# Notes on *Analysis* by Terrence Tao

Yuanhao Chen

January 25, 2021

# A Appendix: the basics of mathematical logic

#### A.1 Notes

#### A.1.1 Theorems

**Axiom A.1** (Reflexive axiom). *Given any object x, we have x = x.* 

**Axiom A.2** (Symmetry axiom). Given any two objects x and y of the same type, if x = y, then y = x.

**Axiom A.3** (Transitive axiom). Given any three objects x, y, z of the same type, if x = y and y = z, then x = z.

**Axiom A.4** (Substitution axiom). Given any two objects x and y of the same type, if x = y, then f(x) = f(y) for all functions or operations f. Similarly, for any property P(x) depending on x, if x = y, then P(x) and P(y) are equivalent statements.

#### A.1.2 Remarks

I started reading Appendix A realising my lack of sophistication with Logic after finishing Chapter 2.

Discussing cases in a proof is a common example of using vacuously true implications for a non-trivial result, e.g., if we want to prove that P(x) is true for some integer x, we can prove the implications that if x is even, then P(x) is true and that if x is odd, then P(x) is true, even if one implication must have a false hypothesis because x cannot be both even and false and thus be vacuous.

Equality is also worth reviewing. I was indecisive whether it was legitimate to add a number to both sides of an equality when doing the exercises in Chapter 2. It actually follows the *substitution axiom* of equality.

#### A.2 Practices

Exercise A.1.1. Both *X* and *Y* are true, or both are false.

Exercise A.1.2. Either *X* is true, or *Y* is true, but not both.

**Exercise A.1.3.** Yes, because they are *equally* true or *equally* false, and they can only be true or false.

**Exercise A.1.4.** No, because that *Y* is true does not necessarily mean that *X* is true. For example, *X* is "a = 3"; *Y* is " $a^2 = 9$ ".

Exercise A.1.5. Yes, because if X is true, then Y is true, then Z is true; if Z is true, then Y is true, then X is true. They are equally true or equally false.

#### Exercise A.1.6. Yes, likewise.

# Exercise A.5.1.

- (a)  $\iff \forall (x, y) \in (\mathbf{R}^+)^2 : y^2 = x$ , which is a false statement (e.g. x = 1, y = 2).
- (b)  $\iff \exists x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+ : y^2 = x$ , which is a false statement.

*Proof.* For the sake of contradiction, suppose that the statement holds for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ; so  $y^2 = x$ . But for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $y^2 = x$ , so  $(y+1)^2 = x$  where  $y+1 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ; so  $y^2 = x - (2y+1) = x$ ; so 2y+1 = 0. But 2y+1 is positive, so there is a contradiction.

- (c)  $\iff \exists (x, y) \in (\mathbf{R}^+)^2 : y^2 = x$ , which is a true statement (e.g. x = 1, y = 1).
- (d)  $\iff \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad \exists x \in \mathbb{R}^+ : y^2 = x$ , which is a true statement.

*Proof.* Let  $a := y^2$ , so  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$  because  $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Thus, there exists some  $x = a \in \mathbb{R}^+$  which satisfies the statement.

(e)  $\iff \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+ : y^2 = x$ , which is a false statement (likewise).

# Exercise A.7.1.

*Proof.* Given a = b and c = d,

we have 
$$b = a$$
 (Symmetry axiom)  
 $\implies b + c = a + c$  (Substitution axiom)  
but  $a = a$  (Reflexive axiom)  
 $\implies a + c = a + c$  (Substitution axiom)  
 $\implies a + c = a + d$  (Substitution axiom)  
so  $b + c = a + d$  (Transitive axiom)  
 $\implies a + d = b + c$ . (Symmetry axiom)

# 2 Starting at the beginning: the natural numbers

#### 2.1 Notes

#### 2.1.1 Theorems

**Axiom 2.1.** 0 is a natural number.

**Axiom 2.2.** *If* n *is a natural number, then* n++ *is also a natural number.* 

**Axiom 2.3.** 0 is not the successor of any natural number; i.e., we have  $n++ \neq 0$  for every natural number n.

**Axiom 2.4.** If n, m are natural numbers and  $n \neq m$ , then  $n++\neq m++$ .

**Axiom 2.5** (Principle of mathematical induction). Let P(n) be any property pertaining to a natural number n. Suppose that P(0) is true, and suppose that whenever P(n) is true, P(n+1) is also true. Then P(n) is true for every natural number n.

**Definition 2.1** (Addition of natural numbers). Let m be a natural number. To add zero to m, we define 0 + m := m. Now suppose inductively that we have defined how to add n to m. Then we can add n++ to m by defining (n++)+m:=(n+m)++.

**Definition 2.2** (Ordering of the natural numbers). Let n and m be natural numbers. We say that n is greater than or equal to m, and write  $n \ge m$  or  $m \le n$ , iff we have n = m + a for some natural number a. We say that n is strictly greater than m, and write n > m or m < n, iff  $n \ge m$  and  $n \ne m$ .

