```
fun append (xs,ys) =
    if xs=[]
    then ys
    else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)

fun map (f,xs) =
    case xs of
    [] => []
    | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))

val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

Programming Languages Dan Grossman 2013

Dynamic Dispatch Versus Closures

A simple example, part 1

In ML (and other languages), closures are closed

```
fun even x = if x=0 then true else odd (x-1) and odd x = if x=0 then false else even (x-1)
```

So we can shadow **odd**, but any call to the closure bound to **odd** above will "do what we expect"

- Does not matter if we shadow even or not

```
(* does not change odd - too bad; this would
  improve it *)
fun even x = (x mod 2)=0
```

```
(* does not change odd - good thing; this would
  break it *)
fun even x = false
```

A simple example, part 2

In Ruby (and other OOP languages), subclasses can change the behavior of methods they do not override

```
class A
  def even x
    if x==0 then true else odd (x-1) end
  end
  def odd x
    if x==0 then false else even (x-1) end
  end
end
class B < A # improves odd in B objects</pre>
  def even x ; x % 2 == 0 end
end
class C < A # breaks odd in C objects</pre>
 def even x ; false end
end
```

The OOP trade-off

Any method that makes calls to overridable methods can have its behavior changed in subclasses even if it is not overridden

- Maybe on purpose, maybe by mistake
- Observable behavior includes calls-to-overridable methods
- So harder to reason about "the code you're looking at"
 - Can avoid by disallowing overriding
 - "private" or "final" methods
- · So easier for subclasses to affect behavior without copying code
 - Provided method in superclass is not modified later