a) C1 = {x E1R^ (||x||2=1}

Counterexample: Consider X, = [], X2=[i] for n=2, X1, X2 ∈ C1.

Now, consider ×3 = \frac{1}{2} (x, +x2), a convex combination of x18x2.

11×3112= +++= + +1 => ×> € C1.

→ C, is not convex.

b) Cz= { x e | R1 | max; e \(\xi_1, \, n \} \xi \le 1 \}

Consider X, y E Cz, 5.t., maxie (1,...,n) X: <1 Now, consider == 2x+(1-2/4)
maxie (1,...,n) yisi for 26(0,1).

max; \(\{ \(\), \(\) \} \) = max; \(\{ \(\) \(\), \(\) \} \) \[
\(\text{max}; \estitus(1,-1) \) (\(\lambda \text{x}; \) + \(\text{max} \) \(\text{(1-2/y;)} \)
\[
\left\)
\[
\text{Max}; \estitus(1,-1) \]
\[
\text{(1-2/y;)} \]
\[
\text{Max}; \estitus(1,-1) \]
\[
\text{Max}; \text{

> = Zmaxies,,,,,) x: + (1-2) maxies,,,,) y; $\leq \lambda + (1-\lambda) = 1$

→ Maxiesi, n) Zi (1 => ZEC2 => C2 is convex.

c) C3= { x GR | mm; es 1, ,, n} x; <1}

Counterexample: Consider XI = [39], XZ = [39] for n= 2. XI, XZ & C3. Now consider $x_3 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)$, a convex combination of $x_1 & x_2$.

 $min_{i\in\{1,\dots,n\}} \times_{3,i} = 50 > 1 \Rightarrow \times_3 \notin C_3$ =) (3 is not convex.

d) C4= {x ∈ R2 | x, x2 >1}. Consider x, y ∈ C4. Let == 2x+(1-2) 1. for 2E (0.1). If all components of x is greater than y's, i.e. x by then Z=2x+(1-7)y/y => =, =z, y, yz>1. Some result can be obtained yax easily. However, if xxy, i.e., one element of x is lorger than y's element, and the other is smaller, then we cannot make a generalization easily.

Continued on next page

```
2=2x,+(1-2)y, === 2x2+(1-2)yz. Then,
       Z, Zz = (2x, + (1-2)y,)(2xz+(1-2)yz)
              = \lambda^2 x_1 x_2 + (1-\lambda)^2 y_1 y_2 + \lambda (1-\lambda) (x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1)
              = (\lambda - \lambda(1-\lambda)) \times_1 \times_2 + ((1-\lambda) - \lambda(1-\lambda)) y_1 y_2 + \lambda(1-\lambda) (x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1)
              = 2x,x2 + (1-2) y,y2 - 2(1-2) (x,x2+J,y2-x,y2-x2y,)
               = 2x1x2+ (1-2)4,42, -2(1-2)(4,-x1)(42-x2)
                                               one of them is so and other is to die to
                                                 × # y assumption.
              \geqslant \lambda x_1 x_2 + (1-\lambda) y_1 y_2
               > 2.1+ (1-2).1
               = 1 => ZiZz>1 for all cover
                           => Cuis convex.
QZ Using definitions, Yx, y EC ER 2E(0,1),
 f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda) f(y)
  g(2x+(1-2)y)<2g(x)+(1-2)g(y)
Let h(x)=f(x)+g(x). Then, Yx,y EC CR", ZE(0.1)
N(xx+(1-x)=)=f(xx+(1-x)=)+g(xx+(1-x)=)
                 < 2f(x)+(1-2)f(y)+g(2x+(1-2)y)
                 < 2f(x)+(1-2)f(y)+2g(x)+(1-2)g(y)
                 = 2(f(x) + g(x)) + (1-2)(f(y) + g(y))
                  = 2h(x)+ (1-2)h(y)
 => h=f+g is strictly convex over C CR1.
```

```
Q3 g: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}, g(x) = x^p, x \in \mathbb{R}_+, p > 1.
First, notice that \mathbb{R}_+ is an open convex set. We can apply second
                                  g(x) = x^{p}, g'(x) = px^{p-1}, g''(x) = p(p-1)x^{p-2}
         order conditions.
                   g"(x)>0, Yx ER+ => g is a convex function.
     Q4 f:\mathbb{R}^{n} \to \mathbb{R}, f(x) = \log(\hat{\Sigma}e^{x}). Consider x = \pm n, y = 2 \cdot \pm n, where \pm n = [\hat{\Sigma}]_{n}.
             f(x) = \log(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{ix}) = \log(ne) = \log(n) + \log(e) = \log(n) + 1
             f(y) = \log(\hat{\Sigma}e^2) = \log(ne^2) = \log(n) + \log(e^2) = \log(n) + 2
0:2f(x)+(1-2)f(y)=2\log(n)+2+(1-2)\log(n)+(1-2)2
\mathfrak{D}: f(2x + (1-2)y) = \log(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{(2+2(1-2))}) = \log(ne^{(2+2(1-2))})
                                                                                                                          = log(n) + log(e2+2(1-21))
                                                                                                                           = log(n) + 2+2(1-2)
     Since expressions O&O are equal to each other, f cannot be
a strictly convex function.
               Consider f(x)= 11x112, YxyeR, 2 E(Q),
                   f(2x+(1-2)y)=112x+(1-2)y112
                                                                        < 112×112+11(1-2)4112
                                                                         = 1110112+ (1-2) f(y) = f(z)=11x11z is convex
= 2f(x)+(1-2)f(y) = f(z)=11x11z is convex
                                                                        = 2112112+ (1-2) 114112
          Now, consider f(x)= ||x||24. Taking the fourth power is a non-decreasing
    function have because 11 \times 11_2 \ge 0. Hence, f(x) = 11 \times 11_2^{11} is also a convex function.

Proof: Let g be non-decreasing and convex. Then,
             3 (f(2x+(1-2)x)) < g(2f(x)+(1-2)f(x)) < 2g(f(x))+(1-2)g(f(y))
        Hence gof is also convex. Another solution is more direct:
                                                                                                                                    €(112x14 11(1-2)x112)4 >> 2€(0,1)
                                                                                                                                     =(\langle +(1-\lambda))\2112)4<\lambda \lambda \lambda
```

