

①

RECALL: The normal simple linear regression model is

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \varepsilon_i$$

$$\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2).$$

$$\Rightarrow Y_i | X = X_i \sim N(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i, \sigma^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow f_{Y_i | X_i}(y | x) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(y - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ell(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n -\log \sigma \sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2$$

Maximizing this expression is the same as minimizing this expression with sign minus.

\Rightarrow Solving the MLE



Solving the least squares formulation

(2)

The MLE task is to create

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta_0} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta_1} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma^2} = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \leftarrow \text{just the normal equations from last lecture}$$

\Rightarrow It will give us again

$$b_1 = \frac{\overline{XY} - \bar{X}\bar{Y}}{\overline{XX} - \bar{X}\bar{X}}$$

$$b_0 = \bar{Y} - b_1 \bar{X}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^n -\log \sigma \sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2 \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \log \sigma - \sum_{i=1}^n \log \sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2 \\ &\quad \text{goes away when we take a derivative} \\ &= -n \log \sigma - 2^{-1} (\sigma^2)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2 \\ &= -n \log(\sqrt{\sigma^2}) - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2 \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma^2} &= -\frac{n}{2} \frac{(\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2}} + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2)^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2 \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2 \end{aligned}$$

3

$$= -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2 = \frac{n}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2}{n}$$

once we plug in
 $\beta_0 = b_0$
and $\beta_1 = b_1$
from the
normal equations.

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2}{n} = S_e^2 = \hat{\sigma}^2$$

ISSUE: Last class — and in textbooks — we said that we would use

$$S_e^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2}{n-2}$$

Qn: what gives? The issue is that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2}{n}\right] \neq \sigma^2$$

It needs a bias adjustment: multiply by $\frac{n}{n-2}$

OBJECTIVES:

- Establish the mean and variance of b_1 (or $\hat{\beta}_1$).
- As a byproduct, we will prove the Gauss-Markov Theorem.
- Establish the distribution of a studentization of $\hat{\beta}_1$ (or b_1).

FRAMEWORK: The normal (classical) simple linear regression model is of the form

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \varepsilon_i$$

$$\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$\text{p}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j$$

REMINDER: For the time being, the X_i 's are deterministic.

Recall: we showed that

$$b_1 = \frac{\overline{XY} - \bar{X}\bar{Y}}{\overline{XX} - \bar{X}\bar{X}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

$$b_0 = \bar{Y} - b_1 \bar{X}$$

GOAL #1: $E[b_1] = \beta_1$

GOAL #2: $\text{Var}(b_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$

σ^2 \swarrow regression variance

CLAIM: If $b_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$

(5)



, we can

think of b_1 as being linear as a function of the Y_i 's, i.e., we can write

$$b_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i Y_i,$$

where $k_i = \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$

Note that

$$b_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}) Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

$$- \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}) \bar{Y}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

A red arrow points from the \bar{Y} in the numerator to the denominator.

bc $\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}) = 0$, this second term = 0

and we have

$$b_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}) Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

-or- $b_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i Y_i$

where $k_i = \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$

(6)

THREE FACTS ABOUT THE k_i 'S

FACT #1: $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = 0$

well,
$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})}{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})^2} = 0$$

FACT #2: $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i^2 = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})^2} \right)^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})^2 \right)^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

FACT #3: $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i = 1$

So,
$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \bar{x}) x_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^2 - \bar{x} x_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \bar{x} \cdot n \bar{x}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})^2} = 1$$

left as an exercise:
show again
these are
the same

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x}) &= \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j^2 - 2\bar{x}x_j + \bar{x}^2) \quad (7) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 - 2\bar{x}n\bar{x} + n\bar{x}^2 \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - n\bar{x}^2 \\
 &\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i = 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Getting back to Gauss-Markov:...

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[b_1] &= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n k_i Y_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i E[Y_i] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n k_i (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) \\
 &= \beta_0 \sum_{i=1}^n k_i + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i \\
 &\quad \text{FACT \#1} \qquad \qquad \text{by FACT \#3} \\
 &= \beta_0 \cdot 0 + \beta_1 \cdot 1 = \beta_1
 \end{aligned}$$

Qn: What is $\text{Var}(b_1)$?

Since $b_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i Y_i$, we want

$$\text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i Y_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(k_i Y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i^2 \text{Var}(Y_i)$$

okay because
the Y_i are
uncorrelated because
 $\rho(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = 0$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n k_i^2 \sigma^2 = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n k_i^2$$

problem: we don't
know σ^2 in
advance

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

← FACT #2

Let's have a brief moment for interpretation:

① To lower $\text{Var}(b_1)$, lower the regression variance, i.e., make the data adhere to the line better.

② Also, you can increase n since larger n means $\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ gets larger.

③ We could also lower b_1 by increasing σ_x^2 , the variance of the X_i 's.