#### 2.1.2 Remarks

Axiom 2.5 and the concept of the vacuous truth need further reflection.

#### 2.2 Practices

Notice that we can prove easily, using Axioms 2.1, 2.2, and induction (Axiom 2.5), that the sum of two natural numbers is again a natural number (why?).

As a particular corollary of Lemma 2.2.2 and Lemma 2.2.3 we see that n++=n+1 (why?).

Proof.

$$n+=(n+0)++$$
 (Lemma 2.2.2)  
=  $n+(0++)$  (Lemma 2.2.3)  
=  $n+1$ .

Exercise 2.2.1 (Addition is associative). For any natural numbers a, b, c, we have (a + b) + c = a + (b + c).

*Proof.* We use induction on b. The base case (a + 0) + c = a + (0 + c) follows as both sides equal a + c. Suppose inductively that (a + b) + c = a + (b + c). We have to prove that [a + (b++)] + c = a + [(b++) + c]. The left side

$$[a + (b++)] + c = [(a+b)++] + c$$
$$= [(a+b)+c]++.$$

The right side

$$a + [(b++) + c] = a + [(b++) + c]$$
  
=  $[a + (b+c)] + +$ ,

which is equal to the left side by the inductive hypothesis.

**Exercise 2.2.2.** Let a be a positive number. Then there exists exactly one natural number b such that b++=a.

*Proof.* (Existence) We use induction on a. The base case follows as 0 is not a positive number. Suppose inductively that b+=a. Then (b++)+=a++, where b++ is a natural number. (Uniqueness) Suppose for the sake of contradiction that b and c are different natural numbers such that b+=a and c+=a. Because  $b\neq c$ ,  $b++\neq c++$ . There is a contradiction that b++=c++.

Exercise 2.2.3 (Basic properties of order for natural numbers). Let a, b, c be natural numbers. Then

(a) (Order is reflexive)  $a \ge a$ .

*Proof.* 
$$a = a + 0$$
.

(b) (Order is transitive) If  $a \ge b$  and  $b \ge c$ , then  $a \ge c$ .

*Proof.* a = b + m and b = c + n for some natural numbers m, n. Then a = (c + n) + m = c + nc + (n + m), where n + m is a natural number. (c) (Order is anti-symmetric) If  $a \ge b$  and  $b \ge a$ , then a = b. *Proof.* a = b + m and b = a + n for some natural numbers m, n. Then a = (a + n) + m = a + na + (n + m), which leads to that 0 = n + m. It follows that n = m = 0. Therefore, a = b + 0 = b. (d) (Addition preserves order)  $a \ge b$  if and only if  $a + c \ge b + c$ . *Proof.* (1) If  $a \ge b$ , a = b + m for some natural number m. Then a + c = (b + m) + c = b + m(b+c)+m, which means that  $a+c \ge b+c$ . (2) If  $a+c \ge b+c$ , a+c=b+c+n for some natural number n. It follows that a + c = b + n + c, and thus that a = b + n, which means that a > b. (e) a < b if and only if  $a + 1 \le b$ . *Proof.* (1) If a < b, a + m = b for some natural number m and  $a \ne b$ . Suppose for the sake of contradiction that m = 0. It follows that a = b, which contradicts that  $a \neq b$ . Then  $m \neq 0$ , which means it is a positive natural number. Thus, m = n++ for some natural number n. It follows that a + n + b, which means that (a + n) + b = b, which means that a+++n=b. Thus,  $a++ \le b$ . (2) If  $a++ \le b$ , then (a++)+m=b for some natural number m. Therefore, (a + m) + b = b, which means that a + m + b = b. It follows that  $a \le b$ . Now we must prove that  $a \ne b$ . Suppose for the sake of contradiction that a = b, then a + m + = a, which implies that m + = 0, which contradicts that 0 is not the successor of any natural number. (f) a < b if and only if b = a + d for some positive number d.

#### Exercise 2.2.4.

[We] have  $0 \le b$  for all b (why?).

*Proof.* 
$$0+b=b$$
.

If a > b, then a ++ > b (why?). If a = b, then a ++ > b (why?).

*Proof.* 
$$a = b + m$$
 for some  $m$ . Then  $a++ = a+1 = b+m+1 = b+m++$ . Therefore  $a++>b$ .

Exercise 2.2.5 (Strong principle of induction). Let  $m_0$  be a natural number, and let P(m) be a property pertaining to an arbitrary natural number m. Suppose that for each  $m \ge m_0$ , we have the following implication: if P(m') is true for all natural numbers  $m_0 \le m' < m$ , then P(m) is also true. (In particular, this means that  $P(m_0)$  is true, since in this case the hypothesis is vacuous.) Then we can conclude that P(m) is true for all natural numbers  $m \ge m_0$ .

