

DATE: August 17, 2017
TO: Liu Yang
FROM: Rhea Mae Edwards
SUBJECT: Group 4 Member Evaluations
(Rhea Mae Edwards, Peter Heaukulani, Austin Hodgin, McKenna Singley,
Hannah Wilson, Zixi Zhang)

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

For the Annotated Bibliography paper, a few of our group members were pre-occupied with other pre-existing and personal matters, which strayed them away from working on this paper. We were all working together on making sure everyone gets a chance to work on the following position paper though. Everyone was able to make a contribution in our Position Paper, giving everyone a part to work on. The evaluations will also reflect who and how or why not certain individuals could not or did not contribute to the Annotated Bibliography paper on the other hand.

MEMBER EVALUATIONS

Peter Heaukulani:

Peter was unfortunately unable to contribute to in the making and discussions of our annotated bibliography paper. He was busy with a few pre-existing obligations that prevented him from working on this paper with us. But he has currently been in communication with myself and our group afterwards in regards to working on and helping out with our following position paper.

Throughout the length of our group working on the Position Paper, Peter has been in constant communication with me personally through e-mail, helping him in clearing up any questions or confusions he had, and also active in our discussion providing and offering any help and work that needed to be done. Peter signed up to write about the con position for our position paper, being that we split the paper into six sections, giving everyone one section to write. His section was completed in a timely manner.

1) 8 out of 10 (fairly enough amount of work overall)

2) 9 out of 10 (overall performance quality)

Austin Hodgin:

Austin was very active in our discussion board from the beginning in writing this assignment. He helped in deciding what topic we chose to write about, being "Comprehensive Sex Education Programs in Schools". Austin also provided two possible sources we can use in our annotated bibliography and final position paper to the group. He also later wrote two potential entries for our annotated bibliography for the group, both with decent amounts of potential.

With our Position Paper, Austin signed up for the role of writing the conclusion for our paper. He was able to complete the section in a timely manner being a little busy being that end of a school term, he stayed in communication with our group throughout the assignment, and completed the conclusion acceptably and in a timely manner, along with providing three sources within his writing. Austin also helped me turn in our Position Paper when I was unable to at the time the paper was due, it was very much appreciated.

1) 10 out of 10 (fairly enough amount of work overall)

2) 9 out of 10 (overall performance quality)

McKenna Singley:

McKenna has been struggling with a few personal matters that limited herself from fully being engaged in our discussions and the annotated bibliography paper, contacting me personally about the situation. But while staying strong through the matters, McKenna was able to provide a possible source to use in both our papers, along with a potential entry to use for our final annotated bibliography submission.

McKenna became in communication in our Canvas discussions when it came to working on our Position Paper as a group, and she took on the responsibility for writing the pro position for our paper. She completed the section acceptably in a timely manner, providing two sources within her piece of writing.

1) 8 out of 10 (fairly enough amount of work overall)

2) 9 out of 10 (overall performance quality)

Hannah Wilson:

Along with Austin, Hannah was also very active in our discussion board from the beginning in writing this assignment. She also helped in deciding what topic we chose to write about for our papers. Hannah has also provided two possible sources for our group to use in both papers, along with two very decent potential entries from those sources for our final annotated bibliography paper.

For our Position Paper, Hannah remained in communication within our group through on Canvas discussions, and she took on the role of writing our introduction for our Position Paper for the assignment. She was able to complete the section acceptably and also in a timely manner.

1) 10 out of 10 (fairly enough amount of work overall)

2) 9 out of 10 (overall performance quality)

Zixi Zhang:

Zixi did not communicate as much as others to our group throughout the Annotated Bibliography assignment, but she did provide a possible source to our group to use for our papers, along with a potential entry from that source for our final annotated bibliography submission.

Throughout the Position Paper, Zixi did not communicate at all to the group from my understanding either. Unheard in our discussions, but did make an appearance in our Google Doc, and decides to take on the responsibility in formatting the reference page for the paper. She was able to complete the reference page in a timely manner.

1) 7 out of 10 (fairly enough amount of work overall)

2) 7 out of 10 (overall performance quality)

Rhea Mae Edwards (Me):

Being group leader, I had the privilege to oversee and communicate personally with the majority of the members in our group. I have tried my best to be supportive and motivate our group in completing our papers along the way.

For this Annotated Bibliography paper, I created a Google Doc with starting instructions and template to have the group work together in trying to complete this paper successfully, which I have also shared to each of our members to edit. I have also started a discussion on Canvas, regarding this assignment, in order for all of us to communicate with one another on a common platform with equal accessibility and privileges.

And with the help of a few of our members, I personally did not completely write an entry for the paper, but right before turning in the assignment, I briefly looked over what has been written, picked three entries from what my group members have provided for final submission, and helped put together the overall paper and its format in the end. Before we had a total of six entries written, three of those six were chosen for final submission.

For the Position Paper, I was left with the role of writing the critical analysis section of the paper, completing the section in a timely manner providing a source within my piece of writing. Also in the beginning, I also created the starting template of our shared template, sharing it with my group members weeks in advanced for everyone to work on through Google Docs.

I had to hand off the responsibility in actually turning in the final product of the paper to another member of my group though, Austin Hodgin, due to being unable to do so myself the Friday when paper was due, which allowed a little bit more time for the paper to be improved and worked on by the group.

1) 10 out of 10 (fairly enough amount of work overall)

2) 9 out of 10 (overall performance quality)