

时间按变化步长推进

变化步长最主要的思想就是，从仿真一开始就通过从分布取样(sample from the distribution)，确定各个元件的使用寿命，从而将仿真过程的内层循环次数减少。

具体而言，就是在时间轴上首先取样(sample)出切换器A、B的故障发生时间，充分利用故障只能发生一次的特点，每次内循环只需要按照发生故障的先后顺序更新节点的状态即可。

因固定步长的代码略去不讲，这里不再详细对比二者的区别，仅在必要的时候引用固定步长代码以帮助理解。

案例2代码实现

本部分将详细解释各部分代码的具体含义

注意：在 julia 语言中, begin-end 语句并不会引入一个新的命名空间。(命名空间为 namespace，但原本的用词是scope block，这里为方便理解和查询相关词汇含义而改写用词，有不恰当之处)

导入标准库

各个标准库主要使用到的函数已经列在行末注释，无需特别关注本部分，函数名均较为直观，容易理解。

```
• begin
•     using Statistics           # to use mean()
•     using Printf              # to use @printf()
•     using Plots               # to use histogram() and histogram!()
•     using DelimitedFiles      # to use writedlm() (and readdlm())
•     using Distributions       # to use Exponential() distribution for sampling
•     using PlutoUI             # to use @with_terminal
•     using Random              # to use rand!() inplace operation
• end
```

常量参数

本部分为所需的常量参数，命名与指导书中一致。

注意：使用了部分语言不支持的Unicode变量名 $\lambda A, \lambda B$ 。

```

• begin
•     # constant parameters
•     ## system
•     const NUM_SYSTEM = 30_0000
•     # const NUM_SYSTEM = 10_0000
•     # const NUM_NODE = 10
•     const TIME_STEP = 1                # 1 hour
•     const LIFE_LIMIT = 20_0000
•     # const LIFE_LIMIT = 20_0000
•     const STATE_NUM_NODE = 6
•     const k = 3
•     const w = 3_0000
•     ## switch A
•     const λA = 1 / 5.90e4              # hour
•     const PA0 = exp(-λA * TIME_STEP)
•     const PEA1 = 0.20 * (1 - PA0)
•     const PEA2 = 0.15 * (1 - PA0)
•     const PEA3 = 0.65 * (1 - PA0)
•     ## switch B
•     const λB = 1 / 2.20e5              # hour
•     const PB0 = exp(-λB * TIME_STEP)
•     const PEB1 = 0.45 * (1 - PB0)
•     const PEB2 = 0.55 * (1 - PB0)
•     nothing
• end

```

函数定义

函数末尾带有 `!` 的含义是该函数将参数的引用传入内部，函数内部修改参数值会直接作用到原变量，以及不拷贝参数副本。这样做是为了提高运行效率。

注意：非常不建议按顺序逐个阅读函数，推荐的方式是打开2份本文档，跟随 `julia_main_varia()` 和 `simulate_variable_timestep!()` 的代码执行过程，查看对应函数的具体实现。

初始化运行

本函数清空上一轮的仿真状态，用于新一轮仿真的启动

```

initialize! (generic function with 1 method)
• function initialize!(NUM_NODE, gA, gB, gN, gR)
•     fill!(gA, 0) # 状态全清0,代表正常
•     fill!(gB, 0)
•     # 节点状态均为完好
•     fill!(gN, 0)
•     fill!(gR, 0)
•     # 随机选取1个节点为主节点
•     master_node::Int8 = 1#rand(1:NUM_NODE)
•     gR[master_node] = 1
•     return master_node
• end
•

```

```
estimate_switch_state! (generic function with 1 method)
```

```
• function estimate_switch_state!(NUM_NODE, gA, gB, lifeA, lifeB)
•     # 这里相当于是假定,所有的switch必然会失效
•     distrA = Exponential(1 / λA)
•     distrB = Exponential(1 / λB)
•     rand!(distrA, lifeA) # 生成服从指数分布的随机数
•     rand!(distrB, lifeB)
•     @inbounds for i = 1:NUM_NODE
•         tolA = rand() * (1 - PA0)
•         gA[i] = tolA < PEA1 ? 1 : tolA < PEA1 + PEA2 ? 2 : 3
•         tolB = rand() * (1 - PB0)
•         gB[i] = tolB < PEB1 ? 1 : 2
•     end
•     nothing
• end
```

