```
fun append (xs,ys) =
    if xs=[]
    then ys
    else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)

fun map (f,xs) =
    case xs of
    [] => []
    | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))

val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

Programming Languages Dan Grossman 2013

Equivalent Functions

Last Topic of Section

More careful look at what "two pieces of code are equivalent" means

- Fundamental software-engineering idea
- Made easier with
 - Abstraction (hiding things)
 - Fewer side effects

Not about any "new ways to code something up"

Equivalence

Must reason about "are these equivalent" all the time

- The more precisely you think about it the better
- Code maintenance: Can I simplify this code?
- Backward compatibility: Can I add new features without changing how any old features work?
- Optimization: Can I make this code faster?
- Abstraction: Can an external client tell I made this change?

To focus discussion: When can we say two functions are equivalent, even without looking at all calls to them?

May not know all the calls (e.g., we are editing a library)

A definition

Two functions are equivalent if they have the same "observable behavior" no matter how they are used anywhere in any program

Given equivalent arguments, they:

- Produce equivalent results
- Have the same (non-)termination behavior
- Mutate (non-local) memory in the same way
- Do the same input/output
- Raise the same exceptions

Notice it is much easier to be equivalent if:

- There are fewer possible arguments, e.g., with a type system and abstraction
- We avoid side-effects: mutation, input/output, and exceptions

Example

Since looking up variables in ML has no side effects, these two functions are equivalent:

But these next two are not equivalent in general: it depends on what is passed for **f**

Are equivalent if argument for f has no side-effects

- Example: $g (fn i \Rightarrow (print "hi" ; i), 7)$
- Great reason for "pure" functional programming

Another example

These are equivalent *only if* functions bound to **g** and **h** do not raise exceptions or have side effects (printing, updating state, etc.)

Again: pure functions make more things equivalent

```
fun f x =
  let
  val y = g x
  val z = h x
  in
    (y,z)
  end
fun f x =
  let
  val z = h x
  val y = g x
  in
  (y,z)
  end
```

- Example: g divides by 0 and h mutates a top-level reference
- Example: g writes to a reference that h reads from