*Proof.* Define Q(m) to be the property for any arbitrary natural number m that P(m') is true for all  $m_0 \le m' < m$ . For each  $m \ge m_0$ , if Q(m) is true, P(m) is also true.

We first prove that Q(m) is true for all  $m \ge m_0$ . We use induction on m. In the base case m = 0, we consider three cases

- (1)  $m_0 < 0$ .  $m_0 + k = 0$  for some k and  $m_0 \ne 0$ . But because  $m_0 + k = 0$ ,  $m_0 = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Then  $m_0$  cannot be less than 0.
- (2)  $m_0 = 0$  or  $m_0 > 0$ .  $m_0 \le m' < m$ , therefore m' + l = m = 0 for some l. Likewise, there is a contradiction that l cannot be less than 0, so Q(0) is vacuously true.

We then suppose inductively that the case m = n holds. Consider the case m = n++. We consider three cases

- (1)  $m_0 < n++$ . We consider three cases
  - (i) m' < n. Because Q(n) is true, P(m') is true for all  $m_0 \le m' < n$ . Thus, P(m') is true for m' < n.
  - (ii) m' = n. Because Q(n) is true, P(n) is true according to the inductive hypothesis. Thus, P(n) is true for m' = n.
  - (iii) m' > n. Because m' < n++, m' + k = n++ for some k and  $m' \ne n++$ . Suppose for the sake of contradiction that k = 0, then m' = n++, a contradiction. Thus, k is positive, so k = l++ for some l. We have m' + l++ = n++, which means m' + l = n, which means  $m' \le n$ , which contradicts that m' > n. Thus, P(n) is vacuously true for m' > n.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Done with reference to Proposition 2.2.14 Strong principle of induction.

Therefore, P(m') is true for any  $m_0 \le m' < n++$ , i.e., Q(n++) is true for  $m_0 < n++$ .

- (2)  $m_0 = n++$ . Then,  $n++ \le m' < n++$ , i.e., we have  $n++ \ne m'$ ,  $m' \ge n++$  and  $n++ \ge m'$ . It follows that n++ = m', which is a contradiction. Thus, Q(n++) is vacuously true for  $m_0 = n++$ .
- (3)  $m_0 > n++$ . Then,  $m_0 \le m' < n++ < m_0$ , which means that  $m_0 \le m' < m_0$ . Likewise, there is no m' such that this case exists. Thus, Q(n++) is vacuously true for  $m_0 > n++$ .

Combining the above cases, Q(n++) is true when Q(n) is true. This closes the induction. Because Q(m) is true for all  $m \ge m_0$ , P(m) is also true for all  $m \ge m_0$ .

**Exercise 2.2.6.** Let n be a natural number, and let P(m) be a property pertaining to the natural numbers such that whenever P(m++) is true, then P(m) is true. Suppose that P(n) is also true. Prove that P(m) is true for all natural numbers  $m \le n$ ; this is known as the principle of backwards induction.

*Proof.* We use induction on n. The base case is n = 0. Because  $m \le n$ , m + k = n = 0 for some natural number k. This means that m = 0 = n, which means that P(m) is true.

We assume inductively that the case n = l holds for some natural number l, then consider the case n = l++. From the inductive hypothesis, P(m) is true for all natural numbers  $m \le l$ .  $m \le l$  iff m + a = l for some natural number a, iff m + a ++ = l++.

(1) Suppose for the sake of contradiction that m = l++, so a++ = 0. But  $a++ \neq 0$  as 0 is not the successor of any natural number. So m < l++. (2) If m < l++, likewise, then m + a++ = l++.

Therefore, m + a + = l + iff m < l + l. This means that P(m) is true for all natural numbers m < l + if P(l) is true.

Because P(l++) is true, P(l) is true from the inductive hypothesis. Thus, P(m) is true for all natural numbers m < l++. Combining with that P(l++) is true, P(m) is true for all natural numbers  $m \le l++$ .

# Exercise 2.3.1 (Multiplication is commutative).

*Proof.* We use induction on n. The base case is  $0 \times m = m \times 0$ . The left side equals 0. We use another induction on m to show that the right side also equals 0. The base case  $0 \times 0 = 0$  by definition. Suppose inductively that  $k \times 0 = 0$  for some k. Then  $(k++) \times 0 = k \times 0 + 0 = 0 + 0 = 0$ . Thus, the second induction is closed; the base case of the first induction is true.

We suppose inductively that  $0 \times l = l \times 0$  for some l. Thus,  $l \times 0 = 0$ . Likewise,  $(l++) \times 0 = 0$ . Because  $0 \times (l++)$ ,  $0 \times (l++) = (l++) \times 0$ .



*Proof.* (1) If one of n, m is equal to 0, then, without loss of generality, we let m = 0, so nm = n0 = 0. (2) If nm = 0, we suppose for the sake of contradiction that none of n, m is 0. That is, n = l++ and m = k++ for some natural numbers l, k. nm = (l++)(k++) = k(l++) + (l++) = 0. Thus, l++=0, which is a contradiction.

