System Verification and Validation Plan for Measuring Microstructure Changes During Thermal Treatment

Team #30, ReSprint
Edwin Do
Joseph Braun
Timothy Chen
Abdul Nour Seddiki
Tyler Magarelli

March 8, 2023

Table 1: Revision History

| Date | Developer | Notes/Changes | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Oct 31, 2022 | Timothy | Added to 5.2, 5.3, 7.2 | | | | | |
| | Chen | | | | | | |
| Oct 31, 2022 | Edwin Do | Added section 4 for V&V Plan | | | | | |
| Nov 1, 2022 | Abdul Nour | Added to 5.1, 5.3 | | | | | |
| | Seddiki | | | | | | |
| Nov 2, 2022 | Joseph Braun | Added Section 3 | | | | | |
| Nov 2, 2022 | Edwin Do | Added more content to section 4 | | | | | |
| Mar 4, 2023 | Edwin Do | Revised tests for non functional require- | | | | | |
| | | ments | | | | | |
| Mar 4, 2023 | Timothy | Revised Usability and Performance NFR | | | | | |
| , | Chen | test | | | | | |
| Mar 6, 2023 | Abdul Nour | Revised tests for Functional Require- | | | | | |
| | Seddiki | ments & fixed formatting | | | | | |

Contents

| 1 | Syn | abols, Abbreviations and Acronyms | iv | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | General Information | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.1 | Summary | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | 2.2 | Objectives | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | 2.3 | Relevant Documentation | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Pla | Plan 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | 3.1 | Verification and Validation Team | 2 | | | | | | | |
| | 3.2 | SRS Verification Plan | 3 | | | | | | | |
| | 3.3 | Design Verification Plan | 4 | | | | | | | |
| | 3.4 | Implementation Verification Plan | 4 | | | | | | | |
| | 3.5 | Automated Testing and Verification Tools | 4 | | | | | | | |
| | 3.6 | Software Validation Plan | 5 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Sys | tem Test Description | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 | Tests for Functional Requirements | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 | Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements | 8 | | | | | | | |
| | | 4.2.1 Appearance Test | 8 | | | | | | | |
| | | 4.2.2 Usability Test | 9 | | | | | | | |
| | | 4.2.3 Performance Test | 11 | | | | | | | |
| | | 4.2.4 Operational Test | 13 | | | | | | | |
| | | 4.2.5 Maintainability/Support Test | 13 | | | | | | | |
| | | 4.2.6 Security Test | 14 | | | | | | | |
| | | 4.2.7 Cultural Test | 14 | | | | | | | |
| | | 4.2.8 Health and Safety Test | 14 | | | | | | | |
| | 4.3 | Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements | 16 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Uni | t Test Description | 18 | | | | | | | |
| | 5.1 | Unit Testing Scope | 18 | | | | | | | |
| | 5.2 | Tests for Functional Requirements | 18 | | | | | | | |
| | | 5.2.1 Module 1 | 18 | | | | | | | |
| | | 5.2.2 Module 2 | 19 | | | | | | | |
| | 5.3 | Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements | 19 | | | | | | | |
| | | 5.3.1 Module ? | 19 | | | | | | | |
| | | 5.3.2 Module ? | 20 | | | | | | | |

| | 5.4 | Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules | 20 |
|--------------|----------------------|---|----|
| 6 | App | pendix | 21 |
| | 6.1 | Symbolic Parameters | 21 |
| | 6.2 | Survey Questions | 22 |
| \mathbf{L} | ist | of Tables | |
| | 1 | Revision History | |
| | 2 | Team and Responsibilities | 3 |
| | 3 | Requirements Traceability | |

1 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

| symbol | description |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| T | Test |
| SRS | Software Requirements Specifications |
| VS | Visual Studio |
| UWP | Universal Windows Platform |
| MIN_USER_ACCEPT_RATE | 90% - minimum acceptance rate |
| $TARGET_TIME$ | 60 seconds |
| TARGET_PERCENTAGE | 90% |
| INTERACT_TIME | 5 seconds |
| MAX_MISTAKE | 1% |
| $OBSERVED_MONTHS$ | 4% |
| NUMBER_OF_PARA | 10 |
| MAX_CAPACITY | 8GB% |
| MIN_SAMPLE_RATE | 60 |
| ACCEPTED_PERCENTAGE | 95% |
| TIME_ACCEPTED | 1 second |
| ACCEPTED_SIGFIG | 3 decimals |

