

Wavelet Secure Maps: enhancing privacy protected maps

Edwin de Jonge @edwindjonge

Statistics Netherlands Research & Development
@edwindjonge

useR! 2024, July 9 2024

Wavelet Secure Maps: enhancing privacy protected maps



sdcSpatial: Privacy protected maps

Takeout message: sdcSpatial and Wavelets:

- sdcSpatial helps to assess sensitivity and create privacy protected density maps.
- protect_wavelet novel method for protecting density maps.
- takes care of rural vs urban spatial resolution.
- can be seen as combination of protect_smooth and protect_quadtree.
- *work in progress, on github, not yet on CRAN*

Who am I and why these protection methods?

- Statistical consultant, Data Scientist @cbs.nl / Statistics NL
- Statistics Netherlands is producer main official statistics in the Netherlands:
 - Stats on Demographics, economy (GDP), education, environment, agriculture, Finance etc.
 - Part of the European Statistical System, ESS.

Motivation for sdcSpatial

- ESS has European Code of Statistical Practice (predates GDPR, European law on Data Protection):
no individual information may be revealed.

Sdc in sdcSpatial?

SDC = “Statistical Disclosure Control”

Collection of statistical methods to:

- Check if data is safe to be published
- Protect data by slightly altering (aggregated) data
 - adding noise
 - shifting mass
- Most SDC methods operate on records.
- **sdcSpatial works upon locations.**

Data

```
data(dwelling, package="sdcSpatial")  
nrow(dwelling)
```

```
## [1] 90603
```

```
head(dwelling) # consumption/unemployed are simulated!
```

| ## | | x | y | consumption | unemployed |
|------|--------|--------|----------|-------------|------------|
| ## 1 | 149712 | 470104 | 2049.926 | FALSE | |
| ## 2 | 149639 | 469906 | 1814.938 | FALSE | |
| ## 3 | 149631 | 469888 | 2074.882 | FALSE | |
| ## 4 | 149788 | 469831 | 1927.989 | FALSE | |
| ## 5 | 149773 | 469834 | 2164.969 | FALSE | |
| ## 6 | 149688 | 469898 | 1987.958 | FALSE | |

Let's create a `sdcraster`

Creation:

```
library(sdcSpatial)
unemployed <- sdc_raster( dwellings[c("x", "y")] # realistic locations
                        , dwellings$unemployed # simulated data!
                        , r = 500 # raster resolution of 500m
                        , min_count = 10 # min support
                        )
```

What has been created?

```
print(unemployed)

## logical sdc_raster object:
##   resolution: 500 500 , max_risk: 0.95 , min_count: 10
##   mean sensitivity score [0,1]: 0.4249471
```

42% of the data on this map is sensitive and should be protected!

Type of raster density maps:

(Stored in `unemployed$value`):

Density can be area-based:

- **number of people** per square (`$count`): population density.
- **(total) value** per square (`$sum`): number of unemployed per square.



Or density can population-based:

- **Mean value** per square (`$mean`): unemployment rate per square.

*Note: All density types are valid, but (total) value per square strongly interacts with population density.
(e.g. <https://xkcd.com/1138>).*

Plotting a `sdcraster`

```
plot(unemployed, "mean")
```



How to reduce sensitivity?

Options:

- a) Remove sensitive locations: `remove_sensitive`.
- b) Use a coarser raster: `sdcraster`.
- c) Aggregate sensitive cells hierarchically with a quad tree until not sensitive: `protect_quadtree` (Suñé et al. 2017).
- d) Apply spatial smoothing: `protect_smooth` (Wolf and Jonge 2018; Jonge and Wolf 2016).
- e) Do a multi-resolution analysis `protect_wavelet`

Option: protect_quadtree

```
unemployed_100m <- sdc_raster( dwellings[c("x","y")], dwellings$unemployed  
                               , r = 100) # use a finer raster  
unemployed_qt <- protect_quadtree(unemployed_100m)  
plot(unemployed_qt)
```



Option: protect_quadtree

Pro

- Adapts to data density
- Adjusts until no sensitive data is left.
- It just works. . .


Cons

- Crude, it just works :-)
- Visually: “Blocky” / “Mondrian-like” / Minecraft-result
- Is not translation invariant (basis)
- Isn't there something smoother?


Option: protect_smooth

```
unemployed_smoothed <- protect_smooth(unemployed, bw = 1500)  
plot(unemployed_smoothed, "mean")
```

unemployed_smoothed



sensitive



Option: `protect_smooth`

Pro's

- Often enhances spatial pattern visualization, removing spatial noise.
- Makes it a density map and used as source for e.g. contour map.

Con's

- Does not remove all sensitive values (depends on bandwidth bw)
- A fixed band width is used for all locations: may remove detailed patterns. . .
spatial processes often have location dependent band widths.

Problem: smooth and adaptive

We need both a smooth and adaptive method!

Wavelets

- Used for multi-resolution analysis (MRA), decompose signal / image at multiple resolutions.
- Used for denoising images: (“did I hear smoothing”?)


Also: - Used for lossy compression of images (e.g. JPEG!)

We skip the math


- Except: wavelet decomposition can have different base functions, e.g. Haar, Daubechies etc.

Wavelet and images (e.g. JPEG)


1 level
decomposition



2 level
decomposition



3 level
decomposition




Image




Coefficients
(1 level)



Coefficients
(2 level)



Coefficients
(3 level)



sdcsSpatial and Wavelets:

- Enter: `protect_wavelet`
- Using `dwt.2d` and `waveslim::idwt.2d` of R package `waveslim` (Whitcher 2024)
- Builds a multi-resolution version of density map
- Checks sensitivity (privacy) multiple resolutions

```
unemployed_wvlt <- protect_wavelet(  
  unemployed,  
  wf = "la8", # wavelet transform / base functions  
  depth = 4, # resolution depth  
  ... # denoising parameters  
)
```

The end

- protect_wavelet wip on github
- “raw version”, testing make it user friendly, in September on CRAN.

Thank you for your attention!

Questions?

Curious?

```
install.packages("sdcSpatial")
```

Feedback and suggestions?

<https://github.com/edwindj/sdcSpatial>

References

- Jonge, Edwin de, and Peter-Paul de Wolf. 2016. "Spatial Smoothing and Statistical Disclosure Control." In *Privacy in Statistical Databases*, edited by Josep Domingo-Ferrer and Mirjana Pejić-Bach, 107–17. Springer.
- Suñé, E., C. Rovira, D. Ibáñez, and M. Farré. 2017. "Statistical Disclosure Control on Visualising Geocoded Population Data Using Quadrees." http://nt17.pg2.at/data/x_abstracts/x_abstract_286.docx.
- Whitcher, Brandon. 2024. *Waveslim: Basic Wavelet Routines for One-, Two-, and Three-Dimensional Signal Processing*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=waveslim>.
- Wolf, Peter-Paul de, and Edwin de Jonge. 2018. "Spatial Smoothing and Statistical Disclosure Control." In *Privacy in Statistical Databases - PSD 2018*, edited by Josep Domingo-Ferrer and Francisco Montes Suay. Springer.