# Exercise 2.3.3 (Multiplication is associative).

*Proof.* We use induction on b. The base case (a0)c = a(0c) holds as both sides equal 0. We suppose inductively that (ab)c = a(bc), and need to prove that (a(b++))c = a((b++)c). The left side equals (ab+a)c = abc + ac; the right side equals a(bc+c) = abc + ac.  $\Box$ 

**Exercise 2.3.4.** Prove the identity  $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$  for all natural numbers a, b.

*Proof.* The left side equals (a + b)(a + b) = (a + b)a + (a + b)b = aa + ba + ab + bb. The right side equals aa + ab + ab + bb.

Exercise 2.3.5 (Euclidean algorithm). Let n be a natural number, and let q be a positive number. Then there exist natural numbers m, r such that  $0 \le r < q$  and n = mq + r.

*Proof.* We use induction on n. The base case n = 0 holds as we can find m = 0, r = 0 such that 0 = 0q + 0. We suppose inductively that n = mq + r, and want to prove that n+=m'q+r' for some m',r'. From the inductive hypothesis, n+=mq+r+. We discuss the cases

- (1) r++ < q. Then m' = m, r' = r++ satisfies that n++ = m'q + r'.
- (2) r+=q. Then n+=mq+r+=mq+q=(m++)q+0. We have m'=m++ and r'=0 satisfying this case.
- (3) r ++ > q. Then r > q. But r < q, so n ++ = m'q + r' is true vacuously.

# 3 Set Theory

# 3.1 Notes

# 3.1.1 Theorems

**Axiom 3.1** (Sets are objects). If A is a set, then A is also an object.

**Axiom 3.2** (Empty set). *There exists a set*  $\emptyset$ , *such that for every object x we have x*  $\notin \emptyset$ .

**Axiom 3.3** (Singleton sets and pair sets). If a is an object, then there exists a set  $\{a\}$ , such that for every object y, we have  $y \in a$  if and only if y = a; we refer to  $\{a\}$  as the singleton set whose element is a. Furthermore, if a and b are objects, then there exists a set  $\{a,b\}$ , such that for every object y, we have  $y \in \{a,b\}$  if and only if y = a or y = b; we refer to this set as the pair set formed by a and b.

**Axiom 3.4** (Pairwise union). *Given any two sets A, B, there exists a set A*  $\cup$  *B such that* 

$$x \in A \cup B \iff (x \in A \text{ or } x \in B).$$

**Axiom 3.5** (Axiom of specification). Let A be a set, then for any object y, there exists a set  $\{x \in A \mid P(x)\}$  such that

$$y \in \{ x \in A \mid P(x) \} \iff (y \in A \text{ and } P(y) \text{ is true}).$$

**Axiom 3.6** (Replacement). Let A be a set. For any object  $x \in A$ , and any object y, suppose we have a statement P(x, y) pertaining to x and y, such that for each  $x \in A$  there is at most one y for which P(x, y) is true. Then there exists a set  $\{y \mid P(x, y) \text{ is true for some } x \in A\}$ , such that for any object z,

$$z \in \{ y \mid P(x, y) \text{ is true for some } x \in A \} \iff P(x, z) \text{ is true for some } x \in A.$$

**Axiom 3.7** (Infinity). There exists a set  $\mathbb{N}$ , whose elements are called natural numbers, as well as an object 0 in  $\mathbb{N}$ , and an object n++ assigned to every natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that the Peano axioms (Axioms 2.1 – 2.5) hold.

**Axiom 3.9** (Regularity). If A is a non-empty set, then there is at least one element x of A which is either not a set, or is disjoint from A.

# 3.1.2 Remarks

Note that some axioms listed here are redundant: we only need one axiom between the singleton sets and the pair sets axioms; the axiom of specification is implied by the axiom of replacement.

# 3.2 Practices

Note that there can only be one empty set; if there were two sets  $\emptyset$  and  $\emptyset'$  which were both empty, then by Definition 3.1.4 they would be equal to each other (why?).

*Proof.* We shall prove that for every object  $x \in \emptyset$  we have  $x \in \emptyset'$ , but this is vacuously true because for any object x we have  $x \notin \emptyset$ . Likewise, it is vacuously true that for every object  $x \in \emptyset'$  we have  $x \in \emptyset$ . Thus,  $\emptyset = \emptyset'$ .

If A, B, A' are sets, and A is equal to A', then  $A \cup B$  is equal to  $A' \cup B$  (why? [...]).

*Proof.* A = A' implies that for every object  $x, x \in A$  iff  $x \in A'$ . Thus,

$$(x \in A \lor x \in B) \iff (x \in A' \lor x \in B).$$

This is equivalent to that

$$x \in A \cup B \iff x \in A' \cup B$$
,

which implies that  $A \cup B = A' \cup B$ .

