```
#!/usr/bin/env/stack
-- stack --install-ghc runghc
-- CS 381 HW 3
-- Prof Erwig
-- Authors:
-- Jackson Neff
-- Rhea Mae Edwards
main :: IO()
main = putStrLn("Program Executed.")
--exercise 1
--- part a ---
data Cmd = LD Int | ADD | MULT
           | DUP | INC | SWAP
           | POP Int
            deriving Show
type Stack = [Int]
type Prog = [Cmd]
type D = Stack -> Stack
sem :: Prog -> D
sem[]a=a
sem (x:xs) a = sem xs (semCmd x a)
semCmd :: Cmd -> D
semCmd (LD a) xs = ([a] ++ xs)
type Rank = Int
type CmdRank = (Int, Int)
rankC :: Cmd -> CmdRank
rankC (LD a) = (0, 1)
rankC ADD
            = (2, 1)
rankC MULT
             = (2, 1)
rankC DUP
            = (1, 2)
rankC INC
             = (1, 1)
           = (2, 1)
rankC SWAP
rankC (POP a) = (a, 0)
rankP :: Prog -> Maybe Rank
rankP xs = rank xs 0
rank :: Prog -> Rank -> Maybe Rank
        s \mid s >= 0
rank []
                         = Just s
```

C:\Users\RheaMae\Downloads\hw3.hs

Tuesday, May 16, 2017 12:09 AM

```
rank (x:xs) s | under >= 0 = rank xs (under+push)
               where (pop, push) = rankC x
                     under
                                 = s - pop
rank _
             _ = Nothing
---- Part b ----
data Type = S Stack | TypeError deriving Show
typeSafe :: Prog -> Bool
typeSafe p = (rankP p) /= Nothing
semStatTC :: Prog -> Type
semStatTC p | typeSafe p = S(sem p [])
            | otherwise = TypeError
-- Part 2 --
data Shape = X
           | TD Shape Shape
           | LR Shape Shape
           deriving Show
type BBox = (Int, Int)
--- Define a type checker for the shape language ---
bbox :: Shape -> BBox
bbox (TD i j) -- width is that of the wider one; height is sum of heights
    | ix \rangle = jx = (ix, iy + jy)
    | ix < jx = (jx, iy + jy)
    where (ix, iy) = bbox i
          (jx, jy) = bbox j
bbox (LR i j) -- width is sum of widths; height is that of the taller one
    | iy >= jy = (ix + jx, iy)
    | iy < jy = (ix + jx, jy)
    where (ix, iy) = bbox i
          (jx, jy) = bbox j
bbox X = (1, 1)
rect :: Shape -> Maybe BBox
rect X = Just(1, 1)
rect (TD i j) =
    case rect i of
        Nothing -> Nothing
                                          --- If i is not a rectangle then return nothing ---
        Just (ix, iy) \rightarrow case rect j of \rightarrow If i is a rectangle then check j \rightarrow
                          Nothing -> Nothing
                          Just (jx, jy) \rightarrow case (ix == jx) of --- If j is a rectangle check if
                          i is the same width ---
                                            True -> Just (ix, iy + jy) --- If it is same width,
                                            place j on top of i. ---
```

C:\Users\RheaMae\Downloads\hw3.hs

Tuesday, May 16, 2017 12:09 AM

```
False -> Nothing
rect (LR i j) =
    case rect i of
                           -- with width the sum of widths. Else Nothing.
        Nothing -> Nothing
        Just (ix, iy) -> case rect j of
                         Nothing -> Nothing
                         Just (jx, jy) \rightarrow case (iy == jy) of
                                           True \rightarrow Just (ix + jx, iy)
                                           False -> Nothing
-- Part 3 --
-- 1 --
-- a. What types are f and g?
-- Function f is of type [a] -> [a] -> [a]
-- Function g is of type [a] -> [b] -> [b]
-- b. Why do the functions have these types?
--f has this type because it takes two lists and outputs one, and both lists must have the same
-- type because either x or y can be returned and a Haskell function can have at most 1 return
type.
-- q has this type because it can return either an untyped list (empty list) or a list of type y.
-- the type of x does not matter because it will not be returned no matter what.
-- c. Which type is more general?
-- g has a more general type, because there are less restrictions. in f, all three lists must
be of the same type,
-- but in g, the type of x doesnt matter, allowing for more flexibility
-- d. Why do f and g have different types?
-- They need to. Although any valid input to f can also be a valid input to g, we still must
specify the
-- restrictions of f. Mostly this means denoting that all list types in f must be the same, but
the only
-- two that need to be the same in q are parameters 2 and 3.
-- 2 --
h :: [b] \rightarrow [(a,b)] \rightarrow [b]
h b (x:xs) = [snd(x)] ++ b;
h b = b
--k:: (a -> b) -> ((a -> b) -> a) -> b --Order of parentheses should not matter, I think?
--k f x = func(f x)
-- 4 --
-- No, you cannot make this definition for a function.
-- To convert one type to another, you must know all possible values of the type you are
converting to, in this case b.
-- Unless you know all those values and develop case statements that only allow valid output,
```

C:\Users\RheaMae\Downloads\hw3.hs

Tuesday, May 16, 2017 12:09 AM

you will end

-- up restricting type b with some operation related to a. For example, if the function added 5 to everything, then a would

- -- have to be an numerical type, but then so would b because by adding to a, you restrict the output, b, to numerical types.
- -- In any event, b would be the same type as a and the definition would fail, as it stipulates a and b are different types.