

## Chapter

# Fostering a Positive Workplace Culture: Impacts on Performance and Agility

*Cătălina Radu*

## Abstract

This chapter explores the profound influence of a positive workplace culture on work performance and organizational agility. It delves into the multidimensional aspects of positive psychology, organizational culture, and their interplay in creating a flourishing work environment. A positive workplace culture, informed by principles from positive psychology, sets the stage for a thriving and engaged workforce. By cultivating an environment that values employee well-being, growth, and collaboration, organizations can unlock the full potential of their teams and enhance overall performance. This chapter examines how a positive culture positively impacts work performance, leading to increased productivity, efficiency, and quality of work. We delve into the key elements of a positive workplace culture, including fostering a growth mindset, encouraging resilience in the face of challenges, and promoting psychological safety. Through these components, employees are empowered to take risks, innovate, and embrace change, contributing to enhanced organizational agility, which means companies can adapt quickly to changing market dynamics and seize new opportunities. Therefore, this chapter offers valuable insights for human resources (HR) professionals, managers, and leaders seeking to create a positive, agile work environment that fuels organizational success.

**Keywords:** positive psychology, organizational culture, work performance, organizational agility, workforce well-being, work engagement

## 1. Introduction

In the current dynamic business environment, organizations are not merely aiming for survival but are actively pursuing extraordinary success. At the heart of attaining this level of achievement lies the cultivation of a positive workplace culture. This chapter sets out on a quest to delve into the deep-reaching influence of a positive workplace culture on two crucial dimensions of organizational performance: work performance and organizational agility.

The concept of a positive workplace culture has its roots in the principles of positive psychology and organizational culture. It is a powerful catalyst that fosters a flourishing work environment where employees thrive and become deeply engaged. Through a deliberate focus on employee well-being, personal

development, and collaborative dynamics, organizations can unlock the latent capabilities within their workforce, resulting in elevated levels of performance and productivity.

## **2. Cultivating a positive workplace culture: the catalyst for success**

### **2.1 Positive psychology and positive intelligence: foundations for a positive organizational culture**

A positive workplace culture encompasses a range of components that foster a harmonious and productive working atmosphere. While it is not synonymous with positive psychology or positive intelligence, it certainly draws on principles from these areas to create a workplace where employees can thrive, grow, and contribute positively to the organization's success. Hence, it is crucial to examine positive psychology and positive intelligence to a certain extent.

**Positive psychology** is a field of psychology focusing on the positive aspects of human behavior and well-being. A positive workplace culture aligns with positive psychology by emphasizing aspects like employee well-being, recognition, and personal growth. It encourages practices such as gratitude, mindfulness, and strengths-based approaches to foster a more positive and fulfilling work experience for employees.

Positive psychology is closely linked to the concept of happiness, which incorporates both the idea of a state (how we feel at a given moment) and the general satisfaction with life [1]. However, there is a difference between happiness and positivity, with the former indicating the state (how we feel) and the latter being a mindset set at a positive level [2]. Actually, the concept of well-being was studied long before discussing positive psychology. Subjective well-being (SWB) subsumes a set of constructs that include happiness, life satisfaction, and morale [3].

Within the realm of positive psychology, a widely recognized model is the PERMA model [4], which consists of five distinct components that individuals intrinsically seek and that can be individually examined:

- P - Positive Emotion
- E - Engagement
- R - Relationships
- M - Meaning
- A - Accomplishment

This model highlights various facets of well-being and personal fulfillment, each representing a vital aspect of an individual's psychological and emotional experience.

*Positive emotions* refer to a wide range of feelings beyond joy and happiness, including enthusiasm, satisfaction, pride, hope, interest, love, compassion, amusement, and gratitude. Cultivating these emotions can enhance well-being [5]. Additionally, resilience is crucial when facing adversity, and positive emotions can help develop coping strategies and recover faster from challenging situations [6, 7]. Studies have

also shown that positive emotions are linked to improved physical health, longer life, and healthier relationships.

*Engagement*, akin to Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi's "flow," involves being fully absorbed in an activity, losing track of time, and boosting productivity [4, 8]. It hinges on one's passion and is subjectively assessed.

*Relationships*, as the third element in the PERMA acronym, recognizing humans as inherently social beings, emphasize positive connections with others. This involves feeling loved and valued by family, friends, colleagues, or supervisors [4]. Research indicates that positive relationships are pivotal in preventing cognitive decline and promoting better physical health in older individuals [9]. Consequently, relationships are vital throughout life and particularly crucial as we grow older.

*Meaning* refers to people seeking a sense of purpose, desiring actions that hold value, and connecting to something greater [4]. In positive psychology, meaning is pivotal. While happiness focuses on the present, meaning integrates the past, present, and future. Thoughts about the future and the past are associated with a higher level of meaning in life but a lower level of happiness. Happiness is closely related to receiving, whereas meaning is more associated with the idea of giving [10].

*Accomplishment* involves achieving goals through effort and self-motivation, leading to improved well-being as people take pride in their achievements [4].

PERMA model was criticized for not significantly differing from the older SWB model [11, 12], yet the response was that the PERMA model provides a more detailed breakdown of well-being components, allowing for a nuanced understanding of individual goals and priorities [13].

The PERMA model has been adapted and applied specifically to measure well-being in the workplace. This adaptation is often referred to as the Workplace PERMA-Profiler [14], and it focuses on assessing well-being and positive psychological factors in the context of work and employment. One of the authors adapted the 23 items (15 – three for each PERMA element; plus other 8 – one for overall well-being, three for negative emotions, three for health, and one for loneliness) and made the instrument available for use in various countries for validation and improvement purposes [15]. For instance, the instrument was validated in Japan [16], South Korea [17], Germany [18], and Romania [19].

