




Copernicus Land Monitoring Service – High Resolution Layer - Grasslands

HRL GRASSLANDS - PRODUCT USER MANUAL



Date: 2025-11-03

Doc. Version : 2.3

Content ID: D1.12 GRA

Contents

Contents	2
List of Figures	3
List of Tables.....	3
Document Control Information:.....	4
Document Approver(s) and Reviewer(s):	4
Document history:	4
1 Executive summary	5
2 Background of the document	5
2.1 Scope	5
2.2 Content and structure.....	5
3 Lineage of HRL Tree Cover and Forests, Grasslands, and Croplands	6
4 Review of User Requirements	7
5 Product structure - What are the High Resolution Layers?.....	8
6 Product application areas and examples of use cases	12
6.1 Use case: Using Grassland Mowing data for CAP	12
6.2 Use case: Land cover specific monitoring	12
7 Product description	14
7.1 Thematic characteristics of the HRL Grasslands Product	17
7.2 Download content, file naming convention and file format(s)	20
7.3 Projection and spatial coverage.....	21
7.4 Spatial resolution	21
7.5 Temporal information	23
7.6 Product characteristics and class codes.....	24
8 Production quality assessment.....	25
8.1 Layers to be verified.....	25
8.2 Sampling Design	25
8.3 Response Design	26
8.4 Statistical Analysis	27
8.5 Verification Results	28
9 Terms of use and product technical support.....	32
9.1 Terms of use.....	32
9.2 Citation.....	32
9.3 Product technical support.....	32
List of Abbreviations & Acronyms	33
References.....	35
Annex I – Colour tables for HRL Grasslands	36

List of Figures

Figure 3-1 Evolution of HRL Forest and Grassland towards the three product groups HRL Tree Cover & Forests, HRL Grasslands, HRL Croplands.....	7
Figure 5-1: Products within the HRL vegetated land cover characteristics.....	9
Figure 5-2: High-level overview of the relationship between the Base Vegetation Layer and the subsequent production of Grasslands, Croplands and Tree Cover & Forests products.....	11
Figure 6-1: Left side: First Grassland Mowing Dates (GRAMD_1) 2021 displaying the start date of a mowing event at Airport Innsbruck, Austria Right side: Grassland Mowing Events (GRAMD) 2021 displaying up to 4 mowing events at Airport Innsbruck, Austria. Background: ESRI World Imagery.	12
Figure 7-1: LAEA tile layout incl. distinction between tiles to cover EU27 and EEA38.....	14
Figure 7-2: Workflow dependencies of HRL Grasslands layers	15
Figure 7-3: Grouping of GRAME and GRAMD for one reference year.	16
Figure 7-4: HRL Grasslands product portfolio.....	17
Figure 7-5: Exemplary NDVI time-series illustrating the typical drops after mowing events.	19
Figure 8-1: Spatial distribution of 14.000 Primary Sampling Units.....	26

List of Tables

Table 7-1: Elements to be included/ excluded in the Herbaceous Cover and Grassland Status Layers	18
Table 7-2: Download content, file naming convention and file format(s) for HRL Grasslands layers	20
Table 7-3: Projection and spatial coverage for HRL Grasslands layers	21
Table 7-4: Spatial resolution for HRL Grasslands layers	22
Table 7-5: Temporal information for HRL Grasslands layers	23
Table 7-6: Characteristics of HRL Grasslands layers	24
Table 8-1: Layers to be verified and target accuracies	25
Table 8-2: GRA validation results at EU27 Level	29
Table 8-3: GRA validation results at EEA38 Level	30
Table 8-4: GRAC validation results for EU27 and EEA38	31
Table 0-1: Colour palette and attributes of GRA layer	36
Table 0-2: Colour palette and attributes of HER layer	36
Table 0-3: Colour palette and attributes of PLOUGH layer	36
Table 0-4: Colour palette and attributes of GRAC layer	37
Table 0-5: Colour palette and attributes of GRACL layer.....	37
Table 0-6: Colour palette and attributes of GRACCL layer	37
Table 0-7: Colour palette and attributes of GRAMECL layer	38
Table 0-8: Colour palette and attributes of GRAME layer	38
Table 0-9: Colour palette and attributes of GRAMD layer	38

Document Control Information:

Document Title	D1.12 HRL Grasslands - Product User Manual
Project Title	Copernicus Land Monitoring Service – High Resolution Layer - Vegetated Land Cover Characteristics
Document Author	André Stumpf, Stephanie Wegscheider, Christian Siegert, Elcin Acar (GAF AG), Bert De Roo, Kasper Bonte (VITO), Tanja Gasber (GeoVille), Loïc Fauqueur (CLS)
Project Owner	Luca Battistella (EEA)
Project Manager	Christian Siegert (GAF AG)
Document Code	D1.12 HRL GRA
Document Version	2.3
Distribution	Public
Date	2025-11-03

1 Executive summary

Copernicus is the European Union's Earth Observation Programme. It offers information services based on satellite Earth observation and in situ (non-space) data. These information services are freely and openly accessible to its users through six thematic Copernicus services (Atmosphere Monitoring, Marine Environment Monitoring, Land Monitoring, Climate Change, Emergency Management and Security).

The **Copernicus Land Monitoring Service (CLMS)** provides geographical information on land cover and its changes, land use, vegetation state, water cycle and earth surface energy variables to a broad range of users in Europe and across the world in the field of environmental terrestrial applications.

CLMS is jointly implemented by the **European Environment Agency (EEA)** and the European Commission's DG **Joint Research Centre (JRC)**.

The **High Resolution Layer (HRL)** vegetated land cover characteristics are a set of harmonised yearly maps dedicated to the thematic themes **Tree Cover & Forests**, **Grasslands** and **Croplands**. These include a rich suite of raster products mapping the yearly status of those land cover types at a spatial resolution of 10 metres and change layers at 3-yearly interval and 20-metre resolution. HRL vegetated land cover characteristics extends the time-series of the existing HRL's Tree Cover & Forests and Grasslands and complements the CLMS portfolio with new layer dedicated to the mapping of crop types and agricultural practices such as mowing, harvest and cover crops.

2 Background of the document

2.1 Scope

This Product User Manual is the primary document that users are recommended to read before using the product. It provides a description of the product characteristics, production methodologies and workflows, and information about the product quality of the annual provision of **HRL Grasslands**. Furthermore, it gives information on the terms of use and product technical support. More detailed information on the methodologies and processing workflows that were used to produce the products can be found in the Algorithm Theoretical Baseline Document (ATBD) [6].

2.2 Content and structure

In more detail, the document is structured as follows:

- Chapter 3 provides an overview of the lineage of the products in relation to previous productions;
- Chapter 4 contains a review of user requirements;
- Chapter 5 provides an overview of what is included in the **High Resolution Layers** vegetated land cover characteristics and how the comprised products relate to each other;
- Chapter 6 presents potential application areas and example use cases;
- Chapter 7 provides a description of the products including the nomenclature and class definitions, file naming, spatial resolution format(s), etc.;
- Chapter 8 summarizes the quality assessment, validation procedure and the results;
- Chapter 9 provides information about product access and use conditions as well as the technical product support.

3 Lineage of HRL Tree Cover and Forests, Grasslands, and Croplands

High Resolution Layers (HRL) on Tree Cover & Forests had already been established in the **Copernicus Land Monitoring Service (CLMS)** portfolio since the reference years 2012 producing initially a **Dominant Leaf Type (DLT)**, a **Tree Cover Density (TCD)**, and a **Forest Type (FTY)** map at a spatial resolution of 20 metres (Figure 3-1). Change layers and reference datasets were included with the reference year 2015. At the same time the accuracy targets were raised towards at least 90% user's and producer's accuracy for the DLT and TCD status layers. A further important step followed with the first production for the reference years 2018 (further referred to as **Historic HRL Forest 2018**) where the spatial resolution of the status layers was raised to 10 metres, the implementation of the change layers was partially reconsidered and target accuracies of 90% user's and producer's accuracy for the change layers were defined. In addition, new aggregated layers depicting the density of coniferous and broadleaved tree cover were introduced. With the **HRL Tree Cover & Forests** starting from the reference year 2018 the product specifications have been kept largely in line with the definitions used for the *Historic HRL Forest 2018* [8] whereas major changes concerned in particular the move to a yearly update

cycle for the status layers and changes to some confidence layers (not shown in Figure 3-1). The new HRL Tree Cover & Forests therefore replace and extend the *Historic HRL Forest 2018*. This does not include an update of the change layer 2015 – 2018; the new status layers for 2018 are therefore not consistent with the original change layers 2015 – 2018.

