The evolution of ecological networks

Fernando Cagua May 2015

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Evolution and network structure?	3
	The contribution of evolution and spatiotemporal patterns to network structure	3
	Phylogenetic history of modularity	4
	Nestedness	4
	Coevolution in multidimensional trait space	5
3	How community structure affects stability	6
	Functional redundancy and stability	6
	Dead ends in fitness landscapes	7
	What is stability	7
	Coexistence and stability	7
	Niche overlap	7
4	Temporal dynamics in ecological networks	8
	Reconstructing historical networks	8
	Perturbing networks	8
5	Ecosystem feedbacks are indirect interactions	9

1

Introduction

Interaction strengths are defined There is a lack of evolution in multidimensional trait space

Evolution and network structure?

It has been previously shown that network structure has direct consequences on comunity persistance and stability and might also play an

Network structure heavily affects community stability. Here we aim how network structure can arise from evolutionary dynamics.

Do modular communities evolve towards nested by minimising niche overlap. Do nested communities evolve towards modular patterns in the absence of disturbances?

There is evidence that shows

How is nestedness reconciliated with coe

The contribution of evolution and spatiotemporal patterns to network structure

Hypothesis modularity comes from phylogenetic hystory and ecological traits. Nestedness come from spatiotemporal patterns (recent immigration, spatial and temporal overlap). Though nestedness can also arrise

from weak coevolution and phenotype differences (which they argue is the one acting on species rich comunities)

Phylogenetic history of modularity

Modules should show higher agreement to phylogenies than random

modules

Dataset: all kind of networks we can get hands on

Nestedness

Specialists are more likely to be recent immigrants

Dataset: Wasps for spatial/ecological patterns Dataset: Temporal

pollina

Some evidence shows that most specialist species can be actually rencet imigrants and havent had the time adapt and either evolve large impacts on hosts or to become generalists (Nuismer, Thompson, and

Gomulkiewicz 2003).

If that is true, then one should be able a) to see that the patterns of generalism/specialism for a species speciest changes in spatial scales; b) to see that individuals behaving as generalists in their communities should have greater performance (fitness, impact?) than the individuals from the same species behaving as generalists in their comunities. And that c) species should show be more specialists towards the fringes of their spatial distribution. Can we test that with the wasp-parasite

UWA dataset?

Also in therms of phase shifts, if there is a sudden change in abiotic-conditions/community structure, then specialists would have a harder time adapting than generalists. Right? Is change in niche overlap what creates alternate stable states? Or if a specialist is still there will their

performance decreasse after/along with the shif?

4

Coevolution in multidimensional trait space

Dataset: analytical and indvidual based models

Coevolution has been shown to generate anti-nested patterns. But all those models have used one mechanism for generating and only one trait at a time. Since interaction probabilities can affect a lot the nerwork structure we expect it to change when using multiple traits.

How community structure affects stability

Functional redundancy and stability

Species that share similar interactions in a ecological network can be grouped in modules. In food webs for example, modules can successfully encapsulate trophic groups that resemble energetic pathways (Gauzens et al. 2014). In host/parasite networks, a parasite is more likely to share hosts with other parasites within than outside it's module (Weitz et al. 2013). Similarly in mutualistic networks, pollinators within a module function as a group with preferences for some particular plants.

Because the species's functional role in the community is, at least to a great extent, defined by its interactions (Dehling et al. 2014), modules should implicitly group species with similar functional roles (Dupont and Olesen 2009).

Functional redundancy has been proposed as an important factor in determining the ecosystem's response to disturbaces (Brandl and Bellwood 2014). Particularly when the

In pollination networks can be th similarity of In ecological networks,

Functional redundanc Paradojically high functional redundancy represents Hypothesis: Functional redundant systems are more stable and resilient

Hypothesis: Systems with loss of functional groups are more vulnerable

Dead ends in fitness landscapes

Hypothesis: very efficient performers in a fitness landscape are more vulnerable because the loss capacity of adaptation

What is stability

Coexistence and stability

Niche changes over time. Throphic plasticity Consequences for stability

Niche overlap

7

4

Temporal dynamics in ecological networks

Reconstructing historical networks

Measuring network fitness

Meassuring impact of hosts/infectivity

How is related to niche overlap and coexistence theory - Niche change over time $\,$

Perturbing networks

Ecosystem feedbacks are indirect interactions

References

Brandl, Simon J., and David R. Bellwood. 2014. "Individual-based analyses reveal limited functional overlap in a coral reef fish community." *Journal of Animal Ecology*. doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12171.

Dehling, D. Matthias, Till Töpfer, H. Martin Schaefer, Pedro Jordano, Katrin Böhning-Gaese, and Matthias Schleuning. 2014. "Functional relationships beyond species richness patterns: trait matching in plant-bird mutualisms across scales." Global Ecology and Biogeography 23: 1085–93. doi:10.1111/geb.12193.

Dupont, Yoko L., and Jens M. Olesen. 2009. "Ecological modules and roles of species in heathland plant-insect flower visitor networks." *Journal of Animal Ecology* 78 (2): 346–53. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01501.x.

Gauzens, Benoit, Elisa Thébault, Gérard Lacroix, and Stéphane Legendre. 2014. "Trophic groups and modules: two levels of group detection in food webs." *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 1–29. doi:10.1098/rsif.2014.1176.

Nuismer, S. L., J. N. Thompson, and R. Gomulkiewicz. 2003. "Coevolution between hosts and parasites with partially overlapping geographic ranges." *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 16 (6): 1337–45. doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.2003.00609.x.

Weitz, Joshua S., Timothée Poisot, Justin R. Meyer, Cesar O. Flores, Sergi Valverde, Matthew B. Sullivan, and Michael E. Hochberg. 2013. "Phage-bacteria infection networks." *Trends in Microbiology* 21 (2): 82–91. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2012.11.003.