

Computer Workshop 2

- You are expected to come prepared to the computer workshop. This means that you have to make sure that you understand the theoretical concepts behind the questions.
- Solutions will NOT be published on Blackboard. You are expected to raise your questions in the workshops.

This computer session uses `clothing.csv` which contains annual sales data and other characteristics of 400 Dutch men's fashion stores in 1990. The variables are:

Variable	Description
tsales	Annual sales in Dutch guilders
sales	sales per square meter
margin	Gross-profit-margin
nown	Number of owners (managers)
nfull	Number of full-timers
npart	Number of part-timers
naux	Number of helpers (temporary workers)
hoursw	Total number of hours worked
hourspw	Number of hours worked per worker
inv1	Investment in shop-premises
inv2	Investment in automation.
ssize	Sales floor space of the store (in m ²).
start	year start of business

- Plot a histogram for `tsales`. What are the mean and the median? Plot `tsales` against `ssize`.
- Redo a) for `sales`.
- Regress `sales` on `ssize`. Interpret.
- Regress `sales` on `ssize` and `ssize` squared. Interpret. Is there evidence for a nonlinear relationship? If yes, what type of extremum do you find?

-
- (e) Regress sales on nown, nfull, npart, naux, inv1, inv2, ssize and ssize squared.
- (i) Interpret your results.
 - (ii) Is the regression significant?
 - (iii) Test whether $\beta_{\text{inv1}} = 0$.
 - (iv) Test whether $\beta_{\text{nown}} = 1000$.
 - (v) Test whether $\beta_{\text{nfull}} = 2\beta_{\text{npart}}$.
 - (vi) Use a Chow test to see whether the relationship is the same for stores with $\text{start} \leq 40$ and $\text{start} > 40$.
- (f) Plot the squared residuals from the original regression in (e) against the explanatory variables. Do you find evidence of heteroskedasticity? How could you test for heteroskedasticity using a regression?