HRL's on **Grasslands** had already been established in the Copernicus Land Monitoring Service (CLMS) portfolio since the reference years 2015 initially producing a status layer on the absence / presence of grassland (Figure 3-1) with a target Overall Accuracy of 85%. With the reference year 2018, the spatial resolution of the status layers was increased to 10 metres and a change layer with a target Overall Accuracy of 80% was introduced. With the **HRL Grasslands** starting from the reference year 2017, the product specifications have been largely maintained to ensure consistency with the definitions used for the *Historic HRL Grassland 2018* [9]. In particular, the **HRL Grassland (GRA)** layer has been transitioned to an annual update cycle for the status layers, complemented by an additional yearly **Herbaceous Cover** layer that also includes temporary grassland in the reference year. A further methodological enhancement concerns the removal of the Minimum Mapping Unit (MMU) from both the **PLOUGH** and **GRA** layer starting from 2022. This adjustment was introduced to improve the current consistency between the **GRA**, **HER**, and **PLOUGH** layers and to eliminate artificial gains and losses resulting from MMU-induced filtering. While this change enhances the internal coherence and spatial detail of the current **HRL Grassland** layers, it may lead to minor differences when compared to pre-2022 layers where MMU thresholds were still applied. Consequently, users should be aware that actual small-area grassland changes may be partly mixed with technical changes resulting from the removal of the MMU. New layers on the count and timing of Grassland Mowing (MMU of 0.25 ha) and changes to some confidence layers (not shown in detail in Figure 3-1) are introduced. The new **HRL Grasslands** therefore replaces and extends the *Historic HRL Grassland 2018*. This does not include an update of the change layer 2015 – 2018; the new status layers for 2018 are therefore not consistent with the original change layers 2015 – 2018.

The **HRL Croplands** is a new set of layers dedicated to agriculture and comprises several yearly layers mapping crop types (10-metre spatial resolution) and agricultural practices such as harvest, fallow land and secondary crops (10-metre spatial resolution, MMU of 0.25 ha).




Figure 3-1 Evolution of HRL Forest and Grassland towards the three product groups HRL Tree Cover & Forests, HRL Grasslands, HRL Croplands.

4 Review of User Requirements

In the frame of the **Horizon 2020 (H2020)** project **ECOLaSS** a survey [4] of key stakeholders has been performed in order to evaluate the user requirements towards the evolution of existing and future **Copernicus** products. This survey also made use of the results from the Nextspace User Study [5] and revealed that **HRL** users like European institutions, service industry, research and academia, national agencies, regional administrations, NGOs or private users would in general appreciate:

- High thematic quality/meaningful and application-oriented product definitions;
- Sufficient spatial and timely resolution concerning both, status layer and change layer;
- Short update cycles;
- Change monitoring;
- Free and open access;
- High technical quality;
- Standardized and comparable nomenclature;
- Transparent and scientific workflows and state-of-the-art methodology;
- Detailed documentation of these workflow and the respective methodology;
- Consistency of the Pan-European products enabling synergistic use of all products;

- Streamlining the pan-European product with global ones;
- Availability of historic data and compatibility of time series;
- Open access to the original Copernicus Sentinel data;
- Sophisticated product presentation and visualisation possibilities in an online viewer on the Copernicus platform;
- IPCC-compliant land-use categories..

While many of these requirements had already been satisfied with previous HRL reference years some could only be implemented within the current update:

- A long-standing thematic gap in the European **CLMS** portfolio concerning the monitoring of agriculture has been addressed through new products on crop types and agricultural activities. This also improves the separation between grassland and cropland and the IPCC conformity;
- Yearly update cycle for status layer;
- Grassland use intensity (or the dynamics of intensification/ extensification) is partially addressed through a new product on Grassland mowing.

Further requirements that remain to be considered for future updates are for example:

- More fine-grained differentiation among species-rich (extensively used) and separation from species-poor (intensively used) and managed grassland;
- Tree-species compositions and shifts between extensive and intensive management;
- Increased timeliness of availability of the products: The mid-term goal is a product provision at latest 12 months after the end of the reference year.

5 Product structure - What are the High Resolution Layers?

The **High Resolution Layers (HRL)** vegetated land cover characteristics portfolio consists of **Tree Cover & Forests**, **Grasslands** and **Croplands** products (Figure 5-1), which together cover most of what is defined as the Biotic component of the **EAGLE** Land Cover Components¹. More specifically, the mapping is focused on surfaces with a vegetation cover above 30%; an exception to this is tree cover where the objective is to map tree cover with a continuous range of 1-100% **Tree Cover Density (TCD)**, i.e. also below 30%, as far as detectable from 10-metre resolution satellite imagery. This definition is also in line with the Sparsely Vegetated class in the **CLC+ Backbone Raster**² and considers that detection / classification of vegetation below this threshold is typically more error prone. The definition also aims at largely avoiding overlaps with the non-vegetated land cover characteristics such as **HRL Imperviousness**, which is focused on areas with less than 10% vegetation cover during any time of the year, for a reference period of 3 year.

Some overlaps between the three product groups are allowed by definition, for example areas with tree crops (i.e. olive, fruit and nut trees) which are included in both the Tree Cover & Forests and the Croplands products. Furthermore, specific vegetations types are not included in the HRL-

¹ https://land.copernicus.eu/en/eagle?tab=technical_implementation

² <https://land.copernicus.eu/en/technical-library/product-user-manual-clc-backbone-2021>

VLCC portfolio; this concerns areas dominated by natural shrubs (i.e. shrubs that are not under agricultural use) and associations of lichens and mosses.




Figure 5-1: Products within the HRL vegetated land cover characteristics.

Given several interdependencies and potential overlaps among the **Grasslands**, **Croplands** and **Tree Cover & Forests** products, the overall workflow starts with the classification of **Base Vegetation Layer (BVL)**. A high-level description is provided for the overall workflow in Figure 5-2. The yearly BVL classification initially targets the separation of 5 basic land cover classes being:

1. *herbaceous vegetation*;
2. *cropland*;
3. *tree cover - coniferous*
4. *tree cover - broadleaved*;
5. *tree crops* (i.e. nomenclature overlap between broadleaved tree cover and permanent crops in the Croplands product);
6. *background class* (including bare and sparsely vegetated areas and non-agricultural shrubs);

In a subsequent post-processing step two further classes are derived to delineate the:

7. *potential overlap herbaceous – cropland* (i.e. pixels which are classified as cropland and herbaceous at least once in the time-series);
8. The second derived class is derived from the intersection of all areas classified as tree cover and a preliminary version of the Tree Cover Density to delineate areas with low Tree Cover Density and hence allowed *overlaps of herbaceous and tree cover*.

The derived yearly BVL is considered for the downstream productions of Grasslands, Croplands and Tree Cover & Forests products as follows:

- For the production of the Grasslands layers: all areas classified as *herbaceous*, *overlap herbaceous – tree cover*, or *overlap herbaceous – Cropland* are considered as the potential maximum extent for the **Herbaceous Cover (HER)** layer. In addition, the BVL classification probabilities for the *herbaceous* class are used as the main input for the derivation of the **HER** layer.

