Theorem 1. Given a basis $\{\vec{p}_i\}$ with dual basis $\{\vec{p}_i^*\}$, any vector \vec{r} can be written as:

$$ec{r} = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i^p ec{p_i}, \quad r_i^p = \langle ec{r}, ec{p_i^*}
angle$$

Proof. Since $\{\vec{p}_i\}$ forms a basis, we can write \vec{r} as:

$$\vec{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^p \vec{p}_i$$

Now, consider the inner product of an arbitrary vector \vec{r} and \vec{p}_i^* :

$$\langle \vec{r}, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n r_j^p \vec{p}_j, \vec{p}_i^* \right\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^n r_j^p \langle \vec{p}_j, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^n r_j^p \delta_{ij} = r_i^p$$

Thus:

$$r_i^p = \langle \vec{r}, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle$$

Theorem 2. Given the basis $\{\vec{p}_i\}$ for the vector space \mathcal{V} , any linear operator \mathbb{A} can be represented in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ as the matrix A^p :

$$[\mathbb{A}]^p = A^p = [\langle \mathbb{A}\vec{p_j}, \vec{p_i}^* \rangle]$$

$$\vec{y} = \mathbb{A}\vec{x} \stackrel{\text{alg.}}{\iff} y^p = A^p \underline{x}^p$$

Proof. Let \mathbb{A} be a linear operator on \mathcal{V} , with the basis $\{\vec{p}_i\}$ and dual basis $\{\vec{p}_i^*\}$. Then, let $\vec{y} = \mathbb{A}\vec{x}$ Again, we express \vec{y} and \vec{x} in the p-frame:

$$\vec{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^p \vec{p_i}, \quad \vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^p \vec{p_i}$$

From theorem 1, we have:

$$y_i^p = \langle \vec{y}, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle$$
$$= \langle \mathbb{A}\vec{x}, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle$$

Expressing \vec{x} in the p-frame and using the linearity of \mathbb{A} , we get

$$= \left\langle \mathbb{A} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}^{p} \vec{p}_{j}, \vec{p}_{i}^{*} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}^{p} \mathbb{A} \vec{p}_{j}, \vec{p}_{i}^{*} \right\rangle$$

$$y_{i}^{p} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}^{p} \left\langle \mathbb{A} \vec{p}_{j}, \vec{p}_{i}^{*} \right\rangle$$

This can be written as the following matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbb{A}\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_1^* \rangle & \dots & \langle \mathbb{A}\vec{p}_n, \vec{p}_1^* \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle \mathbb{A}\vec{p}_n, \vec{p}_1^* \rangle & \dots & \langle \mathbb{A}\vec{p}_n, \vec{p}_n^* \rangle \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1^p \\ \vdots \\ x_n^p \end{bmatrix}$$

or:

$$y^p = A^p \underline{x}^p$$

where

$$A^p = [\langle \mathbb{A}\vec{p_j}, \vec{p_i}^* \rangle]$$

Problem 1. Find the matrix representation of the " $\vec{\omega}$ ×" operator in the orthonormal frame $\{\vec{p_i}\}$

Sol.

To find the matrix representation we use Theorem 2:

$$A^{p} = [\langle \mathbb{A}\vec{p_{j}}, \vec{p_{i}}^{*} \rangle]$$
$$= [\langle \vec{\omega} \times \vec{p_{i}}, \vec{p_{i}}^{*} \rangle]$$

First we consider $\omega \times \vec{p_j}$ by expressing ω in the p-frame:

$$\vec{\omega} \times \vec{p}_j = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i^p \vec{p}_i\right) \times \vec{p}_j^*$$
$$= \left(\omega_1^p \vec{p}_1 + \omega_2^p \vec{p}_2 + \omega_3^p + \vec{p}_3\right) \times \vec{p}_j^*$$

