```
fun append (xs,ys) =
    if xs=[]
    then ys
    else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)

fun map (f,xs) =
    case xs of
      [] => []
      | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))

val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

Programming Languages Dan Grossman 2013

Optional: Java Mutation Bug

ML vs. Imperative Languages

- In ML, we create aliases all the time without thinking about it because it is *impossible* to tell where there is aliasing
 - Example: t1 is constant time; does not copy rest of the list
 - So don't worry and focus on your algorithm
- In languages with mutable data (e.g., Java), programmers are obsessed with aliasing and object identity
 - They have to be (!) so that subsequent assignments affect the right parts of the program
 - Often crucial to make copies in just the right places
 - Optional Java example...

Java security nightmare (bad code)

```
class ProtectedResource {
   private Resource theResource = ...;
   private String[] allowedUsers = ...;
   public String[] getAllowedUsers() {
      return allowedUsers;
   public String currentUser() { ... }
   public void useTheResource() {
      for(int i=0; i < allowedUsers.length; i++) {</pre>
         if (currentUser().equals(allowedUsers[i])) {
             ... // access allowed: use it
             return;
      throw new IllegalAccessException();
```

Have to make copies

The problem:

```
p.getAllowedUsers()[0] = p.currentUser();
p.useTheResource();
```

The fix:

```
public String[] getAllowedUsers() {
    ... return a copy of allowedUsers ...
}
```

Reference (alias) vs. copy doesn't matter if code is immutable!