- For the **Croplands** layers: the areas delineated as *cropland*, *overlap herbaceous – cropland*, or Tree Crops are considered as the maximum extent for the **CTY** classification and further refinement.
- For the **Tree Cover & Forests** layers: the areas classified as *tree cover*, *overlap herbaceous – tree cover*, *tree crops* and the respective probabilities are used directly to derive the respective change layers and yearly **DLT** and **TCD** status layers.

Within the areas identified as *overlap herbaceous – cropland*, a further harmonization step is carried out downstream. To this end the **CTY** classification initially includes a class for *fodder crops* which are transferred to the **HER** layer if occurring in the designated overlap class.




Figure 5-2: High-level overview of the relationship between the Base Vegetation Layer and the subsequent production of Grasslands, Croplands and Tree Cover & Forests products

6 Product application areas and examples of use cases

The **HRL Tree Cover & Forests, Grasslands and Croplands** set of products is designed for use by a broad user community as basis for environmental and regional analysis and for supporting political decision-making, such as the **Common Agricultural Policy (CAP)**, **LULUCF (Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry)** regulation, the **Nature Restoration Regulation (NRR)**, or the proposed European **Forest Monitoring Law (FML)**. With the new products the **EEA** will ensure continuity and further densification of the well-established HRL Tree Cover & Forests and Grasslands product time series. Those include a rich suite of raster products at a 3-yearly interval mapping the status of those land cover types with a spatial resolution of 10-metre and change layers at 20-metre spatial resolution.

As an example, the following sections provide short information on (potential) use cases at national level, for which the **Copernicus HRL Grasslands** product represent a fundamental input.

6.1 Use case: Using Grassland Mowing data for CAP

The **Grassland Mowing Events** are derived using a **Sentinel-2** time-series analysis by identifying vegetation disturbances in the **Herbaceous Cover (HER)** layer. Those disturbances usually show a sharp drop of biophysical signal compared to a previously defined reference. The main difficulty is to distinguish mowing events from cloud-influenced observations or to miss mowing events due to longer cloud-related data gaps.

The information on mowing events can support the correct implementation of the European **Common Agricultural Policy (CAP)**.




Figure 6-1: Left side: First Grassland Mowing Dates (GRAMD_1) 2021 displaying the start date of a mowing event at Airport Innsbruck, Austria Right side: Grassland Mowing Events (GRAME) 2021 displaying up to 4 mowing events at Airport Innsbruck, Austria. Background: ESRI World Imagery.

6.2 Use case: Land cover specific monitoring

Detailed and dynamic information on the state of the land as provided by the **Grasslands** layers allows to analyse regional trends in the area occupied by these land covers, which could be relevant information for authorities and policy makers. Furthermore, applications which require information on the land cover status can benefit from the **Grasslands**. For example, in case of biomass mapping often land cover specific parametrization is applied. Using the **HRL Grasslands**,



allows to do this in a much more detailed and dynamic way. In case of the Evoland³ project, it is intended to use these layers to apply specific parametrization over crop and grassland locations.

³ <https://www.evo-land.eu/method/biomass-mapping/>

7 Product description

The **HRL Grasslands** layers are generally provided in 100km LAEA tiles as shown in Figure 7-1. The five French Oversea Territories are provided in UTM with the layout of the respective territory. The layers are available as Cloud-Optimized GeoTIFFs (COG) per reference year and 100km LAEA tile aligned with the **EEA reference grid**. Each raster file is accompanied by a Persistent Auxiliary metadata (PAM) XML and an INSPIRE XML.




Figure 7-1: LAEA tile layout incl. distinction between tiles to cover EU27 and EEA38.

The primary layers of the **HRL Grasslands** portfolio (Figure 7-4) include the produced **Grassland (GRA)** status layer and the **Herbaceous Cover (HER)** status layer at a 10-metre resolution. Within the extent of the yearly **HER** layer, **Grassland Mowing Events (GRAME)** and **Grassland Mowing Dates (GRAMD)** are provided on a yearly basis and at a 10-metre resolution. Further layers are the **Ploughing Indicator (PLOUGH)**, the **Grassland Change layer (GRAC)** as well as three accompanying confidence layers, the **Grassland Confidence Layer (GRACL)**, the **Grassland Change Confidence Layer (GRACCL)**, as well as the **Grassland Mowing Events Confidence Layer (GRAMECL)**.

The **Herbaceous Cover (HER)** is a yearly binary layer at a 10 metres spatial resolution displaying information on the presence / absence of herbaceous vegetation cover starting from the reference year 2017. It adheres to the below definition of herbaceous cover and constitutes the basis for all other **HRL Grasslands** layers. A high-level overview of the dependencies among the HRL Grasslands layers is provided in Figure 7-2. The **HER** layer contains permanent and temporary grasslands (e.g. seeded grassland and fodder crops), in case it was the dominant land cover in the respective reference year.

The **HER** layer enables advanced users to derive temporary grasslands according to the different definitions, using the **Ploughing Indicator (PLOUGH)** and **Grassland (GRA)** layers (see description below). The **HER** layer, together with the **PLOUGH** layer, serves as the basis for deriving the **GRA** status layer by excluding all areas detected as ploughed within this period. However, an area can still be mapped as herbaceous even if a ploughing event occurred within a given year.




Figure 7-2: Workflow dependencies of HRL Grasslands layers

Before generating the **GRA** layer, the **Ploughing Indicator (PLOUGH)** is derived at 10 metres spatial resolution to define the permanent grassland area. For each pixel in the **HER** layer, the **PLOUGH** layer tracks the time since the most recent ploughing event or the last time it was not covered by herbaceous vegetation. It consists of codes 0 to 6, which represent the number of years that have passed since the last disruption of herbaceous cover (for reference year 2017: 1 = 2016 and 6 = 2011).

With the introduction of the **HER** layer, some changes were applied to the historical **PLOUGH** layers from the previous 2015 and 2018 productions to maintain consistency between the three layers: **PLOUGH**, **GRA** and **HER**. Class code 0 now indicates ploughing in current year, while the former class 0 (*no ploughing information*) has been reassigned to class code 253. Class 100 has been introduced to contain all pixels that changed between two years due to variations in the **HER** layer, where no ploughing was detected.

The first reference year for **HRL Grasslands** is 2017, meaning that ploughing information dating back to 2011 would have been required for consistency. However, the data quality for 2015 and earlier is considered rather poor due to the spatial resolution of 20 metres and the mix of available imagery including very few Sentinel-2 scenes, Landsat 5, Landsat 8 and the

HR_IMAGE_2012 [7]. Clipping the 10 metres Grassland layer with those 20 metres historic layers these patches would have introduced 20 metres edges, significantly affecting the final Grassland layer's appearance. As a result, the **PLOUGH** for the years before 2016 was not used to mask out grassland areas.

However, ploughing information for the historic years 2011 to 2015 is still available in the **PLOUGH** for reference year 2017 and can be utilized for specific applications. As more reference years are added, historic data will gradually diminish, and starting with 2023 reference year, the **PLOUGH** layer will rely solely on Sentinel-2 data.

The **Grassland (GRA)** status layer at 10 metres spatial resolution is therefore a direct derivative of the corresponding **HER** and **PLOUGH** layer. All herbaceous areas that indicate ploughing events or herbaceous disruptions in the current and previous five years are masked out, resulting in the permanent grassland areas.

The **HRL Grasslands** portfolio also includes the **Grassland Change (GRAC)** layer at 20 metres resolution, indicating *gain* and *loss* of permanent grassland vegetation between two reference years (e.g. 2018 and 2021) with a Minimum Mapping Unit of 1ha.

The **Grassland Mowing (GRAM)** layers map the number (up to four) detected mowing events and their respective start dates. The **Grassland Mowing Events (GRAME)** layer provides annual pixel-based information, starting from 2017, and contains the number of mowing events (0, 1, 2, 3, 4) within the detected permanent and temporary grassland extent of the **Herbaceous Cover (HER)**.

