

Doctoral Thesis Proposal

I NEED TO FIND A GOOD TITLE

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Canterbury

Fernando Cagua

June 26, 2015

Summary

Natural ecosystems provide important services—like food, recreation, and water—we depend on. The functioning of the ecosystems that produce these services is chiefly determined by the network of interactions between the species that inhabit it. Human caused stressors, like biological ivasions, defaunation, or habitat degradation, can cause functional transformations that undermine the provisioning of ecosystem services. Despite its importance we dont completely understand the dynamics that lead to these transformations. My proposed research aims to quantify the role played by species interactions on determining the resilience of ecosystems. I will use a combination of empirical data, computer simulations and ecological theory. By looking at the structural and dynamic properties of ecological networks, I aim to build the foundations for determining why, when and how these unwanted transformations occur.

Introduction

From food and fresh water production, to recreation and carbon sequestration, ecosystems provide a wide range of services we value. When ecosystems transform, their ability to provide those services we depend on is undermined. The frequency of undesired ecosystem transformations—like the (often sudden) shift from a transparent to a turbid lake or from a self-sustaining fishery to a collapsed one—is dramatically increasing¹. A necessary step to anticipate, prevent and reverse those unwanted transformations, is to understand the processes that support or undermine ecosystem resilience^{2,3}.

Resilience is the amount of disturbance that an ecosystem could withstand without tipping into a regime shift—a large, persistent transformation in its functioning and structure^{4,5}. Ecosystem functioning, structure, and ultimately its response to disturbances is largely determined by the network of interactions formed by species in an ecological community^{3,6–8}. **Therefore, the overall objective of my proposed research is to quantify the role played by species interactions in modulating ecosystem resilience.**

Because of its importance for food production and the maintenance of global biodiversity^{6,9,10}, I will focus on the network of mutualistic interactions between plants and pollinators. Biotic invasions are a significant component of human-caused global change¹¹. The first objective of my research is to determine how the properties of the networks determine the susceptibility of an ecosystem to biotic invasions. I will use a combination of complex network theory—built upon tools from statistical physics and the social sciences—and dynamic models of the populations of the species in the community^{12,13}. This approach will allow me to determine when invasions are likely to lead to a regime shift^{14–16}.

Biotic invasions often occur in ecosystems that have already been degraded¹⁷. Also, theoretical and empirical evidence shows that the degree of species functional redundancy has major effects on ecosystem stability^{18–23}. My second objective is to determine how biodiversity loss—from a functional perspective—affects the resilience of an ecosystem. To answer that question I will extend the theoretical models I will develop and contrast them with previously collected empirical data. I will compare the role of species in invaded vs. non-invaded ecosystems, and

before vs. after regime shifts. I aim to quantify how ecosystem's resilience changes due to the structural and dynamic changes generated by the loss of species and invasive species. In turn, I will be able to determine how diversity within species functional groups affects ecosystem resilience¹⁵.

I will use a similar approach for my third objective: to translate the gained insight into useful lessons for ecosystem management. Recent work in theoretical physics has highlighted the possibility of controlling a complex system, by inducing perturbations that compensate for previous disturbances²⁴. Because this approach has never been used in ecology, I propose to build upon it to find how ecosystems can be managed to maximise resilience, rather than managing for individual species. I aim to determine the feasibility of using this approach to modify an ecosystem state, or to rescue it from the brink of collapse.

It has been recently shown that the architecture of networks of species interactions regulates ecosystem functioning, and therefore it can mediate the response of ecosystems to disturbances^{12,25–28}. However, very few studies have investigated the link between species interactions and resilience^{16,29}. My proposed research aims to improve our current understanding of the ecosystem responses to anthropogenic drivers, and their cumulative impacts. In particular, I will quantify the role played by species interactions in modulating ecosystem resilience.

