Exercise 2.29

Saturday, February 18, 2017 11:25 PM

EXERCISE 2.29 In this problem we shall derive some properties for finite Fourier series. Such series occur frequently for example in signal processing. Consider finite Fourier series of the form

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \sin(k\pi x),$$

where c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n are real coefficients. Furthermore, let, as usual, x_j denote the grid points $x_j = j/(n+1)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

2.5 Exercises 81

(a) Let z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n be arbitrary real numbers. Show that the interpolation conditions

$$g(x_i) = z_i$$
 for $j = 1, \ldots, n$

are satisfied if and only if

$$c_k = 2h \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \sin(k\pi x_j)$$
 for $k = 1, \dots, n$.

$$g(x_j) = z_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_k \sinh(k\pi x_j)$$

$$=2L\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n}z_{j}sm(k\pi x_{l})\right)sun(k\pi x_{j})$$

=
$$2h \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(z_{i} \leq in^{2}(k\pi x_{i}) \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} z_{k} \leq in^{2}(k\pi x_{k}) \leq in^{2}(k\pi x_{i})$$

Claim:
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sin^2(k\pi x_j) = \frac{1}{2h}$$

Later, see

$$N=1 \Rightarrow Sm^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=1$$

$$N=1 \Rightarrow Sm^2\left(\frac{tr}{2}\right)=1$$

$$N=2 \Rightarrow X_1 = \frac{1}{3}, X_2 = \frac{2}{3}, h = \frac{1}{3}$$

just checking

$$\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{4} = \frac{6}{4} = \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\sin^2\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) + \sin^2\left(\frac{4\pi}{3}\right) = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{9} = \frac{3}{2}$$

$$sm\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)sm\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right)+sm\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right)sm\left(\frac{4\pi}{3}\right)=0$$

$$Sin(x) = \frac{e^{ix} - e^{-ix}}{2i} \implies \sum_{k=1}^{n} sm^{2}(k\pi x_{j})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{e^{ik\pi x_{j}} - e^{-ik\pi x_{j}}}{2i}\right)^{2}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{2ik\pi x_{j}} - 2e^{0} + e^{2ik\pi x_{j}}$$

$$= \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{2ik\pi x_{j}} + e^{-2ik\pi x_{j}}$$

$$S_{n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} r^{k} \implies rS_{n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} r^{k+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow (1-r)S_{n} = r - r^{n+1} \implies \text{Partial sums of geom. series.}$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{n} = \frac{r-r^{n+1}}{1-r}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(e^{2i\pi x}i\right)^{k} = \frac{e^{i2\pi x}i}{1-e^{i2\pi x}i} = -1$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(e^{-2i\pi x}i\right)^{k} = e^{-i2\pi x}i = -1$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{n} sm^{2}(k\pi x_{j}) = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2} = \frac{1}{2h}$$

This proves the claim. Still need to show the other terms sum to zero.

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(k\pi x_{k} \right) \sin \left(k\pi x_{j} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{e^{ik\pi x_{k}} - e^{-ik\pi x_{k}}}{2i} \right) \left(\frac{e^{ik\pi x_{j}} - e^{-ik\pi x_{j}}}{2i} \right)}{2i}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(e^{ik\pi (x_{k} + x_{j})} - e^{ik\pi (x_{k} - x_{j})} \right)$$

$$\int_{-e^{-ik\pi(x_e-x_j)}}^{e^{-ik\pi(x_e-x_j)}} + e^{-ik\pi(x_e+x_j)}$$

$$| + e^{-ik\pi(x_e-x_j)} + e^{-ik\pi(x_e+x_j)} |$$

$$| + e^{-ik\pi(x_e-x_j)} + e^{-ik\pi(x_e-x_j)} |$$

$$|$$

Then, if Ity odd,
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{ik\pi(x_{k}+x_{j})} + e^{-ik\pi(x_{k}+x_{j})}$$

$$= e^{i\pi(x_{k}+x_{j})} + 1$$

$$= e^{i\pi(x_{k}+x_{j})} + \frac{e^{-i\pi(x_{k}+x_{j})}}{1 - e^{i\pi(x_{k}+x_{j})}}$$
multiply by $e^{i\pi(x_{k}+x_{j})}$

multiply by
$$\frac{e^{i\pi(\chi_{e}+\chi_{j})}}{e^{i\pi(\chi_{e}+\chi_{j})}}$$

$$= e^{i\pi(\chi_{e}+\chi_{j})} + \frac{1+e^{i\pi(\chi_{e}+\chi_{j})}}{e^{i\pi(\chi_{e}+\chi_{j})}-1}$$

$$= e^{i\pi(\chi_{e}+\chi_{j})} + \frac{1+e^{i\pi(\chi_{e}+\chi_{j})}}{e^{i\pi(\chi_{e}+\chi_{j})}-1}$$

$$= negetive of Mis$$

Similarly,
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{ik\pi(x_{\ell}-x_{j}^{*})} + e^{-ik\pi(x_{\ell}-x_{j}^{*})} = 0$$
 when lightly add

Now, we observe that

$$g(x_1) = z_1$$

$$g(x_2) = z_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$g(x_n) = z_n$$

can be rewritten in matrix-vector form

where
$$T = (t_{ijk}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$
, $t_{ijk} = \sin(k\pi x_i)$,
 $C = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

 $\frac{C}{2}$ \frac{C}

Since we show above that $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^n \exists c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

s.t. TC = 2, this implies T is nonsingular.

Also, $t_{j,k} = \sin(k\pi x_j) = \sin(k\pi \left(\frac{d}{nH}\right))$ = $\sin(j\pi \left(\frac{k}{nH}\right))$ = $t_{k,j}$

Thus, $T=T^T \Rightarrow T$ is symmetric.

All of the above proves (a) and (b).

Tc= =, and, from (a), we see that

 $c = 2hT_{\overline{z}}$, so $T(2hT_{\overline{z}}) = \overline{z}$

This is true $\forall \pm \in \mathbb{R}^n$, so $2hT^2 = I$ $\Rightarrow T^2 = \frac{1}{2h}I$.

This proves (c).