b)
$$f(x) = (2x_1^2 + 3x_2^2)(\frac{x_1^2}{2} + \frac{x_2^2}{3})$$
, $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$.
 $f(x) = x_1^4 + x_2^4 + \frac{13x_1^2x_2^2}{3}$
 $\nabla f(x) = \left(\frac{4x_1^3 + \frac{13x_1^2x_2}{3}}{4x_2^3 + \frac{13x_1^2x_2}{3}}\right)$
 $\nabla^2 f(x) = \left(\frac{12x_1^2 + \frac{13x_2^2}{3}}{4x_2^3 + \frac{13x_1^2}{3}}\right)$
Notice that leading determinants of $\nabla^2 f(x)$ are always non-negative; hence, by the second order condition, $f(x)$ is a convex function.
c) First, consider $g(x) = \int x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 20x_3^2 - x_1x_2 - 4x_2x_3 + 1$
 $g(x) = \int x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 20x_3^2 - \frac{1}{2}x_1x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_1x_2 - 2x_2x_3 - 2x_2x_3 + 1$
 $= \int x_1(x_1 - \frac{1}{2}x_2 + 0) + x_2(-\frac{1}{2}x_1 + x_2 - 2x_3) + x_3(0 - 2x_2 + 20x_3) + 1$
 $= \int x^7 A_x + 1$, where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & -2 & 20 \end{bmatrix}$ which is positive definite from leading determinants

c) First, consider
$$g(x) = \int x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 20x_3^2 - x_1x_2 - 4x_2x_3 + 1$$

 $g(x) = \int x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 20x_3^2 - \frac{1}{2}x_1x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_1x_2 - 2x_2x_3 - 2x_2x_3 + 1$
 $= \int x_1(x_1 - \frac{1}{2}x_2 + 0) + x_2(-\frac{1}{2}x_1 + x_2 - 2x_3) + x_3(0 - 2x_2 + 20x_3) + 1$
 $= \int x^T Ax + 1$, where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & -2 & 20 \end{bmatrix}$ definite from leading determinants.

