

# Math 280 Solutions for September 12

## Pythagoras Level

**Problem 1:** [Nick's Math Puzzle #9]

$$\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} = -1 \quad (1)$$

$$x^3 + y^3 = 4 \quad (2)$$

(1) implies  $x + y = -xy$ . (2) implies  $(x + y)^3 - 3xy(x + y) = 4$ . Hence  $-(xy)^3 + 3(xy)^2 - 4 = 0$ .

By inspection,  $xy = -1$  is a solution of this cubic equation. Factorizing, we have  $(xy + 1)(xy - 2)^2 = 0$ . Hence  $xy = -1$ ,  $x + y = 1$ , or  $xy = 2$ ,  $x + y = -2$ .

If  $xy = -1$  and  $x + y = 1$ , then  $x, y$  are roots of the quadratic equation  $u^2 - u - 1 = 0$ . (Consider the sum and product of the roots of  $(u - A)(u - B) = u^2 - (A + B)u + AB = 0$ .) Hence  $u = (1 \pm \sqrt{5})/2$ .

If  $xy = 2$  and  $x + y = -2$ , then  $x, y$  are roots of  $u^2 + 2u + 2 = 0$ . This has complex roots:  $u = -1 \pm i$ .

Therefore the real solutions are  $x = (1 \pm \sqrt{5})/2$ ,  $y = (1 \mp \sqrt{5})/2$ .

**Problem 2:** [Nick's Math Puzzle #11] Let  $p$  be the probability that student A wins. We consider the possible outcomes of the first two rolls. (Recall that each roll consists of the throw of two dice.) Consider the following mutually exclusive cases, which encompass all possibilities.

- If the first roll is a 12 (probability  $1/36$ ), A wins immediately.
- If the first roll is a 7 and the second roll is a 12 (probability  $1/6 * 1/36 = 1/216$ ), A wins immediately.
- If the first and second rolls are both 7 (probability  $1/6 * 1/6 = 1/36$ ), A cannot win. (That is, B wins immediately.)
- If the first roll is a 7 and the second roll is neither a 7 nor a 12 (probability  $1/6 * 29/36 = 29/216$ ), A wins with probability  $p$ .
- If the first roll is neither a 7 nor a 12 (probability  $29/36$ ), A wins with probability  $p$ .

Note that in the last two cases we are effectively back at square one; hence the probability that A subsequently wins is  $p$ . Probability  $p$  is the weighted mean of all of the above possibilities.

Hence  $p = 1/36 + 1/216 + (29/216)p + (29/36)p$ .

Therefore  $p = 7/13$ .

## Newton Level

**Problem 3:** [MAA-NCS 2006 #2] The value is  $\ln \frac{(10)(101)(1002)(2011)}{(11)(102)(1003)}$ . We can calculate it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{2008} \frac{dx}{x + \lfloor \log_{10} x \rfloor} &= \int_1^{10} \frac{dx}{x} + \int_{10}^{100} \frac{dx}{x+1} + \int_{100}^{1000} \frac{dx}{x+2} + \int_{1000}^{2008} \frac{dx}{x+3} \\ &= \ln 10 + \ln \frac{101}{11} + \ln \frac{1002}{102} + \ln \frac{2011}{1003} \\ &= \ln \frac{(10)(101)(1002)(2011)}{(11)(102)(1003)} \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 4:** [MAA-NCS 2006 #3] The product is  $\frac{2009}{2 \cdot 2008} = \frac{2009}{4016}$ . Let

$$\Pi_n = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

Examination of some cases for small  $n$  suggest the following formula, which we will prove by induction for every integer  $n \geq 2$ :

$$\Pi_n = \frac{n+1}{2n}.$$

With  $n = 2$  we have

$$1 - \frac{1}{2^2} = \frac{3}{4} = \frac{n+1}{2n}.$$

Suppose that

$$\Pi_k = \frac{k+1}{2k}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{k+1} &= \Pi_k \left(1 - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2}\right) = \left(\frac{k+1}{2k}\right) \left(\frac{(k+1)^2 - 1}{(k+1)^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{(k+1)(k+2)k}{2k(k+1)^2} = \frac{k+2}{2(k+1)},\end{aligned}$$

and by induction the claim is established.

## Wiles Level

**Problem 5:** [Putnam 2006 B1] The “curve”  $x^3 + 3xy + y^3 - 1 = 0$  is actually reducible, because the left side factors as

$$(x+y-1)(x^2 - xy + y^2 + x + y + 1).$$

Moreover, the second factor is

$$\frac{1}{2}((x+1)^2 + (y+1)^2 + (x-y)^2),$$

so it only vanishes at  $(-1, -1)$ . Thus the curve in question consists of the single point  $(-1, -1)$  together with the line  $x+y=1$ . To form a triangle with three points on this curve, one of its vertices must be  $(-1, -1)$ . The other two vertices lie on the line  $x+y=1$ , so the length of the altitude from  $(-1, -1)$  is the distance from  $(-1, -1)$  to  $(1/2, 1/2)$ , or  $3\sqrt{2}/2$ . The area of an equilateral triangle of height  $h$  is  $h^2\sqrt{3}/3$ , so the desired area is  $3\sqrt{3}/2$ .

**Remark:** The factorization used above is a special case of the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - 3xyz \\ = (x+y+z)(x+\omega y + \omega^2 z)(x+\omega^2 y + \omega z),\end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega$  denotes a primitive cube root of unity. That fact in turn follows from the evaluation of the determinant of the *circulant matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \\ z & x & y \\ y & z & x \end{pmatrix}$$

by reading off the eigenvalues of the eigenvectors  $(1, \omega^i, \omega^{2i})$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ .

**Problem 6:** [Putnam 2006 A2] Suppose on the contrary that the set  $B$  of values of  $n$  for which Bob has a winning strategy is finite; for convenience, we include  $n = 0$  in  $B$ , and write  $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ . Then for every nonnegative integer  $n$  not in  $B$ , Alice must have some move on a heap of  $n$  stones leading to a position in which the second player wins. That is, every nonnegative integer not in  $B$  can be written as  $b + p - 1$  for some  $b \in B$  and some prime  $p$ . However, there are numerous ways to show that this cannot happen.

**First solution:** Let  $t$  be any integer bigger than all of the  $b \in B$ . Then it is easy to write down  $t$  consecutive composite integers, e.g.,  $(t+1)! + 2, \dots, (t+1)! + t + 1$ . Take  $n = (t+1)! + t$ ; then for each  $b \in B$ ,  $n - b + 1$  is one of the composite integers we just wrote down.

**Second solution:** Let  $p_1, \dots, p_{2m}$  be any prime numbers; then by the Chinese remainder theorem, there exists a positive integer  $x$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}x - b_1 &\equiv -1 \pmod{p_1 p_{m+1}} \\ &\cdots \\ x - b_n &\equiv -1 \pmod{p_m p_{2m}}.\end{aligned}$$

For each  $b \in B$ , the unique integer  $p$  such that  $x = b + p - 1$  is divisible by at least two primes, and so cannot itself be prime.

**Third solution:** Put  $b_1 = 0$ , and take  $n = (b_2 - 1) \cdots (b_m - 1)$ ; then  $n$  is composite because  $3, 8 \in B$ , and for any nonzero  $b \in B$ ,  $n - b_i + 1$  is divisible by but not equal to  $b_i - 1$ . (One could also take  $n = b_2 \cdots b_m - 1$ , so that  $n - b_i + 1$  is divisible by  $b_i$ .)