The **Grassland Mowing Dates (GRAMD)** layers provides the day-of-year (DOY) for the start of each mowing event. Since up to four mowing events can be detected, **GRAMD** is provided in four individual raster datasets, each depicting the DOY for the first, second, third and fourth mowing event (**GRAMD_1**, **GRAMD_2**, **GRAMD_3**, **GRAMD_4**), respectively. This results in four **GRAMD** layer per reference year/per **GRAME** layer (Figure 7-3).




Figure 7-3: Grouping of GRAME and GRAMD for one reference year.

To reduce noise in the **GRAMD** layer, a GDAL sieve⁴ filter is applied independently to each of the four **GRAMD** layers. This filter ensures that patches of DOY-values smaller than the threshold of 0.25 ha (25 pixels) is replaced by the value of the largest neighbouring patch, but only if the size of the neighbouring patch is larger than the threshold. Since this filtering is applied individually to each **GRAMD** layer (**GRAMD_1**, **GRAMD_2**, ...), in some cases, the newly assigned value is already present in one of the other mowing event date layers at the same location (i.e. the same pixels). In such cases, it results in date duplication, where timing of the first mowing event

⁴ [GDAL Documentation – Sieve Filter](#)

(GRAMD_1) and the second mowing event (GRAMD_2) share the same DOY. This occurs in approximately 0.4 % of the herbaceous pixels. For further information, please refer to the ATBD [7].

Additional layers complementing the **HRL Grasslands** portfolio are the **Confidence Layers (CL)** for which there are three: **Grassland Confidence Layer (GRACL)**, **Grassland Mowing Events Confidence Layer (GRAMECL)** and **Grassland Change Confidence Layer (GRACCL)**. These indicate on the reliability of the classifications by providing additional information on the degree of separability of each grassland/change/mowing pixel from other land cover types. They show the *confidence* of the classifier for each pixel in the grassland/change/mowing classification with values ranging from 0-100% *confidence*. This layer may be of interest e.g. for scientific value-added applications, where the a-priori confidence of all input data is needed for overall error margin calculation.




Figure 7-4: HRL Grasslands product portfolio

7.1 Thematic characteristics of the HRL Grasslands Product

In terms of thematic definition of the annual **Grassland (GRA)** status layer has been largely aligned with the **HRL Grassland 2015** and the **Historic HRL Grassland 2018** definition, ensuring consistency and comparability across the time series. Several key improvements have been introduced in the current production cycle. The HRL Grassland (GRA) layer now follows an annual update cycle for the status layers, complemented by a yearly Herbaceous Cover (HER) layer that also includes temporary grasslands. Starting from 2022, the MMU has been removed from both

the **PLOUGH** and **GRA** layers to improve consistency across products and eliminate artificial gains and losses caused by MMU filtering. Table 7-1 provides an overview of the **Land Cover (LC)** and **Land Use (LU)** features that shall be included or excluded in the HRL Grasslands. The definition encompasses natural, semi-natural and managed or cultivated grasslands (according to their origin and utilization) as well as all types of grassland (permanent or seasonal) under highly heterogeneous biogeographic conditions (wet or dry climate, fertile or poor soil). Herbaceous cover within the context of the product is understood as herbaceous vegetation with at least 30% ground cover and with at least 30% graminoid species such as Poaceae, Cyperaceae and Juncaceae. The rate of 30% ground cover density shall be understood as a benchmark implicating that grasslands with $\geq 30\%$ ground cover can usually be distinguished clearly from bare ground on earth observation data with the resolution of 10 metres.

Coastal grasslands, such as grey dunes and salt meadows with at least 30 % cover of graminoids cover, are included. The definition also tolerates non-woody plants such as lichens, mosses, sedges and herbs but excludes wetlands and other peat-forming ecosystems dominated by sedges, reed beds and helophytes dominated systems, tall forbs, fern, and shrub dominated vegetation. The definition includes extensive areas of agricultural grasslands (pastures and meadows) or herbaceous fodder crops and managed recreational grasslands (e.g. lawns). Arable fields with graminoids like winter wheat, barley and rye are explicitly excluded.

Table 7-1: Elements to be included/ excluded in the Herbaceous Cover and Grassland Status Layers

Elements included	Elements excluded
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Natural, semi-natural, agricultural / managed grass-covered surfaces ▪ Grasslands with scattered trees and shrubs covering a maximum 10% ▪ Heathland with high grass cover, maximum of 10% non-grass cover ▪ Coastal grasslands, such as grey dunes and salt meadows located in intertidal flat areas with at least 30% graminoid species of vegetation cover ▪ Sparsely vegetated grasslands ($\geq 30\%$ vegetation cover) ▪ Grasslands in urban areas: parks, urban green spaces in residential and industrial areas ▪ Semi-arid steppes with scattered Artemisia scrub ▪ Meadows: grasslands which are not regularly grazed by domestic livestock, but rather allowed to grow unchecked in order to produce hay ▪ Grasslands in urban areas: sport fields, golf courses ▪ Grasslands on land without use ▪ Natural grasslands on military sites 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Peat forming ecosystems dominated by sedges ▪ Reed beds and helophytes dominated systems ▪ Tall forbs, fern, shrub dominated vegetation ▪ Grasslands that have been observed as tilled in the reference year or the 6 years before (applicable for GRA but not for HER)) ▪ Rice fields ▪ Vineyards, orchards, olive groves, (if more than 10% shrubs or trees) ▪ Tundra dominated by shrubs and lichens ▪ Grasslands on fresh (and older) clear-cuts in the woods ▪ Sparsely vegetated grasslands with $< 30\%$ vegetation cover

The two main yearly status layers are the **Herbaceous Cover (HER)** layer and the **Grassland (GRA)** layer. They reflect the important distinction between permanent and temporary grassland.

While the criteria for the distinction of permanent and temporary grasslands vary across Europe, several countries use a persistence threshold of at least 5 years. The specification of the **GRA** layer follows this convention and defines permanent Grassland as stable, continuously herbaceous vegetation for at least six years, with no ploughing events occurring during that period.

The newly introduced annual **HER** layer, on the other hand, still includes both permanent and temporary grasslands (e.g. seeded grassland and fodder crops that might have been tilled within the reference year) if it was the dominant land cover in the respective reference year.

The **PLOUGH** layer records detected tilling events annually for the respective reference year and the previous six years, serving as the primary input for distinguishing between temporary herbaceous cover and permanent grassland and thus allows the derivation of the **GRA** from the **HER** layer.

The **Grassland Mowing (GRAM)** layers provide information on timing and number of mowing events where mowing is defined as the mechanical cutting of the herbaceous cover with mowing machines or scythes. Such interventions leave typical drops in the time-series of the **Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)** which can be detected automatically (Figure 7-5). The **Grassland Mowing Dates (GRAMD)** layers records the **Day of Year (DOY)** of up to four mowing events for all pixels marked as *permanent and temporary grassland in reference year* in the **HER** layer of the respective year. The Minimum Mapping Unit for the **GRAMD** is set to 0.25ha (see section 7. for further details on the implementation of the sieving mechanism). The **GRAM** layer is providing the total count of mowing events recorded per year.




Figure 7-5: Exemplary NDVI time-series illustrating the typical drops after mowing events.