**Positive intelligence** reflects our ability to control our minds, with a high level indicating a friendly, not adversarial, relationship with our thoughts. In the context of a positive workplace culture, positive intelligence can be related to emotional intelligence and self-awareness. Leaders who practice positive intelligence are better equipped to establish a positive work environment by understanding their own emotions and how they impact others and by promoting emotional intelligence among employees. Positive intelligence is related to but distinct from positive psychology, which focuses on achieving a positive state. It is recommended to cultivate well-being and performance through brain "training" involving positive habits, leveraging neuroplasticity, and emphasizing gratitude and positive messages [20].

Positive intelligence includes countering internal saboteurs, which are automatic mental patterns rooted in childhood beliefs and assumptions [21]. These patterns, initially helpful, can now hinder us. Identifying and understanding these saboteurs is the first step toward enhancing positive intelligence. These saboteurs take on distinct personalities within our psyche, steering us away from our intended course [21, 22]. They include the Hyper-vigilant, Restless, Avoider, Pleaser, Victim, Controller, Hyper-rational, Hyper-ambitious, Stickler, and a tenth one, the Judge. The Judge is universal, eroding our self-confidence, effectiveness, and sense of safety.

## **2.2 Crafting the essence: deconstructing the positive workplace culture**

Defining a positive organizational culture is not a one-size-fits-all task, as different cultures may be more suitable for varying organizations, industries, and contexts. Nevertheless, researchers have introduced diverse dimensions or styles of organizational culture to evaluate and contrast different organizations' cultures. This approach helps pinpoint the strengths and weaknesses of each culture. In this context, the term "positive" implies a comparative aspect, suggesting better outcomes. For example, a well-known framework for measuring the organizational culture is the Organizational Culture Assessment Instrument (OCAI) [23]. It identifies four main types of organizational culture: clan, adhocracy, market, and hierarchy. Each type of culture has its own characteristics, values, and assumptions, as well as its own advantages and disadvantages. According to the OCAI, a positive organizational culture is one that balances the competing values of flexibility and stability. Another example of a well-known framework is the Denison Organizational Culture Survey (DOCS) [24], which assesses four primary dimensions of culture: involvement, consistency, adaptability, and mission. According to the DOCS, a positive organizational culture is one that fosters high levels of employee engagement, alignment, innovation, and direction.

Conversely, we can interpret "positive" as an indication that this type of organizational culture is grounded in what is known as positive psychology, which aligns with the perspective presented in this chapter. From this perspective, organizations characterized by a positive organizational culture prioritize employee recognition and foster an environment where employees are empowered to develop, flourish, and perform at their highest capabilities [25].

A positive workplace culture promotes learning, inclusion, and well-being among employees and aligns with the core values and goals of the organization. It serves as the cornerstone of organizational success and employee well-being. Like organizational culture in general, it encompasses the shared values, beliefs, behaviors, and practices that define how individuals interact within an organization, yet it comprises particular dimensions that define it. To truly understand the essence of a positive workplace culture, it is essential to delve into its various dimensions and explore why it is considered a driving force in contemporary organizations. These dimensions are articulated in various ways by different authors. However, for the purpose of this chapter, we acknowledge the following nine dimensions as the primary ones.

### *2.2.1 Well-being initiatives*

These are an integral part of a positive organizational culture, specifically within the context of promoting employee well-being and creating a positive work environment, and it is reflected in values, priorities, norms, practices, etc. A positive culture places a premium on employee well-being, both physical and mental. Wellness programs, work-life balance initiatives, and stress management support are integral components. Organizations can implement a series of organizational practices to enhance workplace happiness and well-being. These may include shifting from performance evaluations centered on weaknesses to those emphasizing strengths, aligning job tasks with employees' unique characteristics through the "job crafting" approach to create more personally meaningful work experiences, and incorporating solution-focused brief coaching techniques [26].

### *2.2.2 Psychological safety*

It refers to the extent to which employees feel comfortable to voice their ideas, concerns, and feedback without fear of negative consequences, ridicule, or retribution. Psychological safety is more likely to be fostered in a positive organizational culture that prioritizes open communication, trust, and transparency. Employees are encouraged to express their opinions, share innovative ideas, and engage in constructive discussions without the fear of backlash, as an important outcome of trust is risk-taking in the relationship [27]. A positive culture encourages open and effective communication at all levels. Employees are comfortable with sharing their ideas and concerns, fostering a collaborative and innovative environment.

### *2.2.3 Employee engagement*

Engaged employees are emotionally committed to their work and organization. Research findings indicate a positive correlation between work engagement and job satisfaction, as well as between work engagement and job performance. Conversely, there is a negative correlation between work engagement and the intention to leave one's job [28]. Engaged employees are more likely to collaborate, innovate, and go the extra mile, contributing to a productive and harmonious work environment. Thus, work engagement is not only an outcome but also a driver of a positive organizational culture, reinforcing the organization's values and facilitating its overall success.

### *2.2.4 Inclusivity and diversity*

Inclusive cultures create an environment where everyone feels valued and respected, regardless of their backgrounds. Diversity of thought and perspectives is encouraged. The management and workforce of an organization hold pivotal roles in laying the groundwork for change through their acknowledgment of the significance and worth of cultivating an inclusive and diverse culture [29].

### *2.2.5 Employee empowerment and autonomy*

A positive culture empowers employees to make decisions and take ownership of their work. It values autonomy and self-direction. Diverse aspects of employee empowerment, encompassing factors like a sense of competence, meaning, self-determination, and impact, contribute to heightened organizational commitment. This, in turn, diminishes intentions to leave the organization, resulting in a more stable and enduring workforce [30].

### *2.2.6 Collaboration and teamwork*

Encouraging collaboration and fostering strong teamwork is essential. Employees should feel they are part of a cohesive and supportive team. Research indicates that business managers who embrace transformational leadership actively promote and nurture collaboration and teamwork [31]. Collaboration and teamwork hold pivotal roles within a positive organizational culture, aligning with positive psychology principles while yielding tangible benefits such as heightened productivity, innovation, and creativity.

