## CS184: COMPUTER GRAPHICS AND IMAGING

Feb, 2022

## 1 Polynomial interpolation

In polynomial interpolation, our goal is to fit a polynomial given some information about points and derivatives of the desired curve. As seen in lecture, we can solve this problem by formulating it as a system of linear equations in the coefficients of the polynomial, and then finding a solution to these equations.

1. List all degree 2 polynomials satisfying: f(0) = 1, f(1) = 2, f(2) = 5. What about degree 3?

**Solution:** Let  $f(t) = at^2 + bt + c$  be the degree 2 polynomial. The system of constraints we get is:

$$c = 1$$

$$a + b + c = 2$$

$$4a + 2b + c = 5$$

Solving the system yields a unique solution a=1,b=0,c=1, so  $f(t)=t^2+1$  is the only degree 2 polynomial.

From linear algebra, any degree 3 polynomial f that satisfies these constraints can be decomposed into  $f_1(t) + f_2(t)$  where  $f_1(t)$  satisfies the three constraints, and  $f_2(0) = f_2(1) = f_2(2) = 0$ . We can take  $f_1(t) = t^2 + 1$ . For  $f_2(t) = at^3 + bt^2 + ct + d$ , we get the following constraints:

$$d = 0$$
$$a + b + c + d = 0$$

$$8a + 4b + 2c + d = 0$$

Solving, we get that b=-3a and c=2a. So, every  $f_2(t)$  has the form  $a(t^3-3t^2+2t)$ . This tells us that all the degree 3 polynomials that satisfy the constraints are of the form  $f(t)=t^2+1+a(t^3-3t^2+2t)$ , where a is an arbitrary real number.

2. Suppose we have a list of constraints:

$$f(0) = p_0, f'(0) = d_0, f(1) = p_1, f'(1) = d_1, \dots, f(k) = p_k, f'(k) = d_k$$
.

For a function f, what are the tradeoffs when either

- solving for a single 2k + 1 degree polynomial, versus
- taking the point and derivative constraints at i and i-1 for  $i=1,\ldots,k$  and using them to fit k cubic Hermite splines?

**Solution:** If we solve for a single high-degree polynomial, it will have infinitely many continuous derivatives. However, it may have wild behavior between the control points, and furthermore, changing a single constraint will affect the entire curve

If we solve for k cubic Hermite splines, then the resulting curve will be continuous and have a continuous derivative. The curve will have infinitely many continuous derivatives between the control points, but may have a discontinuous second derivative at the control points. However, changing a single constraint will only change two of the cubic splines: those that have the control point as an endpoint.

3. A cubic polynomial  $f(t) = at^3 + bt^2 + ct + d$  is uniquely determined by specifying both its values and its second derivatives at t = 0 and t = 1 (as opposed to its values and its first derivatives, as in Hermite interpolation). Write out the system of linear equations given by these constraints on f(0), f(1), f''(0), f''(1).

**Solution:** This will yield four equations:

$$d = f(0)$$

$$a + b + c + d = f(1)$$

$$2b = f''(0)$$

$$6a + 2b = f''(1)$$

4. Write the matrix which you would invert and apply to the vector  $(f(0), f(1), f''(0), f''(1))^T$  to recover a, b, c, and d in the previous problem.

**Solution:** 

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} f(0) \\ f(1) \\ f''(0) \\ f''(1) \end{pmatrix}$$

5. Now, compute the numerical answer for the problem above and use its columns to identify four "basis polynomials" for this problem.


## **Solution:**

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1/6 & 1/6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & -1/3 & -1/6 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(0) \\ f(1) \\ f''(0) \\ f''(1) \end{pmatrix}$$

The new basis polynomials are

$$G_0(t) = -t + 1$$
,  $G_1(t) = t$ ,  $G_2(t) = -\frac{t^3}{6} + \frac{t^2}{2} - \frac{t}{3}$ ,  $G_3(t) = \frac{t^3}{6} - \frac{t}{6}$ .

Here's a plot of these functions:



## 2 de Casteljau's algorithm

de Casteljau's algorithm allows us to create a smooth Bézier curve from a series of control points. Though we most commonly apply it to four points to get a cubic Bézier curve, it can be applied to any number of points.

In order to find f(t) on a curve defined for  $t \in [0,1]$ , de Casteljau gives us the following iterative step:

• Given k+1 points  $\mathbf{p_0}, \dots, \mathbf{p_k}$ , create a new set of k points  $\mathbf{p_0'}, \dots, \mathbf{p_{k-1}'}$  by computing

$$\mathbf{p_i'} = \text{lerp}(\mathbf{p_i}, \mathbf{p_{i+1}}, t) \ ,$$

where  $lerp(\mathbf{p_i}, \mathbf{p_{i+1}}, t) = (1 - t)\mathbf{p_i} + t\mathbf{p_{i+1}}$ .

