|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **Mark** |
| **Who?** – is the author | Author background is unknown | Some evidence author works in the area, but very few | Evidence of some publications in the area by the author | Author has several published works in the area | Author is a known authority in the area |  |
| **Score** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **What?** – is the relevance of points made | Contents and arguments of little or no relevance for the task | Only of peripheral/little relevance for the task | Some of the content is relevant to task requirements | Several points made are of relevance to task | Contents and arguments closely match the needs |  |
| **Score** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Where?** – context for the points made | Situation to which author applies points is different to that of the task | Minimal similarity between author’s context and the task context | Author’s situation and that of the task have some similarity | Reasonable similarity between author’s and task context | Author’s context and that of the task very similar |  |
| **Score** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **When?** – was the source published | Date is unknown or older than 20 years old | Old reference – between 10 and 20 years old | References if between 5 and 10 years old | Recent reference – 2 and 5 years old | Up-to-date source – published in last two years |  |
| **Score** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Why?** – author’s reason/purpose | No apparent motivation seen in article | Newspaper (or online) article opinion – not evidenced | Trade magazine/commercial paper – might have some bias | Book source/conference paper or subject interest forum/blog | Academic journal paper – peer reviewed |  |
| **Score** |  |  |  |  |  |  |