(9)

Last hour, recall that we learned that σ^2 could be estimated by

$$MSE = s^2 = s_e^2 = \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2}{n-2}$$

By Slutsky's Theorem, because MSE is unbiased for σ^2 , we can replace σ^2 by MSE in $\text{Var}(b_1)$ and end up (in particular) with an estimator that is again unbiased.

So, we take

$$\text{Var}(b_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

but estimate it with

$$s^2(b_1) = \frac{MSE}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

when you use things like $\ln()$ in R, it uses this.

GOAL: Show that b_1 is BLUE. ← ☑ best linear unbiased estimator

"best" in the sense of minimum variance ☑ ☑

If there is some ~~other~~ superior linear estimator of β_1 , it must be of the form

$$B = \sum c_i Y_i$$

For B to be "better" than b_1 , it has to (10)
be (i) unbiased and (d) have an even smaller
standard error.

$$\text{So } E[B] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i E[Y_i] = \beta_1$$

put a pin in this;
we'll come back
to it

$$\text{Recall } E[Y_i] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_0 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i = \beta_1$$

$$\text{For } B \text{ to be unbiased, } \sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i = 1.$$

Qn: What is $\text{Var}(B)$?

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Well, } \text{Var}(B) &= \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \text{Var}(Y_i) \\ &= \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

If there are better constants c_i , they are of
the form $c_i = k_i + d_i$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{Var}(B) &= \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (k_i + d_i)^2 = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (k_i^2 + 2k_i d_i + d_i^2) \\ &= \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n k_i^2 + 2\sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n k_i d_i + \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

Var(h) \rightarrow

CLAIM: $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i d_i = 0$

Note that $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i (c_i - k_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i k_i - \sum_{i=1}^n k_i^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_i X_i - c_i \bar{X})}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} - \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i - \bar{X} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} - \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\text{Var}(B) = \text{Var}(b_1) + \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2$$

\Rightarrow But $\sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2$ can be no smaller than zero,

and even then can only be equal to zero

if $d_i \equiv 0$, i.e., $c_i = k_i + 0$

$\Rightarrow k_i$'s produce estimator with the smallest standard error.

THEOREM: Suppose that $Z \sim N(0,1)$ and $Y \sim \chi^2(n)$ and $Z \perp Y$.

$$T = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{Y/n}} \sim t(n)$$

In English: if you take a standard normal r.v. and divide by the square root of a rescaled independent χ^2 random variable, you end up with a student's t random variable with the same degrees of freedom as the χ^2 .

BOTTOM LINE FOR CI'S AND HYPOTHESIS TESTS FOR β_1 :

$$\frac{b_1 - \beta_1}{s(b_1)} = \frac{b_1 - E[b_1]}{s(b_1)} \sim t(n-2)$$

Recall that $\frac{b_1 - \beta_1}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(b_1)}} \sim N(0,1)$ because

$E[b_1] = \beta_1$ and b_1 is normal because it is a linear combination of the Y_i 's, which are normal because of the ε_i 's.

NOTE: we can't really use $\frac{b_1 - \beta_1}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(b_1)}}$, but

we can use $\frac{b_1 - \beta_1}{s(b_1)}$!

NOTE:

$$\frac{b_1 - \beta_1}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(b_1)} \cdot \frac{s(b_1)}{s(b_1)}} = \frac{b_1 - \beta_1}{s(b_1)} \cdot \frac{s(b_1)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(b_1)}}$$

Qn: what is $\frac{s^2(b_1)}{\sigma^2(b_1)} = \frac{\frac{\text{MSE}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\text{MSE}}{\sigma^2}$

$$\frac{s^2(b_1)}{\sigma^2(b_1)} = \frac{\frac{\text{MSE}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\text{MSE}}{\sigma^2}$$

when I say SSE, I mean:

$$\text{SSE} = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2$$

$$= \frac{\text{SSE}}{\sigma^2(n-2)}$$

$$= \frac{\chi^2(n-2)}{n-2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{b_1 - \beta_1}{s(b_1)} \sim \frac{Z}{\sqrt{\frac{\chi^2(n-2)}{n-2}}} = t(n-2)$$

(14)

Suppose that you wanted to test $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$ against $H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$.

(1) Collect $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$.

(2) Estimate b_0, b_1, s_e^2 .

(3) Form $T = \frac{b_1 - \cancel{\beta_1}^0}{s(b_1)}$

$$\text{where } s(b_1) = \sqrt{\frac{\text{MSE}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}}$$

(4) If $|T| > T_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-2}^*$, reject H_0 and conclude that β_1 is statistically distinguishable from zero.

Similarly, a 95% CI for β_1 is given by

$$b_1 \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-2}^* s(b_1)$$

`myModel <- lm(Y ~ X, data = myData Frame)`
`summary(myModel)`

