14. Multiple Linear Regression.

- Mudel set up and estimate in matrix notation.
- Gauss-Moukow for MLR.
- Fitted value and Residuals. / Estimate of 52

1. Model set-up for MUR

In real life, of course we would suspect the response y is related to more than one predictor; then the

Classical Multiple Linear Regression (MLR)

wmes to the play:

Yi = Po+β, Xi,1+β2 Xì,2,+++βp-1, Xì,p-1+ εi

- Xi, ..., Xi,p-1 are the observed values of Xi, ..., Xp-1 respectively. Fixed Effects.
- · 2i i.i.d. N(0, 52)

All the nobservations are assumed to follow the same model:

or

- if: the vector of values in response variable.
- · i the matrix of intercept and predictors.
- · B: the parameter vector
- · = the error term vector.

Assumptions:
$$E(\vec{z}) = \vec{0}$$

$$Var(\vec{z}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma^2 \ln \sigma^2$$

夏~MN(0, 02 In) +Multivariate Normal Dist.

2. MIR Parameter Estimation.

Now
$$e_{i} = y_{i} - y_{i} = y_{i} - (\beta_{0} + \beta_{i} \times z_{i}, 1 + \beta_{2} \times z_{i})_{2} + \cdots + \beta_{p-1}, x_{i}, p-1)$$

50 $\overrightarrow{e} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{1} \\ \vdots \\ e_{n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{n} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \chi_{1,1} & \chi_{1,2} & \cdots & \chi_{1,p-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \chi_{2,p-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 1 & \chi_{n,1} & \chi_{n,2} & \cdots & \chi_{n,p-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{0} \\ \beta_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{p-1} \end{pmatrix}$

=
$$\vec{y} - \vec{x}\vec{\beta}$$

recall
$$S(\beta_0, \beta_1) = \Sigma e_i^2 = \|\vec{e}\|^2 = \vec{e}^T \vec{e}$$
 in SLR.

so we can outine
$$S(\vec{\beta}) = \vec{e} \cdot \vec{e} = (\vec{y} - \vec{x} \cdot \vec{\beta})^T (\vec{y} - \vec{x} \cdot \vec{\beta})$$

and find
$$\vec{B} = \underset{\sim}{\operatorname{arg min}} S(\vec{B})$$

To Solve this.

$$5(\vec{\beta}) = \vec{y} \vec{y} - \vec{y} \vec{x} \vec{\beta} - (\vec{x} \vec{\beta}) \vec{y} + (\vec{x} \vec{\beta}) \vec{y} (\vec{x} \vec{\beta})$$

$$= \vec{y} \vec{y} - 2\vec{\beta} \vec{x} \vec{y} + \vec{\beta} \vec{x} \vec{x} \vec{y} + \vec{\beta} \vec{x} \vec{x} \vec{x} \vec{\beta}$$

$$\frac{\partial S(\vec{\beta})}{\partial \vec{\beta}} = -2\vec{X}^{T}\vec{y} + 2\vec{X}^{T}\vec{X}\vec{\beta} = 0$$

Hint: matrix
olifferentiation.

$$\Rightarrow (\vec{x}^{\dagger}\vec{x})\vec{\beta} = \vec{x}^{\dagger}\vec{y}$$

$$\exists \overline{\beta} = (\overline{x}^{\intercal} \overline{x})^{-1} (\overline{x}^{\intercal} \overline{y})$$

check for concavity:
$$\frac{\partial^2 S(\vec{\beta})}{\partial \vec{\beta}^2} = 2 \vec{X}^T \vec{X}$$
 positive semi-definite.

$$\vec{b} = (\vec{x} \vec{x}) + (\vec{x} \vec{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\beta}_0 \\ \vec{\beta}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{\beta}_{P-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

3. Gauss-Markov Thm for B (Goal: understand the proof.)

- · The OLSE To TS the "BLUE" of B.
- (i) Linear
- (ii) Unbiased
- (iii) Covaniance Matrix and Variance of B
- (iv) "Smallest"

(i) linear:
$$\vec{b} = (\vec{x}^T \vec{x})^{-1} \vec{x}^T \vec{y}$$

linear function of \vec{y} .

