K3 Seminer

Constheredieck and Leray spectral seguence.

1. Overview (In porticular powertion details in [Weibel]).

2. Derined Jundors

Ababelian collegaries, F: A-> D left exact (0-> A'-> A-> A'-> O exact

That a long h injectives i.e. VAEA, 3 injective object IEA and on injection

AC> I.

Define the Right derived functors of F to be give by R'F(A) = H'(F(I°)), where A C I an injective resolution

There : There exist; surlique up to isomorphism, and short exact segmes in it induce exact segmes in B.

Can we do this for complexes?

Let $A^{\circ} \in Ch_{>}(d)$ be a (homoled below) (10) chain complex. Then, for I° a complex of injectives with a map $i^{\circ}: M^{\circ} \to I^{\circ}$ which is a gamest-iso, it injective $\forall K$,

whe set $R^{\circ}F(M^{\circ}) := H^{\circ}(F(I^{\circ}))$. (Note that if $M^{\circ} = AEO3$, Rissis the some off as before).

Claim: Such an injective resolution exists.

3. Horseshoe Lemma and Cartan-Eilenberg resolution

Horseshoe lenna it abelien w/a ough injectives,

Set $I_A^m = I_B^m \oplus I_C^m$, then the I_A^m form an injective resolution of A, and the column lifts to an exact sequence of complexes $O \to I_B \stackrel{!}{\to} I_A \stackrel{?}{\to} I_C \to o$ in inclusion and \overline{si}_A projection.

A (right) Cartan-Eilenberg resolution I of A is an upper helfplane Del double complex (100 = 0 : f q < 0) cosisting of injective objects of it, logether Wither on any relation A > I st. to. 7. By A = 0 => IP Zero are injective resolutions 2. The raps B (E) : B (I, dh) B (A) $H^{\rho}(\varepsilon): H^{f}(I,d^{h}) \Longrightarrow H^{\rho}(A^{o})$ One can show that A > Tot (I) is a gunsi-iso. Lemna (Ovalto 5.7.2 in [weihel]). Every cochain complex hors a C-E resolution. Proof to lick injective resolutions of BP(A) and HP(A), apply Horsesher to 0-> B'-> ZP-> HP-> 0 to get a SES of warplexes O-> PB-> TZ > IH-0. Apply Horseshoe again to 0 > 2 -> AP -> BPH-> O. to get an injus 0-> Iz -> Ip -> IB -> 0. Now define I'm to be double complex I'm, horizontal differential is composite than the Ip.1, -> Ip. -> Ip. -> Ip. -> Ip. Other props can be chacked. Now we can also define $\mathbb{R}^r F(M^s) = H^r(F(Tot^r(I)))$ for $\mathbb{R}^s \to T$ a $C \in rusdution$, called right hyper-drived functors. 4. Spectral sequence of a filtered complex ... FA'C> F'AC> ... F'A-A (Aida complex , a decreasing filtration on A' is a decreasing filtration FPAK on each A' 5.1. of (FPAK) CFPAKEL ~> subcomplexes FPAC of A'. Will assume VK Il 5.1. FPAKE O. ~> Filtration FPH'(A) = Im (H'(FPA°) -> H'(10°)). Associated graded GrapH'(A°) = FPH'(A°) = FPH'(A°) Theorem: There exist complexes (Epida); dn: En -> En (i) E = Gr A Ptq := FP A Ptq A Ptq and do included by d. (ii) End can be identified with the cohomology of (End, dn) i.e. with Wer (dn: En -> En 19-2+1) / Im (dn: En -> En).

(iii) For pry fixed and a sufficiently large, as have En = Gap HP+9 (A).

5. Two spectral sequences of a double complex & morphisms For a double complex (", we can filter Tot (C) by the columns letting IFATot(C) be the Total corplex of the double subcomplier

(ITEM C) = { C 19 if F 2 9 ... 00 | * *

0 if P < M ... 00 | * * Then IEFE HELCPO, d'), and d': Ha(CPO, d') -> Ha(CPO, d') is induced by on C, so we write IEZ = High (C). Filtering by rows, we get a double complexes * * * ad a Spectral seguence with #EP4 = H4(CP,dh) and #E2 = HV H4 (C) Both of these converge to HPTG (Tot (c)), Prop [Voisin] Norphism of complexes 4: M° -> K° is morphism of CE cooplines &" In > Ix -s Morphism of spectral seguences y common cal from Ez on of IR * A(A') -> R * F(K'). Co-palible with filtrations, converges to the do norphian 6. Guatherdied spectral sequence d, B, E obelier calegories G: vt-> D monghing F: 3-> E left exact to B eroughing Define BED is Fracyclic if R'F(B)=0 for it O. Grotherdiech Spectral Sequence Inppose G sends imjedies of it to F-acyclics of B. The I consequent first quadrant cohon spec seguence & A & Ct. $\mathcal{F}_{2}^{pq} = (R^{p}F)(R^{q}G)(A) \Longrightarrow R^{p+q}(FG)(A).$

Proof: $A \rightarrow I^{\circ}$ injoins G(I) cochain complex. $\rightarrow GE$ resolution $Z \stackrel{\text{specthod}}{}_{S} \stackrel{\text{sequential Epq}}{}_{Z} = H^{p}(R^{q}F)(GI) = >(R^{p+q}F)(GI)$ $\stackrel{\text{each}}{}_{S} G(I^{p}) := F - acyc, So(R^{q}F)(G(I^{p})) = O \stackrel{\text{for } q \neq 0}{}_{S}, S = colloipse Fo$ $(R^{p}F)(GI) = H^{p}(FG(I)) = R^{p}FG(A)$ $\rightarrow I_{E_{2}}^{pq} := (R^{p}F)(H^{q}(GI)) \Rightarrow R^{p}(FG(A))$ $\stackrel{\text{II}}{}_{R^{q}G(A)} := I_{I}^{pq} G(A)$

7. Leray Spectral seguence

Apply the Groffundeck sp. seq. to

g: X-> Y continuous map of top_ sporces.

(g. F)(Y)=F(f'(x))=F(x).

Shewes (X) -> Showers (Y)

 $R^{p+q} \Pi = H^{p+q}$ $E_{2}^{pq} = M(R^{p} \Pi)(R^{q} \pi_{x} \mathcal{F}) \implies R^{p+q} \Pi(\mathcal{F})$ $H^{p}(Y, R^{q} \pi_{x} \mathcal{F}).$ $H^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{F})$

Cup product gives nophisms of spectral sequences