Estimate Node Performance State

The code here is not obvious, you may refer to the fixed-timestep version of this part for better understanding.

```
estimate_node_performance_state! (generic function with 1 method)
```

```
• function estimate_node_performance_state!(NUM_NODE, gA, gB, gN, lifeA, lifeB,
•     switch_tag, idx)
•     if switch_tag
•         # 刚刚坏掉的是switchA[idx],需要重新计算当前的节点状态
•         if gA[idx] == 0 # 这条语句不会被执行
•             elseif gA[idx] == 1
•                 lifeB[idx] != +Inf && (gN[idx] = 1; return nothing)
•                 gB[idx] == 1 && (gN[idx] = 5; return nothing)
•                 gB[idx] == 2 && (gN[idx] = 1; return nothing)
•             elseif gA[idx] == 2
•                 lifeB[idx] != +Inf && (gN[idx] = 2; return nothing)
•                 gB[idx] == 1 && (gN[idx] = 3; return nothing)
•                 gB[idx] == 2 && (gN[idx] = 4; return nothing)
•             elseif gA[idx] == 3
•                 lifeB[idx] != +Inf && (gN[idx] = 4; return nothing)
•                 gB[idx] == 1 && (gN[idx] = 4; return nothing)
•                 gB[idx] == 2 && (gN[idx] = 4; return nothing)
•             end
•         else
•             if gB[idx] == 0
•                 # 什么也不做,因为这条语句不可能被执行
•             elseif gB[idx] == 1
•                 lifeA[idx] != +Inf && (gN[idx] == 3; return nothing)
•                 gA[idx] == 1 && (gN[idx] = 5; return nothing)
•                 gA[idx] == 2 && (gN[idx] = 3; return nothing)
•                 gA[idx] == 3 && (gN[idx] = 4; return nothing)
•             elseif gB[idx] == 2
•                 lifeA[idx] != +Inf && (gN[idx] == 1; return nothing)
•                 gA[idx] == 1 && (gN[idx] = 1; return nothing)
•                 gA[idx] == 2 && (gN[idx] = 4; return nothing)
•                 gA[idx] == 3 && (gN[idx] = 4; return nothing)
•             end
•         end
•     end
•     nothing
• end
```

ok_for_master (generic function with 1 method)

```

• function ok_for_master(gNi)
•     gNi == 0 && return true
•     gNi == 1 && return false
•     gNi == 2 && return true
•     gNi == 3 && return true # MO
•     gNi == 4 && return false
•     gNi == 5 && return false
• end

```

Available Role States

Considering the role states to be chosen:

- 0 slave node
- 1 master node
- 2 disable node
- 3 block node

Both slave nodes and master node are connected to bus, and only disable nodes are disconnected. The block node may block bus or be disable, it depends on

The classes here (slave, master, disable) are so heuristic that I cannot guarantee the correctness. You may want to discuss with your friends or teacher for a better classification strategy.

Warning: not sure of state coverage

Role State Transition Equation

$$\{G_{role}^{(m)}\} = F_G(\{G_{role}^{(m-1)}\}, \{G_N^{(m)}\}, [random])$$

Reselect Master

The master reselection should based on both node preformance state and role state.

Here I discard the `reselect_master!()` function, and integrate its code into `estimate_role_state!()` for better compatibility considering the possible use of `gR` vector.

estimate_node_role_state! (generic function with 1 method)

```

• function estimate_node_role_state!(NUM_NODE, gN, gR, master_node)
•     # role state transition process is based on the formula given above
•     # role vector: gR
•     # node (performance) vector: gN
•     if !ok_for_master(gN[master_node])
•         # 说明主节点坏掉了
•         alert_mintime = 1.0 # rand() [0.0,1)
•         mintime_index = 0
•         alert_count = rand(NUM_NODE)
•         @inbounds for i = 1:NUM_NODE
•             if ok_for_master(gN[i])
•                 tmp = alert_mintime
•                 alert_mintime = min(alert_mintime, alert_count[i])
•                 mintime_index = tmp != alert_mintime ? i : mintime_index
•             end
•         end
•         mintime_index == 0 && return master_node
•         master_node = mintime_index
•     end
•     cnt = 0
•     idx = 0
•     # flag = false # flag for FB
•     @inbounds for i = 1:NUM_NODE
•         gN[i] == 3 && (cnt = cnt + 1; idx = i; continue)
•         gN[i] == 5 && (flag = true; continue)
•     end
•     if cnt == 1
•         master_node = idx
•         # elseif cnt >= 2
•         #     master_node = 0 # 这里随意设置,因为系统必然失效
•         # elseif cnt == 0 && flag
•         #     master_node = 0 # 这里随意设置,因为系统必然失效
•         # else
•         #     # 也就是说现在信号是好的,不需要重选
•     end
•     master_node
•
• end