[symbols, abbreviations or acronyms – you can simply reference the SRS (Author, 2019) tables, if appropriate —SS]

2 General Information

2.1 Summary

The purpose of this document is to provide a detailed plan for the testing of our system. This will include:

- Verification and Validation Plan
- System Test Description
- Unit Test Description

2.2 Objectives

The objectives of testing are to ensure that all functional and non-functional requirements of the system are being met. It is important to include both unit tests as well as system tests, as issues may arise when components are connected together in the system.

2.3 Relevant Documentation

Relevant documentation includes:

- SRS
- MIS
- MG

3 Plan

In this section of planning, it will outline our approaches to cover the requirements outlined in various areas such as the SRS document, Hazard analysis document, our implementation and design. Tools that will be used for automated unit testing and linting will also be introduced.

The following topics will be covered:

- The verification and validation team along with their respective responsibilities
- Our approach towards the SRS Verification plan
- Our approach towards the Design Verification plan
- Implementation verification plan
- Any testing and verification tools we plan to use

3.1 Verification and Validation Team

Below is a table outlining the members of the Verification and Validation team along with their respective responsibilities. Note that the listed responsibilities are only used as a guideline, responsibilities can shift between team members on a as-per-needed basis.

Table 2: Team and Responsibilities

| Team Member | Role Name | Responsibilities | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Ensures that all requirements are valid | | | |
| Edwin Do | Software and SRS Tester | and verified under the scope of software | | | |
| | | capabilities in this project and the SRS | | | |
| | | Ensures that all requirements are met | | | |
| Timothy Chen | Software Tester | and verified under the scope of software | | | |
| | | capabilities in this project | | | |
| | | Ensures that all requirements are met | | | |
| Tyler Magarelli | Software Tester | and verified under the scope of software | | | |
| | | capabilities in this project | | | |
| | | Ensures that all requirements are met | | | |
| Joseph Braun | Hardware Tester | and verified under the scope of hardware | | | |
| | | capabilities in this project | | | |
| | | Ensures that all requirements are met | | | |
| Abdul Nour Seddiki | Hardware Tester | and verified under the scope of hardware | | | |
| | | capabilities in this project | | | |
| Dr. Hatem Zurob | Supervisor | Ensures that all requirements are valid | | | |
| Di. Hatelli Zulob | Supervisor | and meets the expected result | | | |

3.2 SRS Verification Plan

To verify our SRS, our team intends on revisiting the SRS document on a biweekly basis to verify that the requirements are up to date and in sync with the project goal. This will also allow us to cover any newly discover risks or hazards which will also be reflected in the Hazard Analysis document. Any new changes within the two week window will be noted and discussed at the end to see if additional changes to the SRS document would be necessary. At each bi-weekly review, the team also plans on using the SRS checklist as a guideline throughout the meeting.

In addition, the team will use ad hoc feedback from reviewers such as classmates from our 4GA6 Capstone class as well as instructor, supervisor and teaching assistants. This will act as a supplementary addition if any element of the SRS is out of date or missing.

3.3 Design Verification Plan

Our plans to verify our design document includes using the MIS checklist and reviews from our classmates. The MIS checklist will help our team ensure that the design logic helps us meet the requirements specified in the SRS document and cover any hazards or risks outlined in the Hazard analysis. The team will conduct an internal design review, by going over all the outlined requirements, risks and hazards and verifiying that the design does not contain any logical flaws to the best of our abilities.

In addition, the team will use the feedback from reviewers such as classmates from our 4G06 Capstone class as well as instructor, supervisor and teaching assistants. This will help further assist our team to ensure that the design is free from any logical flaws and is able to help meet the outlined requirements.

3.4 Implementation Verification Plan

Throughout the development phase of this project, the team will use GitHub Issues and pull requests to implement various features. Each pull request will require at least two other team members to inspect and review the code ensuring that it meets the requirement and design discussed. A pipeline can also be implemented in GitHub to ensure that the build in the main branch is always stable. Unit tests will also be used to ensure that the implementation of the product is verified.

