

Proposal - Anticipate, prevent and reverse ecosystem transformations: linking species interactions and resilience

Fernando Cagua

The frequency of undesired ecosystem transformations—like the (often sudden) shift from a transparent to a turbid lake, from a woodland to a grassy landscape or from a self-sustaining fishery to a collapsed one¹— is dramatically increasing. Most of our current understanding of ‘why’, ‘when’ and ‘how’ these transformations occur are based on studies of one or a few species. However, species in an ecological community form a network of interactions that underpin ecosystem functioning, structure, and ultimately its response to disturbances^{2–5}.

Resilience is the amount of disturbance that an ecosystem could withstand without tipping into a regime shift—a large, persistent transformation in its functioning and structure^{6,7}. A necessary step to anticipate, prevent and reverse those unwanted transformations, is to understand the processes that support or undermine resilience^{4,8}. **The overall objective of my proposed research is to quantify the role played by species interactions in modulating ecosystem resilience.**

The intensity of drivers of ecosystem transformation—like climate change, land use, biodiversity loss, nutrient enrichment, and biotic invasions—is escalating, and the trend is likely to continue⁹. Even though the effect of those drivers permeates across entire communities, most of our understanding of the ecosystems response is based on studies of one or few species. Throughout my research I will use a complex network approach, which recognises that species actually live within a community and are connected to each other. This approach—built upon tools from statistical physics and the social sciences—has been key in revealing structural patterns that transcend specific ecosystems^{10–12}.

Because of its importance for the provision of ecosystem services and the maintenance of global biodiversity^{2,13,14}, I will focus on mutualistic interactions between plants and pollinators. The first objective of my research is to determine how the structural and dynamic characteristic of ecological networks determine the susceptibility to biotic invasions of an ecosystem—a significant component of human-caused global change¹⁵. I will use recently-developed simulation methods to model community-wide coexistence dynamics to explicitly quantify the ecosystem’s stability from population fluctuations^{16,17}, and to determine when invasions are likely to lead to a regime shift^{18–20}.

Biotic invasions often occur in ecosystems that have already been degraded²¹. Also, theoretical and empirical evidence shows that the degree of species functional redundancy has major effects on ecosystem stability^{22–27}. My second objective is to determine how biodiversity loss—from a functional perspective—affect the pre-invasion ecosystem resilience. To answer that question I will take advantage of my supervisor’s access to over seventy empirical ecological networks. I will combine this empirical data with a theoretical approach. By comparing the role of species in invaded vs. non-invaded ecosystems, and before vs. after regime shifts, I will determine how diversity within species functional groups affects ecosystem resilience¹⁹.

I will use a similar approach and combine it with theoretical for my third objective: to translate the gained insight into useful lessons for ecosystem management. Recent work in theoretical

physics has highlighted the possibility of controlling a complex system, by inducing perturbations that compensate for previous disturbances²⁸. Because this approach has never been used in ecology, I propose to build upon it to find how ecosystems can be managed to maximise resilience, rather than individual species. I aim to determine the feasibility of using this approach to modify an ecosystem state, or to rescue it from the brink of collapse.

Over the last years I have focused on the ecology of tropical marine organisms, and witnessed how entire ecosystems are transformed due to human pressures. Understanding what makes ecosystems vulnerable, and how to prevent and revert these undesirable transformations became my top scientific interest. I want to answer fundamental questions in ecology, and ultimately improve the management of the ecosystems I love. I am aware that this is likely to guide my scientific career for the next decade. The support from the NZIDRS is going to be instrumental to reach this goal.

