## 1 Model

A representative household chooses  $\{c_t, n_t, b_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  to maximize expected lifetime utility,  $E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [\log(c_t) - \chi n_t^{1+\eta}/(1+\eta)]$ , where  $\beta$  is the subjective discount factor,  $\chi$  determines the steady state labor supply,  $1/\eta$  is the Frisch elasticity of labor supply, c is consumption, h is the degree of external habit persistence, n is labor hours, b is the real value of a privately-issued 1-period nominal bond, and  $E_0$  is the mathematical expectation operator conditional on information available in period 0. The household's choices are constrained by  $c_t + b_t/(i_t s_t) = w_t n_t + b_{t-1}/\pi_t + d_t$ , where  $\pi$  is the gross inflation rate, w is the real wage rate, v is the gross nominal interest rate, v is a real dividend from ownership of intermediate firms, and v is a risk premium shock that follows

$$s_t = (1 - \rho_s)\bar{s} + \rho_s s_{t-1} + \sigma_v v_t, 0 \le \rho_s < 1, v \sim \mathbb{N}(0, 1).$$
(1)

An increase in  $s_t$  lowers the marginal cost of saving in the risk-free bond (JDM Fisher). The first order conditions to the household's constrained optimization problems are given by

$$\lambda_t = c_t$$

$$w_t = \chi n_t^{\eta} \lambda_t$$

$$1 = \beta E_t [(\lambda_t / \lambda_{t+1}) (s_t i_t / (\bar{\pi} \pi_{t+1}^{gap} g_{t+1}))]$$

where  $1/\lambda$  is the marginal utility of wealth (i.e., the Lagrange multiplier on the budget constraint). The production sector consists of a continuum of monopolistically competitive intermediate goods firms and a final goods firm. Intermediate firm  $i \in [0,1]$  produces a differentiated good,  $y_t^f(i)$ , according to  $y_t^f = z_t n_t(i)$ , where n(i) is the labor hired by firm i and  $z_t = g_t z_{t-1}$  is technology, which is common across firms. Deviations from the balanced growth rate,  $\bar{g}$ , follow

$$g_t = (1 - \rho_g)\bar{g} + \rho_g g_{t-1} + \sigma_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon_t, \varepsilon \sim \mathbb{N}(0, 1).$$
 (2)

An increase in  $g_t$  acts just like a typical supply shock, lowering inflation and raising output growth. The final goods firm purchases  $y_t^f(i)$  units from each intermediate firm to produce the final good,  $y_t^f \equiv [\int_0^1 y_t^f(i)^{\epsilon-1} di]^{\epsilon/(\epsilon-1)}$ , where  $\epsilon > 1$  is the elasticity of substitution. It then maximizes dividends to determine its demand function for intermediate good  $i, y_t^f(i) = (p_t(i)/p_t)^{-\epsilon} y_t^f$ , where  $p_t = [\int_0^1 p_t(i)^{1-\epsilon} di]^{1/(1-\epsilon)}$  is the price level. Following Rotemberg (1982), each intermediate firm pays a price adjustment cost  $adj_t(i) \equiv \varphi(p_t(i)/(\bar{\pi}p_{t-1}(i))-1)^2 y_t^f/2$ , where  $\varphi > 0$  scales the cost and  $p_i$  is the gross inflation rate along the balanced growth path. Given the adjustment cost, firm i chooses  $n_t(i)$  and  $p_t(i)$  to maximize the expected discounted present value of future dividends,  $E_t \sum_{k=t}^{\infty} q_{t,k} d_k(i)$ , subject to its production function and the demand for its product, where  $q_{t,t} \equiv 1, q_{t,t+1} \equiv \beta(\lambda_t/\lambda_{t+1})$  is the pricing kernel between periods t and  $t+1, q_{t,k} \equiv \prod_{j=t+1}^{k>t} q_{j-1,j}$ , and  $d_t(i) = p_t(i)y_t^f(i)/p_t - w_t n_t(i) - adj_t(i)$ . In symmetric equilibrium, all firms make identical decisions (i.e.,  $p_t(i) = p_t, n_t(i) = n_t$ , and  $y_t^f(i) = y_t^f$ ). Therefore, the optimality conditions imply

