

Theorem 1. Given a basis $\{\vec{p}_i\}$ with dual basis $\{\vec{p}_i^*\}$, any vector \vec{r} can be written as:

$$\vec{r} = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i^p \vec{p}_i, \quad r_i^p = \langle \vec{r}, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle$$

Proof. Since $\{\vec{p}_i\}$ forms a basis, we can write \vec{r} as:

$$\vec{r} = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i^p \vec{p}_i$$

Now, consider the inner product of an arbitrary vector \vec{r} and \vec{p}_i^* :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{r}, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n r_j^p \vec{p}_j, \vec{p}_i^* \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n r_j^p \langle \vec{p}_j, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n r_j^p \delta_{ij} = r_i^p \end{aligned}$$

Thus:

$$r_i^p = \langle \vec{r}, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle$$

□

Theorem 2. Given the basis $\{\vec{p}_i\}$ for the vector space \mathcal{V} , any linear operator \mathbb{A} can be represented in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ as the matrix A^p :

$$[\mathbb{A}]^p = A^p = [\langle \mathbb{A} \vec{p}_j, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle]$$

$$\vec{y} = \mathbb{A} \vec{x} \xLeftrightarrow{\text{alg.}} \underline{y}^p = A^p \underline{x}^p$$

Proof. Let \mathbb{A} be a linear operator on \mathcal{V} , with the basis $\{\vec{p}_i\}$ and dual basis $\{\vec{p}_i^*\}$. Then, let $\vec{y} = \mathbb{A} \vec{x}$. Again, we express \vec{y} and \vec{x} in the p-frame:

$$\vec{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^p \vec{p}_i, \quad \vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p \vec{p}_i$$

From theorem 1, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} y_i^p &= \langle \vec{y}, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbb{A} \vec{x}, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Expressing \vec{x} in the p-frame and using the linearity of \mathbb{A} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left\langle \mathbb{A} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^p \vec{p}_j, \vec{p}_i^* \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^p \mathbb{A} \vec{p}_j, \vec{p}_i^* \right\rangle \\ y_i^p &= \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^p \langle \mathbb{A} \vec{p}_j, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This can be written as the following matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbb{A} \vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_1^* \rangle & \dots & \langle \mathbb{A} \vec{p}_n, \vec{p}_1^* \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle \mathbb{A} \vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_n^* \rangle & \dots & \langle \mathbb{A} \vec{p}_n, \vec{p}_n^* \rangle \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1^p \\ \vdots \\ x_n^p \end{bmatrix}$$

or:

$$\underline{y}^p = A^p \underline{x}^p$$

where

$$A^p = [\langle \mathbb{A} \vec{p}_j, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle]$$

□

Problem 1. Find the matrix representation of the " $\vec{\omega} \times$ " operator in the orthonormal frame $\{\vec{p}_i\}$

Sol.

To find the matrix representation we use Theorem 2:

$$\begin{aligned} A^p &= [\langle \mathbb{A} \vec{p}_j, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle] \\ &= [\langle \vec{\omega} \times \vec{p}_j, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle] \end{aligned}$$

First we consider $\vec{\omega} \times \vec{p}_j$ by expressing $\vec{\omega}$ in the p-frame:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\omega} \times \vec{p}_j &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i^p \vec{p}_i \right) \times \vec{p}_j^* \\ &= (\omega_1^p \vec{p}_1 + \omega_2^p \vec{p}_2 + \omega_3^p \vec{p}_3) \times \vec{p}_j^* \end{aligned}$$

Since the p-frame is orthonormal, $\vec{p}_i^* = \vec{p}_i$:

$$\vec{\omega} \times \vec{p}_j = (\omega_1^p \vec{p}_1 + \omega_2^p \vec{p}_2 + \omega_3^p \vec{p}_3) \times \vec{p}_j$$