Since the p-frame is orthonormal, $\vec{p}_i^* = \vec{p}_i$:

$$\vec{\omega} \times \vec{p_j} = (\omega_1^p \vec{p}_1 + \omega_2^p \vec{p}_2 + \omega_3^p \vec{p}_3) \times \vec{p}_j$$

This cross-product can be computed directly for j = 1, 2, 3:

$$\vec{\omega} \times \vec{p}_1 = -\omega_2^p \vec{p}_3 + \omega_3^p \vec{p}_2$$

$$\vec{\omega} \times \vec{p}_2 = \omega_1^p \vec{p}_3 - \omega_3^p \vec{p}_1$$

$$\vec{\omega} \times \vec{p}_3 = -\omega_1^p \vec{p}_2 + \omega_2^p \vec{p}_1$$

Then, computing the entries of the matrix A^p :

$$a_{ij} = \langle \vec{\omega} \times \vec{p}_j, \vec{p}_i \rangle$$

$$A^p = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\omega_3^p & \omega_2^p \\ \omega_3^p & 0 & -\omega_1^p \\ -\omega_2^p & \omega_1^p & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This matrix is skew-symmetric and is defined by the coordinates of $\underline{\omega}^p$, so we denote the matrix representation of " $\vec{\omega}$ ×" as

$$\left[\vec{\omega}\times\right]^p = S(\underline{\omega}^p)$$

$$\vec{y} = \vec{\omega} \times \vec{x} \iff y^p = S(\underline{\omega}^p)\underline{x}^p$$

Theorem 3. Given two bases $\{\vec{p}_i\}$ and $\{\vec{q}_i\}$ in \mathcal{V} . Let \vec{r} and \mathbb{A} be a vector and a linear operator in \mathcal{V} , respectively. We then have the following relations between matrix representations in the two bases/frames:

$$\underline{r}^q = C_p^q \underline{r}^p \quad where \quad C_p^q = \left[\langle \vec{p_j}, \vec{q}_i^* \rangle \right]$$

$$\underline{r}^p = C^p_q \underline{r}^q \quad \textit{where} \quad C^p_q = [\langle \vec{q}_j, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle]$$

$$A^q = C^q_p A^p C^p_q \quad and \quad A^p = C^p_q A^q C^q_p$$

Proof. Let $\underline{r}^q = C_p^q \underline{r}^p$ where $\underline{r}^q = \begin{bmatrix} r_1^q & \dots & r_n^q \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $\underline{r}^p = \begin{bmatrix} r_1^p & \dots & r_n^p \end{bmatrix}^T$. Then,

$$r_i^q = \langle \vec{r}, \vec{q}_i^* \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{ij} r_j^p$$

Rearranging and expressing \vec{r} in the p-frame:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{ij} r_j^p = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j \vec{p}_j, \vec{q}_i^* \right\rangle$$

By linearity of the inner product, we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{ij} r_{j}^{p} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle \vec{p}_{j}, \vec{q}_{i}^{*} \rangle r_{j}^{p}$$

$$\Longrightarrow C_{ij} = \langle \vec{p}_{j}, \vec{q}_{i}^{*} \rangle$$

thus

$$C_p^q = [\langle \vec{p_j}, \vec{q_i}^* \rangle]$$

The same procedure can be used to find C_q^p .

Now, consider the equation $\vec{y} = \mathbb{A}\vec{x}$ where \mathbb{A} is some linear operator on \mathcal{V} . We can coordinatize this equation in the p and q-frames:

$$y^q = A^q \underline{x}^q$$
 and $y^p = A^p \underline{x}^p$

From above, we also have the following relations

$$y^q = C_p^q y^p$$
 and $y^p = C_q^p y^q$

By substitution, we derive:

