification	outstanding advocacy	defence is provided. An	justification is provided.	description of code using		demonstration of	conclusions or no
	is provided. An	is provided. An	excellent description of	A very good description	comments. The	comments. The	conclusions or no	conclusions. An
	exceptional description	outstanding	code using comments.	of code using comments.	comments are very brief	comments are not	conclusions. A report	inadequate report.
	of code using logical	description of code	The comments are	The comments are brief	and provide an	satisfactory and provide	along with errors.	
	comments. The	using rational	detailed and provide an	and provide a clear	understanding of the	a partial understanding	•	

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			r	T	T		
	comments are detailed	comments. The	explicit understanding of	understanding of the	functionality of the	of the functionality of		
	and provide a	comments are	the functionality of the	functionality of the	code. A good	the code. An adequate		
	remarkable	detailed and provide	code. An excellent	code. A very good	demonstration of	demonstration of		
	understanding of the	an impeccable	demonstration of	demonstration of	conclusions. A good	conclusions. An		
	functionality of the	understanding of the	conclusions. An excellent	conclusions. A very good	report along with	adequate report along		
	code. An exceptional	functionality of the	report along with proper	report along with proper	citations and references	with incomplete		
	presentation of	code. An outstanding	citations and references	citations and references		citations and references.		
	conclusions. An	manifestation of	in all sections.	in all sections.				
	exceptional report along	conclusions. An						
	with proper citations	outstanding report						
	and references in all	along with						
	sections.	appropriate citations						
		and references in all						
		sections.						
Powerpoint	The presentation is	The presentation is	The presentation is	The presentation is	The presentation is	The presentation is	The presentation is	The presentation is not
presentation (20%) -	delivered in an exceptional	delivered in an	delivered in an excellent	delivered in a very good	delivered in a good manner,	delivered in an acceptable	delivered in a poor manner,	delivered according to
Individual	manner, is well-organized	outstanding manner, is	manner, is well-organized	manner, is nicely organized	is organized and visually	manner, is organized, and	is not organized, and has an	the guidelines.
marriadai	and visually appealing, and	well-organized and	and visually appealing, and	and visually appealing, and	appealing, and explains the	explains the topic's essential	unsuccessful explanation of	
	successfully explains the	visually appealing, and	successfully explains the	decently explains the topic's	topic's essential concepts,	concepts, ideas, and code to	the topic's concepts, ideas,	
	topic's essential concepts,	successfully explains the	topic's essential concepts,	essential concepts, ideas	ideas, and code.	some extent.	and code.	
	ideas, and code.	topic's essential concepts,	ideas, and code.	and code.				
Defication in solding	Reflection demonstrates an	ideas and code. Reflection demonstrates	Reflection demonstrates an	Reflection demonstrates a	Reflection demonstrates a	Reflection demonstrates an	Reflection demonstrates a	Reflection does not
Reflective journal for	exceptional level of	an outstanding level of	excellent level of	very good level of	good level of engagement	acceptable level of	poor level of engagement	demonstrate any
individual group	engagement and	engagement and	engagement and	engagement and	and understanding of the	engagement and	and understanding of the	engagement and
member (20%) -	understanding of the group	understanding of the	understanding of the group	understanding of the group	group project material, and	understanding of the group	group project material, and	understanding of the
Individual	project material, and shows	group project material,	project material, and shows	project material, and shows	shows good evidence of	project material, and shows	shows incomplete evidence	group project material,
	exceptional evidence of	and shows outstanding	excellent evidence of critical	very good evidence of	critical thinking, self-	some evidence of critical	of critical thinking, self-	and shows no evidence of
	critical thinking, self-	evidence of critical	thinking, self-reflection, and	critical thinking, self-	reflection, and	thinking, self-reflection, and	reflection, and	critical thinking, self-
	reflection, and	thinking, self-reflection,	collaboration.	reflection, and	collaboration.	collaboration.	collaboration.	reflection, and
	collaboration.	and collaboration.		collaboration.				collaboration.