```
fun append (xs,ys) =
    if xs=[]
    then ys
    else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)

fun map (f,xs) =
    case xs of
      [] => []
      | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))

val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

Programming Languages Dan Grossman 2013

Racket Functions As "Macros" For Interpreted Language

Recall...

Our approach to language implementation:

- · Implementing language B in language A
- Skipping parsing by writing language B programs directly in terms of language A constructors
- An interpreter written in *A* recursively evaluates

What we know about macros:

- Extend the syntax of a language
- Use of a macro expands into language syntax before the program is run, i.e., before calling the main interpreter function

Put it together

With our set-up, we can use language A (i.e., Racket) functions that produce language B abstract syntax as language B "macros"

- Language B programs can use the "macros" as though they are part of language B
- No change to the interpreter or struct definitions
- Just a programming idiom enabled by our set-up
 - Helps teach what macros are
- See code for example "macro" definitions and "macro" uses
 - "macro expansion" happens before calling eval-exp

Optional: Hygiene issues

- · Earlier we had (optional) material on hygiene issues with macros
 - (Among other things), problems with shadowing variables when using local variables to avoid evaluating expressions more than once
- The "macro" approach described here does not deal well with this