To answer these questions, I will center on systems and drivers that have global relevance, but are particularly important for New Zealand. Specifically I will focus on 1) mutualistic plant-pollinator networks which are pivotal for the maintenance of biodiversity and crop production^{9,10}; and 2) biotic invasions and defaunation, which are top components of human-caused global change for which New Zealand has both suffered and remains notably vulnerable¹¹.

About two thirds of New Zealand plants are pollinated by birds or insects. Moreover, they are responsible for the pollination of iconic native plants (like kowhai and pohutukawa), and economically important crops (like kiwifruit, apples and grapes). This implies that New Zealand flora is particularly vulnerable to declines in pollination services³⁰, and those services have already been distorted by the introduction of foreign bees³¹ and the population depletion of native birds^{32,33}. Also, in contrast with other locations, pollination networks in New Zealand are dominated by generalist species^{34,35}—plants that attract a wide range of pollinator species, and pollinators that visit a wide range of plants. The research I propose will help elucidate how these structural differences affect the resilience of New Zealand's pollination systems when considering that original ecosystems have been changed by invasive species. Understanding how invasions interact with defaunation in ecological networks is a global research priority, and essential for conserving, restoring and managing New Zealand ecosystems³⁰.

The results of my research will also have direct application to ecosystem management, and in the future clear conservation benefits not only for New Zealand, but also ecosystems elsewhere. For example, the introduction, and posterior invasion, of stoats in New Zealand was an expensive

mistake in which species interactions were not taken into account. What is more, although we know the effects of this invasion on iconic native species, we currently do not understand how the changes on ecosystem dynamics is affecting the resilience of the ecosystems as a whole. The research I propose intends to establish a general theory necessary to answer this question. This is particularly important when the ecosystem response might be inconspicuous until transformation is imminent. By better understading the dynamics behind species interactions, we will hopefully be better prepared to anticipate, prevent and reverse unwanted ecosystem transformations.

Chapter 1

dsfsdfs asd asd asdasasd as asd asd ad

Chapter 2

sdfrdf sd asd

Chapter 3

sdfxc

Research Plan

Bibliography

- [1] M Scheffer, S Carpenter, J a Foley, C Folke, and B Walker. Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems. *Nature*, 413(6856):591–596, 2001. ISSN 0028-0836. doi:[10.1038/35098000](https://doi.org/10.1038/35098000).
- [2] Terence P Hughes, David R Bellwood, Carl Folke, Robert S Steneck, and James Wilson. New paradigms for supporting the resilience of marine ecosystems. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 20(7), 2005. doi:[10.1016/j.tree.2005.03.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2005.03.022).
- [3] Jason M. Tylianakis, Raphael K. Didham, Jordi Bascompte, and David a. Wardle. Global change and species interactions in terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecology Letters*, 11(12):1351–1363, 2008. ISSN 1461023X. doi:[10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01250.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01250.x).
- [4] C S Holling. Resilience and Stability of Ecological Systems. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 4(1):1–23, 1973. ISSN 0066-4162. doi:[10.1146/annurev.es.04.110173.000245](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.04.110173.000245).
- [5] Lance H Gunderson. Ecological resilience - in theory and application. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 31(1):425–439, 2000. ISSN 0066-4162. doi:[10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.31.1.425](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.31.1.425).
- [6] Jordi Bascompte, Pedro Jordano, and Jens M Olesen. Asymmetric Coevolutionary Networks Facilitate Biodiversity Maintenance. *Science*, 312(April):431–433, 2006. ISSN 1095-9203. doi:[10.1126/science.1123412](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1123412). URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16627742>.
- [7] Andrew Dobson, David Lodge, Jackie Alder, Graeme S. Cumming, Juan Keymer, Jacquie McGlade, Hal Mooney, James a. Rusak, Osvaldo Sala, Volkmar Wolters, Diana Wall, Rachel Winfree, and Marguerite a. Xenopoulos. Habitat loss, trophic collapse, and the decline of ecosystem services. *Ecology*, 87(8):1915–1924, 2006. ISSN 00129658. doi:[10.1890/0012-9658\(2006\)87\[1915:HLTCAT\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[1915:HLTCAT]2.0.CO;2).
- [8] Julia Reiss, Jon R. Bridle, José M. Montoya, and Guy Woodward. Emerging horizons in biodiversity and ecosystem functioning research. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 24(9): 505–514, 2009. ISSN 01695347. doi:[10.1016/j.tree.2009.03.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2009.03.018).