Iteratively applying this step until we are left with a single point yields f(t) for the Bézier curve defined by the initial set of points.

1. For a Bézier curve defined by 3 control points, what is the degree of the polynomial you get from de Casteljau's algorithm? What about for *n* points?

**Solution:** Three points requires a quadratic polynomial, and n points requires a polynomial of degree n-1.

One way to arrive at this answer is to look at the algebraic expression of Bézier curves: a Bézier curve of order n needs n+1 points.

$$\mathbf{b}^n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n \mathbf{b}_j B_j^n(t)$$

where


 $\mathbf{b}^n(t)$ :Bézier curve of degreen n


 $\mathbf{b}_j$  :control points

 $B_i^n(t)$ :Bernstein polynomial of degreen n


$$\binom{n}{j}t^j(1-t)^{n-j}$$


2. Use de Casteljau's algorithm to find the point where t=1/2 on the Bézier curve defined by these control points.





3. Use de Casteljau's algorithm to find the point where t=1/3 on the Bézier curve defined by these control points.





4. Show that the point with parameter t on the Bézier curve with control points  $\mathbf{p_0}$ ,  $\mathbf{p_1}$ ,  $\mathbf{p_2}$ ,  $\mathbf{p_3}$  is given by  $s^3\mathbf{p_0} + 3s^2t\mathbf{p_1} + 3st^2\mathbf{p_2} + t^3\mathbf{p_3}$ , where s = 1 - t. (Hint: apply de Casteljau's algorithm algebraically to the control points. With this setup, linear interpolation between two points  $\mathbf{q_0}$  and  $\mathbf{q_1}$  looks like  $s\mathbf{q_0} + t\mathbf{q_1}$ .)

**Solution:** Level 0:  $\mathbf{p_0}$ ,  $\mathbf{p_1}$ ,  $\mathbf{p_2}$ ,  $\mathbf{p_3}$ Level 1:  $s\mathbf{p_0} + t\mathbf{p_1}$ ,  $s\mathbf{p_1} + t\mathbf{p_2}$ ,  $s\mathbf{p_2} + t\mathbf{p_3}$ Level 2:  $s(s\mathbf{p_0} + t\mathbf{p_1}) + t(s\mathbf{p_1} + t\mathbf{p_2})$ ,  $s(s\mathbf{p_1} + t\mathbf{p_2}) + t(s\mathbf{p_2} + t\mathbf{p_3})$ Simplify:  $s^2\mathbf{p_0} + 2st\mathbf{p_1} + t^2\mathbf{p_2}$ ,  $s^2\mathbf{p_1} + 2st\mathbf{p_2} + t^2\mathbf{p_3}$ 

Level 3: 
$$s(s^2\mathbf{p_0} + 2st\mathbf{p_1} + t^2\mathbf{p_2}) + t(s^2\mathbf{p_1} + 2st\mathbf{p_2} + t^2\mathbf{p_3})$$
  
Simplify:  $s^3\mathbf{p_0} + 3s^2t\mathbf{p_1} + 3st^2\mathbf{p_2} + t^3\mathbf{p_3}$ 

5. What is this matrix product? (Hint: *don't* expand it. Instead, think about what each matrix in the product does. How are they related to de Casteljau's algorithm?)

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s & t & 0 \\ 0 & s & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & t \end{pmatrix}$$

**Solution:** Without doing any additional computation, the matrix product must be  $(s^3, 3s^2t, 3st^2, t^3)$ . Why? Starting from the right, the first matrix takes a set of points  $\mathbf{p_0}$ ,  $\mathbf{p_1}$ ,  $\mathbf{p_2}$ ,  $\mathbf{p_3}$  and maps them to the three points that result in applying one iteration of de Casteljau's algorithm. The other two matrices in the product perform the remaining 2 iterations of the algorithm. So, applying this matrix product to the points  $\mathbf{p_0}$ ,  $\mathbf{p_1}$ ,  $\mathbf{p_2}$ ,  $\mathbf{p_3}$  results in  $s^3\mathbf{p_0} + 3s^2t\mathbf{p_1} + 3st^2\mathbf{p_2} + t^3\mathbf{p_3}$  by the previous part. Hence, the matrix product must be  $(s^3, 3s^2t, 3st^2, t^3)$ .

Hence, applying this matrix (assuming s=1-t as in the previous problem) to a matrix of points

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{p_0}^T \\ \mathbf{p_1}^T \\ \mathbf{p_2}^T \\ \mathbf{p_3}^T \end{pmatrix}$$

gives the point at parameter value t on the respective Bézier curve.

Alternatively, you could just do the computation... but why would you do that?

$$(s \ t) \begin{pmatrix} s \ t \ 0 \\ 0 \ s \ t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s \ t \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ s \ t \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ s \ t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (s^2 \ 2st \ t^2) \begin{pmatrix} s \ t \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ s \ t \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ s \ t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (s^3 \ 3s^2t \ 3st^2 \ t^3)$$