```
fun append (xs,ys) =
    if xs=[]
    then ys
    else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)

fun map (f,xs) =
    case xs of
    [] => []
    | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))

val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

Programming Languages Dan Grossman 2013

Function Subtyping

Now functions

- Already know a caller can use subtyping for arguments passed
 - Or on the result
- More interesting: When is one function type a subtype of another?
 - Important for higher-order functions: If a function expects an argument of type t1 -> t2, can you pass a t3 -> t4 instead?
 - Coming next: Important for understanding methods
 - (An object type is a lot like a record type where "method positions" are immutable and have function types)

Example

No subtyping here yet:

- flip has exactly the type distMoved expects for f
- Can pass in a record with extra fields for **p**, but that's old news

Return-type subtyping

- Return type of flipGreen is {x:real,y:real,color:string}, but distMoved expects a return type of {x:real,y:real}
- Nothing goes wrong: If ta <: tb, then t -> ta <: t-> tb
 - A function can return "more than it needs to"
 - Jargon: "Return types are covariant"

Jan-Mar 2013 Dan Grossman, Programming 4

This is wrong

- Argument type of flipIfGreen is
 {x:real,y:real,color:string}, but it is called with a
 {x:real,y:real}
- Unsound! ta <: tb does NOT allow ta -> t <: tb -> t

Jan-Mar 2013 Dan Grossman, Programming 5

The other way works!

- Argument type of flipX_Y0 is {x:real} but it is called with a
 {x:real, y:real}, which is fine
- ! If tb <: ta, then ta -> t <: tb -> t
 - A function can assume "less than it needs to" about arguments
 - Jargon: "Argument types are contravariant"

Can do both

flipXMakeGreen has type
{x:real} -> {x:real,y:real,color:string}

Fine to pass a function of such a type as function of type
{x:real,y:real} -> {x:real,y:real}

If t3 <: t1 and t2 <: t4, then t1 -> t2 <: t3 -> t4

Jan-Mar 2013 Dan Grossman, Programming 7

Conclusion

- If t3 <: t1 and t2 <: t4, then t1 -> t2 <: t3 -> t4
 - Function subtyping contravariant in argument(s) and covariant in results
- Also essential for understanding subtyping and methods in OOP
- The most unintuitive concept in this course
 - Smart people often forget and convince themselves that covariant arguments are okay
 - These smart people are always mistaken
 - At times, you or your boss or your friend may do this
 - Remember: A guy with a PhD in PL jumped out and down insisting that function/method subtyping is always contravariant in its argument -- covariant is unsound