- [9] Jordi Bascompte and Pedro Jordano. Plant-Animal Mutualistic Networks: The Architecture of Biodiversity. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 38(1):567–593, 2007. ISSN 1543-592X. doi:[10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.38.091206.095818](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.38.091206.095818).
- [10] Alexandra-Maria Klein, Bernard E Vaissière, James H Cane, Ingolf Steffan-Dewenter, Saul a Cunningham, Claire Kremen, and Teja Tscharntke. Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 274(1608):303–313, 2007. ISSN 0962-8452. doi:[10.1098/rspb.2006.3721](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3721).
- [11] Peter M. Vitousek, Carla M. D’Antonio, Lloyd L. Loope, Marcel Rejmánek, and Randy Westbrooks. Introduced species: A significant component of human-caused global change. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology*, 21(1):1–16, 1997. ISSN 01106465.
- [12] Ugo Bastolla, Miguel a Fortuna, Alberto Pascual-García, Antonio Ferrera, Bartolo Luque, and Jordi Bascompte. The architecture of mutualistic networks minimizes competition and increases biodiversity. *Nature*, 458(7241):1018–1020, 2009. ISSN 0028-0836. doi:[10.1038/nature07950](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07950).
- [13] Javier Garcia-Algarra, Javier Galeano, Juan Manuel Pastor, Jose Maria Iriondo, and Jose J. Ramasco. Rethinking the logistic approach for population dynamics of mutualistic interactions. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 363:13, 2013. ISSN 10958541. doi:[10.1016/j.jtbi.2014.08.039](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2014.08.039). URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.5411>.
- [14] Tamara N Romanuk, Yun Zhou, Ulrich Brose, Eric L Berlow, Richard J Williams, and Neo D Martinez. Predicting invasion success in complex ecological networks. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences*, 364(1524):1743–1754, 2009. ISSN 0962-8436. doi:[10.1098/rstb.2008.0286](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0286).
- [15] Rudolf P Rohr, Serguei Saavedra, and Jordi Bascompte. On the structural stability of mutualistic systems. *Science*, 345(6195):1253497, 2014. ISSN 0036-8075. doi:[10.1126/science.1253497](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1253497). URL <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.1253497>.
- [16] Jason M. Tylianakis and Camille Coux. Tipping points in ecological networks. *Trends in Plant Science*, 19(5):281–283, 2014. ISSN 13601385. doi:[10.1016/j.tplants.2014.03.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2014.03.006). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2014.03.006>.
- [17] Scott Bennett, Thomas Wernberg, Euan S. Harvey, Julia Santana-Garcon, and Benjamin J. Saunders. Tropical herbivores provide resilience to a climate-mediated phase shift on temperate reefs. *Ecology Letters*, pages n/a–n/a, 2015. ISSN 1461023X. doi:[10.1111/ele.12450](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12450). URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/ele.12450>.
- [18] Brian Walker, Ann Kinzig, and Jenny Langridge. Plant attribute diversity, resilience, and ecosystem function: The nature and significance of dominant and minor species. *Ecosystems*, 2(2):95–113, 1999. ISSN 14329840. doi:[10.1007/s100219900062](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100219900062).