$$A^{q}\underline{x}^{q} = \underline{y}^{q}$$

$$= C_{q}^{p}\underline{y}^{p}$$

$$= C_{q}^{p}A^{p}\underline{x}^{p}$$

$$= C_{q}^{p}A^{p}C_{q}^{p}\underline{x}^{q}$$

And thus

$$A^q = C_q^p A^p C_q^p$$

Again, the same procedure can be used to show that $A^p = C_p^q A^q C_p^q$

Theorem 4. The Direction Cosine Matrix (DCM) between two frames whose bases are orthonormal, R_v^q , is an orthonormal matrix:

$$(R_p^q)^{-1} = (R_p^q)^T$$

Proof. From the definition of the DCM:

$$\begin{split} R_p^q &= \begin{bmatrix} \underline{p}_1^{q} & \underline{p}_2^q & \underline{p}_3^q \end{bmatrix} \\ (R_p^q)^T &= \begin{bmatrix} (\underline{p}_1^q)^T \\ (\underline{p}_2^q)^T \\ (p_2^q)^T \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

Then, we compute $(R_p^q)^T R_p^q$

$$(R_p^q)^T R_p^q = \left[\left\langle \underline{p}_i^q, \underline{p}_j^q \right\rangle \right] = [\delta_{ij}]$$

= I

thus,
$$(R_p^q)^{-1} = (R_p^q)^T$$

Theorem 5. The matrix representation of the rotation operator \mathbb{R}_{ab} in two frames $\mathcal{F}^a_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\mathcal{F}^b_{\mathcal{V}}$ is

$$\left[\mathbb{R}_{ab}\right]^a = \left[\mathbb{R}_{ab}\right]^b = C_b^a$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\mathbb{R}_{ab}\right]^{a} &= R_{ab}^{a} = \left[\left\langle \mathbb{R}_{ab} \vec{a}_{i}, \vec{a}_{j}^{*} \right\rangle \right] \\ &= \left[\left\langle \vec{b}_{i}, \vec{a}_{j}^{*} \right\rangle \right] \\ &= C_{b}^{a} \end{aligned}$$

Using the "similarity transformation" of the R_{ab}^a :

$$\begin{split} R^b_{ab} &= C^b_a R^a_{ab} C^a_b \\ &= C^b_a C^a_b C^a_b \\ &= C^a_b \end{split}$$

And thus $R_{ab}^b=R_{ab}^a$

Theorem 6. The derivative of the rotation matrix R_p^q is given by

$$\dot{R}_p^q = S(\underline{w}_p^{qq}) R_p^q$$
$$= R_p^q S(\underline{w}_p^{qp})$$

 ${\it Proof.}$ Since R_p^q is a rotation matrix (orthonormal), we have

$$(R_p^q)^{-1} = (R_p^q)^T$$

$$\Longrightarrow R_p^q (R_p^q)^T = I$$

Taking the derivative on both sides and applying the product rule gives

$$\dot{R}_{p}^{q}(R_{p}^{q})^{T} + R_{p}^{q}(\dot{R}_{p}^{q})^{T} = 0$$

We now define the matrix $S = \dot{R}_p^q (R_p^q)^T$ such that

$$S + S^T = 0$$

This means S is some skew-symmetric matrix, with form

$$S(\underline{w}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\omega_3 & \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 & 0 & -\omega_1 \\ -\omega_2 & \omega_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

From our definition, we have that $\dot{R}_p^q = S(\underline{\omega})R_p^q$. Finally, we want to find an interpretation of the vector $\underline{\omega}$. Writing this equation out by interpreting R_p^q as an attitude matrix gives

$$\begin{split} \left[\underline{\dot{p}}_{1}^{q} \quad \underline{\dot{p}}_{2}^{q} \quad \underline{\dot{p}}_{3}^{q} \right] &= S(\underline{\omega}) \left[\underline{p}_{1}^{q} \quad \underline{p}_{2}^{q} \quad \underline{p}_{3}^{q} \right] \\ \\ \underline{\dot{p}}_{i}^{q} &= S(\underline{\omega}) \underline{p}_{i}^{q} \\ &= \underline{\omega} \times p_{i}^{q} \end{split}$$