# Exercise 3.1.1 (\*Equality of sets is an equivalent class).

*Proof.* Let A, B, C be sets. (Reflexive) For any  $x \in A$ , it is true that  $x \in A$ , so A = A. (Symmetric) If A = B, then it is true that  $\forall x \in A : x \in B$  and  $\forall x \in B : x \in A$ . Thus,  $\forall x \in B : x \in A$  and  $\forall x \in A : x \in B$ , so B = A. (Transitive) If A = B and B = C, then  $(\forall x \in A : x \in B \text{ and } \forall y \in B : y \in A)$  and  $(\forall x \in B : x \in C \text{ and } \forall y \in C : y \in B)$  are true. Thus,  $\forall x \in A : x \in B$ , so  $x \in C$  and  $x \in C \in C$  and  $x \in C \in C$ .

#### Exercise 3.1.2.

*Proof.* We only have to prove that  $\emptyset \neq \{\emptyset\}$ . This is because  $\emptyset \in \{\emptyset\}$ , but  $\emptyset \notin \emptyset$  by definition.

# Exercise 3.1.3 (\*The union operation).

*Proof.* By Axiom 3.4, we have

$$x \in A \cup B \iff (x \in A \lor x \in B)$$

and

$$x \in B \cup A \iff (x \in B \lor x \in A),$$

where

$$(x \in A \lor x \in B) \iff (x \in B \lor x \in A).$$

Thus,  $x \in A \cup B$  iff  $x \in B \cup A$ , which implies that  $A \cup B = B \cup A$ .

*Proof.* Because  $x \in \emptyset$  is false for any x, we have

$$(x \in A \lor x \in A) \iff (x \in A \lor x \in \emptyset) \iff (x \in \emptyset \lor x \in A) \iff x \in A.$$

# Exercise 3.1.4.

*Proof.* We have, for any object x, that

$$x \in A \implies x \in B$$

and

$$x \in B \implies x \in A$$
.

Thus, 
$$A = B$$
.

*Proof.* We have, for any object x, that

$$x \in A \implies x \in B$$

and

$$x \in B \implies x \in C$$
,

thus

$$x \in A \implies x \in C$$
,

so  $A \subseteq C$ . Because  $A \ne B$ , it is true that either  $\exists x \in A : x \notin B$  or  $\exists x \in B : x \notin A$ . But  $\forall x \in A : x \in B$ , so  $\exists x \in B : x \notin A$ . Therefore, there exists some  $x \in B$  such that  $x \in C$  and  $x \notin A$ , which implies that

$$x \in A \iff x \in C$$
,

which means that  $A \neq C$ . Thus,  $A \subsetneq C$ .

# Exercise 3.1.5.

*Proof.* We have  $A \subseteq B$  if and only if

$$x \in A \implies x \in B$$
.

We also have

$$A \cup B = B \iff (x \in A \cup B \iff x \in B)$$
$$\iff (x \in A \lor x \in B \iff x \in B)$$
$$\iff (x \in A \implies x \in B).$$

We also have

$$A \cap B = A \iff (x \in A \cap B \iff x \in A)$$

$$\iff (x \in A \land x \in B \iff x \in A)$$

$$\iff (x \in A \implies x \in B).$$

# Exercise 3.1.6.

(f) (Distributivity).

Proof.

$$A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$$

$$\iff (x \in A \cap (B \cup C) \iff x \in (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)) \text{ for any object } x$$

$$\iff (x \in A \land x \in B \cup C \iff x \in A \cap B \lor x \in A \cap C)$$

$$\iff (x \in A \land (x \in B \lor x \in C) \iff (x \in A \land x \in B) \lor (x \in A \land x \in C))$$

$$\iff ((x \in A \land x \in B) \lor (x \in A \land x \in C))$$

$$\iff (x \in A \land x \in B) \lor (x \in A \land x \in C)$$

(g) (Partition).

*Proof.* We shall deduce what is required for  $A \cup (X \setminus A) = X$  to be true.

$$A \cup (X \setminus A) = X$$

$$\iff (x \in A \cup (X \setminus A) \iff x \in X) \text{ for any object } x$$

$$\iff (x \in A \lor x \in (X \setminus A) \iff x \in X)$$

$$\iff (x \in A \lor (x \in X \land x \notin A) \iff x \in X)$$

$$\iff ((x \in A \lor x \in X) \land (x \in A \lor x \notin A) \iff x \in X)$$

$$\iff (x \in A \lor x \in X \iff x \in X).$$

But we also have  $A \subseteq X$ , and

$$A \subseteq X$$

$$\iff (x \in A \iff x \in X)$$

$$\iff (x \in A \lor x \in X \iff x \in X).$$

*Proof.* We shall deduce what is required for  $A \cap (X \setminus A) = \emptyset$  to be true.

$$A \cap (X \setminus A) = \emptyset$$

$$\iff (x \in A \cap (X \setminus A) \iff x \in \emptyset) \text{ for any object } x$$

$$\iff (x \in A \land x \in (X \setminus A) \iff x \in \emptyset)$$

$$\iff (x \in A \land (x \in X \land x \notin A) \iff x \in \emptyset)$$

$$\iff (x \in X \land (x \in A \land x \notin A) \iff x \in \emptyset)$$

$$\iff (x \in X \land (x \in A \land x \notin A) \iff x \in \emptyset)$$

$$\iff (x \in X \land \bot \iff \bot)$$

$$\iff (\bot \iff \bot),$$

which is a true statement.

