

```
fun append (xs,ys) =  
  if xs=[]  
  then ys  
  else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)  
  
fun map (f,xs) =  
  case xs of  
    [] => []  
  | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))  
  
val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])  
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

Programming Languages

Dan Grossman
2013

Method-Lookup Rules, Precisely

Dynamic dispatch

Dynamic dispatch

- Also known as *late binding* or *virtual methods*
- Call `self.m2()` in method `m1` defined in class `C` can *resolve to* a method `m2` defined in a subclass of `C`
- Most unique characteristic of OOP

Need to define the semantics of *method lookup* as carefully as we defined *variable lookup* for our PLs

Review: variable lookup

Rules for “looking things up” is a key part of PL semantics

- ML: Look up *variables* in the appropriate environment
 - Lexical scope for closures
 - *Field names* (for records) are different: not variables
- Racket: Like ML plus **let**, **letrec**
- Ruby:
 - Local variables and blocks mostly like ML and Racket
 - But also have instance variables, class variables, methods (all more like record fields)
 - Look up in terms of **self**, which is special

Using self

- `self` maps to some “current” object
- Look up instance variable `@x` using object bound to `self`
- Look up class variables `@@x` using object bound to `self.class`
- Look up methods...

Ruby method lookup

The semantics for method calls also known as message sends

`e0.m(e1, ..., en)`

1. Evaluate `e0`, `e1`, ..., `en` to objects `obj0`, `obj1`, ..., `objn`
 - As usual, may involve looking up `self`, variables, fields, etc.
2. Let `C` be the class of `obj0` (every object has a class)
3. If `m` is defined in `C`, pick that method, else recur with the superclass of `C` unless `C` is already `Object`
 - If no `m` is found, call `method_missing` instead
 - Definition of `method_missing` in `Object` raises an error
4. Evaluate body of method picked:
 - With formal arguments bound to `obj1`, ..., `objn`
 - With `self` bound to `obj0` -- this implements dynamic dispatch!

Note: Step (3) complicated by *mixins*: will revise definition later

Punch-line again

`e0.m(e1, ..., en)`

To implement dynamic dispatch, evaluate the method body with **self** mapping to the *receiver* (result of **e0**)

- That way, any **self** calls in body of **m** use the receiver's class,
 - Not necessarily the class that defined **m**
- This much is the same in Ruby, Java, C#, Smalltalk, etc.

Comments on dynamic dispatch

- This is why `distFromOrigin2` worked in `PolarPoint`
- More complicated than the rules for closures
 - Have to treat `self` specially
 - May seem simpler only if you learned it first
 - Complicated does not necessarily mean inferior or superior

Optional: static overloading

In Java/C#/C++, method-lookup rules are similar, but more complicated because > 1 methods in a class can have same name

- Java/C/C++: Overriding only when number/types of arguments the same
- Ruby: same-method-name always overriding

Pick the “best one” using the (static) types of the arguments

- Complicated rules for “best”
- Type-checking error if there is no “best”

Relies fundamentally on type-checking rules

- Ruby has none