

# An Introduction to Motivic Homotopy theory

Thursday, 18 March 2021 09:58

## The origin of Motives (~1960)

$X \in \text{Sm}/F$ , Smooth (separated) schemes  
(for simplicity  $\text{char } F = 0$ ) of finite type over  $F$ .

choose an embedding

• Betti cohomology:  $F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$   
 $H_B^*(X) := H_{\text{sing}}^*(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$  (with Hodge decomposition)

• (Algebraic) de Rham  
 $H_{\text{dR}}^*(X) := H_{\text{zar}}^*(X, \Omega^\bullet_{X/F})$  ( $F$ -vector space)

•  $\ell$ -adic cohomology ( $F \hookrightarrow \bar{F}$ )  
 $H_\ell^*(X) := H_{\text{ét}}^*(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \varprojlim H_{\text{ét}}^*(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$

### Comparison maps

•  $H_B^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong H_{\text{dR}}^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$   
• (similar phenomena happens in)  
 $\text{char } F \neq 0$

### Similarities

• Contravariant functors  
• Take values in different  $F$ -vector spaces  
•  $\dim H_?^*(X) = \dim H^{\dim X}(X) = 1$   
• Satisfy Poincaré duality,  
Künneth theorem  
•  $M(X) = [X]$  (Properties of Weil cohomology theories)

### Grothendieck's dream

There should exist a category of "pure"-Motives through which all Weil cohomology theories should factor.

The idea of motives is to unify all known cohomology theories, and also to give meaning to precise

- [point]
- [projective line] = [line] + [point]
- [projective plane] = [plane] + [line] + [point]  
 $(\mathbb{P}^2 = A^2 \cup A^1 \cup A^0)$

Thm (Grothendieck): The category of (pure)-motives exists iff the standard conjecture of algebraic cycles holds

RMK: When  $\text{char } F = 0$ , the standard conjectures are implied by the Hodge conjecture.

(No serious progress since 1960-1970!)

Deligne proposed to first construct the category of derived motives, that is the derived category of  $M_F$ .

Voevodsky created a category of DM that should function as the derived category of  $M_F$ .

Homotopy theory on Schemes.

It turns out that  $\text{Sm}/F$  is not good enough.

We do not have all small colimits:

"The non-existence of contractions"

$$A^1 \leftarrow \{0, 1\} \longrightarrow A^1 \xrightarrow{\text{Weil cohomology}} \text{abelian } \otimes\text{-category}$$

$$\text{Smooth} \rightsquigarrow V_F \quad \text{Projective varieties} \rightsquigarrow M_F$$

$$M(X) = [X] \quad M(F) = \{[A^1]\}$$

Therefore we need to find a category with all small limits and colimits in which our category of schemes embeds.

$\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}(\text{Sm}/F) \subset \text{PreShv}(\text{Sm}/F)$

$X \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\text{Sm}/F}(-, X) = R_X$

This is the Voevodsky embedding.

This has a disadvantage.

$X = U \cup V$  a Zariski open covering

$$U \cap V \longrightarrow V \quad U \longrightarrow X$$

$$U \cap V \longrightarrow U \quad U \cap V \longrightarrow V$$

$X$  is the categorical union of  $U$  and  $V$ .

But this is not a pushout diagram, that is  $U \cup_{\text{PreShv}} V \rightarrow X$  is not an isomorphism.

So therefore we introduce the Nisnevich topology.

Zariski  $\subset$  Nisnevich  $\subset$  étale.

$\text{DM}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{eff}}$  has many good properties, but sadly this is not the derived category of  $M_F$ .

Thm (Voevodsky): ([Proposition 4.3.8, "Triangulated category of motivic sheaves over a field"])

$\text{DM}_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}$  has no reasonable  $t$ -structure.

Precise statement:

$k$  field s.t. there exists a conic  $X$  over

$k$  with no  $k$ -rational point, then  $\text{DM}_{\text{gm}}^{\text{eff}}$

has no reasonable  $t$ -structure.

$$(D_{\geq 0} \cap D_{\leq 0} = D_0 = \{M\})$$

Choosing  $A^1$  as the replacement for  $I$ , restrict our theory to  $A^1$ -invariant phenomena. There are a lot of cohomology theories that are not  $A^1$ -invariant.

•  $\mathbb{P}$ -adic cohomology

$$H_{\text{ét}}^*(X, \mathbb{Z}_p), \quad \mathbb{P}/p \notin \mathcal{O}_X$$

• Hodge cohomology

$$H^n(X, \Omega^{\wedge n}).$$

However, they are  $\mathbb{P}$ -invariant.

Problem:  $\mathbb{P}^1$  is not contractible.

We construct a gadget  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}} := (\mathbb{P}^1, \infty)$ .

This does not live in algebraic geometry,

but in log geometry.