3.5 Automated Testing and Verification Tools

The final product will be an Universal Windows Platform (UWP) application built using Microsoft Visual Studio (VS) in C# and XAML.

Majority of the project's testing will be conducted within VS. For unit testing, the team will use the built-in features of Unit Test Applications in VS to create unit tests projects and units tests.

Code coverage tests is also covered within the suite of tools available within VS. The team will be able to create testing suites and unit tests for the VS project. The team also plans on using the results of the code coverage tests to identify which portion of the project's code not covered by our tests. Uncovered blocks of code can be colour-coded to signify to the developer that no current test covers that block of code. Other metrics such as number of lines covered, % of a block covered will be summarized per code coverage project to help indicate where more testing efforts are needed.

Using Visual Studio's IDE extensions, the team plans on using SonarLint and CSharpier as its linter and formatting tool for C# respectively.

3.6 Software Validation Plan

To verify that the software will work as intended and designed, the team will use the sample data provided by Dr. Zurob and see if the results are within a reasonable margin of error. This sample data is obtained from a collection of existing materials with known results. If the actual results are outside a reasonable range, then the team shall conclude that the results are not valid.

4 System Test Description

4.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

FR-T1. Control: Dynamic & Manual

Initial State: Entire system is set up and the application is running. Input: Developers will initialize the current source with supply parameters.

Output: The current source shall be reset and the application shall input the parameters of the current supply and set them to the current source appropriately, then enabling and disabling the current. The current level shall be displayed appropriately.

Test Case Derivation: Since the current source is connected via a highspeed communication bus (GPIB) to the control computer, measurements are expected to be synchronized and displayed in real-time.

How test will be performed: Test will be performed by developers. By checking the "Current Source" radio button and specifying other parameters then clicking "Current ON" button and "Current OFF" button. Simultaneously monitoring both the values displayed on the current source and the ones displayed in the application while observing for latency.

FR-T2. Control: Dynamic & Manual

Initial State: Entire system is set up and the application is running. Input: Developers will initialize the nanovoltmeter and capture measurements.

Output: The nanovoltmeter shall be reset and the application shall display the voltage levels appropriately when capture is started. When stopping capture, the application shall pause the measurements and keep the last batch of data displayed.

Test Case Derivation: Since the nanovoltmeter is connected via a high-speed communication bus (GPIB) to the control computer, measurements are expected to be synchronized and displayed in real-time.

How test will be performed: Test will be performed by developers. By checking the "Nanovoltmeter" radio button and clicking "Start Capture" button and "Stop Capture" button. Simultaneously monitoring both the values displayed on the nanovoltmeter and the ones displayed in the application while observing for latency.

FR-T3. Control: Dynamic & Manual

Initial State: Entire system is set up and the application is running. Input: Developers will set up a sample and demonstrate the main function of the application.

Output: The application shall calculate and display the resistivity of the metallic sample based on values obtained from the measurement devices.

Test Case Derivation: Since the measurement devices are connected via a high-speed communication bus (GPIB) to the control computer, calculations are expected to be synchronized to the measurements and displayed in real-time.

How test will be performed: Test will be performed by developers. Simultaneously monitoring both the values displayed on the measurement devices and the ones displayed in the application while observing for latency. They will take a random sample of the mentioned measurements and conduct a manual analysis of the resistivity of said sample

and compare the results to what is expected.

FR-T4. Control: Dynamic & Manual

Initial State: Entire system is set up and the application is running.

Input: Developers will set up a sample and perform thermal treatment on it.

Output: The application shall monitor and take note of critical changes in resistivity.

Test Case Derivation: While the application is constantly monitoring the state of resistivity of samples in real-time, any major change in the resistivity indicates a transition in the phase of the material.

How test will be performed: Test will be performed by developers. Performing thermal treatment and observing changes in resistivity as calculated by the application.

FR-T5. Control: Dynamic & Manual

Initial State: Entire system is set up and the application is running. Input: Developers will control the sampling rate of the voltage mea-

surement.

Output: The nanovoltmeter shall display measurements at a rate faster or slower than the default rate, and the application shall follow suit when displaying measurements and calculations.