$$y_t^f = z_t n_t$$

$$\varphi(\pi_t/\bar{\pi} - 1)(\pi_t/\bar{\pi}) = 1 - \epsilon + \epsilon w_t/z_t + \beta \varphi E_t[(\lambda_t/\lambda_{t+1})(\pi_{t+1}/\bar{\pi} - 1)(\pi_{t+1}/\bar{\pi} - 1)(\pi_{t+1}/\bar{\pi})(y_{t+1}^f/y_t^f)].$$
(4)

Without price adjustment costs (i.e.,  $\varphi = 0$ ), the real marginal cost of producing a unit of output  $(w_t/z_t)$  equals  $(\epsilon - 1)/\epsilon$ , which is the inverse of a firm's markup of price over marginal cost  $(\mu)$ . The central bank sets the gross nominal interest rate, i, according to

$$i_t = \max\{1, i_t^n\},\tag{5}$$

$$i_t^n = (i_{t-1}^n)^{\rho_i} (\bar{\imath}(\pi_t^{gap})^{\phi_{\pi}})^{1-\rho_i} \exp(\sigma_{\nu}\nu_t), 0 \le \rho_i < 1, \varepsilon_i \sim \mathbb{N}(0, 1),$$
(6)

where y is output (i.e., final goods,  $y^f$ , minus the resources lost due to price adjustment costs, adj),  $i^n$  is the gross notional interest rate,  $\bar{i}$  and  $\bar{\pi}$  are the steady-state or target values of the inflation and nominal interest rates, and  $\phi_{\pi}$  and  $\phi_{y}$  determine the central bank's responses to deviations of inflation from the target rate and deviations of output growth from the balanced growth rate. When the net notional rate is positive,  $i_t = i_t^n$ . When it is negative, the ZLB binds and  $i_t = 1$ . A more negative net notional rate means the central bank is more constrained and hte model is more nonlinear.

The model does not possess a steady-state due to the unit root in technology,  $z_t$ . Therefore, we redefine the subset of variables with a trend in terms of technology (i.e.,  $\tilde{x}_t \equiv x_t/z_t$ ). The detrended equilibrium system includes the two stochastic processes, (1) and (2), the ZLB constraint, (5), and

$$c_t = [1 - \varphi(\pi_t^{gap} - 1)^2 / 2] y_t \tag{7}$$

$$i_t^* = (i_{t-1}^*)^{\rho_i} (\bar{\imath}(\pi_t^{gap})^{\phi_\pi})^{1-\rho_i} \exp(\sigma_\nu \nu_t)$$
(8)

$$\lambda_t = c_t \tag{9}$$

$$w_t = \chi n_t^{\eta} \lambda_t \tag{10}$$

$$1 = \beta E_t[(\lambda_t/\lambda_{t+1})(s_t i_t/(\bar{\pi}\pi_{t+1}^{gap}g_{t+1}))]$$
(11)

$$\varphi(\pi_t^{gap} - 1)\pi_t^{gap} = 1 - \theta + \theta w_t/z_t + \beta \varphi E_t[(\lambda_t/\lambda_{t+1})(\pi_{t+1}^{gap} - 1)\pi_{t+1}^{gap}(y_{t+1}/y_t)]$$
 (12)

A competitive equilibrium consists of infinite sequences of quantities,  $\{\tilde{c}_t, \tilde{y}_t, \tilde{y}_t^f, y_t^g\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ , prices  $\{\tilde{w}_t, i_t, i_t^n, \pi_t, \tilde{\lambda}_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ , and exogenous variables  $\{s_t, g_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ , that satisfy the detrended equilibrium system, given the initial conditions,  $\{\tilde{c}_{-1}, i_{-1}^n, s_0, g_0, \varepsilon_{i,0}\}$ , and shock sequences,  $\{\varepsilon_{g,t}, \varepsilon_{s,t}, \varepsilon_{i,t}\}_{t=1,\infty}$ .