7.2 Download content, file naming convention and file format(s)

Table 7-2: Download content, file naming convention and file format(s) for HRL Grasslands layers

Name of layer	Acronym	Abbreviation	Data format	Metadata
Grassland	GRA	GRA_S2017_R10m GRA_S2018_R10m ... GRA_S2023_R10m	Tiles of Cloud-Optimized GeoTIFF aligned with the 100 km LAEA grid and with embedded colormaps, as well as separate colour legends in the formats *.qml, *.sld and *.lyr	XML metadata files according to INSPIRE metadata standards and GDAL-style Permanent Auxiliary Metadata (PAM)*.aux.xml including statistics and Raster Attribute Table
Ploughing Indicator	PLOUGH	PLOUGH_S2017_R10m PLOUGH_S2018_R10m ... PLOUGH_S2023_R10m		
Herbaceous Cover	HER	HER_S2017_R10m HER_S2018_R10m ... HER_S2023_R10m		
Grassland Change	GRAC	GRAC_C2018-2021_020m		
Grassland Mowing Events	GRAME	GRAME_S2017_R10m GRAME_S2018_R10m ... GRAME_S2023_R10m		
Grassland Mowing Dates	GRAMD	GRAMD_1_S2017_R10m GRAMD_1_S2018_R10m ... GRAMD_1_S2023_R10m GRAMD_2_S2017_R10m GRAMD_2_S2018_R10m ... GRAMD_2_S2023_R10m GRAMD_3_S2017_R10m GRAMD_3_S2018_R10m ... GRAMD_3_S2023_R10m GRAMD_4_S2017_R10m GRAMD_4_S2018_R10m ... GRAMD_4_S2023_R10m		
Grassland Confidence Layer	GRACL	GRACL_S2017_R10m GRACL_S2018_R10m ... GRACL_S2023_R10m		
Grassland Change Confidence Layer	GRACCL	GRACCL_C2018-2021_R20m		

GRAME Confidence Layer	GRAMECL	GRAMECL_S2017_R10m GRAMECL_S2018_R10m ... GRAMECL_S2023_R10m		
------------------------------	---------	---	--	--

7.3 Projection and spatial coverage

Table 7-3: Projection and spatial coverage for HRL Grasslands layers

Name of layer	Acronym	Spatial coverage	Coordinate reference system (WKT)
Grassland	GRA	5.751.002 km ² (covering the full EEA-38)	PROJCS["ETRS89-extended / LAEA Europe", GEOGCS["ETRS89", DATUM["European_Terrestrial_Reference_System_1989", SPHEROID["GRS 1980",6378137,298.257222101, AUTHORITY["EPSG","7019"]], AUTHORITY["EPSG","6258"]], PRIMEM["Greenwich",0, AUTHORITY["EPSG","8901"]], UNIT["degree",0.0174532925199433, AUTHORITY["EPSG","9122"]], AUTHORITY["EPSG","4258"]], PROJECTION["Lambert_Azimuthal_Equal_Area"], PARAMETRE["latitude_of_center",52], PARAMETRE["longitude_of_center",10], PARAMETRE["false_easting",4321000], PARAMETRE["false_northing",3210000], UNIT["metre",1, AUTHORITY["EPSG","9001"]], AXIS["Northing",NORTH], AXIS["Easting",EAST], AUTHORITY["EPSG","3035"]]
Ploughing Indicator	PLOUGH		
Herbaceous Cover	HER		
Grassland Change	GRAC		
Grassland Mowing Events	GRAME		
Grassland Mowing Dates	GRAMD		
Grassland Confidence Layer	GRACL		
Grassland Change Confidence Layer	GRACCL		
GRAME Confidence Layer	GRAMECL		Except for French DOMs where the following CRS are used: YT: EPSG 32738 RE: EPSG 32740 MQ: EPSG 32620 GP: EPSG 32620 GF: EPSG 32620

7.4 Spatial resolution

The native spatial resolution of the HRL Grassland products HER, GRA, PLOUGH, GRAME and GRAMD is 10 metres and linked to the highest resolution of Sentinel-2 (red, blue, green and near-infrared bands) as the primary input data source. For products at 20 metres and 100 metres aggregation rules are defined in the ATBD [6]. The spatial resolution should, however, not be confused with the size and location-precision of the elements that can be represented in the maps. The latter is limited by certain factors that are intrinsic to the available input data:

- Ground Resolved Distance (GRD) is a metric that better reflects the spatial resolution of a satellite sensor than the spatial resolution of the image. For Sentinel-2 recent estimates suggest a GRD around 12.5 metres [10]
- To fully leverage Sentinel-2, the analyses of the time-series are essential. Since the completion of the reprocessing of Sentinel-2 Collection-1 the multi-temporal co-

registration is better than 4m in most cases⁵ whereas observations until August 2021 only had a co-registration accuracy of 12 metres before the reprocessing⁶.

- Most other input data have a coarser spatial resolution (e.g. Sentinel-1 ~20 metres, Sentinel-2 short wave infrared at 20 metres).
- The detectability of land cover elements can be further limited by the intensity of their reflectance. This concerns for example vegetation on very bright soils or in urban areas where the reflectance of the brighter non-vegetated surfaces easily dominates the recorded reflectance within one pixel.

While it is difficult to quantify the cumulative effect and variability of these factors, limited detectability and spatial uncertainty of elements at a scale from 10-20 metres should probably be considered for the usage and validation of the maps.

Table 7-4: Spatial resolution for HRL Grasslands layers

Name of layer	Acronym	Pixel size	MMU
Grassland	GRA	10 m	0.03ha (3 pixel) until 2021, N/A since 2022
Ploughing Indicator	PLOUGH	10 m	0.03ha (3 pixel) until 2021, N/A since 2022
Herbaceous Cover	HER	10 m	N/A
Grassland Change	GRAC	20 m	1.0 ha for classes 1 and 2 only; no MMU for all other classes
Grassland Density	GRA	100 m	N/A
Grassland Mowing Events	GRAME	10 m	0.25 ha, smaller patches and isolated pixels can still be encountered in neighbourhoods where all patches are below the MMU
Grassland Mowing Dates	GRAMD	10 m	0.25 ha, smaller patches and isolated pixels can still be encountered in neighbourhoods where all patches are below the MMU
Grassland Confidence Layer	GRACL	10 m	N/A
Grassland Change Confidence Layer	GRACCL	20 m	N/A
GRAME Confidence Layer	GRAMECL	10 m	N/A

⁵https://sentiwiki.copernicus.eu/_attachments/1673423/OMPC.CS.DQR.001.08-2025-Sentinel-2-MSI-L1C-DQR-September-2025-115.pdf?inst-v=21d709d1-2d56-4cc7-aec1-05e4dd76e738

⁶ S2-PDGS-MPC-DQR Issue: 66 Date: 02/08/2021

7.5 Temporal information

Table 7-5: Temporal information for HRL Grasslands layers

Name of layer	Acronym	Reference year
Grassland	GRA	2017
Ploughing Indicator	PLOUGH	2018
Herbaceous Cover	HER	2019
Grassland Mowing Events	GRAME	2020
Grassland Mowing Dates	GRAMD	2021
Grassland Confidence Layer	GRACL	2022
GRAME Confidence Layer	GRAMECL	2023
Grassland Change	GRAC	2018 vs. 2021
Grassland Change Confidence Layer	GRACCL	

7.6 Product characteristics and class codes

Table 7-6: Characteristics of HRL Grasslands layers

Name of layer	Acronym	Classified feature	Class coding
Grassland	GRA	Grassland / Non-grassland	0: all non-grassland areas 1: grassland 255: outside area
Ploughing Indicator	PLOUGH	The ploughing indicator estimates the temporal extent since the last ploughing activity observed on a grassland patch.	0: Indication of ploughing in current year 1-6: Number of years since last indication of ploughing. 100: Change in herbaceous cover 253: no ploughing information 255: outside area
Herbaceous Cover	HER	Permanent and temporary grassland and non-grassland in the reference year within each pixel.	0: non-grassland in reference year 1: temporary grassland in reference year 255: outside area
Grassland Change	GRAC	Grassland change 2018-2021 mask containing grassland loss, grassland gain and unchanged areas.	0: unchanged non-grassland in both years 1: grassland gain 2: grassland loss 10: unchanged grassland in both years 255: outside area
Grassland Mowing Events	GRAM	The Grassland mowing events layer will flag and map the number of mowing events on temporary or permanent grassland per year.	0: no mowing detected 1-4: Number of mowing events detected 253: all non-herbaceous areas 255: outside area
Grassland Mowing Dates	GRAMD	The Grassland mowing dates layer will flag and map the start date (DOY) of each of the four detected mowing events on temporary or permanent grassland per year. Each reference year is therefore split into four separate rasters, providing the DOY for each mowing event consecutively.	0: no mowing detected 1-366: Start (DOY) of each mowing event 65533: all non-herbaceous areas 65535: outside area
Grassland Confidence Layer	GRACL	Layer that measures the reliability of a pixel to be classified as grassland. For each grassland pixel, the prediction interval of the respective grassland coverage at 95% confidence.	0-100: Classification confidence 253: All non-grassland areas 255: outside area
Grassland Change Confidence Layer	GRACCL	Layer that measures the reliability of a pixel to be detected as grassland mowing. For each mowing pixel.	0-100: change confidence 253: All non-change areas 255: outside area
GRAM Confidence Layer	GRAMCL	Layer that measures the reliability of a pixel to be detected as grassland mowing. For each mowing pixel.	0-100: Mowing detection confidence 253: All non-mowing areas 255: outside area