Test Case Derivation: The application is expected to be able to sample the data at variable rates, by changing the 'integration rate' of the nanovoltmeter through Powerline Cycles per calculation (PLC) and since the nanovoltmeter is connected via a high-speed communication bus (GPIB) to the control computer, calculations are expected to be synchronized to the measurements and displayed in real-time.

How test will be performed: Test will be performed by developers. By choosing an integration rate from the drop-down menu and starting capture. Simultaneously monitoring both the values displayed on the measurement devices and the ones displayed in the application while observing for latency in the display and calculation.

FR-T6. Control: Dynamic & Manual

Initial State: Entire system is set up and the application is running. Input: Developers will set up a sample and perform thermal treatment on it.

Output: The application shall automatically calculate the slopes of

resistivity-temperature in the phase change diagram, identify changes in these slopes and attributing slope changes to phase transitions. This information is highlighted with appropriate phase transition labels.

Test Case Derivation: While the application is constantly monitoring the resistivity of samples in real-time, major changes in the resistivity to temperature ratios correlate to phase changes of the material.

How test will be performed: Test will be performed by developers. Performing thermal treatment, capturing measurements, and observing notifications and labels on graphs or logs made by the application.

FR-T7. Control: Dynamic & Manual

Initial State: Entire system is set up and the application is running.

Input: Developers will prompt a wireless connection to control computer and stop an experiment.

Output: The application is able to be controlled using a proxy/web application.

Test Case Derivation: The control computer is expected to be connected to the network so that when the system is set up the application is operable remotely.

How test will be performed: Test will be performed by developers communicating remotely with the control computer through a web app. The main application is already capturing measurements and making calculations. Remotely, the testing developer will click "Stop Experiment" button on the web app and that will prompt the main app to stop capturing data and turn the current supply off.

4.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

4.2.1 Appearance Test

NF-AT1. Type: Static & Manual

Initial State: Application is opened and ready for use.

Input/Condition: User will follow a simple set of instructions to

explore application, followed by a survey

Output/Result: Survey result will indicate MIN_USER_ACCEPT_RATE of users agree or strongly agree application is uncomplicated.

How test will be performed: Test will be performed by the user.

They will receive a survey with a question. If MIN_USER_ACCEPT_RATE

of users indicate agree or strongly agree, then it will be considered successful. (Refer to Appendix for Sample Survey)

NF-AT2. Type: Static & Manual

Initial State: Application is opened and ready for use.

Input/Condition: Developers will navigate the application by exploring every screen and state.

Output/Result: If all sections of the applications is in English, then this test is considered a pass. Otherwise, it is considered a fail.

How test will be performed: Different sets of instructions are used to cover a subset of the application screens and states, each set of instruction is to be carried out by a developer to verify the result.

4.2.2 Usability Test

NF-UT1. Type: Dynamic & Manual

Initial State: The application is open to home screen and ready to use

Input/Condition: The users with no prior experience with the product.

Output/Result: At least $TARGET_PERCENTAGE$ of users with no prior experience can successfully complete each task within $TARGET_TIME$.

How test will be performed: The users will be observed while completing the task. The test will pass if at least $TARGET_PERCENTAGE$ users complete the task within $TARGET_TIME$.

NF-UT2. Type: Dynamic & Manual

Initial State: The application is open to home screen and ready to use.

Input/Condition: User will be asked to modify the voltage and current parameters of the application to match a sample experiment we provide.

Output/Result: The user takes no more than *INTERACT_TIME* from the time they interact with the application to when they start modifying the voltage and current parameters to match a sample experiment provided.

How test will be performed: The users will be observed while com-

pleting the task. The test will pass if the user takes no more than INTERACT_TIME.

NF-UT3. Type: Dynamic & Manual

Initial State: The application is open to home screen and ready to use.

Input/Condition: User will use the application for at $OBSERVED_MONTHS$. Output/Result: The total number of mistakes made by the user when inteacting with the application should be no more than $MAX_MISTAKE$ during the $OBSERVED_MONTHS$.