```

estimate_system_state! (generic function with 1 method)

```

• function estimate_system_state!(NUM_NODE, gN, master_node)
•   QPF::Int8 = QSO::Int8 = QDM::Int8 = QMO::Int8 = QDN::Int8 = QFB::Int8 = 0
•   Gsys::Int8 = 1 # wtf 这里见鬼了,Gsys存在没有覆盖到的状态
•   @inbounds for elem in gN
•     elem == 0 && (QPF += 1; continue)
•     elem == 1 && (QSO += 1; continue)
•     elem == 2 && (QDM += 1; continue)
•     elem == 3 && (QMO += 1; continue)
•     elem == 4 && (QDN += 1; continue)
•     elem == 5 && (QFB += 1; continue)
•   end
•   if QFB >= 1 || QMO >= 2 || QPF + QMO + QDM == 0 || (QPF + QSO + 1((QMO + QDM) >
0)) < k
•     Gsys = 1
•     elseif QFB == 0 && ((QMO == 1 && QPF + QSO >= k - 1) || ((QMO == 0 && QPF >= 1
&& QPF + QSO >= k) || (QMO == 0 && QPF == 0 && QDM >= 1 && QSO >= k - 1)))
•       Gsys = 2
•       # 这里的条件文本没有给清楚,但大致能猜到 C5 && (C6 || C7)
•       elseif QFB + QMO == 0 && (QPF >= 1 && (QPF + QSO == k - 1) && QDM >= 1)
•         if gN[master_node] == 2
•           # if one of gDM is selected as master
•           Gsys = 3
•         elseif gN[master_node] == 0
•           # if one of gPF is selected as master
•           # fail to satisfy valid node limit k
•           Gsys = 4
•         end
•       end
•     end
•   return Gsys
• end

```

仿真执行

单次仿真的完整执行过程

- initialize!()
- estimate_switch_state!()
- loop: iter switches, select current min_life
 - find min_life, find its index and switch type x
 - if min_life > LIFE_LIMIT, break loop, stop simulation, return previous life_counter
 - else, estimate_node_performance_state!()
 - set corresponding lifeX[index] to +Inf, avoid repeat selection in the following simulation iterations
 - estimate_node_role_state!(), include the master reselection process
 - estimate_system_state!()
 - update life_counter
- return life_counter

simulate_variable_timestep! (generic function with 1 method)

```

• function simulate_variable_timestep!(NUM_NODE, gA, gB, gN, gR, lifeA, lifeB)
•     master_node::Int8 = initialize!(NUM_NODE, gA, gB, gN, gR)
•     state_system = false
•     life_counter::Float64 = 0
•     estimate_switch_state!(NUM_NODE, gA, gB, lifeA, lifeB)
•     @inbounds for i = 1:2*NUM_NODE # Todo: more conditions should be added here
•         minA, idxA = findmin(lifeA)
•         minB, idxB = findmin(lifeB)
•         min_life = min(minA, minB)
•
•         min_life > LIFE_LIMIT && (min_life=LIFE_LIMIT; break) # 筛选到的寿命大于了限
定的最大值
•         switch_tag, idx = (min_life == minA) ? (true, idxA) : (false, idxB)
•         # switch_tag=true => minA, switch_tag=false => minB
•         estimate_node_performance_state!(NUM_NODE, gA, gB, gN, lifeA, lifeB,
switch_tag, idx)
•         if switch_tag
•             lifeA[idxA] = +Inf
•         else
•             lifeB[idxB] = +Inf
•         end
•         # start from here, 2 methods for variable time step
•         # master_node = reselect_master!(NUM_NODE, gN, master_node)
•         # gR = rand()
•         master_node = estimate_node_role_state!(NUM_NODE, gN, gR, master_node)
•         Gsys::Int8 = estimate_system_state!(NUM_NODE, gN, master_node)
•         if Gsys == 2 || Gsys == 3
•             # life_counter = max(min_life, life_counter)
•             life_counter = min_life
•         else
•             # life_counter = max(min_life, life_counter)
•             # state_system = true
•             break
•         end
•     end
• end
• # @printf("\ngA:")
• # for i = 1:NUM_NODE
• #     @printf("%3d", gA[i])
• # end
• # @printf("\ngB:")
• # for i = 1:NUM_NODE
• #     @printf("%3d", gB[i])
• # end
• # @printf("\ngN:")
• # for i = 1:NUM_NODE
• #     @printf("%3d", gN[i])
• # end
• # @printf("\nGsys:%3d\tQPF%3d\tQSO%3d\tQDM%3d\tQMO%3d\tQDN%3d\tQFB%3d\n", Gsys,
QPF, QSO, QDM, QMO, QDN, QFB)
•     life_counter
• end

```

Simulation Executor

`julia_main_varia()` is not exactly the **real main** function.

Typically, a function called `real_main()` would possibly be chosen as the **real main** function, but here for convenience, I just run the code in global scope out of a `real_main()` function.