### *2.2.7 Resilience and adaptability*

Resilience is commonly seen as a promising response to the difficulties presented by crises and disasters [32]. In essence, a positive organizational culture equips the workforce with the psychological, emotional, and cognitive tools needed to navigate adversity and emerge stronger from challenges. It creates an environment where resilience is not just an individual trait but a collective strength that propels the organization forward in the face of uncertainty and disruption. A positive culture is adaptable and open to change. It encourages innovation and a willingness to embrace new ideas and approaches. Becoming more adaptable poses its challenges. In the context of engagement, we can distinguish between two types: organizational engagement, which revolves around the involvement, satisfaction, and enthusiasm of employees for their workplace, and job engagement, which pertains to the emotional and cognitive focus on work-related goals, involving emotional involvement. Intriguingly, research has revealed that while organizational engagement positively influences an individual's adaptability to changes, job engagement can have the opposite effect, highlighting potential hurdles in organizational change management [25].

### *2.2.8 Recognition and appreciation*

Acknowledging and rewarding employee contributions, both formally and informally, is a crucial dimension. Regular recognition and appreciation of employee contributions reinforce a positive culture. Acknowledging and celebrating achievements motivate employees and enhance morale [33].

### *2.2.9 Fostering a growth mindset*

Organizations that prioritize continuous learning and development create opportunities for employees to develop and progress in their careers through training programs, mentorship, and skill-building initiatives. Unfortunately, when employees hold negative perceptions about employee development, they may feel hindered in their professional growth, potentially leading to an intention to leave their job [34].

These nine dimensions collectively contribute to a thriving workplace where employees are motivated, engaged, and aligned with the organization's mission. As organizations strive for excellence in today's dynamic landscape, recognizing and nurturing these dimensions can foster a culture that not only attracts top talent but also sustains long-term success.

## **3. Enhancing organizational success through performance and agility**

### **3.1 Unveiling the essence of work performance**

Performance is an objective for each of us, whether we are talking about our individual performance or that of the team we are a part of [22].

Despite the significance of the concept of performance, the overarching conceptual framework for work performance tends to lack precision. Since the first definition attempts of individual performance, the concept comprised more different dimensions [35, 36]. An alternative approach, which is both straightforward and commonly employed in contemporary settings, simplifies work performance into just



two dimensions: task performance and contextual performance [37]. The latter, in many cases, are considered discretionary behaviors, the “extra” that employers seek in outstanding employees [38].

What defines performance can vary significantly from one role to another, leading to a multitude of methods for measuring work performance. Some studies use objective indicators such as productivity, sales, or customer satisfaction, while others use subjective ratings by supervisors, peers, or self. Some studies also consider contextual and situational factors that may influence work performance, such as task characteristics, organizational culture, or environmental conditions [39]. While each of these measurement methods offers valuable insights, none of them in isolation comprehensively encompasses the intricate and diverse spectrum of behaviors that constitute an employee’s workplace performance. Crafting a composite indicator that effectively considers all these facets proves to be a formidable challenge [40]. Even when utilizing objective indicators, the ultimate assessment of overall performance is inherently subjective. This subjectivity hinges on factors like the selection of indicators, the formula employed to aggregate them, and the relative significance assigned to each indicator [41].

Some scholars have given particular attention to counterproductive behaviors, which encompass absenteeism, tardiness, personal activities during work hours, and even more serious issues like theft and substance abuse. This constitutes the adverse dimension of job performance, characterized by behaviors that directly or indirectly harm the organization [40, 42–44]. The natural interest in performance is accompanied by a focus on individuals to mitigate the detrimental consequences of work-related investments that may lead to reduced productivity, such as burnout [45].

Factors at the individual level, including personality traits, motivation, skills, and attitudes, exert an influence on work performance. Simultaneously, organizational factors like leadership, feedback mechanisms, reward systems, and the prevailing workplace climate also play a significant role. A series of studies investigate how work performance affects individual and organizational outcomes such as engagement, commitment, turnover, or profitability [46].

Of course, it is important to examine the potential interventions and strategies aimed at enhancing work performance and the evidence and implications of their effectiveness. We might look at the impact of training, coaching, mentoring, or empowerment programs on work performance, as well as at the role of technology, innovation, or diversity in improving work performance. Some studies suggest best practices and recommendations for managers and practitioners to foster a high-performance culture in their organizations [47], which is in line with the main idea of this chapter.

### **3.2 Navigating the competitive landscape with organizational agility**

In the contemporary and rapidly evolving business environment, competitive landscapes are marked by perpetual change and inherent unpredictability. Therefore, the capacity to adapt quickly to various changes is a key success factor. Flexibility, learning, speed, innovation, and strategy have been identified as the core organizational capabilities essential for achieving and sustaining competitiveness over time [48].

In numerous scenarios, agility stands as a measure of performance in its own right [49, 50]. Alternatively, it is regarded as a distinct variable that significantly influences an organization’s performance, competitiveness, or sustainability [51]. However, its role in securing sustained success within today’s rapidly changing business

environment is indisputable. In essence, agility encapsulates an organization's capacity to swiftly respond to evolving market dynamics and seize emerging opportunities. When we dissect agility, it becomes evident that it can be assessed at various levels of analysis—individual, team, or organizational levels [52].

Much like the concept of work performance, the definition of organizational agility lacks universal consensus [51, 53]. This adaptability, which is intrinsic to agility, can be quantitatively measured using indicators such as speed, but it also extends to qualitative assessments that encompass sense-making, learning, and innovation. In essence, organizational agility is not confined to a single metric; rather, it is a multifaceted trait that hinges on an organization's capacity to swiftly sense changes in the external environment, learn from them, and proactively innovate and adapt. This multifaceted nature of agility underscores its importance as a pivotal factor in an organization's pursuit of sustainable success amidst the ever-evolving dynamics of the business world.