How test will be performed: The user will be asked to record the number of mistakes which will be defined to them befomax_mistakere the test for the whole duration of *OBSERVED_MONTHS*. The test will pass if the number of mistakes record is no more than during the *OBSERVED_MONTHS*.

NF-UT4. Type: Dynamic & Manual

Initial State: Application will be closed.

Input/Condition: Users will open the application and perform a task listed for a sample experiment. User then will be asked to return the next day to perform the same task.

Output/Result: The user takes no more than *INTERACT_TIME* from when the user intract with the application to when they start modifying the parameters.

How test will be performed: The users will be observed while completing the task. The test will pass if the user takes no more than <code>INTERACT_TIME</code> the next day and completes the task accurately.

NF-UT5. Type: Static & Automated

Initial State: The application is open to home screen and ready to use.

Input/Condition: NUMBER_OF_PARA parameters will be entered or modified in the application.

Output/Result: The application should not show any calculation used to return outputs when entering or modifying parameters. How test will be performed: An automated test will be used to enter or modify NUMBER_OF_PARA parameters in the application.

No calculations or processing will be visable to the user.

NF-UT6. Type: Static & Automated

Initial State: The application will be instatlled on the research device

Input/Condition: The size of the application will be checked

Output/Result: Application capacity on the device will be no more than $MAX_CAPACITY$.

How test will be performed: An automated test will be used to verify the size of the application is no more than MAX_CAPACITY.

NF-UT7. Type: Dynamic & Manual

Initial State: Application will be installed on the research device. Input/Condition: User will set up the application based on instructions give.

Output/Result: Application will not indicate further set up required after the initial set up.

How test will be performed: The user will be observed while completing the task. The test will pass if the application does not indicate any further set up after the inital set up.

4.2.3 Performance Test

NF-PT1. Type: Dynamic & Automated

Initial State: Application is installed and running with measurement devices connected to a sample.

Input/Condition: Set MIN_SAMPLE_RATE and start thermal treatment of sample.

Output/Result: The application should return at least MIN_SAMPLE_RATE a second for $ACCEPTED_PERCENTAGE$ of the time.

How test will be performed: An automated test will be used to measure the sampling rate per second. The test will pass if the evaulated sampling rate is MIN_SAMPLE_RATE a second for accepted_percentage of the time.

NF-PT2. Type: Dynamic & Automated

Initial State: Application is installed and running.

Input/Condition: User will be given parameters to change.

Output/Result: Application will reflect changes by within TIME_ACCEPTED for ACCEPTED_PERCENTAGE of the time.

How test will be performed: An automated test will be used to measure the time it takes the changes to reflect while the user is changing the parameters.

NF-PT3. Type: Dynamic & Automated

Initial State: Application is installed and running with measurement devices connected to a sample.

Input/Condition: Thermal treatment sample data will be read into the device.

Output/Result: The sample reading from the thermal treatment will be accurate to ACCEPTED_SIGFIG for at least ACCEPTED_PERCENTAGE of the time.

How test will be performed: An automated test will be used to compare the expected values to the measured values. The evaulated percentage will be found after the duration of the treatment and will be compared to the ACCEPTED_PERCENTAGE.

NF-PT4. Type: Dynamic & Automated

Initial State: Application is installed and running with measurement devices connected to a sample.

Input/Condition: Calcilations will be perfrmed on readings.

Output/Result: The calculations displayed on the application will be accurate to ACCEPTED_SIGFIG for ACCEPTED_PERCENTAGE of the time.

How test will be performed: An automated test will be used to compare the expected values to the calculated values. The evaulated percentage will be found after the duration of the treatment and will be compared to the ACCEPTED_PERCENTAGE.

NF-PT5. Type: Dynamic & Automated

Initial State: Application is installed and running with measurement devices connected to a sample.

Input/Condition: Application will be opened and user woll interact with it.

Output/Result: The application will be up and running for at least $ACCEPTED_PERCENTAGE$ of the time during the task given to the user to perform.

How test will be performed: An sutomated test will be used to measure the uptime of the application. The evaluated percentage will be found after the duration of the give task and will be compared to the ACCEPTED_PERCENTAGE.

4.2.4 Operational Test

NF-OT1. Type: Static & Manual

Initial State: Application is opened and ready with keyboard and mouse connected to the device.

