

```
fun append (xs,ys) =  
  if xs=[]  
  then ys  
  else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)  
  
fun map (f,xs) =  
  case xs of  
    [] => []  
  | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))  
  
val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])  
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

# Programming Languages

Dan Grossman  
2013

*Optional: Course-Motivation Introduction*

# Course Motivation

(Did you think I forgot?  $\left[ \right]$ )

- Why learn the fundamental concepts that appear in all (most?) languages?
- Why use languages quite different from C, C++, Java, Python?
- Why focus on functional programming?
- Why use ML, Racket, and Ruby in particular?

# Caveats

Will give some of my reasons in terms of this course

- *My reasons*: more personal opinion than normal lectures
  - Others may have equally valid reasons
- *Partial list*: surely other good reasons
- *In terms of course*: Keep discussion informal
  - Not rigorous proof that all reasons are correct
- Will not say one language is “better” than another
  - May omit “your favorite language” without malice

# Summary

- No such thing as a “best” PL
- Fundamental concepts easier to teach in some (multiple) PLs
- A good PL is a relevant, elegant interface for writing software
  - There is no substitute for precise understanding of PL semantics
- Functional languages have been on the leading edge for decades
  - Ideas have been absorbed by the mainstream, but very slowly
  - First-class functions and avoiding mutation increasingly essential
  - Meanwhile, use the ideas to be a better C/Java/PHP hacker
- Many great alternatives to ML, Racket, and Ruby, but each was chosen for a reason and for how they complement each other