8 Production quality assessment

The aim of this chapter is to inform about the procedures for internal validation and accuracy assessment for the status and change layer across the full **EEA38** area. While the different layers have their own quality requirements, all have in common an assessment of the thematic quality which relies on comparing mapped information within the layers with reference data at selected locations.

This procedure contains 3 steps that will be described in the following sections:

- Sampling design
- Response design
- Statistical Analysis

The internal validation of the different **HRL Grasslands** layers follows scientifically accepted and operationally proven validation design, applied in previous productions of various HRL's of reference years 2012, 2015 and 2018. According to the product specifications, results will be presented in the form of **Overall Accuracies (OA)**, **Producer's** and **User's Accuracies**.

8.1 Layers to be verified

While the full portfolio on **HRL Grasslands** includes numerous layers and reference years, only a subset of them is concerned by the internal verification exercise. The focus of the assessment has been set on the primary layer being the **Grassland (GRA)** status layer and the **Grassland Change (GRAC)** layer. Furthermore, to keep the effort for the verification manageable the reference years 2018 and 2021 have been selected to align with the availability of important reference datasets such as the VHR IMAGE coverages of 2018 and 2021 imagery. Since the 2022 and 2023 have been produced in a new production cycle, the reference year 2022 has been considered in addition to check the consistency of the quality over time. An overview of the verified layers and their target accuracies is given in Table 8-1.

Table 8-1: Layers to be verified and target accuracies

Layer	Reference year or period	Target accuracy
GRA 10 m 2018	2018, 2021, 2022	85% overall accuracy
GRAC 20 m 1821	2018-2021	80% overall accuracy

8.2 Sampling Design

The sampling approach is dedicated to assessing the accuracies of the **HRL Grasslands** layers at pan-European level and corresponds to a non-stratified, systematic and random sampling approach based on the 2 km by 2 km LUCAS grid. For the assessment of status products, 10 000 samples are randomly selected over the extended LUCAS grid (Figure 8-1). It is likely that this initial drawing will not overlap many Grassland changes between 2018 and 2021 due to the "rarity" of changes. The same is true for the HRL Tree Cover & Forest changes which have been validated with the same point set. Therefore, 4 000 additional samples are randomly drawn specifically in the changes' strata of **Tree Cover & Forests** and **Grasslands** products (2 000 in the tree cover change strata, 2 000 in the grasslands change strata), for a total of 14 000 points samples (Primary Sampling Units) across **EEA38**.



Figure 8-1: Spatial distribution of 14.000 Primary Sampling Units.

8.3 Response Design

The response design is the protocol used for retrieval of the validation/reference information for all sample units. Two types of datasets are used to perform the interpretation of samples units: guiding data and reference data.

Guiding data are those used for production of **HRL Grasslands** layers and consist mainly of time-series of **Sentinel-2** data.

Reference data are complementary and independent data that can provide more spatial details and landscape context:

- **VHR_IMAGE_2018⁷** and **VHR_IMAGE_2021⁸**: very high resolution optical earth observation imagery, covering **EEA38** for the reference years 2018 and 2021 (+1 year).
- Other external datasets:
 - **Bing maps image**/ cartography layer
 - **Open Street Map** data
 - **Google Earth Image** / cartography data
 - **GSAA** data for the years 2018, 2021 and 2022 (where available)
 - **Sentinel-2** imagery from January / March / May and July

⁷ [Copernicus Data Space Ecosystem – Copernicus Contributing Missions – VHR IMAGE 2018](#)

⁸ [Copernicus Data Space Ecosystem – Copernicus Contributing Missions – VHR IMAGE 2021](#)

The interpretation workflow consists of thematic plausibility analysis of a sample units. This means that the class assigned by the layer is known by the interpreter during the interpretation. Depending on the layer, the interpretation workflow can differ in ways described below.

Each point sample (Primary Sampling Unit) is interpreted for each layer using the reference and guiding data. The use of **Sentinel-2** time series allows to assess the “permanent” characteristic of the herbaceous coverage over the previous years. For the **Grassland (GRA)** status layer, the interpretation is focused on the reference pixel in which the point sample is allocated. The interpretation of a grassland change considers the wider spatial context (typically parcel level) to consider the MMU of 1 ha when evaluating the **Grassland Change (GRAC)** layer. No SSU is used for the interpretation of the samples with respect to grasslands.

Regarding the **interpretation of the samples for 2022** there are some specificities that require further explanations. Since the VHR_IMAGE_2021 contains mostly images from 2020 and 2021 the interpretation requires a stronger reliance on Sentinel-2 data from 2022. Given the coarser spatial resolution of Sentinel-2 compared to VHR, this leads to slightly higher uncertainties during visual interpretation compared to verification exercises for years 2018 and 2021.

The analysis for the year 2022 builds on results from the 2021 verification activity. As the amount of landcover changes between 2021 and 2022 is expected to be minimal, the reference dataset 2021 is expected to still be mostly valid in 2022. Thus, the response design focusses on a plausibility approach: reference dataset from 2021 is compared with 2022 products and:

- For samples where reference 2021 = product 2022 the sample is not revisited and the reference 2021 is considered still valid in 2022 (i.e. reference 2021 becomes reference 2022)
- For samples where reference 2021 \neq product 2022, a new interpretation for the reference value is performed. This will allow to identify and update samples where land cover changes occurred, or correct errors within the reference database (= coding uncertainties in 2021 that can be clarified in 2022).

Such a plausibility approach was also used during 2021 verification activities. Following this plausibility analysis few samples might still contain different reference codes for 2021 and 2022 even if no actual changes have occurred on the ground. Such cases are typically caused by low quality EO data in both years which renders the interpretation difficult.

These limitations should be considered when comparing the accuracies of 2021 and 2022 since the higher uncertainty in the interpretation for 2022 might in some cases have biased the plausibility interpretation towards the values in the product.

8.4 Statistical Analysis

For the **HRL Grasslands** product the thematic accuracy levels are defined in terms of **Overall Accuracy**, **Producer's Accuracy** and **User's Accuracy** are computed as well and provided for information.

- The **Overall Accuracy (OA)** or **Recognition Rate (R_r)** is measured by the sum of the diagonal of the Confusion Matrix divided by the total number of controlled points: $OA \text{ or } R_r = \sum_{\alpha=i}^m (\alpha\alpha) / T$. The Overall Accuracy assesses the overall agreement between classified and reference data sets. However, for single class themes, it does not necessarily provide a realistic assessment of the quality of the map produced because there can be substantial unbalance between omission and commission errors.

- The row and column totals and the diagonal of the Matrix are used to assess two further types of accuracy, the User's and Producer's Accuracy:
 - **Producer's Accuracy (PA)** for a given class = $\alpha\alpha/C\alpha$, representing an (inversely proportional) measure of Omission Error. For instance, an observation has been identified as tree-covered in the validation dataset but was actually classified as another class: it has been omitted from the target class.
 - **User's Accuracy (UA)** for a given class = $\alpha\alpha/R\alpha$, representing an (inversely proportional) measure of the Commission Error (or contamination risk), i.e. errors due to the wrong allocation of an observation (i.e. mapped landcover) to a landcover class. For instance, an observation is classified as broadleaved tree cover but identified as belonging to another class during the validation process: this observation has contaminated another class.

