

```
fun append (xs,ys) =  
  if xs=[]  
  then ys  
  else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)  
  
fun map (f,xs) =  
  case xs of  
    [] => []  
  | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))  
  
val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])  
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

# Programming Languages

Dan Grossman  
2013

*Binary Methods with OOP: Double Dispatch*

# Example

To show the issue:

- Include variants **String** and **Rational**
- (Re)define **Add** to work on any pair of **Int**, **String**, **Rational**
  - Concatenation if either argument a **String**, else math

Now just defining the addition operation is a *different* 2D grid:

	<b>Int</b>	<b>String</b>	<b>Rational</b>
<b>Int</b>			
<b>String</b>			
<b>Rational</b>			

Worked just fine with functional decomposition -- what about OOP...

# *What about OOP?*

Starts promising:

- Use OOP to call method `add_values` to one value with other value as result

```
class Add
  ...
  def eval
    e1.eval.add_values e2.eval
  end
end
```

Classes `Int`, `MyString`, `MyRational` then all implement

- Each handling 3 of the 9 cases: “add `self` to argument”

```
class Int
  ...
  def add_values v
    ... # what goes here?
  end
end
```

# First try

- This approach is common, but is “not as OOP”
  - *So do not do it on your homework*

```
class Int
  def add_values v
    if v.is_a? Int
      Int.new(v.i + i)
    elsif v.is_a? MyRational
      MyRational.new(v.i+v.j*i,v.j)
    else
      MyString.new(v.s + i.to_s)
    end
  end
end
```

- A “hybrid” style where we used dynamic dispatch on 1 argument and then switched to Racket-style type tests for other argument
  - Definitely not “full OOP”

# *Another way...*

- `add_values` method in `Int` needs “what kind of thing” `v` has
  - Same problem in `MyRational` and `MyString`
- In OOP, “always” solve this by calling a method on `v` instead!
- But now we need to “tell” `v` “what kind of thing” `self` is
  - We know that!
  - “Tell” `v` by calling different methods on `v`, passing `self`
- Use a “programming trick” (?) called *double-dispatch*...

# Double-dispatch “trick”

- `Int`, `MyString`, and `MyRational` each define all of `addInt`, `addString`, and `addRational`
  - For example, `String`'s `addInt` is for adding concatenating an integer argument to the string in `self`
  - 9 total methods, one for each case of addition
- `Add`'s `eval` method calls `e1.eval.add_values e2.eval`, which dispatches to `add_values` in `Int`, `String`, or `Rational`
  - `Int`'s `add_values: v.addInt self`
  - `MyString`'s `add_values: v.addString self`
  - `MyRational`'s `add_values: v.addRational self`So `add_values` performs “2nd dispatch” to the correct case of 9!

[\[Definitely see the code\]](#)

# *Why showing you this*

- Honestly, partly to belittle full commitment to OOP
- To understand dynamic dispatch via a sophisticated idiom
- Because required for the homework
- To contrast with *multimethods* (optional)

*Optional note:* Double-dispatch also works fine with static typing

- See Java code
- Method declarations with types may help clarify