The literature investigates the main capabilities needed to sense the changes and to respond to them (i.e., experience, a culture of innovation, appropriate technology) and also the main enablers used for the same purpose (i.e., training people, investing in the right equipment) [51, 53]. Agility capabilities and agility enablers, both integral to organizational agility, address distinct facets. Agility capabilities denote an organization's intrinsic traits, skills, and competencies that underpin its agility, enabling swift and effective adaptation. Conversely, agility enablers encompass the concrete practices, strategies, tools, or mechanisms implemented by an organization to nurture and amplify its agility capabilities, serving as the conduits through which agility is nurtured and perpetuated.

Enhancing agility within organizations is a multifaceted endeavor, encountering various challenges and obstacles. These include resistance to change, cultural barriers, resource constraints, and the necessity of making well-calculated risks. Nonetheless, the pursuit of organizational agility remains a pivotal strategic imperative for organizations, as it empowers them to adeptly navigate the competitive landscape and gain a strategic advantage.

Moreover, staying attuned to current and future trends, including AI integration, data analytics, remote work, sustainability, and cybersecurity, is essential for organizations seeking to thrive in dynamic environments. Research has demonstrated that the adoption of Industry 4.0 technologies empowers companies to substantially elevate their agility across diverse dimensions, utilizing a variety of technological solutions [52]. Embracing these trends will empower organizations to adapt swiftly, make data-driven decisions, leverage remote workforces, promote sustainability, and fortify their cybersecurity posture, thus ensuring continued success in a volatile business world.

### **3.3 The ripple effect: how a positive workplace culture amplifies work performance and organizational agility**

A positive workplace culture serves as a mutual catalyst for both work performance and organizational agility, creating a reinforcing loop that fortifies the positive workplace culture. This interlocking relationship highlights the utmost significance of actively fostering and sustaining a positive workplace culture. A body of research [2, 21, 54, 55] attests to the profound impact of positive psychology on workplace performance and beyond. Indeed, the influence of a positive mindset is considered substantial, giving rise to innovative concepts like positive organizational behavior and positive leadership. To illustrate this relationship, we can delve into the impact of the nine dimensions outlined in Section 2.2.



### *3.3.1 Well-being initiatives*

Initiatives like wellness and mental health programs boost employee satisfaction, performance and agility. In turn, employee satisfaction and enhanced work performance contribute significantly to the cultivation of this positive organizational culture. This positive impact sets off a chain reaction. Traditionally, the prevailing perspective has regarded performance as the independent variable in the relationship between performance and well-being. However, there are conceptual models suggesting that the link between happiness and success goes beyond the notion that success leads to happiness and that well-being can itself generate success [56]. Furthermore, research has shown there is a direct relationship between psychological capital, encompassing elements like hope, self-efficacy, optimism, and resilience, and positive emotions [57]. These positive emotions, in turn, correlate with employees' attitudes (including engagement and cynicism) and behaviors (such as productivity or deviance). Additionally, positive emotions serve as mediators in the link between psychological capital and both attitudes and behaviors. Some authors delved into the impact of three "positive" individual traits—optimism, personal power, and well-being—and uncovered their positive influence on work performance, consequently enhancing organizational productivity [58]. Their findings suggest that implementing positive psychology principles into organizational policies fosters heightened task focus and greater employee satisfaction.

### *3.3.2 Psychological safety*

Employees in psychologically safe environments are more likely to voice their ideas and contribute to organizational performance and agility. Effective communication within the organization plays a crucial role in enabling members to manage their responsibilities more effectively. This foundation supports a well-managed organizational culture. The implementation of effective communication also enhances the likelihood of achieving the organization's goals and objectives [59]. Also, it was demonstrated that psychological safety is a key factor for successfully implementing agile methods [60].

### *3.3.3 Employee engagement*

When employees are engaged, they tend to be highly committed to their work, resulting in heightened productivity and overall performance. Furthermore, research has illustrated that a positive work culture can, in specific cases, enhance adaptability [61]. Notably, this positive culture often generates a ripple effect, fostering a higher level of engagement within agile organizations. Empowering employees to make decisions and enabling them to witness the impact of their contributions further bolsters their commitment to the organization's success. This heightened engagement subsequently elevates morale, productivity, and employee retention rates. It is worth noting that work engagement shares a significant overlap with performance, representing a potent motivational force [62]. Engaged employees exhibit a strong desire to go the extra mile in pursuit of their objectives, driven not merely by immediate circumstances but by a profound personal commitment to achieving their objectives. At the organizational level, this relationship between engagement and performance can be even more robust, as it transcends individual boundaries and spreads motivationally and emotionally to colleagues, exerting a positive influence on organizational change [63]. Furthermore,

numerous studies have explored the potential mediating role of the five components of the PERMA model in connecting other factors to performance outcomes. For example, in the context of academic performance, research demonstrated that work engagement (E – Engagement) acted as a mediator between positive emotions (P – Positive Emotion) and performance [64]. Similarly, in studies involving various variables, work engagement was found to mediate the relationship between employee attitudes and outcomes, encompassing negative outcomes such as turnover intention [65].

### *3.3.4 Inclusivity and diversity*

Effectively managing inclusivity and diversity within an organization is a multifaceted endeavor with far-reaching benefits. Employees in such an environment feel valued, respected, and included, which enhances their sense of belonging and purpose within the workplace. This heightened dedication translates into improved job satisfaction, as employees perceive their workplace as not just a job but a supportive and inclusive community where they can thrive. This sense of inclusion and belonging often correlates with superior job performance. When employees feel their unique perspectives and contributions are acknowledged and valued, they are more likely to strive for excellence in their roles. They bring a wider range of ideas, experiences, and viewpoints to the table, which can lead to increased innovation and problem-solving within the organization. Research has shown that companies that effectively navigate inclusivity and diversity often experience improved financial outcomes [66]. This improvement can stem from factors such as reduced turnover rates, increased employee engagement, and enhanced productivity, all of which can positively influence the organization's profitability and sustainability.