Using Choleshy decomposition, A = BBT, where Bis a lower triangular matrix,

$$= \int x^T B B^T x + 1$$

$$y = B^T x$$

$$= \int y^T y + 1 = \int ||y||_2^2 + 1 = ||\hat{y}||_2, \text{ where } \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \int y^T y + 1 = \int ||y||_2^2 + 1 = ||\hat{y}||_2, \text{ where } \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \int x^T B B^T x + 1 = \int ||y||_2^2 + 1 = ||\hat{y}||_2, \text{ where } \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \int x^T B B^T x + 1 = \int ||y||_2^2 + 1 = ||\hat{y}||_2, \text{ where } \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \int x^T B B^T x + 1 = \int ||y||_2^2 + 1 = ||\hat{y}||_2, \text{ where } \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \int x^T B B^T x + 1 = \int ||y||_2^2 + 1 = ||\hat{y}||_2, \text{ where } \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \int x^T B B^T x + 1 = \int ||y||_2^2 + 1 = ||\hat{y}||_2, \text{ where } \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \int x^T B B^T x + 1 = \int ||y||_2^2 + 1 = ||\hat{y}||_2, \text{ where } \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \int x^T B B^T x + 1 = \int ||y||_2^2 + 1 = ||\hat{y}||_2, \text{ where } \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \int x^T B B^T x + 1 = \int ||y||_2^2 + 1 = ||\hat{y}||_2, \text{ where } \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \int x^T B B^T x + 1 = \int ||y||_2^2 + 1 = ||\hat{y}||_2, \text{ where } \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \int x^T B B^T x + 1 = \int ||y||_2^2 + 1 = ||y||_2, \text{ where } \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \int x^T B B^T x + 1 = \int ||y||_2^2 + 1 = ||y||_2^2$$

$$g(2x+(1-2)2) = ||2x+(1-2)2||_2$$

$$\leq ||2x||_2 + (1-2)2||_2$$

$$\leq ||2x||_2 + (1-2)||2||_2$$

$$= 2||3x||_2 + (1-2)||2||_2$$

$$\leq ||\lambda_{\infty}^{2}||_{L^{1}}||_{L^{1}}||_{L^{2}}$$

$$= ||\lambda_{\infty}^{2}||_{L^{2}} + (|-\lambda_{\infty}^{2}||_{L^{2}})||_{L^{2}}$$

$$= ||\lambda_{\infty}^{2}||_{L^{2}} + (|-\lambda_{\infty}^{2}||_{L^{2}})||_{L^{2}} \Rightarrow g(x) \text{ is a convex } function.$$

```
Second, consider h(x)= (x,2+x,2+x,+x,2+2)2
                h(x) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_1 + x_2 + 2)^2
                                           = \left( \left( x_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \left( x_2 + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{2} \right)^2
      Let \hat{X} = x + \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix} (because we are in \mathbb{R}^3)
= \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\chi}_1^2 + \hat{\chi}_2^2 + \frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix}^2
    Let \tilde{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x} \\ \tilde{y} \end{bmatrix}, A = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y} & \hat{y} & \hat{y} \\ \hat{y} & \hat{y} \end{bmatrix} (From previous parts, we know that this is come, \hat{x} = \hat{y} 
             Now, ZE(Q1), X, ZEIR3, h2(X)= 11AXIIZ
                 h2(2x+(1-2)y)= 112Ax+(1-2)Ag112
        Consider h(x)= 11A \ 2 11A \ 2 11/2+ (1-2) 11 A \ 3 11/2
                                                                                                    = 2h(x)+ (1-2)h(y)
              hz(x) = ||Ax||z is convex = ||h(x)=||Ax||z is also convex because taking fourth power is convex (shown in part (a)).
      Oltimately, f(x) = max(g(x), h(x)) is compared of two convex convex functions. We must show that maximum of two convex
       functions (5 also convex.

We know that for X, ZEIR3 & ZE(O,1)
                                     g(ス×+(1-2)タ) ミスタ(×)+(1-ス)タ(タ)
             => h(2x+(1-2)2) < 2 h(x) + (1-2)h(y)
flax+unay=max{ g(2x+(1-2)y), h(2x+(1-2)y)} {max} 2g(x)+(1-2)g(x)+(1-2)h(y)}
                                                                                                                                                                                   < max { 29(x) + 2h(x) + max (1-2)9(x) + (+2)h(y)}
                                                                                                                                                                                   = 2max { g(x), h(x)}+(1-2) man { g(z), h(y)}
                                                                                                                                                                                   = 2f(z) +(1-2)f(y)
     => It is a convex function => maximum of two convex functions is convex
```