# (h) (De Morgan laws).

Proof.

$$X \setminus (A \cup B) = (X \setminus A) \cap (X \setminus B)$$

$$\iff (x \in X \setminus (A \cup B) \iff x \in (X \setminus A) \cap (X \setminus B)) \text{ for any object } x$$

$$\iff (x \in X \land x \notin A \cup B \iff x \in (X \setminus A) \land x \in (X \setminus B))$$

$$\iff (x \in X \land \neg (x \in A \lor x \in B) \iff (x \in X \land x \notin A) \land (x \in X \land x \notin B))$$

$$\iff (x \in X \land (x \notin A \land x \notin B) \iff (x \in X \land x \in X) \land (x \notin A \land x \notin B))$$

$$\iff (x \in X \land (x \notin A \land x \notin B) \iff x \in X \land (x \notin A \land x \notin B)).$$

Proof.

$$X \setminus (A \cap B) = (X \setminus A) \cup (X \setminus B)$$

$$\iff (x \in X \setminus (A \cap B) \iff x \in (X \setminus A) \cup (X \setminus B)) \text{ for any object } x$$

$$\iff (x \in X \land x \notin A \cap B \iff x \in (X \setminus A) \lor x \in (X \setminus B))$$

$$\iff (x \in X \land \neg (x \in A \land x \in B) \iff (x \in X \land x \notin A) \lor (x \in X \land x \notin B))$$

$$\iff (x \in X \land (x \notin A \lor x \notin B) \iff (x \in X \land x \notin A) \lor (x \in X \land x \notin B))$$

$$\iff ((x \in X \land x \notin A) \lor (x \in X \land x \notin B)).$$

#### Exercise 3.1.7.

*Proof.* We shall just prove the last implication.

(1) We have  $A \subseteq C$  and  $B \subseteq C$ , then for any object x,

$$(x \in A \implies x \in C) \land (x \in B \implies x \in C).$$

For any object  $y \in A \cup B$ , it is true that either  $y \in A$  or  $y \in B$ . We discuss the two cases: if  $y \in A$ , then  $y \in C$ ; if  $y \in B$ , then  $y \in C$ . Thus,

$$\forall y \in A \cup B : y \in C$$

then  $A \cup B \subseteq C$ .

(2) We have  $A \cup B \subseteq C$ , then for any object x, that  $x \in A \cup B$  implies that  $x \in C$ . Furthermore, if either  $x \in A$  or  $x \in B$ , then  $x \in A \cup B$ . For the sake of contradiction, suppose that one of A and B is not a subset of C. Without loss of generality, let  $A \nsubseteq C$ , then there exists some  $y \in A$  such that  $y \notin C$ . But since  $y \in A$ ,  $x \in A \cup B$ , which implies that  $y \in C$ , a contradiction.

Exercise 3.1.8 (\*Absorption laws).

Proof.

$$A \cap (A \cup B) = A$$

$$\iff (x \in A \land x \in (A \cup B) \iff x \in A) \text{ for any object } x$$

$$\iff (x \in A \land (x \in A \lor x \in B) \iff x \in A)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \lor (x \in B \land \neg (x \in B))) \land (x \in A \lor x \in B) \iff x \in A \right)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \lor x \in B) \land (x \in A \lor \neg (x \in B)) \land (x \in A \lor x \in B) \iff x \in A \right)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \lor x \in B) \land (x \in A \lor \neg (x \in B)) \iff x \in A \right)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \lor x \in B) \land (x \in A \lor \neg (x \in B)) \iff x \in A \right)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \lor x \in B) \land (x \in A \lor \neg (x \in B)) \iff x \in A \right)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \lor x \in B) \land (x \in A \lor \neg (x \in B)) \iff x \in A \right)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \lor x \in B) \land (x \in A \lor \neg (x \in B)) \iff x \in A \right)$$

Proof.