This cross-product can be computed directly for $j = 1, 2, 3$:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\omega} \times \vec{p}_1 &= -\omega_2^p \vec{p}_3 + \omega_3^p \vec{p}_2 \\ \vec{\omega} \times \vec{p}_2 &= \omega_1^p \vec{p}_3 - \omega_3^p \vec{p}_1 \\ \vec{\omega} \times \vec{p}_3 &= -\omega_1^p \vec{p}_2 + \omega_2^p \vec{p}_1\end{aligned}$$

Then, computing the entries of the matrix A^p :

$$\begin{aligned}a_{ij} &= \langle \vec{\omega} \times \vec{p}_j, \vec{p}_i \rangle \\ A^p &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\omega_3^p & \omega_2^p \\ \omega_3^p & 0 & -\omega_1^p \\ -\omega_2^p & \omega_1^p & 0 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

This matrix is skew-symmetric and is defined by the coordinates of $\underline{\omega}^p$, so we denote the matrix representation of " $\vec{\omega} \times$ " as

$$[\vec{\omega} \times]^p = S(\underline{\omega}^p)$$

$$\vec{y} = \vec{\omega} \times \vec{x} \xLeftrightarrow{\text{alg.}} \underline{y}^p = S(\underline{\omega}^p) \underline{x}^p$$

Theorem 3. *Given two bases $\{\vec{p}_i\}$ and $\{\vec{q}_i\}$ in \mathcal{V} . Let \vec{r} and \mathbb{A} be a vector and a linear operator in \mathcal{V} , respectively. We then have the following relations between matrix representations in the two bases/frames:*

$$\underline{r}^q = C_p^q \underline{r}^p \quad \text{where} \quad C_p^q = [\langle \vec{p}_j, \vec{q}_i^* \rangle]$$

$$\underline{r}^p = C_q^p \underline{r}^q \quad \text{where} \quad C_q^p = [\langle \vec{q}_j, \vec{p}_i^* \rangle]$$

$$A^q = C_p^q A^p C_q^p \quad \text{and} \quad A^p = C_q^p A^q C_p^q$$

Proof. Let $\underline{r}^q = C_p^q \underline{r}^p$ where $\underline{r}^q = [r_1^q \ \dots \ r_n^q]^T$ and $\underline{r}^p = [r_1^p \ \dots \ r_n^p]^T$. Then,

$$r_i^q = \langle \vec{r}, \vec{q}_i^* \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij} r_j^p$$

Rearranging and expressing \vec{r} in the p-frame:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij} r_j^p = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n r_j \vec{p}_j, \vec{q}_i^* \right\rangle$$

By linearity of the inner product, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij} r_j^p &= \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \vec{p}_j, \vec{q}_i^* \rangle r_j^p \\ \implies C_{ij} &= \langle \vec{p}_j, \vec{q}_i^* \rangle\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$C_p^q = [\langle \vec{p}_j, \vec{q}_i^* \rangle]$$

The same procedure can be used to find C_q^p .

Now, consider the equation $\vec{y} = \mathbb{A}\vec{x}$ where \mathbb{A} is some linear operator on \mathcal{V} . We can coordinatize this equation in the p and q-frames:

$$\underline{y}^q = A^q \underline{x}^q \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{y}^p = A^p \underline{x}^p$$

From above, we also have the following relations

$$\underline{y}^q = C_p^q \underline{y}^p \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{y}^p = C_q^p \underline{y}^q$$

By substitution, we derive:

$$\begin{aligned}A^q \underline{x}^q &= \underline{y}^q \\ &= C_q^p \underline{y}^p \\ &= C_q^p A^p \underline{x}^p \\ &= C_q^p A^p C_q^p \underline{x}^q\end{aligned}$$

And thus

$$A^q = C_q^p A^p C_q^p$$

Again, the same procedure can be used to show that $A^p = C_p^q A^q C_p^q$ \square