As mentioned in section 8.2, unequal sampling intensity resulting from the stratified systematic sampling approach for change layers will be accounted for by applying a weight factor (p) to each Sample Unit, based on the ratio between the number of samples and the size of the stratum considered:

$$\hat{p}_{ij} = \left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \sum_{x \in (i,j)} \frac{1}{\pi_{uh}^*}$$

Where i and j are the columns and rows in the matrix, N is the total number of possible units (population) and π is the sampling intensity for a given stratum.

This is because the samples from the smaller strata (i.e. change layers) show a higher sampling intensity than those from the larger strata (i.e. status layers). Therefore, a correction for the sampling intensity will be applied to the error matrices produced following the procedure described by [3] and applied by [4], leading to a weighting factor inversely proportional to the inclusion probability of samples from a given stratum. Not applying this correction could result in underestimating or overestimating map accuracies. On the following sections, the confusion matrices generally contain the statistically correct weighted matrices. For the changes the unweighted matrices, are presented in addition to provide information on the actual number of samples per category and the impact of statistical weights.

8.5 Verification Results

The **HRL Grasslands** status layers for the reference years 2018, 2021 and 2022 should reach an overall accuracy of 85%. Figures derived from this verification exercise show that this target is largely exceeded, where overall accuracies are always above 95%, reflecting the high level of quality of the **Grassland (GRA)** status layer, both at **EU27** (Table 8-2) and **EEA38** (Table 8-3) level.

Table 8-2: GRA validation results at EU27 Level

GRA18 - EU27 Weighted		Reference		Total	User Acc.	CI95%
		0	1			
Product	0	5425.442	60.025	5485.467	98.9%	0.21%
	1	39.049	1185.702	1224.751	96.8%	0.35%
	Total	5464.490	1245.728	6710.218		
	Prod. Acc.	99.3%	95.1%		Overall Accuracy	98.52%
	CI95 %	0.17%	0.36%			

GRA21 - EU27 Weighted		Reference		Total	User Acc.	CI95%
		0	1			
Product	0	5457.654	61.040	5518.694	98.9%	0.21%
	1	34.036	1157.488	1191.525	97.1%	0.33%
Total		5491.690	1218.528	6710.218		
Prod. Acc.		99.4%	95.0%		Overall Accuracy	98.58%
CI95 %		0.16%	0.36%			

GRA22 - EU27 Weighted		Reference		Total	User Acc.	CI95%
		0	1			
Product	0	5494.417	63.200	5557.617	98.9%	0.21%
	1	27.058	1094.528	1121.587	97.6%	0.31%
	Total	5521.476	1157.728	6679.204		
	Prod. Acc.	99.5%	94.5%		Overall Accuracy	98.65%
	CI95 %	0.14%	0.38%			

Table 8-3: GRA validation results at EEA38 Level

GRA18 - EEA38 Weighted		Reference		Total	User Acc.	CI95%
		0	1			
Product	0	7840.101	105.291	7945.392	98.7%	0.19%
	1	170.154	1654.325	1824.479	90.7%	0.49%
Total		8010.254	1759.616	9769.870	Overall Accuracy	
Prod. Acc.		97.9%	94.0%			
CI95 %		0.24%	0.40%			
						97.18%

GRA21 - EEA38 Weighted		Reference		Total	User Acc.	CI95%
		0	1			
Product	0	7873.779	107.153	7980.932	98.7%	0.19%
	1	165.138	1623.801	1788.938	90.8%	0.48%
Total		8038.917	1730.953	9769.870	Overall Accuracy	
Prod. Acc.		97.9%	93.8%			
CI95 %		0.24%	0.40%			
						97.21%

GRA22 - EEA38 Weighted		Reference		Total	User Acc.	CI95%
		0	1			
Product	0	7127.731	103.318	7231.048	98.6%	0.24%
	1	126.110	1573.713	1699.822	92.6%	0.52%
Total		7253.840	1677.030	8930.870	Overall Accuracy	
Prod. Acc.		98.3%	93.8%			
CI95 %		0.26%	0.40%			
						97.43%

Also the **Grassland Change (GRAC)** layer exceed the required **Overall Accuracy** of 80% for both the EU27 and the EEA38 (Table 8-4).

Table 8-4: GRAC validation results for EU27 and EEA38

GRAC1821 - EU27 Weighted		Reference			Total	User Acc.	CI95%
		Stable	New GRA	Loss of GRA			
Product	Stable	6647.69	13.03	30.18	6690.90	99.4%	0.17%
	New GRA	0.03	0.42		0.45	92.8%	1.61%
	Loss of GRA	8.39		10.47	18.87	55.5%	3.08%
	Total	6656.12	13.45	40.65	6710.22		
	Prod. Acc.	99.9%	3.1%	25.8%		Overall Accuracy	99.23%
	CI95 %	0.07%	1.08%	2.71%			

GRAC1821 - EU27 Unweighted		Reference			Total	User Acc.	CI95%
		Stable	New GRA	Loss of GRA			
Product	Stable	8111	17	57	8185	99.1%	0.20%
	New GRA	15	192		207	92.8%	1.61%
	Loss of GRA	186		1126	1312	85.8%	2.16%
	Total	8312	209	1183	9704		
	Prod. Acc.	97.6%	91.9%	95.2%		Overall Accuracy	97.17%
	CI95 %	0.32%	1.69%	1.33%			

GRAC1821 - EEA38 Weighted		Reference			Total	User Acc.	CI95%
		Stable	New GRA	Loss of GRA			
Product	Stable	9696.12	16.03	33.18	9745.32	99.5%	0.13%
	New GRA	2.36	0.50	0.00	2.86	17.5%	1.67%
	Loss of GRA	9.57		12.12	21.69	55.9%	2.18%
	Total	9708.04	16.53	45.30	9769.87		
	Prod. Acc.	99.9%	3.0%	26.8%		Overall Accuracy	99.37%
	CI95 %	0.06%	0.75%	1.94%			

GRAC1821 - EEA38 Unweighted		Reference			Total	User Acc.	CI95%
		Stable	New GRA	Loss of GRA			
Product	Stable	11642	21	60	11723	99.3%	0.15%
	New GRA	148	225	1	374	60.2%	2.15%
	Loss of GRA	256		1389	1645	84.4%	1.59%
	Total	12046	246	1450	13742		
	Prod. Acc.	96.6%	91.5%	95.8%		Overall Accuracy	96.46%
	CI95 %	0.33%	1.22%	0.88%			

9 Terms of use and product technical support

9.1 Terms of use

The product(s) described in this document is/are created in the frame of the Copernicus programme of the European Union by the European Environment Agency (product custodian) and is/are owned by the European Union. The product(s) can be used following Copernicus full free and open data policy, which allows the use of the product(s) also for any commercial purpose. Derived products created by end users from the product(s) described in this document are owned by the end users, who have all intellectual rights to the derived products.

9.2 Citation

In cases of re-dissemination of the product(s) described in this document or when the product(s) is/are used to create a derived product it is required to provide a reference to the source. A template is provided below:

"© European Union, Copernicus Land Monitoring Service <year>, European Environment Agency (EEA)"

9.3 Product technical support

Product technical support is provided by the product custodian through Copernicus Land Monitoring Service helpdesk at copernicus@eea.europa.eu. Product technical support does not include software specific user support or general GIS or remote sensing support.