### *3.3.5 Employee empowerment and autonomy*

Empowering employees to take ownership of their work and actively encouraging them to express their thoughts, ideas, and concerns creates a workplace environment characterized by a profound sense of ownership and agility. Empowerment, in fact, is widely regarded as one of the foundational pillars of agility within organizations [67]. This empowerment-driven approach to management has been substantiated by numerous studies, which consistently highlight the positive and significant impact it has on employee work performance [68, 69]. However, it is important to recognize that the relationship between employee empowerment and performance is not a straightforward one. Rather, it appears to be influenced by the level of trust as a crucial mediating factor. Recent research sheds light on the nuanced nature of this relationship [70]. Trust bridges empowerment and performance, influencing how effectively empowerment strategies translate into enhanced work outcomes. When employees feel empowered and trusted by their organization, they are more likely to take ownership of their responsibilities and engage proactively in their roles.

### *3.3.6 Collaboration and teamwork*

Effective teamwork within an organization brings about several positive outcomes, including heightened efficiency, increased innovation, and more effective problem-solving. Studies generally indicate that teamwork exerts a moderate yet positive influence on work performance [71, 72]. Furthermore, communication and team building have emerged as essential pillars of agility [67, 73]. Research findings

shed light on how different organizational cultures impact innovation and, consequently, agility. Clan and adhocracy cultures tend to foster innovation and agility, while hierarchy and market-oriented cultures often inhibit these qualities [74]. This emphasizes the significant role of collaboration and teamwork in promoting organizational agility.

### *3.3.7 Resilience and adaptability*

The connection between resilience and adaptability holds a pivotal role in fostering organizational agility. Importantly, adaptability is an intrinsic component of agility itself. Thus, the interplay between resilience and adaptability, on the one hand, and agility, on the other hand, is evident, forming a symbiotic relationship. In essence, when employees possess a high level of resilience, they are better prepared to face the challenges that accompany change, which directly contributes to the organization's overall agility. This adaptability enables them to pivot, innovate, and remain responsive to the environment. Moreover, it is noteworthy that this synergy between resilience and adaptability extends beyond the realm of organizational agility. Numerous studies have highlighted their role in enhancing work performance [75–77].

### *3.3.8 Recognition and appreciation*

Providing constructive feedback and consistently recognizing employee contributions serves as a powerful catalyst for motivating individuals to excel in their respective roles as a base for enhancing work performance [78]. This also plays a pivotal role in enhancing the overall agility of the organization [73]. When employees receive constructive feedback regularly, they are not only better equipped to align their efforts with organizational goals but are also more inclined to proactively seek opportunities for growth and development.

### *3.3.9 Fostering a growth mindset*

Organizations that make substantial investments in their employees' growth and learning not only cultivate a culture of high performance [79], but also establish an environment conducive to continuous improvement and adaptability [80]. Furthermore, the impact of employee coachability on individual job performance, adaptability, and promotability has been a subject of recent research. Surprisingly, it was revealed that employee coachability has a more significant influence on these aspects compared to effective managerial coaching behaviors [81]. This suggests that the receptiveness and willingness of employees to be coached play a pivotal role in their professional development and career progression. Another interesting study demonstrated the mediating role of intrinsic motivation in the relationship between perceived investment in employee development and work performance [82]. In essence, the research demonstrates that the positive correlation between perceived investment in employee development and work performance holds true primarily for employees who possess high levels of intrinsic motivation. This underscores the importance of aligning developmental efforts with the individual motivations of employees to maximize their performance potential.

However, it is important to acknowledge that the landscape of work performance and agility is multifaceted. While a positive workplace culture undoubtedly serves as a central catalyst for these main objectives, it coexists with various other influential

factors. For example, leveraging cutting-edge technology, including data analytics, automation and the integration of artificial intelligence, is one such factor. These technological advancements empower organizations by offering real-time insights and streamlining routine tasks, thereby enhancing their performance and agility.

#### **4. Conclusions**

This chapter comprehensively examines the dynamic relationship between a positive workplace culture, work performance, and organizational agility. It delves into the various dimensions of a positive culture, examining how it shapes the mindset and behavior of employees. Key elements explored refer to well-being initiatives, psychological safety, employee engagement, inclusivity and diversity, employee empowerment and autonomy, collaboration and teamwork, resilience and adaptability, recognition and appreciation, and fostering a growth mindset.

A positive workplace culture can enhance employee performance, engagement, satisfaction, and retention, as well as organizational outcomes such as innovation, productivity, agility and competitiveness.

Therefore, the insights presented in this chapter are valuable and essential for human resources professionals, managers, and leaders who are dedicated to crafting a work environment that nurtures positivity, empowers employees, and ultimately drives organizational triumph. Through a deeper understanding of the intricacies of a positive workplace culture, we can all embark on a journey toward achieving remarkable success in the modern corporate landscape.


#### **Author details**

Cătălina Radu  
Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Bucharest, Romania

\*Address all correspondence to: [catalina.radu@man.ase.ro](mailto:catalina.radu@man.ase.ro)

#### **IntechOpen**

---

© 2023 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. 

