## Efe Beydoğan

21901548

## CS353 Section 2

HW5

Q1)

- a) A -> B is violated because in the 1st row there is (a1, b1) but in the 2nd row there is (a1, b2).
- b) B -> C is not violated because for every same B value the C values are the same.
- c) C -> A is violated because in the 1st row there is (c1, b1) but in the 3rd row there is (c1, b3).
- d) AB -> C is not violated as there are no two rows with the same A and B values.
- e) AC -> B is violated because in the 3rd row there is (a2, c1, b3) but in the 5th row there is (a2, c1, b1).
- f) BC -> A is violated because in the 1st row there is (b1, c1, a1) but in the 5th row there is (b1, c1, a2).

Q2)

a) R1  $\cap$  R2 = A and A -> R1 = AB holds since A -> B, hence the decomposition is lossless.

b)

R:	Α	В	С
	a1	b1	c1
	a1	b1	c2
	a2	b1	<b>c</b> 3

R1:	Α	В
	a1	b1
	a2	b1

R2:	В	C
	b1	c1
	b1	c2
	b1	c3

R1 ⋈ R2:

А	В	С
a1	b1	c1
a1	b1	c2
a1	b1	c3
a2	b1	c1
a2	b1	c2
a2	b1	c3

R is a subset of R1  $\bowtie$  R2, hence the decomposition is not lossless.

Q3)

a) C is not implied by other attributes, so C is a part of any candidate key.

**C**<sup>+</sup> -> using the algorithm for computing the closure of an attribute

result = c -> terminates after 1st turn of while loop because there is no change in result, **C** is not a candidate key.

```
(AC)+:
```

result = AC

1st while:

result = result U D (ACD)

result = result U AB (ABCD)

2nd while:

result = result U D (ABCD)

result = result U E (ABCDE)

result = result U AB (ABCDE)

No change in 3rd while, so terminate.

(AC)<sup>+</sup> = ABCDE => AC is a superkey. It is also minimal, so it is a candidate key.

```
(BC)+:
result = BC
1st while:
       result = result U E (BCE)
2nd while:
       result = result U E (BCE)
No change in 2nd while, so terminate.
(BC)<sup>+</sup> = BCE => BC is not a candidate key.
(DC)+:
result = DC
1st while:
       result = result U AB (ABCD)
2nd while:
       result = result U D (ABCD)
       result = result U E (ABCDE)
       result = result U AB (ABCDE)
No change in 3rd while, so terminate.
(DC)<sup>+</sup> = ABCDE => DC is a superkey. It is also minimal, so it is a candidate key.
(EC)*:
result = EC
No change in 1st while, so terminate. EC is not a candidate key.
```

AC and DC are candidate keys.

- b) R is not in BCNF, as in the nontrivial functional dependency A -> D, A is not a superkey.
- c) R is not in 3NF, as in the nontrivial functional dependency BC -> E, BC is not a superkey and E BC = E is not part of a candidate key either.

Q4)

a)

- Using the decomposition rule, we can decompose A -> BC in G as A -> B and A -> C, so
   A -> B in F can be inferred from G.
- Using the augmentation rule, we can augment A -> BC in G as AB -> BC, then with the
  decomposition rule we can decompose it into AB -> B an AB -> C, hence AB -> C in F
  can be inferred from G.
- Using the decomposition rule, we can decompose D -> AE in G as D -> A an D -> E. We can also decompose A -> BC as A -> B and A -> C. As D -> A and A -> C, we can use transitivity to show D -> C. Since we now have both D -> A and D -> C, we can say D -> AC, so D -> AC in F can be inferred from G.
- Using the decomposition rule, we can decompose D -> AE in G as D -> A an D -> E.
   Hence, D -> E in F can be inferred from G.

As a result, all of the functional dependencies in F can be inferred from G and G covers F.

- b) F doesn't cover G, as the functional dependency E -> B in G cannot be obtained by the dependencies in F, E doesn't appear on the left hand side in any of the dependencies in F.
- c) As F doesn't cover G, F and G are not equivalent.

Q5)

a) 
$$F = \{A \rightarrow BD, CD \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow D\}$$

There are no functional dependencies with the same left hand side, so no replacement is done.

## Eliminating extraneous attributes on the lefthand side:

```
for CD \rightarrow B:

for C:

D<sup>+</sup> under F:

result = D
```

```
no changes to result in the first turn of the while loop, so D<sup>+</sup> = D
D<sup>+</sup> = D does not contain B, so C is not extraneous in CD → B.

for D:

C<sup>+</sup> under F:

result = C

1st while loop:

result = result ∪ D (CD)

2nd while loop:

result = result ∪ B (BCD)

no changes to result in the 3rd while loop, so C<sup>+</sup> = BCD

C<sup>+</sup> = BCD contains B, so D is extraneous in CD → B.
```

After eliminating extraneous attribute on LHS,  $F' = \{ A \rightarrow BD, C \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow D \}$  and if we unite  $C \rightarrow B$  and  $C \rightarrow D$ ,  $F' = \{ A \rightarrow BD, C \rightarrow BD, B \rightarrow D \}$ 

## Eliminating extraneous attributes on the righthand side:

```
for A → BD:
  for B:
    Compute A+ under { A → D, C → BD, B → D }
    result = A
        1st while loop:
        result = result ∪ D (AD)
        no changes to result in the 2nd while loop, so A+ = AD
        A+ = AD does not contain B, so B is not extraneous in A → BD
    for D:
        Compute A+ under { A → B, C → BD, B → D }
        result = A
        1st while loop:
```

```
result = result U B (AB)
        result = result U D (ABD)
      no changes to result in the 2nd while loop, so A<sup>+</sup> = ABD
      A^+ = ABD contains D, so D is extraneous in A \rightarrow BD.
      F'' = \{ A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow BD, B \rightarrow D \}
for C \rightarrow BD:
  for B:
    Compute C<sup>+</sup> under { A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow D }
     result = C
      1st while loop:
         result = result U D (CD)
    no changes to result in the 2nd while loop, so C<sup>+</sup> = CD
    C^+ = CD does not contain B, so B is not extraneous in C \rightarrow BD.
  for D:
    Compute C<sup>+</sup> under { A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow D }
    result = C
      1st while loop:
         result = result \cup B (BC)
         result = result U D (BCD)
     no changes to result in the 2nd while loop, so C<sup>+</sup> = BCD
     C^+ = BCD contains D, so D is extraneous in C \rightarrow BD.
     F''' = \{ A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow D \} = F_c \text{ (no other eliminations)}
```

b) A and C don't appear on the right side of any functional dependency, so they must be part of any candidate key.

(AC)+:

result = AC

1st while:

result = result ∪ BD (ABCD)

There is no change to result in the second while, so algorithm terminates.

 $(AC)^+$  = ABCD, so AC is a superkey. It is also minimal, so it is a candidate key.

R is not in 3NF, as in the nontrivial functional dependency  $A \rightarrow BD$ , A is not a superkey and the attributes in BD - A = BD are not part of any candidate key.

Lossless and dependency preserving decomposition:

 $F_c = \{ A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow D \}$ 

Set of decomposed relation: AB, CB, BD

None of the relations contains a candidate key, so add one more relation which contains the attributes of a candidate key: AB, CB, BD, AC

Decomposed relations: AB, BC, BD, AC