Theorem 4. *The Direction Cosine Matrix (DCM) between two frames whose bases are orthonormal, R_p^q , is an orthonormal matrix:*

$$(R_p^q)^{-1} = (R_p^q)^T$$

Proof. From the definition of the DCM:

$$\begin{aligned}R_p^q &= [\underline{p}_1^q \quad \underline{p}_2^q \quad \underline{p}_3^q] \\ (R_p^q)^T &= \begin{bmatrix} (\underline{p}_1^q)^T \\ (\underline{p}_2^q)^T \\ (\underline{p}_3^q)^T \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Then, we compute $(R_p^q)^T R_p^q$

$$\begin{aligned}(R_p^q)^T R_p^q &= \left[\left\langle \underline{p}_i^q, \underline{p}_j^q \right\rangle \right] = [\delta_{ij}] \\ &= I\end{aligned}$$

thus, $(R_p^q)^{-1} = (R_p^q)^T$ \square

Theorem 5. *The matrix representation of the rotation operator \mathbb{R}_{ab} in two frames $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^a$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}}^b$ is*

$$[\mathbb{R}_{ab}]^a = [\mathbb{R}_{ab}]^b = C_b^a$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{R}_{ab}]^a &= R_{ab}^a = [\langle \mathbb{R}_{ab} \vec{a}_i, \vec{a}_j^* \rangle] \\ &= [\langle \vec{b}_i, \vec{a}_j^* \rangle] \\ &= C_b^a \end{aligned}$$

Using the "similarity transformation" of the R_{ab}^a :

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ab}^b &= C_a^b R_{ab}^a C_b^a \\ &= C_a^b C_b^a C_b^a \\ &= C_b^a \end{aligned}$$

And thus $R_{ab}^b = R_{ab}^a$

□

Theorem 6. *The derivative of the rotation matrix R_p^q is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{R}_p^q &= S(\underline{w}_p^{qq}) R_p^q \\ &= R_p^q S(\underline{w}_p^{qp}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since R_p^q is a rotation matrix (orthonormal), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R_p^q)^{-1} &= (R_p^q)^T \\ \implies R_p^q (R_p^q)^T &= I \end{aligned}$$

Taking the derivative on both sides and applying the product rule gives

$$\dot{R}_p^q (R_p^q)^T + R_p^q (\dot{R}_p^q)^T = 0$$

We now define the matrix $S = \dot{R}_p^q (R_p^q)^T$ such that

$$S + S^T = 0$$

This means S is some skew-symmetric matrix, with form

$$S(\underline{w}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\omega_3 & \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 & 0 & -\omega_1 \\ -\omega_2 & \omega_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

From our definition, we have that $\dot{R}_p^q = S(\underline{\omega})R_p^q$. Finally, we want to find an interpretation of the vector $\underline{\omega}$. Writing this equation out by interpreting R_p^q as an attitude matrix gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{p}_1^q & \dot{p}_2^q & \dot{p}_3^q \end{bmatrix} = S(\underline{\omega}) \begin{bmatrix} p_1^q & p_2^q & p_3^q \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p}_i^q &= S(\underline{\omega})p_i^q \\ &= \underline{\omega} \times p_i^q \end{aligned}$$

We therefore interpret $\underline{\omega}$ as the angular velocity of the p-frame seen from the q-frame, and represented in the q-frame:

$$\dot{R}_p^q = S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qq})R_p^q$$

Since $S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qq})$ is a linear operator, we can apply express it using the similarity transform:

$$\begin{aligned} S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qq}) &= [\vec{\omega}_p^q \times]^q \\ &= R_p^q [\vec{\omega}_p^q \times]^p R_q^p \\ &= R_p^q S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qp}) R_q^p \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into the equation above gives

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{R}_p^q &= S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qq})R_p^q \\ &= R_p^q S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qp}) R_q^p R_q^p \\ &= R_p^q S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qp}) \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 7. *The derivative of the DCM C_p^q is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{C}_p^q &= S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qq})C_p^q \\ &= C_p^q S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qp}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} C_p^q &= \begin{bmatrix} p_1^q & p_2^q & p_3^q \end{bmatrix} \\ \dot{C}_p^q &= \begin{bmatrix} \dot{p}_1^q & \dot{p}_2^q & \dot{p}_3^q \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the result from the proof above