List of Abbreviations & Acronyms

Abbreviation	Name
ATBD	Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document
BVL	Base Vegetation Layer
CAP	Common Agricultural Policy
CL	Confidence Layer
CLC	CORINE Land Cover
CLMS	Copernicus Land Monitoring Service
COG	Cloud-Optimized GeoTIFFs
CORINE	Coordination of information on the environment
DOY	Day Of Year
EAGLE	EIONET Action Group on Land monitoring in Europe
ECOLaSS	Evolution of Copernicus Land Services based on Sentinel Data
EEA	European Environment Agency
EEA38	The 32 member and 6 cooperating countries of the EEA
EIONET	European Environment Information and Observation Network
EO	Earth Observation
EU	European Union
EU27	The 27 member states of the EU
GIS	Geographic Information System
GRA	Grassland Status Layer
GRAC	Grassland Change Layer
GRACCL	Grassland Change Confidence Layer
GRACL	Grassland Confidence Layer
GRAM	Grassland Mowing
GRAMD	Grassland Mowing Dates
GRAME	Grassland Mowing Events
GRAMECL	Grassland Mowing Events Confidence Layer
GSAA	GeoSpatial Aid Application
H2020	Horizon2020
HER	Herbaceous Cover Layer
HR	High Resolution
HRL / HRLs	High Resolution Layer / High Resolution Layers
HRL VLCC	High Resolution Layer – Vegetated Land Cover Characteristics
ID	Identification Number
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
JRC	Joint Research Centre
LAEA	Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area projection
LC	Land Cover
LU	Land Use
LUCAS	Land Use / Cover Area frame Survey
LULUCF	Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry

MMU	Minimum Mapping Unit
NDVI	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index
NVLCC	Non-Vegetated Land Cover Characteristics
OA	Overall Accuracy
PA	Producer Accuracy
PAM	Permanent Auxiliary metadata
PLOUGH	Ploughing Indicator
R _r	Recognition Rate
SSU	Secondary Samples Units
UA	User's Accuracy
UK	United Kingdom
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
VHR	Very High Resolution
XML	Extensible Markup Language

References

- [1] Eurostat. (2018). LUCAS Survey 2018. [Data set].
<https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/lucas/database/primary-data>.
- [2] Selkowitz, D. J., & Stehman, S. V. (2011). Thematic accuracy of the National Land Cover Database (NLCD) 2001 land cover for Alaska. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 115(6), 1401-1407.
- [3] Olofsson, P., Foody, G. M., Stehman, S. V., & Woodcock, C. E. (2013). Making better use of accuracy data in land change studies: Estimating accuracy and area and quantifying uncertainty using stratified estimation. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 129, 122-131.
- [4] ECoLaSS (2019). Deliverable D3.2 - Service Evolution Requirements Report Vol. 2
https://www.ecolass.eu/files/ugd/c90769_5a431f06039141a6b4db4d6b4596d272.pdf
(accessed 28 October 2024)
- [5] Nextspace (2018). Work performed by the Nextspace consortium – Observation Requirements (February 2018); <https://www.copernicus.eu/en/documentation/technical-documents/technical-documents> (accessed 28 October 2024)
- [6] CLMS HRL VLCC (2025). HRL VLCC Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document;
<https://land.copernicus.eu/en/technical-library/algorithm-theoretical-basis-document-pan-european-land-cover-characteristics>
- [7] CLMS HRL Grassland 2015 (2017). HRL Grassland 2015 Product Specifications,
<https://land.copernicus.eu/en/technical-library/hrl-grassland-technical-document-product-2015>
- [8] CLMS HRL Forests 2018 (2021). HRL Tree-cover/forest and change 2015-2018 User Manual, <https://land.copernicus.eu/en/technical-library/hrl-forest-2018>
- [9] CLMS HRL Grassland 2018 (2021). Grassland 2018 and Grassland change 2015-2018 User Manual, <https://land.copernicus.eu/en/technical-library/hrl-grassland-2018-product-user-manual>
- [10] Dadrass Javan, F., Samadzadegan, F., Toosi, A., Schneider, M., & Persello, C. (2025). Ground Resolved Distance Estimation of Sentinel-2 Imagery Using Edge-based Scene-Driven Approach. PFG – Journal of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Geoinformation Science, 93(2), 131–152. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41064-024-00330-x>

Annex I – Colour tables for HRL Grasslands

Table 0-1: Colour palette and attributes of GRA layer

Class Code	Class Name	Red	Green	Blue	
0	all non-grass areas	240	240	240	
1	grassland	163	199	56	
255	outside area	0	0	0	

Table 0-2: Colour palette and attributes of HER layer

Class Code	Class Name	Red	Green	Blue	
0	non-grassland in reference year	240	240	240	
1	permanent and temporary grassland in reference year	116	151	11	
255	outside area	0	0	0	

Table 0-3: Colour palette and attributes of PLOUGH layer

Class Code	Class Name	Red	Green	Blue	
0	Indication of ploughing in current year	66	0	0	
1	1 year since last indication of ploughing	128	0	0	
2	2 years since last indication of ploughing	199	60	18	
3	3 years since last indication of ploughing	230	107	37	
4	4 years since last indication of ploughing	247	153	59	
5	5 years since last indication of ploughing	252	201	91	
6	6 years since last indication of ploughing	255	236	140	
100	Change of herbaceous cover	229	251	17	
253	no ploughing information	240	240	240	
255	outside area	0	0	0	

Table 0-4: Colour palette and attributes of GRAC layer

Class Code	Class Name	Red	Green	Blue	
0	unchanged non-grassland in both years	240	240	240	
1	grassland gain	0	141	246	
2	grassland loss	255	0	0	
10	unchanged grassland in both years	163	199	56	
254	unclassifiable in any of parent status layers	153	153	153	
255	outside area	0	0	0	

Table 0-5: Colour palette and attributes of GRACL layer

Class Code	Class Name	Red	Green	Blue	
0	0% grassland confidence	194	82	60	
1-49	1-49% grassland confidence	colour shades in between			
50	50% grassland confidence	123	237	0	
51-99	51-99% grassland confidence	colour shades in between			
100	100% grassland confidence	12	47	122	
253	all non-grassland areas	240	240	240	
255	outside area	0	0	0	

Table 0-6: Colour palette and attributes of GRACCL layer

Class Code	Class Name	Red	Green	Blue	
0	0% grassland change confidence	194	82	60	
1-49	1-49% grassland change confidence	colour shades in between			
50	50% grassland change confidence	123	237	0	
51-99	51-99% grassland change confidence	colour shades in between			

100	100% grassland change confidence	12	47	122	
253	all non-grassland change areas	240	240	240	
255	outside area	0	0	0	

Table 0-7: Colour palette and attributes of GRAMECL layer






Class Code	Class Name	Red	Green	Blue	
0	0% grassland mowing confidence	194	82	60	
1-49	1-49% grassland mowing confidence	colour shades in between			
50	50% grassland mowing confidence	123	237	0	
51-99	51-99% grassland mowing confidence	colour shades in between			
100	100% grassland mowing confidence	12	47	122	
253	All non-mowing areas	240	240	240	
255	outside area	0	0	0	

Table 0-8: Colour palette and attributes of GRAME layer

Class Code	Class Name	Red	Green	Blue	
0	all non-mowing areas	240	240	240	
1	all grassland areas that have been mowed once	237	248	251	
2	all grassland areas that have been mowed twice	178	226	226	
3	all grassland areas that have been mowed three times	102	194	164	
4+	all grassland areas that have been mowed four times or more times	35	139	69	
253	all non-herbaceous areas	240	240	240	
255	outside area	0	0	0	

Table 0-9: Colour palette and attributes of GRAMD layer

Class Code	Class Name	Red	Green	Blue	
------------	------------	-----	-------	------	--

0	no mowing detected	240	240	240	
1	01.01.YYYY mowing date	255	237	195	
2-181	02.01.YYYY - 30.06.YYYY mowing date	colour shades in between			
182	01.07.YYYY mowing date	175	74	51	
183-364	02.07.YYYY - 30.12.YYYY mowing date	colour shades in between			
366	31.12.YYYY mowing date	113	12	2	
65533	all non-herbaceous areas	240	240	240	
65535	outside area	0	0	0	