## References

- [1] Diener E, Suh EM, Lucas RE, Smith HL. Subjective well-being: Three decades of progress. *Psychological Bulletin*. 1999;**125**(2):276-302. DOI: 10.1037/0033-2909.125.2.276
- [2] Gladis S. *Positive Leadership: The Game Changer at Work*. Annandale: Steve Gladis Leadership Partners; 2013
- [3] Kozma A, Stones MJ. The measurement of happiness: Development of the Memorial University of Newfoundland scale of happiness (MUNSH). *Journal of Gerontology*. 1980;**35**:906-912
- [4] Seligman ME. *Flourish: A New Understanding of Happiness and Wellbeing—And How to Achieve Them*. London: Free Press; 2011
- [5] Fredrickson BL. The role of positive emotions in positive psychology: The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *American Psychologist*. 2001;**56**(3):218-226. DOI: 10.1037/0003-066X.56.3.218
- [6] Folkman S, Moskowitz JT. Positive affect and the other side of coping. *American Psychologist*. 2000;**55**(6):647-654. DOI: 10.1037/0003-066X.55.6.647
- [7] Tugade MM, Fredrickson BL, Feldman BL. Psychological resilience and positive emotional granularity: Examining the benefits of positive emotions on coping and health. *Journal of Personality*. 2004;**72**(6):1161-1190. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-6494.2004.00294.x
- [8] Csikszentmihalyi M. *Flow: The Psychology of Optimal Experience*. New York: Harper Perennial; 2008
- [9] Siedlecki KL, Salthouse TA, Oishi S, Jeswani S. The relationship between social support and subjective well-being across age. *Social Indicators Research*. 2013;**117**(2):561-576. DOI: 10.1007/s11205-013-0361-4
- [10] Baumeister RF, Vohs KD, Aaker JL, Garbinsky EN. Some key differences between a happy life and a meaningful life. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*. 2013;**8**(6):505-516. DOI: 10.1080/17439760.2013.830764
- [11] Goodman F, Disabato D, Kashdan T, Kauffman S. Measuring well-being: A comparison of subjective well-being and PERMA. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*. 2017;**13**(4):321-332. DOI: 10.1080/17439760.2017.1388434
- [12] Kashdan T. How Many Ways Can We Measure Well-Being? [Internet]. New York: Psychology Today; 2017. Available from: <https://www.psychologytoday.com/gb/blog/curious/201710/how-many-ways-can-we-measure-well-being> [Accessed: October 20, 2021]
- [13] Seligman M. PERMA and the building blocks of well-being. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*. 2018;**13**(4):333-335. DOI: 10.1080/17439760.2018.1437466
- [14] Butler J, Kern ML. The PERMA-profiler: A brief multidimensional measure of flourishing. *International Journal of Wellbeing*. 2016;**6**(3):1-48. DOI: 10.5502/ijwv.6i3.526
- [15] Kern ML. *The Workplace PERMA Profiler* [Internet]. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania; 2014. Available from: [https://www.peggykern.org/uploads/5/6/6/7/56678211/workplace\\_perma\\_profiler\\_102014.pdf](https://www.peggykern.org/uploads/5/6/6/7/56678211/workplace_perma_profiler_102014.pdf) [Accessed: May 24, 2022]
- [16] Watanabe K, Kawakami N, Shiotani T, Adachi H, Matsumoto K,



- Imamura K, et al. The Japanese workplace PERMA-profiler: A validation study among Japanese workers. *Journal of Occupational Health*. 2018;**60**(5):383-393. DOI: 10.1539/joh.2018-0050-OA
- [17] Choi SP, Suh C, Yang JW, Ye BJ, Lee CK, Son BC, et al. Korean translation and validation of the workplace positive emotion, engagement, relationships, meaning, and accomplishment (PERMA)-profiler. *Annals of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 2019;**31**(1):1-13. DOI: 10.35371/AOEM.2019.31.E17
- [18] Wammerl M, Jaunig J, Mairunteregger T, Streit P. The German version of the PERMA-profiler: Evidence for construct and convergent validity of the PERMA theory of well-being in German speaking countries. *Journal of Well-Being Assessment*. 2019;**3**:75-96. DOI: 10.1007/s41543-019-00021-0
- [19] Radu C, Mişu S. Positive intelligence and work performance. *Management and Economics Review*. 2023;**8**(1):117-129. DOI: 10.24818/mer/2023.02-09
- [20] Achor S. Positive Intelligence—Three Ways Individuals Can Cultivate Their Own Sense of Well-Being and Set Themselves up to Succeed. Brighton: Harvard Business Review; 2012. pp. 100-103
- [21] Chamine S. Positive Intelligence: Why Only 20% of Teams and Individuals Achieve Their True Potential and How You Can Achieve Yours. Austin: Greenleaf Group Press; 2012
- [22] Radu C. Internal saboteurs and work performance—Implications for Hrm practices. *Proceedings of the International Management Conference*. 2022;**15**(1):331-341. DOI: 10.24818/imc/2021/02.10
- [23] Cameron KS, Quinn RE. Diagnosing and changing organisational culture. Based on the competing values framework. In: *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Guru Sekolah Dasar*. 3rd ed. Vol. 6. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2011. 266 p
- [24] Denison D, Nieminen L, Kotrba L. Diagnosing organizational cultures: A conceptual and empirical review of culture effectiveness surveys. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*. 2014;**23**(1):145-161. DOI: 10.1080/1359432X.2012.713173
- [25] Parent JD, Lovelace KJ. The impact of employee engagement and a positive organisational culture on an individual's ability to adapt to organization change. In: *Eastern Academy of Management Proceedings: Organization Behavior and Theory Track*. North Andover: Merrimack ScholarWorks; 2015. pp. 1-20. Available from: [https://scholarworks.merrimack.edu/mgt\\_facpub/10/](https://scholarworks.merrimack.edu/mgt_facpub/10/) [Accessed: July 27, 2023]
- [26] Kun A, Gadanez P. Workplace happiness, well-being and their relationship with psychological capital: A study of Hungarian teachers. *Current Psychology*. 2022;**41**(1):185-199. DOI: 10.1007/s12144-019-00550-0
- [27] Mayer RC, Davis JH, Schoorman FD. An integrative model of organizational trust. *Academy of Management Review*. 1995;**20**(3):709-734. DOI: 10.5465/amr.1995.9508080335
- [28] Van Beek I, Taris TW, Schaufeli WB, Brenninkmeijer V. Heavy work investment: Its motivational make-up and outcomes. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*. 2013;**29**(1):46-62
- [29] Pless NM, Maak T. Building an inclusive diversity culture: Principles. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 2004;**54**(2):129-147
- [30] Murray WC, Holmes MR. Impacts of employee empowerment

and organizational commitment on workforce sustainability. *Sustainability*. 2021;**13**(6):3163. DOI: 10.3390/su13063163