We therefore interpret $\underline{\omega}$ as the angular velocity of of the p-frame seen from the q-frame, and represented in the q-frame:

$$\dot{R}_p^q = S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qq}) R_p^q$$

Since $S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qq})$ is a linear operator, we can apply express it using the similarity transform:

$$\begin{split} S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qq}) &= \left[\overrightarrow{\omega}_p^q \times \right]^q \\ &= R_p^q \left[\overrightarrow{\omega}_p^q \times \right]^p R_q^p \\ &= R_p^q S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qp}) R_p^p \end{split}$$

Inserting this into the equation above gives

$$\begin{split} \dot{R}_{p}^{q} &= S(\underline{\omega}_{p}^{qq}) R_{p}^{q} \\ &= R_{p}^{q} S(\underline{\omega}_{p}^{qp}) R_{p}^{p} R_{p}^{q} \\ &= R_{p}^{q} S(\underline{\omega}_{p}^{qp}) \end{split}$$

Theorem 7. The derivative of the DCM C_p^q is given by

$$\dot{C}_p^q = S(\underline{w}_p^{qq}) C_p^q$$
$$= C_p^q S(\underline{w}_p^{qp})$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} C_p^q &= \begin{bmatrix} \underline{p}_1^q & \underline{p}_2^q & \underline{p}_3^q \end{bmatrix} \\ \dot{C}_p^q &= \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\underline{p}}_1^q & \dot{\underline{p}}_2^q & \dot{\underline{p}}_3^q \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

Here we use the result from the proof above

$$\begin{split} & \underline{\dot{p}}_{i}^{q} = S(\underline{\omega}_{p}^{qq})\underline{p}_{i} \\ & \dot{C}_{p}^{q} = \begin{bmatrix} S(\underline{\omega}_{p}^{qq})\underline{p}_{1}^{q} & S(\underline{\omega}_{p}^{qq})\underline{p}_{2}^{q} & S(\underline{\omega}_{p}^{qq})\underline{p}_{3}^{q} \end{bmatrix} \\ & \dot{C}_{p}^{q} = S(\underline{\omega}_{p}^{qq})C_{p}^{q} \end{split}$$

Using the similarity transform we also get $\dot{C}_p^q = C_p^q S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qp})$

Theorem 8. The derivative of a vector \vec{r} which is fixed in the rotating frame $\mathcal{F}^p_{\mathcal{V}}(t)$, seen from the (fixed) frame $\mathcal{F}^q_{\mathcal{V}}$ is

$$\dot{\vec{r}}^q = \vec{\omega}_n^q \times \vec{r}$$

Proof. The vector \vec{r} can be expressed in the p-frame as

$$\vec{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} r_i^p \vec{p}_i$$

Where r_i^p are constant, as the vector is fixed in the p-frame. Taking the time-derivative seen from the q-frame:

$$\dot{\vec{r}}^q = \sum_{i=3}^3 r_i^p \dot{\vec{p}}_i^q$$

From previously, we have that $\dot{\vec{p}}_i = \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \vec{p}_i$, where $\vec{\omega}_p^q$ is the angular velocity of the the p-frame relative to the q-frame. Inserting this and using the fact that " $\vec{\omega}$ ×" is linear gives

$$\begin{split} \dot{\vec{r}}^q &= \sum_{i=1}^3 r_i^p (\vec{\omega}_q^p \times \dot{\vec{p}}_i^q) \\ &= \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i^p \vec{p}_i^q \right) \\ &= \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \vec{r} \end{split}$$

Theorem 9. The derivative of a vector \vec{r} which is fixed in the rotating frame $\mathcal{F}^p_{\mathcal{V}}(t)$, seen from the (fixed) frame $\mathcal{F}^q_{\mathcal{V}}$ is

$$\dot{\vec{r}}^q = \vec{\omega}_n^q \times \vec{r}$$