$$A \cup (A \cap B) = A$$

$$\iff (x \in A \lor x \in (A \cap B) \iff x \in A) \text{ for any object } x$$

$$\iff (x \in A \lor (x \in A \land x \in B) \iff x \in A)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \land (x \in B \lor \neg (x \in B))) \lor (x \in A \land x \in B) \iff x \in A \right)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \land x \in B) \lor (x \in A \land \neg (x \in B)) \lor (x \in A \land x \in B) \iff x \in A \right)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \land x \in B) \lor (x \in A \land \neg (x \in B)) \iff x \in A \right)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \land x \in B) \lor (x \in A \land \neg (x \in B)) \iff x \in A \right)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \land x \in B) \lor (x \in A \land \neg (x \in B)) \iff x \in A \right)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \land x \in B) \lor (x \in A \land \neg (x \in B)) \iff x \in A \right)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \land x \in B) \lor (x \in A \land \neg (x \in B)) \iff x \in A \right)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \land x \in B) \lor (x \in A \land \neg (x \in B)) \iff x \in A \right)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \land x \in B) \lor (x \in A \land \neg (x \in B)) \iff x \in A \right)$$

$$\iff \left( (x \in A \land x \in B) \lor (x \in A \land \neg (x \in B)) \iff x \in A \right)$$

#### Exercise 3.1.9.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we only prove that  $B = X \setminus A$ . Because  $A \cup B = X$ , we have, for any object x, that if either  $x \in A$  or  $x \in B$ , then  $x \in X$ . Thus, if  $x \in B$ , then  $x \in X$ . Furthermore, because  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , we have that if both  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B$ , then  $x \in \emptyset$ , which is false for all x. Therefore,  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B$  cannot both be true. Thus, if  $x \in B$ , then  $x \notin A$ . To summarise, for any  $x \in B$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $x \notin A$ .

#### Exercise 3.1.10.

*Proof.* Firstly, we want to show that  $(A \setminus B) \cap (A \cap B) = \emptyset$ . It suffices to show that for any object x,

$$x \in (A \backslash B) \land x \in (A \cap B) \iff x \in \emptyset.$$

The left side

$$x \in (A \backslash B) \land x \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\iff (x \in A \land x \notin B) \land (x \in A \land x \in B)$$

$$\iff x \in A \land \neg (x \in B) \land x \in B$$

$$\iff \bot,$$

and the right side is false by definition. Thus,  $A \setminus B$  and  $A \cap B$  are disjoint.

But  $A \cap B = B \cap A$ , so  $B \setminus A$  and  $A \cap B$  are disjoint likewise.

We then want to show that  $(A \setminus B) \cap (B \setminus A) = \emptyset$ . It suffices to show that for any object x,

$$x \in (A \backslash B) \land x \in (B \backslash A) \iff x \in \emptyset.$$

The left side

$$x \in (A \backslash B) \land x \in (B \backslash A)$$

$$\iff (x \in A \land x \notin B) \land (x \in B \land x \notin A)$$

$$\iff x \in A \land \neg (x \in A) \land x \in B \land \neg (x \in B)$$

$$\iff \bot,$$

and the right side is false by definition. Thus,  $A \setminus B$  and  $B \setminus A$  are disjoint.

Lastly, we want to show that  $(A \setminus B) \cup (A \cap B) \cup (B \setminus A) = A \cup B$ . It suffices to show that for any object x,

$$x \in (A \backslash B) \land x \in (A \cap B) \land x \in (B \backslash A) \iff x \in A \lor x \in B.$$

The left side

$$x \in (A \setminus B) \land x \in (A \cap B) \land x \in (B \setminus A)$$

$$\iff (x \in A \land x \notin B) \lor (x \in A \land x \in B) \lor (x \in B \land x \notin A)$$

$$\iff (x \in A \land \neg(x \in B)) \lor (x \in B \land \neg(x \in A)) \lor (x \in A \land x \in B)$$

$$\iff (((x \in A \lor \neg(x \in A)) \land (\neg(x \in B) \lor \neg(x \in A)))$$

$$\land ((x \in A \lor x \in B) \land (\neg(x \in B) \lor x \in B))) \lor (x \in A \land x \in B)$$

$$\iff ((\neg(x \in B) \lor \neg(x \in A)) \land (x \in A \lor x \in B)) \lor (x \in A \land x \in B)$$

$$\iff (\neg(x \in A \land x \in B) \land (x \in A \lor x \in B)) \lor (x \in A \land x \in B)$$

$$\iff (\neg(x \in A \land x \in B) \lor (x \in A \land x \in B)) \land ((x \in A \lor x \in B) \land (x \in A \lor x \in B))$$

$$\iff x \in A \lor x \in B.$$

# Exercise 3.1.11 (\*Axiom of replacement implies the axiom of specification).

*Proof.* Let P(x) be a statement pertaining to x. Let Q(x, y) be a statement pertaining to x and y such that Q(x, y) is true if and only if P(x) is true and x = y. According to the axiom of replacement, we have a set  $\{y \mid Q(x, y) \text{ is true for some } x \in A\}$  such that

$$z \in \{ y \mid Q(x, y) \text{ is true for some } x \in A \} \iff Q(x, z) \text{ is true for some } x \in A.$$

The left side is true iff  $z \in \{x \mid P(x) \text{ is true for some } x \in A\}$ . The right side is true iff P(x) is true for some  $x \in A$ . Then we have

$$z \in \{x \mid P(x) \text{ is true for some } x \in A\} \iff P(x) \text{ is true for some } x \in A,$$

which is the axiom of specification.