[31] Pathiranage YL. Organizational culture and business performance: An empirical study. *International Journal of Economics and Management Studies*. 2019;**6**(6):1-12. DOI: 10.14445/23939125/ijems-v6i6p101

[32] Boin A, van Eeten MJG. The resilient organization. *Public Management Review*. 2013;**15**(3):429-445. DOI: 10.1080/14719037.2013.769856

[33] Abdullah N, A. Shonubi O, Hashim R, Hamid N. Recognition and appreciation and its psychological effect on job satisfaction and performance in a Malaysia IT company: Systematic review. *OSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science*. 2016;**21**(09):47-55. DOI: 10.9790/0837-2109064755

[34] Rahman W, Nas Z. Employee development and turnover intention: Theory validation. *European Journal of Training and Development*. 2013;**37**(6):564-579. DOI: 10.1108/EJTD-May-2012-0015

[35] Murphy KR. Dimensions of job performance. In: Dillon RF, Pellegrino JW, editors. *Testing: Theoretical and Applied Perspectives*. New York: Praeger; 1989. pp. 218-247

[36] Campbell JP. Modeling the performance prediction problem in industrial and organizational psychology. In: Dunnette MD, Hough LM, editors. *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology*. Palo Alto: Consulting Psychologists Press; 1990. pp. 687-732

[37] Borman WC, Motowidlo SJ. Expanding the criterion domain to include

elements of contextual performance. In: Schmitt N, Borman WC, editors. *Personnel Selection in Organizations*. San Francisco: Jossey Bass; 1993. pp. 71-98

[38] Goodman SA, Svyantek DJ. Person-organization fit and contextual performance: Do shared values matter. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. 1999;**55**:254-275. DOI: 10.1006/jvbe.1998.1682

[39] Atatsi EA, Stoffers J, Kil A. Factors affecting employee performance: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*. 2019;**16**(3):329-351. DOI: 10.1108/JAMR-06-2018-0052

[40] Koopmans L, Bernaards CM, Hildebrandt VH, Schaufeli WB, De Vet HCW, Van Der Beek AJ. Conceptual frameworks of individual work performance: A systematic review. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 2011;**53**(8):856-866. DOI: 10.1097/JOM.0b013e318226a763

[41] Tangen S. Improving the performance of a performance measure. *Measuring Business Excellence*. 2005;**9**(2):4-11. DOI: 10.1108/13683040510602830

[42] Sinclair RR, Tucker JS. Stress-CARE: An integrated model of individual differences in soldier performance under stress. In: Britt TW, Castro CA, Adler AB, editors. *Military Life: The Psychology of Serving in Peace and Combat: Military Performance*. Westport: Praeger Security International; 2006. pp. 202-231

[43] Tucker JS, Sinclair RR, Mohr CD, Adler AB, Thomas JL, Salvi AD. Stress and counterproductive work behavior: Multiple relationships between demands, control, and soldier indiscipline over time. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*. 2009;**14**(3):257-271. DOI: 10.1037/a0014951

- [44] Koopmans L, Bernaards CM, Hildebrandt VH, De Vet HCW, Van Der Beek AJ. Construct validity of the individual work performance questionnaire. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 2014;**56**(3):331-337. DOI: 10.1097/JOM.0000000000000113
- [45] Radu C, Deaconu A, Mişu S, Triculescu M. The impact of work investment on performance. *Amfiteatru Economic*. 2020;**22**(14):1103-1120
- [46] Tuffaha M. The determinants of Employee's performance: A literature review. *Journal of Economics and Management Sciences*. 2020;**3**(3):14-24. DOI: 10.30560/jems.v3n3p14
- [47] Pandey J. Factors affecting job performance: An integrative review of literature. *Management Research Review*. 2019;**42**(2):263-289. DOI: 10.1108/MRR-02-2018-0051
- [48] Zainal AY, Yousuf H, Salloum SA. Dimensions of agility capabilities organizational competitiveness in sustaining. *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*. 2020;**1153 AISC**:762-772. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-44289-7\_71
- [49] Yauch CA. Measuring agility as a performance outcome. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*. 2011;**22**(3):384-404. DOI: 10.1108/17410381111112738
- [50] Garcia-Gil M, Torres-Unda J, Esain I, Duñabeitia I, Gil SM, Gil J, et al. Anthropometric parameters, age, and agility as performance predictors in elite female basketball players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2018;**32**(6):1723-1730. DOI: 10.1519/jsc.0000000000002043
- [51] Walter AT. Organizational agility: Ill-defined and somewhat confusing? A systematic literature review and conceptualization. *Management Review Quarterly*. 2021;**71**:343-391. DOI: 10.1007/s11301-020-00186-6
- [52] Mrugalska B, Ahmed J. Organizational agility in industry 4.0: A systematic literature review. *Sustainability*. 2021;**13**(15):1-23. DOI: 10.3390/su13158272
- [53] Žitkienė R, Deksnys M. Organizational agility conceptual model. *Montenegrin Journal of Economics*. 2018;**14**(2):115-129. DOI: 10.14254/1800-5845/2018.14-2.7
- [54] Luthans F, Avey JB, Avolio BJ, Peterson SJ. The development and resulting performance impact of positive psychological capital. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*. 2010;**21**(1):41-67. DOI: 10.1002/hrdq.20034
- [55] Lyngdoh T, Liu AH, Sridhar G. Applying positive psychology to selling behaviors: A moderated-mediation analysis integrating subjective well-being, coping and organizational identity. *Journal of Business Research*. 2018;**92**:142-153. DOI: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.07.020
- [56] Lyubomirsky S, King LA, Diener E. The benefits of frequent positive affect: Does happiness lead to success? *Psychological Bulletin*. 2005;**131**(6):803-855
- [57] Avey JB, Wernsing TS, Luthans F. Can positive employees help positive organizational change? Impact of psychological capital and emotions on relevant attitudes and behaviors. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*. 2008;**44**(1):48-70. DOI: 10.1177/0021886307311470
- [58] Kour J, El-Den J, Sriratanaviriyakul N. The role of positive psychology in

improving employees' performance and organizational productivity: An experimental study. *Procedia Computer Science*. 2019;**161**:226-232. DOI: 10.1016/j.procs.2019.11.118