Input/Condition: User will interact with the application with mouse and keyboard.

Output/Result: Application will accurately and correctly reflect the inputs from the mouse and keyboard provided by the user.

How test will be performed: User will be asked to perform a simple set of instructions using the mouse and keyboard such as clicking and typing.

NF-OT2. Type: Static & Manual

Initial State: A machine/computer that does not have the application installed.

Input/Condition: Users will be asked to install the application on the machine/computer.

Output/Result: Survey results should indicate that the installation was easy to follow for MIN_USER_ACCEPT_RATE of the users.

How test will be performed: Test will be performed by the user. User will be given a survey including a question where 5 indicates easy to use, straightforward, and 1 indicating confusing, and/or difficult. If MIN_USER_ACCEPT_RATE of users respond with 5, the test will be considered a pass.

4.2.5 Maintainability/Support Test

NF-MT1. Type: Static & Manual

Initial State: A machine/computer that does not have the application installed.

Input/Condition: Application will be installed on the device and connected to the required measurement devices.

Output/Result: The application is able to operate smoothly without error with the connected measuring devices.

How test will be performed: Test will be performed by a developer installing the application onto a lab computer running Windows 10.

4.2.6 Security Test

NF-ST1. Type: Static & Manual

Initial State: Application is opened and ready to use.

Input/Condition: User will attempt to enter or modify data/parameters

that they currently do not have permission for.

Output/Result: Application will display a message informing the

user that they do not have permission.

How test will be performed: Test will be performed by users with

missing permissions, or a test account by a developer.

NF-ST2. Type: Static & Manual

Initial State: Application is opened and ready to use.

Input/Condition: User will be asked to change protected/hidden

settings given the appropriate permissions.

Output/Result: The changes are accepted and saved by the application. Settings are also accurately reflected on the application's

interface.

How test will be performed: Test will be performed by users who have been granted permission to access these settings, or test ac-

count by a developer.

4.2.7 Cultural Test

NF-CT1. Type: Static & Manual

Initial State: Application is opened and ready to use.

Input/Condition: Users will explore the application through a

demo/test account.

Output/Result: Survey result will indicate MIN_USER_ACCEPT_RATE

of users agree or strongly agree application is appropriate.

How test will be performed: Test will be performed by the user.

They will receive a survey with a question. If MIN_USER_ACCEPT_RATE

of users indicate agree or strongly agree that application is appropriate, then it will be considered successful. (Refer to Appendix

for Sample Survey)

4.2.8 Health and Safety Test

NF-HT1. Type: Static & Manual

Initial State: Application is opened and ready to use.

Input/Condition: Users will explore the application through a demo/test account.

Output/Result: Survey result will indicate MIN_USER_ACCEPT_RATE of users agree or strongly agree application does not cause/pose any noticeable health concerns.

How test will be performed: Test will be performed by the user. They will receive a survey with a question. If MIN_USER_ACCEPT_RATE of users indicate agree or strongly agree that application is free from health and safety concerns, then it will be considered successful. (Refer to Appendix for Sample Survey).

4.3 Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements

Table 3: Requirements Traceability

| | Tests | | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Requirements | | | | | | |
| FR1 | FR-T1, FR-T2, FR-T3 | | | | | |
| FR2 | FR-T4 | | | | | |
| FR3 | FR-T5 FR-T6 | | | | | |
| FR4 | | | | | | |
| FR5 | FR-T7 | | | | | |
| NFR-L1 | NF-AT1 | | | | | |
| NFR-L2 | NF-AT2 | | | | | |
| NFR-U1 | NF-UT1 | | | | | |
| NFR-U2 | NF-UT2 | | | | | |
| NFR-U3 | NF-UT3 | | | | | |
| NFR-U4 | NF-UT4 | | | | | |
| NFR-U5 | NF-UT5 NF-UT6 | | | | | |
| NFR-U6 | | | | | | |
| NFR-U7 | NF-UT7 | | | | | |
| NFR-P1 | NF-PT1 NF-PT2 NF-PT3 | | | | | |
| NFR-P2 | | | | | | |
| NFR-P3 | | | | | | |
| NFR-P4 | NF-PT4 | | | | | |
| NFR-P5 | NF-PT5 | | | | | |
| NFR-O1 | NF-OT1 | | | | | |
| NFR-O2 | NF-OT2 | | | | | |
| NFR-O3 | N/A | | | | | |
| NFR-M1 | N/A | | | | | |
| NFR-M2 | NF-MT1 | | | | | |
| NFR-M3 | NF-MT1 | | | | | |
| NFR-S1 | NF-ST1 | | | | | |