#### Exercise 3.2.1.

*Proof.* Obvious.

#### Exercise 3.2.2.

*Proof.* For the sake of contradiction, suppose there exists a set A such that  $A \in A$  is true. According to the axiom of regularity, for all  $x \in A$ , either  $x \cap A = \emptyset$  or x is not a set. We shall discuss the two cases: When  $x \cap A = \emptyset$ , we assume for the sake of contradiction that  $A \in A$  falls into this case, then  $A \cap A = \emptyset$ . But  $A \cap A = A$ , so  $A = \emptyset$ . But  $A \in A$ , so  $A \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction. When x is not a set, we assume for the sake of contradiction that  $A \in A$  falls into this case, but A is a set, a contradiction. Therefore, there is no set A such that  $A \in A$ , a contradiction.

*Proof.* For the sake of contradiction, suppose there exist sets *A* and *B* such that *A* ∈ *B* and *B* ∈ *A* are true. According to the singleton sets axiom, there exist sets  $\{A\}$  and  $\{B\}$ ; according to the axiom of pairwise union, there exists a set  $X = \{A\} \cup \{B\} = \{A, B\}$ . According to the axiom of regularity, for all  $x \in X$ , either  $x \cap X = \emptyset$  or x is not a set. We shall discuss the two cases: When  $x \cap X = \emptyset$ , we assume for the sake of contradiction that  $A \in X$  falls into this case, then  $A \cap X = \emptyset$ . But because  $B \in A$  and  $B \in X$ , we have  $B \in A \cap X$ , so  $B \in \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction; so  $A \in X$  does not fall into this case. When  $x \in X$  is not a set, we assume for the sake of contradiction that  $A \in X$  falls into this case, but  $A \in X$  is a set, a contradiction. Therefore,  $\forall x \in X : x \neq A$ , which contradicts that  $A \in X$ .

#### Exercise 3.2.3.

*Proof.* (1) Assume the universal specification axiom. Then there exists a set

$$\Omega = \{ x \mid 0 = 0 \text{ is true } \},$$

such that for all objects x, we have  $x \in \Omega \iff 0 = 0 \iff \top$ . (2) Assume we have a universal set  $\Omega$  such that for all objects x, we have  $x \in \Omega$ . Then by the axiom of specification, for any object z, there exists a set  $\{y \mid P(y) \land y \in \Omega\}$  such that

$$z \in \{ y \mid P(y) \land y \in \Omega \} \iff (z \in \Omega \land P(z)).$$

Because x and y are objects,  $x \in \Omega$  and  $y \in \Omega$  are always true. The above equivalence can be rewritten as

$$z \in \{ y \mid P(y) \} \iff P(z),$$

which is the axiom of universal specification.

# Exercise 3.3.1.

*Proof.* We shall just prove the substitution property. For all  $x \in X$ ,

$$g \circ f(x) = g(f(x))$$

$$= g(\tilde{f}(x)) \qquad (x \in X)$$

$$= \tilde{g}(\tilde{f}(x)) \qquad (\tilde{f}(x) \in Y)$$

$$= \tilde{g} \circ \tilde{f}(x).$$

#### Exercise 3.3.2.

*Proof.* For any  $x, x' \in X$ , we have

$$f(x) = f(x') \implies x = x';$$

for any  $y, y' \in Y$ , we have

$$g(y) = g(y') \implies y = y'.$$

Because f(x),  $f(x') \in Y$ , we have, for any  $x, x' \in X$ , that

$$g(f(x)) = g(f(x')) \implies f(x) = f(x') \implies x = x',$$

which means that  $g \circ f$  is injective.

*Proof.* For any  $y \in Y$ , we have

$$\exists x \in X : f(x) = y;$$

for any  $z \in Z$ , we have

$$\exists y \in Y : g(y) = Z.$$

Because any  $f(x) \in Y$ , we have, for any  $z \in Z$ , that

$$\exists x \in X : g(f(x)) = Z,$$

which means that  $g \circ f$  is surjective.

# Exercise 3.3.3.

*Proof.* We denote the empty function by  $f : \emptyset \to A$ . When f is injective, we have

$$\forall x, x' \in \varnothing : (f(x) = f(x') \implies x = x').$$

But  $x, x' \notin \emptyset$ , so it is vacuously true that f is unconditionally injective. When f is surjective, we have

$$\forall y \in A : \exists x \in \varnothing : f(x) = y.$$

We consider the case that  $A \neq \emptyset$ , so we can let a be an element of A. There does not exist any  $x \in \emptyset$  such that f(x) = y, a contradiction. Then we consider the case that  $A = \emptyset$ . But  $y \notin A$  by definition, so it is vacuously true that f is surjective.

When f is a bijection, f is defined by 
$$f : \emptyset \to \emptyset$$
.