

## Problem Set 1: Due Thursday, February 6, by 2:30pm.

This problem set is based on [Handout 1](#), [Handout 2](#), the lecture notes from the first two weeks of class, and Chapters 2.1-2.8 of [Hansen \*Econometrics\* textbook](#). You should review the handouts, the readings, and your class notes before starting the problem set. The problem set is primarily theoretical, though the last question involves some simple calculations using **R**, using **R** functions covered in [Handout 2](#). Future problem sets will involve substantially more **R** coding.

1. Problems 2.1, 2.2, 2.5 and 2.17 from [Hansen \*Econometrics\* textbook](#).
2. Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are random variables, with  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] < \infty$ . Let  $\mu_X = \mathbb{E}[X]$  and  $\mu_Y = \mathbb{E}[Y]$ . Recall that, by definition,

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mu_X) \cdot (Y - \mu_Y)].$$

- (a) Show that  $\text{Cov}(X, Y)$  can be rewritten

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mu_X \cdot \mu_Y.$$

- (b) Suppose that  $X$  is a discrete random variable taking the values  $-1, 0, 1$ , with  $\Pr[X = -1] = \Pr[X = 0] = \Pr[X = 1] = 1/3$ . Let  $Y = X^2$ . Show that  $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$ , even though  $X$  and  $Y$  are not independent.
3. Suppose  $\mathbf{X}$  is a  $K \times 1$  random vector, and suppose  $\mathbb{E}[X_k^2] < \infty$  for each  $k$ . Recall that, by definition,

$$\text{Var}[\mathbf{X}] \equiv \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{X} - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{X})(\mathbf{X} - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{X})^T].$$

Show that  $\text{Var}(X)$  can be rewritten as:

$$\text{Var}[\mathbf{X}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T] - \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}) \cdot \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})^T.$$

4. Let  $(Y, Z, X)$  denote a random vector, with  $Y$  and  $Z$  both scalar random variables. Suppose  $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] < \infty$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[Z^2] < \infty$ . Let  $a, b$ , and  $c$  denote constants, and let

$$\tilde{m}(X) = a + b \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y | X] + c \cdot \mathbb{E}[Z | X].$$

- (a) From results from class, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(Y - \mathbb{E}(Y | X)) \cdot g(X)] &= 0 \\ \mathbb{E}[(Z - \mathbb{E}(Z | X)) \cdot g(X)] &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad \text{for all } g \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{E}[(g(X))^2] < \infty.$$

Using those equalities, show that

$$\mathbb{E}[(a + b \cdot Y + c \cdot Z - \tilde{m}(X)) \cdot g(X)] = 0 \quad \text{for all } g \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{E}[(g(X))^2] < \infty.$$

- (b) Why can we now conclude that  $\tilde{m}(X)$  is the expected value of  $a + b \cdot Y + c \cdot Z$  conditional on  $X$ , i.e., that

$$\mathbb{E}[a + b \cdot Y + c \cdot Z | X] = a + b \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y | X] + c \cdot \mathbb{E}[Z | X]?$$

5. Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are scalar random variables, with  $\mathbb{E}[|Y|] < \infty$  and  $X$  a bernoulli r.v. with  $\Pr[X = 1] = p$  and  $\Pr[X = 0] = 1 - p$ .

- (a) Show that, for proper choice of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ , we can write  $\mathbb{E}[Y | X]$  as

$$\mathbb{E}[Y | X] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X.$$

Express  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  in terms of  $\mathbb{E}[Y | X = 0]$  and  $\mathbb{E}[Y | X = 1]$ .

- (b) Use the law of iterated expectations to show that

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = p \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y | X = 1] + (1 - p) \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y | X = 0].$$

- (c) Use the law of iterated expectations to show that

$$\mathbb{E}[XY] = p \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y | X = 1].$$

- (d) Use your answers to (a)-(c) above to show that

$$\beta_1 = \text{Cov}(X, Y) / \text{Var}(X).$$

6. Suppose you are interested in investing in a mutual fund  $B$  with return  $r_{B,t}$ . Suppose  $r_{B,t} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and that returns are i.i.d. over time. The fund's manager tells you that  $\mathbb{E}[r_{B,t}] = 0.12$  and  $\text{Var}(r_{B,t}) = 0.01$ , though you worry that the actual expected return might be lower. Suppose you are interested in the asset's excess return above the risk-free rate  $r_f$ , where  $r_f = 0.02$ . In the following, take  $r_f$  to be a constant. Let  $X_t = r_{B,t} - r_f$  denote the fund's excess return in year  $t$ .

- (a) Show that, if what the manager told you is true, then:

$$\frac{X_1 - 0.10}{0.1} \sim N(0, 1).$$

- (b) Suppose you only observe one year of returns, with a zero excess return in that year,  $X_1 = 0$ . How unlikely is it to have zero excess return or less in one year if what the manager told you is true? In particular, if what she said is true, what is  $\Pr[X_1 \leq 0]$ ?
- Express your answer in terms of  $\Phi(\cdot)$ .
  - Using **R**, express your answer as a number, and discuss its magnitude.
  - Is what the manager told you implausible given the evidence of zero excess return in one year?
- (c) Suppose you observe four years of returns, and that  $\bar{X}_4 = 0$ . How unlikely is it to have zero average excess return across four years if what the manager told you is true? In particular, if what she said is true, what is  $\Pr[\bar{X}_4 \leq 0]$ ?
- Express your answer in terms of  $\Phi(\cdot)$ .
  - Using **R**, express your answer as a number, and discuss its magnitude.
  - Is what the manager told you implausible given the evidence of zero average excess return across four years?
- (d) Repeat question (c), but now find probability that  $\bar{X}_4$  would be that far from asserted expected excess return, i.e., find  $\Pr[|\bar{X}_4 - 0.10| \geq 0.10]$ .
- Express your answer in terms of  $\Phi(\cdot)$ .
  - Using **R**, express your answer as a number, and discuss its magnitude.