| Requirements | Tests | | | |
|--------------|--------|--|--|--|
| NFR-S2 | NF-ST2 | | | |
| NFR-C1 | NF-CT1 | | | |
| NFR-H1 | NF-HT1 | | | |
| NFR-H2 | NF-HT1 | | | |
| NFR-I1 | NF-MT1 | | | |

5 Unit Test Description

[Reference your MIS and explain your overall philosophy for test case selection. —SS] [This section should not be filled in until after the MIS has been completed. —SS]

5.1 Unit Testing Scope

[What modules are outside of the scope. If there are modules that are developed by someone else, then you would say here if you aren't planning on verifying them. There may also be modules that are part of your software, but have a lower priority for verification than others. If this is the case, explain your rationale for the ranking of module importance. —SS]

5.2 Tests for Functional Requirements

[Most of the verification will be through automated unit testing. If appropriate specific modules can be verified by a non-testing based technique. That can also be documented in this section. —SS]

5.2.1 Module 1

[Include a blurb here to explain why the subsections below cover the module. References to the MIS would be good. You will want tests from a black box perspective and from a white box perspective. Explain to the reader how the tests were selected. —SS]

1. test-id1

Type: [Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Automatic, Static etc. Most will be automatic —SS]

Initial State:

Input:

Output: [The expected result for the given inputs—SS]

Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output field —SS]

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Type: [Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Automatic, Static etc. Most will be automatic —SS]

Initial State:

Input:

Output: [The expected result for the given inputs—SS]

Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output field —SS]

How test will be performed:

3. ...

5.2.2 Module 2

...

5.3 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

[If there is a module that needs to be independently assessed for performance, those test cases can go here. In some projects, planning for nonfunctional tests of units will not be that relevant. —SS]

[These tests may involve collecting performance data from previously mentioned functional tests. —SS]

5.3.1 Module?

1. test-id1

Type: [Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Automatic, Static etc. Most will be automatic —SS]

Initial State:

Input/Condition:

Output/Result:

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

Initial State:

Input:

Output:

How test will be performed:

5.3.2 Module?

...

5.4 Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules

[Provide evidence that all of the modules have been considered. —SS]

References

Author Author. System requirements specification. https://github.com/..., 2019.

6 Appendix

This is where you can place additional information.

6.1 Symbolic Parameters

The definition of the test cases will call for SYMBOLIC_CONSTANTS. Their values are defined in this section for easy maintenance.

6.2 Survey Questions

1. Appearance Question

User Experience Survey Survey to be completed after finishing corresponding non-functional testing

| | How was the feel of the application? | | | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------|-----------|------------|----------|----------|----------------|----------|------|-------------|
| | Mark only one oval. | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | | |
| | Uncomplicated | | | | | Complicated | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Operational Question | | | | | | | | |
| | How was the installation | | applica | tion? | | | | | |
| | Mark only one oval. | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | | | |
| | Simple | | | | Difficul | t | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Cultural Question | | | | | | | | |
| | Is the graphics or terms | s include | d appro | priate? | | | | | |
| | Mark only one oval. | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 7 | 8 | 9 10 | |
| | Inapproptaie | | | | | | | | Appropriate |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Health Question | | | | | | | | |
| | Do the colours or graph | ics used | l in the a | applicat | tion cau | use any health | concerns | s? | |
| | Tick all that apply. | | | | | | | | |
| | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| | No | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Health Question | | | | | | | | |
| | Are the colours too brig | jht? | | | | | | | |
| | Mark only one oval. | | | | | | | | |
| | Yes | | | | | | | | |
| | ◯ No | | | | | | | | |

Appendix — Reflection

The information in this section will be used to evaluate the team members on the graduate attribute of Lifelong Learning. Please answer the following questions:

- 1.
- 2.