[59] Jiony MM, Tanakinjal GH, Gom D, Siganol RS. Understanding the effect of organizational culture and employee engagement on organizational performance using organizational communication as mediator: A conceptual framework. *American Journal of Economics*. 2015;**2015**(2):128-134. DOI: 10.5923/c.economics.201501.13

[60] Thorgren S, Caiman E. The role of psychological safety in implementing agile methods across cultures. *Research Technology Management*. 2019;**62**(2):31-39. DOI: 10.1080/08956308.2019.1563436

[61] Parent JD, Lovelace KJ. Employee engagement, positive organizational culture and individual adaptability. *On the Horizon*. 2018;**26**(3):206-214. DOI: 10.1108/OTH-01-2018-0003

[62] Leiter MP, Bakker AB. Work engagement: Introduction. In: Bakker AB, Leiter MP, editors. *Work Engagement A Handbook of Essential Theory and Research*. London: Psychology Press; 2010. pp. 1-9

[63] Bakker AB, van Emmerik H, Euwema MC. Crossover of burnout and engagement in work teams. *Work and Occupations*. 2006;**33**:464-489. DOI: 10.1177/0730888406291310

[64] Oriol-Granado X, Mendoza-Lira M, Covarrubias-Apablaza CG, Molina-López VM. Positive emotions, autonomy support and academic performance of university students: The mediating role of academic engagement and self-efficacy. *Revista de Psicodidáctica*. 2017;**22**(1):45-53. DOI: 10.1387/RevPsicodidact.14280

[65] Yalabik ZY, Popaitoon P, Chowne JA, Rayton BA. Work engagement as a mediator between employee attitudes and outcomes. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*. 2013;**24**(14):2799-2823. DOI: 10.1080/09585192.2013.763844

[66] Patrick HA, Kumar VR. Managing workplace diversity: Issues and challenges. *SAGE Open*. 2012;**2**(2):1-15. DOI: 10.1177/2158244012444615

[67] Harraf A, Wanasika I, Tate K, Talbott K. Organizational agility. *Journal of Applied Business Research*. 2015;**31**(2):675-686. DOI: 10.19030/jabr.v31i2.9160

[68] Yang SB, Choi SO. Employee empowerment and team performance: Autonomy, responsibility, information, and creativity. *Team Performance Management*. 2009;**15**(5-6):289-301. DOI: 10.1108/13527590910983549

[69] Hieu VM. Employee empowerment and empowering leadership: A literature review. *Technium: Romanian Journal of Applied Sciences and Technology*. 2020;**2**(7):20-28. DOI: 10.47577/technium.v2i7.1653

[70] Baird K, Tung A, Su S. Employee empowerment, performance appraisal quality and performance. *Journal of Management Control*. 2020;**31**(4):451-474. DOI: 10.1007/s00187-020-00307-y

[71] Sanyal S, Hisam MW. The impact of teamwork on work performance of employees: A study of faculty members in Dhofar university. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*. 2018;**20**(3):15-22. DOI: 10.9790/487X-2003011522

[72] Schmutz JB, Meier LL, Manser T. How effective is teamwork really? The relationship between teamwork and

- performance in healthcare teams: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2019;**9**(9):1-16. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-028280
- [73] Muduli A. Workforce agility: Examining the role of organizational practices and psychological empowerment. *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*. 2017;**36**(5): 46-56. DOI: 10.1002/joe.21800
- [74] Goncalves D, Bergquist M, Bunk R, Alänge S. Cultural aspects of organizational agility affecting digital innovation. *Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Innovation*. 2020;**16**:13-46. DOI: 10.7341/20201641
- [75] Ducheck S. Organizational resilience: A capability-based conceptualization. *Business Research*. 2020;**13**(1):215-246. DOI: 10.1007/s40685-019-0085-7
- [76] Walpita YN, Arambepola C. High resilience leads to better work performance in nurses: Evidence from South Asia. *Journal of Nursing Management*. 2020;**28**(2):342-350. DOI: 10.1111/jonm.12930
- [77] Sony M, Mekoth N. The relationship between emotional intelligence, frontline employee adaptability, job satisfaction and job performance. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*. 2016;**30**:20-32. DOI: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2015.12.003
- [78] Bawa MA. Employee motivation and productivity: A review of literature and implications for management practice. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management United Kingdom*. 2017;**5**(12):662-673
- [79] Jacobs R, Washington C. Employee development and organizational performance: A review of literature and directions for future research. *Human Resource Development International*. 2003;**6**(3):343-354. DOI: 10.1080/13678860110096211
- [80] Wainaina CN, Kabare DK, Mukulu PE. Determinants of employee adaptability to transformational change in commercial in Nairobi, Kenya: Empirical review. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*. 2014;**4**(10):1-9. DOI: 10.6007/ijarbss/v4-i10/1204
- [81] Weiss JA, Merrigan M. Employee Coachability: New insights to increase employee adaptability, performance, and promotability in organizations. *International Journal of Evidence Based Coaching and Mentoring*. 2021;**19**(1): 121-136. DOI: 10.24384/kfmw-ab52
- [82] Kuvaas B, Dysvik A. Perceived investment in employee development, intrinsic motivation and work performance. *Human Resource Management Journal*. 2009;**19**(3):217-236. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-8583.2009.00103.x