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p}_i^q &= S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qq})p_i^q \\ \dot{C}_p^q &= \begin{bmatrix} S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qq})p_1^q & S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qq})p_2^q & S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qq})p_3^q \end{bmatrix} \\ \dot{C}_p^q &= S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qq})C_p^q \end{aligned}$$

Using the similarity transform we also get $\dot{C}_p^q = C_p^q S(\underline{\omega}_p^{qp})$

□

Theorem 8. *The derivative of a vector \vec{r} which is fixed in the rotating frame $\mathcal{F}_V^p(t)$, seen from the (fixed) frame \mathcal{F}_V^q is*

$$\dot{\vec{r}}^q = \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \vec{r}$$

Proof. The vector \vec{r} can be expressed in the p-frame as

$$\vec{r} = \sum_{i=1}^3 r_i^p \vec{p}_i$$

Where r_i^p are constant, as the vector is fixed in the p-frame. Taking the time-derivative seen from the q-frame:

$$\dot{\vec{r}}^q = \sum_{i=1}^3 r_i^p \dot{\vec{p}}_i^q$$

From previously, we have that $\dot{\vec{p}}_i = \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \vec{p}_i$, where $\vec{\omega}_p^q$ is the angular velocity of the p-frame relative to the q-frame. Inserting this and using the fact that " $\vec{\omega} \times$ " is linear gives

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\vec{r}}^q &= \sum_{i=1}^3 r_i^p (\vec{\omega}_p^q \times \vec{p}_i) \\ &= \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 r_i^p \vec{p}_i \right) \\ &= \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \vec{r} \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 9. *The derivative of a vector $\vec{r}(t)$ which is time-varying in a rotating frame $\mathcal{F}_V^p(t)$, seen from the (fixed) frame \mathcal{F}_V^q is*

$$\dot{\vec{r}}^q = \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \vec{r}$$

Proof. We again coordinatize $\vec{r}(t)$, now with time-varying coordinates $r_i^p(t)$:

$$\vec{r}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i^p(t) \vec{p}_i(t)$$

Taking the derivative seen from the q-frame and applying the product rule gives

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\vec{r}}^q &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \dot{r}_i^p \vec{p}_i + \sum_{i=1}^3 r_i^p \dot{\vec{p}}_i^q \\ \dot{\vec{r}}^q &= \dot{\vec{r}}^p + \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \vec{r} \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 10. Given two frames $\mathcal{F}_V^q = \{O_q; \vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2, \vec{q}_3\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_V^p = \{O_p; \vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_3\}$ and a point P . Let

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{r} &= P - O_q \\ \vec{\rho} &= P - O_p \\ \vec{r}_{qp} &= O_p - O_q\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have the following relations for the velocity and acceleration seen from the q - and p -systems:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{r} &= \vec{r}_{qp} + \vec{\rho} \\ \dot{\vec{r}}^q &= \dot{\vec{r}}_{qp}^q + \dot{\vec{\rho}}^p + \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \vec{\rho} \\ \ddot{\vec{r}}^{qq} &= \ddot{\vec{r}}_{qp}^{qq} + \ddot{\vec{\rho}}^{pp} + \dot{\vec{\omega}}_p^{qq} \times \vec{\rho} + \vec{\omega}_p^q \times (\vec{\omega}_p^q \times \vec{\rho}) + 2\vec{\omega}_p^q \times \dot{\vec{\rho}}^p\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first relation of the position vectors can be computed directly

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{r}_{qp} + \vec{\rho} &= (O_p - O_q) + (P - O_p) \\ \vec{r}_{qp} + \vec{\rho} &= \vec{r}\end{aligned}$$

In this construction, the position vector $\vec{\rho}$ and its derivatives can be considered as in the proof for theorem 9, so we have

$$\dot{\vec{\rho}}^q = \dot{\vec{\rho}}^p + \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \vec{\rho}$$