`julia_main_varia` (generic function with 1 method)

```

• function julia_main_varia(NUM_NODE::Int8, avg_life_max, avg_life_idx,
  reliability_max, reliability_idx)
•     # variables definition for each simulation
•     gA = zeros(Int8, NUM_NODE)
•     gB = zeros(Int8, NUM_NODE)
•     gN = zeros(Int8, NUM_NODE)
•     gR = zeros(Int8, NUM_NODE)
•     system_life = zeros(Float64, NUM_SYSTEM)
•     lifeA = zeros(NUM_NODE)
•     lifeB = zeros(NUM_NODE)
•     reliability_counter = 0
•
•     # run simulation
•     @inbounds for i = 1:NUM_SYSTEM
•         # @printf("\nsystem number:%8d\n", i)
•         system_life[i] = simulate_variable_timestep!(NUM_NODE, gA, gB, gN, gR,
  lifeA, lifeB)
•         system_life[i] > w && (reliability_counter += 1)
•         # @printf("system life:%16.4f\n", system_life[i])
•     end
•     # writedlm("out/csv/sys$NUM_SYSTEM-lim$LIFE_LIMIT-variable.csv", system_life,
  ',')
•     # readldm("out/csv/FileName.csv", ',', Int32)
•     avg_life = mean(system_life)
•     reliability = reliability_counter / NUM_SYSTEM
•     @printf("NUM_NODE:%3d\tAvg: %12.6f\tReliability: %7.3f%%\n", NUM_NODE, avg_life,
  reliability * 100)
•     avg_life_max = max(avg_life_max, avg_life)
•     avg_life_max == avg_life && (avg_life_idx = NUM_NODE)
•     reliability_max = max(reliability_max, reliability)
•     reliability_max == reliability && (reliability_idx = NUM_NODE)
•     # p = histogram(system_life, bins=min(NUM_SYSTEM,256), label="$ (NUM_SYSTEM)
  samples")
•     # p = histogram!(legend=:topright, bar_edges=true)
•     # p = histogram!(title="Variable Time Step Simulation")
•     # savefig(p, "out/figure/sys$NUM_SYSTEM-lim$LIFE_LIMIT-variable.png")
•     return avg_life_max, avg_life_idx, reliability_max, reliability_idx
end

```

Execute with Fixed NUM_NODE

The fixed `NUM_NODE` is 10, simply for testing the correctness.

```
(102227.0, 10, 0.930393, 10)
```

```
NUM_NODE: 10    Avg: 102226.968918  Reliability: 93.039%
0.679518 seconds (385.39 k allocations: 55.206 MiB, 3.26% gc time)
```


Execute with A Range of NUM_NODE

This part is designated for collecting problem results, including the most average MTTF, and the greatest reliability.

Warning: The results may possibly not correct, some modifications are needed within the function `estimate_node_role_state!()`.

Notice: Currently, the function `estimate_node_role_state!()` is just a rename of the discarded `reselect_master!()` function, and you may need to add code for solving the node role transition problem according to the *Role State Transition Equation* given above.

```
NUM_NODE: 3      Avg: 11258.597501  Reliability: 13.091%
NUM_NODE: 4      Avg: 33884.955823  Reliability: 40.446%
NUM_NODE: 5      Avg: 52404.023077  Reliability: 64.644%
NUM_NODE: 6      Avg: 67644.265011  Reliability: 79.897%
NUM_NODE: 7      Avg: 79676.474130  Reliability: 87.829%
NUM_NODE: 8      Avg: 89309.198429  Reliability: 91.336%
NUM_NODE: 9      Avg: 96728.267370  Reliability: 92.811%
NUM_NODE: 10     Avg: 102174.033420  Reliability: 93.052%
NUM_NODE: 11     Avg: 105991.879231  Reliability: 92.958%
NUM_NODE: 12     Avg: 108735.259803  Reliability: 92.640%
NUM_NODE: 13     Avg: 110237.742241  Reliability: 92.250%
NUM_NODE: 14     Avg: 110987.348742  Reliability: 91.798%
NUM_NODE: 15     Avg: 110986.889120  Reliability: 91.428%
NUM_NODE: 16     Avg: 110454.703753  Reliability: 90.946%
NUM_NODE: 17     Avg: 109904.334512  Reliability: 90.708%
NUM_NODE: 18     Avg: 108571.484628  Reliability: 90.084%
NUM_NODE: 19     Avg: 106902.750243  Reliability: 89.591%
NUM_NODE: 20     Avg: 105557.499057  Reliability: 89.167%
```

```
• begin
•     avg_life_max=0.0
•     avg_life_idx=0
•     reliability_max=0.0
•     reliability_idx=0
•     @with_terminal @time for i::Int8=3:20
•         avg_life_max, avg_life_idx, reliability_max, reliability_idx =
julia_main_varia(i, avg_life_max, avg_life_idx, reliability_max, reliability_idx)
•     end
• end
```

MTTF: 14 110987.34874170434

```
• @with_terminal println("MTTF: $avg_life_idx\t$avg_life_max")
```

R(w): 10 93.052%

```
• @with_terminal println("R(w): $reliability_idx\t$(reliability_max*100)%")
```