- [19] Carlos Roberto Fonseca and Gislene Ganade. Species functional redundancy, random extinctions and the stability of ecosystems. *Journal of Ecology*, 89(1):118–125, 2001. ISSN 00220477. doi:[10.1046/j.1365-2745.2001.00528.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.2001.00528.x).
- [20] D R Bellwood, Andrew S Hoey, and J Howard Choat. Limited functional redundancy in high diversity systems: resilience and ecosystem function of coral reefs. *Ecology Letters*, 6: 281–285, 2003.
- [21] Michel Loreau. Does functional redundancy exist? *Oikos*, 104(3):606–611, 2004. ISSN 00301299. doi:[10.1111/j.0030-1299.2004.12685.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2004.12685.x).
- [22] Steven D. Allison and Jennifer B. H. Martiny. Colloquium paper: resistance, resilience, and redundancy in microbial communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 105(Supplement 1):11512–11519, 2008. ISSN 0027-8424. doi:[10.1073/pnas.0801925105](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0801925105).
- [23] Simon J. Brandl and David R. Bellwood. Individual-based analyses reveal limited functional overlap in a coral reef fish community. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 83(3):661–670, 2014. ISSN 00218790. doi:[10.1111/1365-2656.12171](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12171).
- [24] Sean P Cornelius, William L Kath, and Adilson E Motter. Realistic control of network dynamics. *Nature communications*, 4:1942, 2013. ISSN 2041-1723. doi:[10.1038/ncomms2939](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2939). URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3955710&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.
- [25] Enrico L Rezende, Jessica E Lavabre, Paulo R Guimarães, Pedro Jordano, and Jordi Bascompte. Non-random coextinctions in phylogenetically structured mutualistic networks. *Nature*, 448(7156):925–928, 2007. ISSN 0028-0836. doi:[10.1038/nature05956](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05956).
- [26] Eric L Berlow, Jennifer a Dunne, Neo D Martinez, Philip B Stark, Richard J Williams, and Ulrich Brose. Simple prediction of interaction strengths in complex food webs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106(1):187–191, 2009. ISSN 0027-8424. doi:[10.1073/pnas.0806823106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0806823106).
- [27] Daniel B Stouffer and Jordi Bascompte. Understanding food-web persistence from local to global scales. *Ecology letters*, 13(2):154–61, February 2010. ISSN 1461-0248. doi:[10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01407.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01407.x). URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19968697>.
- [28] D. B. Stouffer, M. Sales-Pardo, M. I. Sirer, and J. Bascompte. Evolutionary Conservation of Species’ Roles in Food Webs. *Science*, 335(6075):1489–1492, 2012. ISSN 0036-8075. doi:[10.1126/science.1216556](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1216556).

- [29] J. Jelle Lever, Egbert H. van Nes, Marten Scheffer, and Jordi Bascompte. The sudden collapse of pollinator communities. *Ecology Letters*, 17(3):350–359, 2014. ISSN 1461023X. doi:[10.1111/ele.12236](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12236).
- [30] Linda Newstrom and Alastair Robertson. Progress in understanding pollination systems in New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Botany*, 43(1):1–59, 2005. ISSN 0028-825X. doi:[10.1080/0028825X.2005.9512943](https://doi.org/10.1080/0028825X.2005.9512943).
- [31] V. M. Huryn and H. Moller. An assessment of the contribution of honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) to weed reproduction in New Zealand protected natural areas. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology*, 19(2):111–122, 1995. ISSN 01106465.
- [32] Sandra H. Anderson. The relative importance of birds and insects as pollinators of the New Zealand flora. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology*, 27(2):83–94, 2003. ISSN 01106465.
- [33] Alastair W Robertson, Dave Kelly, Jenny J Ladley, and Ashley D Sparrow. Effects Mistletoes of Pollinator Loss on Endemic New Zealand (*Loranthaceae*). *Conservation Biology*, 13(3): 499–508, 1999.
- [34] E.M. Heine. Observations of the pollination of New Zealand flowering plants, 1937.
- [35] Richard B. Primack. Insect pollination in the New Zealand mountain flora. *New Zealand Journal of Botany*, 21(3):317–333, 1983. ISSN 0028-825X. doi:[10.1080/0028825X.1983.10428561](https://doi.org/10.1080/0028825X.1983.10428561).