

# Final Project Rubric

PPOL670 – Introduction to Data Science

Fall 2020

Student: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_ / 51

## Project Materials

*4 points*

- Report was posted to Canvas as a **.zip** containing the following items:
  - Report was rendered using RMarkdown as any one of the following file types: **.pdf**, **.html**, **.docx**. File was titled **lastname\_firstname\_final\_report.pdf**. (\_\_\_/1 point)
  - **.Rmd** file containing all the code used to generate the analytics in the report. File was titled **lastname\_firstname\_final\_report.Rmd**. (\_\_\_/1 point)
  - Student included the data used in a **Data/** folder. (\_\_\_/1 point)
  - Student included an **.Rproj**. (\_\_\_/1 point)

## Document Presentation

*17 points*

- **Student used professional looking visualizations in the report:**
  - Figures were easy to understand? (\_\_\_/1 point)
  - Figures made sense within the context of the report? (\_\_\_/1 point)
  - Student described the purpose and the insight drawn from the figure in the text? (\_\_\_/1 point)
  - Figures referenced in the text are labeled, i.e. references to “figure 1” correspond to the figure title (e.g. “Figure 1: Title”)? (\_\_\_/1 point)
  - Figures include titles? (\_\_\_/1 point)
  - Figures labels/axes/text are readable? (\_\_\_/1 point)
  - Color scheme made sense; easy to differentiate between colored items (\_\_\_/1 point)
  - Figures were appropriately proportioned to the document? (\_\_\_/1 point)
- **Student used R Markdown for a professional looking report:**
  - Report was rendered without errors or warnings. (\_\_\_/1 point)
  - No code was visible in the report. (\_\_\_/1 point)
  - No raw output was visible in the report. (\_\_\_/1 point)

- Report includes a title and author byline. (\_\_\_/1 point)
- Report includes a word count. (\_\_\_/1 point)
- Report is 12 pages in length (double-spaced; 12 pt font) if rendered as `.pdf/.docx`. (\_\_\_/1 point)
- Report contained no (or few) grammatical/spelling errors. (\_\_\_/1 point)
- Report reads as a single cohesive document. (\_\_\_/1 point)
- Report is 12 pages in length (double-spaced; 12 pt font; if rendered as `.pdf/.docx`) or 3000 words.<sup>1</sup> (\_\_\_/1 point)
- Student cited academic, data, and package sources.
  - \* To cite a package, use `citation("package_name")` to get a the citation information for a package, e.g. `citation("ggplot2")` will yield “*H. Wickham. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York, 2016.*”

## Content

### Points 30

The student’s project sufficiently addressed these general areas.

- **Introduction** (\_\_\_/5 point)
  - Student clearly established the aim of the project.
  - Student offered a clear roadmap of the report (i.e what is covered in the report).
- **Problem Statement and Background** (\_\_\_/5 point)
  - Student offered a clear and complete statement of the problem and/or aim of their analysis.
  - Student included a brief summary of any related work (i.e. a *light* literature review)
- **Data** (\_\_\_/5 point)
  - Student outlined where their data came from.
  - Student clearly specified:
    - \* the unit of observation;
    - \* variables of interest;
    - \* potential issues in the data (e.g. missingness, coverage, etc.)
  - Student articulate the steps they took to wrangle the data.
- **Analysis** (\_\_\_/5 point)
  - Student described the methods/tools they explored in their project.
    - \* Justified the tools/methods that they used.
    - \* Adequately described what the tools/methods are doing.
    - \* Note: Assume the reader is smart but doesn’t know R/Machine Learning well. That is, be crystal clear about what you’re doing and why.
- **Results** (\_\_\_/5 point)

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that your citations do not count against your word/page count.

- Student gave a detailed summary of their results.
  - Student presented their results clearly and concisely.
  - Student used visualizations (and tables) whenever possible/appropriate.
- **Discussion** (\_\_\_/5 point)
  - Student spoke on the “success” of their project (as defined in their proposal).
    - \* “Did you achieve what you set out to do? If not why?”
  - Student articulate how they would expand the analysis if given more time.