We take the q -frame derivative of both sides of the position vector equation, and apply this theorem recursively for $\vec{\rho}$ and its derivatives, as well as the product rule for the cross-product derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{r} &= \vec{r}_{qp} + \vec{\rho} \\ \dot{\vec{r}}^q &= \dot{\vec{r}}_{qp}^q + \dot{\vec{\rho}}^q \\ &= \underline{\dot{\vec{r}}_{qp}^q + \dot{\vec{\rho}}^p + \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \vec{\rho}}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\vec{r}}^{qq} &= \frac{^q d}{dt} \left[\dot{\vec{r}}_{qp}^q + \dot{\vec{\rho}}^p + \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \vec{\rho} \right] \\ &= \ddot{\vec{r}}_{qp}^{qq} + \ddot{\vec{\rho}}^{pp} + \left\{ \dot{\vec{\omega}}_p^{qq} \times \vec{\rho} + \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \dot{\vec{\rho}}^q \right\} \\ &= \ddot{\vec{r}}_{qp}^{qq} + \left(\ddot{\vec{\rho}}^{pp} + \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \dot{\vec{\rho}}^p \right) + \left\{ \dot{\vec{\omega}}_p^{qq} \times \vec{\rho} + \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \left(\dot{\vec{\rho}}^p + \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \vec{\rho} \right) \right\} \\ &= \ddot{\vec{r}}_{qp}^{qq} + \ddot{\vec{\rho}}^{pp} + \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \dot{\vec{\rho}}^p + \dot{\vec{\omega}}_p^{qq} \times \vec{\rho} + \vec{\omega}_p^q \times \dot{\vec{\rho}}^p + \vec{\omega}_p^q \times (\vec{\omega}_p^q \times \vec{\rho}) \\ \ddot{\vec{r}}^{qq} &= \underline{\ddot{\vec{r}}_{qp}^{qq} + \ddot{\vec{\rho}}^{pp} + \dot{\vec{\omega}}_p^{qq} \times \vec{\rho} + \vec{\omega}_p^q \times (\vec{\omega}_p^q \times \vec{\rho}) + 2\vec{\omega}_p^q \times \dot{\vec{\rho}}^p}\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 11. Assume Newton's 3rd law holds for the force between particles, i.e $\vec{f}_{ij} = -\vec{f}_{ji}$. Then, the total external force \vec{F} is equal to the total mass M times the acceleration of the center of mass \vec{a}_c seen from the inertial frame:

$$\vec{F} = M \frac{d^2 \vec{r}_c}{dt^2} = M \vec{a}_c$$

Proof. The center of mass is defined as

$$\vec{r}_c = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \vec{r}_i$$

Where m_i is the mass of particle i with position vector \vec{r}_i . The acceleration of the center of mass in the inertial frame \vec{a}_c is found by differentiating twice

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a}_c &= \ddot{\vec{r}}_c \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \ddot{\vec{r}}_i \\ \implies M \vec{a}_c &= \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \ddot{\vec{r}}_i \end{aligned}$$

Newton's law for a single particle states

$$m_i \ddot{\vec{r}}_i = \vec{F}_i$$

Where \vec{F}_i is the total force on the particle, i.e is the sum of internal and external forces on the particle

$$\vec{F}_i = \vec{f}_i + \sum_{j=1}^n \vec{f}_{ji} = m_i \ddot{\vec{r}}_i$$

Substituting this into the n-particle equation gives

$$M \vec{a}_c = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\vec{f}_i + \sum_{j=1}^n \vec{f}_{ji} \right)$$

Since $\vec{f}_{ij} + \vec{f}_{ji} = 0$, the contribution from internal forces is zero, and the sum reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} M \vec{a}_c &= \sum_{i=1}^n \vec{f}_i \\ M \vec{a}_c &= \vec{F} \end{aligned}$$

□