(ii) Unbiased:
$$\vec{b} - \vec{\beta} = (\vec{x}^{\dagger}\vec{x})^{-1}\vec{x}^{\dagger}\vec{y} - \vec{\beta}$$

$$= (\vec{x}^{\dagger}\vec{x})^{-1}\vec{x}^{\dagger}(\vec{x}\vec{\beta} + \vec{\epsilon}) - \vec{\beta}$$

$$= (\vec{x}^{\dagger}\vec{x})^{-1}\vec{x}^{\dagger}\vec{\epsilon}$$

$$= (\vec{x}^{\dagger}\vec{x})^{-1}\vec{x}^{\dagger}\vec{\epsilon}$$

$$= (\vec{x}^{\dagger}\vec{x})^{-1}\vec{x}^{\dagger}\vec{\epsilon}$$

$$= (\vec{x}^{\dagger}\vec{x})^{-1}\vec{x}^{\dagger}\vec{\epsilon}$$

$$= (\vec{b} - \vec{b}) = (\vec{b}) = E(\vec{b})$$
So $E(\vec{b}) = E(\vec{b})$

Variance Matrix of
$$\vec{b}$$
 is

 $V_{arran}(\vec{b}) = E(\vec{b} - \vec{\beta})(\vec{b} - \vec{\beta})^{T}$
 $= E\left[(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{x})^{T} \cdot \vec{x}^{T} \cdot \vec{z} \cdot \vec{z}^{T} \cdot \vec{x}^{T} \cdot \vec{x}$

(iv) "Smallest" Voriance in the matrix sense.

If we have another unbiased linear estimator
$$\vec{b}^{+} = \vec{C}\vec{y}$$
 recall $\vec{b} = (\vec{X}^{T}\vec{X})^{+}\vec{X}^{T}\vec{y}$, let $\vec{C} = (\vec{X}^{T}\vec{X})^{-1}\vec{X}^{T}\vec{y}$ pxn non-zero matrix

2 6~((ズス))+(ズブズ)+ズブンナカ文(ズブズ)+アガン)

50
$$\overrightarrow{D}\overrightarrow{X}(\overrightarrow{X}^{T}\overrightarrow{X})^{T} = 0$$
, $(\overrightarrow{B}\overrightarrow{X}(\overrightarrow{X}^{T}\overrightarrow{X})^{T})^{T} = 0$
Therefore. $Var(\overrightarrow{b}^{+}) = \sigma^{2}(\overrightarrow{X}^{T}X)^{T} + \sigma^{2}\overrightarrow{D}\overrightarrow{D}^{T}$
 $= Var(\overrightarrow{b}) + \sigma^{2}\overrightarrow{D}\overrightarrow{D}^{T}$
pusitive semi-definite.

Thenfore. Var (6) is "tager" then lar (6).

4. Fitted Value and Residuals

. The Fitted Value

. The Residuals

$$\vec{e} = \vec{y} - \hat{\vec{y}} = \vec{y} - \vec{x}\vec{b}$$

$$= \vec{y} - \vec{\chi}(\vec{x}\vec{x}) - \vec{\chi}\vec{y}$$

$$= \vec{y} - \vec{H}\vec{y} = (\vec{L}_1 - \vec{H})\vec{y}$$

5. Estimate of o2:

using the def. of H.

- can you see this?

Thus
$$E\left(\frac{55E}{h-p}\right) = 0^2$$

Define
$$MSE = \frac{SSE}{N-P}$$
, then $MSE = \hat{S}^2$

Summary and Take-aways of this lecture! Matrix Notation.

MUR: $\vec{y} = \vec{\chi} \vec{\beta} + \vec{\epsilon}, \vec{\epsilon} \sim MN(\vec{\sigma}, \sigma^2 In)$

OLSE: B= (xTX) + (XT) Y TS "BLUE"

with $E(\vec{b}) = \vec{G}$, $Var(\vec{b}) = \sigma^2 (\vec{X}^T\vec{X})^{-1}$

Residuals: $\vec{e} = (Z-H)\vec{y}$, where $\vec{f} = \vec{x}(\vec{x}^T\vec{x})^{-1}\vec{x}^T$

Estimate of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} MSE = \frac{SSE}{n-p} = \frac{27e}{n-p}$