References

1. Scheffer, M., Carpenter, S., Foley, J. a., Folke, C. & Walker, B. Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems. *Nature* **413**, 591–596 (2001).
2. Bascompte, J., Jordano, P. & Olesen, J. M. Asymmetric Coevolutionary Networks Facilitate Biodiversity Maintenance. *Science* **312**, 431–433 (2006).
3. Dobson, A. *et al.* Habitat loss, trophic collapse, and the decline of ecosystem services. *Ecology* **87**, 1915–1924 (2006).
4. Tylianakis, J. M., Didham, R. K., Bascompte, J. & Wardle, D. a. Global change and species interactions in terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecology Letters* **11**, 1351–1363 (2008).
5. Reiss, J., Bridle, J. R., Montoya, J. M. & Woodward, G. Emerging horizons in biodiversity and ecosystem functioning research. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **24**, 505–514 (2009).
6. Holling, C. S. Resilience and Stability of Ecological Systems. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **4**, 1–23 (1973).
7. Gunderson, L. H. Ecological resilience - in theory and application. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **31**, 425–439 (2000).
8. Hughes, T. P., Bellwood, D. R., Folke, C., Steneck, R. S. & Wilson, J. New paradigms for supporting the resilience of marine ecosystems. *Trends in ecology & evolution* **20**, (2005).
9. Hughes, T. & Carpenter, S. Multiscale regime shifts and planetary boundaries. *Trends in ecology & evolution* **28**, 389–396 (2013).
10. Williams, R. J. & Martinez, N. D. Simple rules yield complex food webs. *Nature* **404**, 180–183 (2000).
11. Dunne, J. a., Williams, R. J. & Martinez, N. D. Food-web structure and network theory: The role of connectance and size. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **99**, 12917–12922 (2002).
12. Stouffer, D. B., Camacho, J., Guimerà, R., Ng, C. a. & Nunes Amaral, L. a. Quantitative patterns in the structure of model and empirical food webs. *Ecology* **86**, 1301–1311 (2005).
13. Bascompte, J. & Jordano, P. Plant-Animal Mutualistic Networks: The Architecture of Biodiversity. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **38**, 567–593 (2007).

14. Klein, A.-M. *et al.* Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **274**, 303–313 (2007).
15. Vitousek, P. M., D’Antonio, C. M., Loope, L. L., Rejmánek, M. & Westbrooks, R. Introduced species: A significant component of human-caused global change. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* **21**, 1–16 (1997).
16. Bastolla, U. *et al.* The architecture of mutualistic networks minimizes competition and increases biodiversity. *Nature* **458**, 1018–1020 (2009).
17. Garcia-Algarra, J., Galeano, J., Pastor, J. M., Iriondo, J. M. & Ramasco, J. J. Rethinking the logistic approach for population dynamics of mutualistic interactions. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **363**, 13 (2013).
18. Romanuk, T. N. *et al.* Predicting invasion success in complex ecological networks. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences* **364**, 1743–1754 (2009).
19. Rohr, R. P., Saavedra, S. & Bascompte, J. On the structural stability of mutualistic systems. *Science* **345**, 1253497 (2014).
20. Tylianakis, J. M. & Cux, C. Tipping points in ecological networks. *Trends in Plant Science* **19**, 281–283 (2014).
21. Bennett, S., Wernberg, T., Harvey, E. S., Santana-Garcon, J. & Saunders, B. J. Tropical herbivores provide resilience to a climate-mediated phase shift on temperate reefs. *Ecology Letters* n/a–n/a (2015). doi:[10.1111/ele.12450](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12450)
22. Walker, B., Kinzig, A. & Langridge, J. Plant attribute diversity, resilience, and ecosystem function: The nature and significance of dominant and minor species. *Ecosystems* **2**, 95–113 (1999).
23. Fonseca, C. R. & Ganade, G. Species functional redundancy, random extinctions and the stability of ecosystems. *Journal of Ecology* **89**, 118–125 (2001).
24. Bellwood, D. R., Hoey, A. S. & Howard Choat, J. Limited functional redundancy in high diversity systems: resilience and ecosystem function of coral reefs. *Ecology Letters* **6**, 281–285 (2003).
25. Loreau, M. Does functional redundancy exist? *Oikos* **104**, 606–611 (2004).
26. Allison, S. D. & Martiny, J. B. H. Colloquium paper: resistance, resilience, and redundancy in microbial communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **105**, 11512–11519 (2008).
27. Brandl, S. J. & Bellwood, D. R. Individual-based analyses reveal limited functional overlap in a coral reef fish community. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **83**, 661–670 (2014).
28. Cornelius, S. P., Kath, W. L. & Motter, A. E. Realistic control of network dynamics. *Nature communications* **4**, 1942 (2013).