Università degli Studi di Udine Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Informatiche e Fisiche Corso di Laurea in Tecnologie Web e Multimediali

### BACHELOR THESIS

# Design and implementation of a Language Server for the Jolie programming language

CANDIDATE

Eros Fabrici

SUPERVISOR

Prof. Marino Miculan

Institute Contacts
Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Informatiche e Fisiche
Università degli Studi di Udine
Via delle Scienze, 206
33100 Udine — Italia
+39 0432 558400
http://www.dimi.uniud.it/

Al mio cane, per avermi ascoltato mentre ripassavo le lezioni.

# **Acknowledgements**

Sed vel lorem a arcu faucibus aliquet eu semper tortor. Aliquam dolor lacus, semper vitae ligula sed, blandit iaculis leo. Nam pharetra lobortis leo nec auctor. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Fusce ac risus pulvinar, congue eros non, interdum metus. Mauris tincidunt neque et aliquam imperdiet. Aenean ac tellus id nibh pellentesque pulvinar ut eu lacus. Proin tempor facilisis tortor, et hendrerit purus commodo laoreet. Quisque sed augue id ligula consectetur adipiscing. Vestibulum libero metus, lacinia ac vestibulum eu, varius non arcu. Nam et gravida velit.

## **Abstract**

Nunc ac dignissim ipsum, quis pulvinar elit. Mauris congue nec leo ornare lobortis. Nulla hendrerit pretium diam nec lobortis. Nullam aliquam laoreet nisl, sit amet facilisis lectus accumsan ut. Duis et elit hendrerit metus venenatis condimentum. Integer id eros molestie, interdum leo sit amet, aliquet metus. Integer fermentum tristique magna, vel luctus neque rhoncus vel. Ut hendrerit et quam et semper. Mauris egestas, odio sed aliquet luctus, magna orci euismod odio, vitae lacinia tellus tellus non lectus. Aliquam urna neque, porta et mattis aliquam, congue sit amet lorem. In ultrices augue sit amet ante vehicula, vitae rhoncus turpis auctor. Donec porta scelerisque eros, at mollis enim imperdiet ut.

# **Contents**

Co	ontents	ix
1	Introduction	1
2	Jolie and the Language Server Protocol2.1Jolie: Java Orchestration Language Interpreter Engine2.1.1Language basics: behavior and deployment2.2Language Server Protocol	3 3 3
3	Analysis of the problem  3.1 Language Server Protocol features  3.2 Functional Requirements  3.3 Non-functional requirements  3.3.1 Complying with the Language Server Protocol specification  3.3.2 Distributed  3.3.3 Modular	5 5 6 6 7 7
4	Design of the system 4.1 Architecture	<b>9</b> 9 9
5	Implementation5.1 Choosing a language: Jolie (why)5.2 Implementation details5.2.1 Complying with LSP's JSON-RPC protocol	11 11 11 11
6	Validation6.1 Met requirements (screenshots)	13 13 13
7	Conclusions: review, what needs to be done	15
Ι	Appendici	17
$\mathbf{A}$	Altro capitolo	19
Bi	ibliography	23

# $\int$

# Introduction

# Jolie and the Language Server Protocol

### 2.1 Jolie: Java Orchestration Language Interpreter Engine

Service-Oriented Computing (SOC) is a design methodology that focuses on the composition of autonomous entities in a system, called services. SOC abstracts from the implementation details of services by imposing a standard communication mechanism between the entities in an SOA (Service-Oriented Architecture)[1]. From the perspective the aforementioned methodologies, it is possible to identify a separation between behavioral and architectural composition of services. The first denotes a series of interactions to be performed in order to reach a goal, while the second deals with the topological structure of a SOA, managing its execution and integration. The lack of an homogeneous solution between the behavioral and the architectural composition of services require ad-hoc interventions in order to integrate them, which will make the entire architecture less modular and difficult to maintain.

**Jolie** was created with the intention to fill the aforementioned gap. This language permits to define services, their behavioral composition, supporting different communication technologies, and their organization inside a SOA.

### 2.1.1 Language basics: behavior and deployment

A Jolie program defines a service, which is composed by two parts: the behavior and the deployment. The first defines the functionalities of the service, including primitives like communication and computation constructs. These does not define how the communication is supported, they abstractly refer to communication ports which are assumed to be correctly defined in the deployment part. For example, a behavioral primitive may express the action "ask the bank to show the actual balance", without knowing how to reach the "bank" service and which communication protocol it uses. These last two are handled by the deployment, which permits to define the location and the protocol of the bank service. The deployment permits the usage of architectural primitives for defining the architecture of a SOA. Following an example of Jolie program

### 2.2 Language Server Protocol

Implementing advanced features like auto-complete, hover information, diagnostics and go to definition for a programming language can take a significant effort. Typically, this work has to be repeated for every editor or IDE as each of them might offer different APIs for implementing the same feature. A Language Server is a software program that is intended to provide the aforementioned language-specific features and communicate with a development tool through a communication protocol.

The Language Server Protocol was developed by Microsoft to standardize the protocol for how such servers and IDEs communicate. Therefore, on one hand, the single Language Server can be reused for each development tool that implements the aforementioned protocol, on the other hand, the editor can support multiple languages with minimal effort.

### 2.2.1 How LSP works

A language server runs as a separate process and the development tool communicate with the server using JSON-RPC, a remote procedure protocol which uses JSON syntax as data-format.

Table 2.1: Headers

Header Field Name	Value Type	Description
Content-Length	number	The length of the content part in bytes. This header is
		required.
Content-Type	string	The mime type of the content part. Defaults to
		application/vscode-jsonrpc; charset=utf-8

The header part is encoded using the 'ascii' encoding. This includes the '

r

n' separating the header and content part.

The content part contains the actual content of the message

# Analysis of the problem

In this chapter I will identify the requirements, gathered an analysis of the Language Server Protocol and over a series of meetings with the supervisor.

### 3.1 Language Server Protocol features

LSP supports six key feature which are:

- 1. **Code completion**: feature that speeds up the process of coding applications by reducing typos and other common mistakes;
- 2. **Hover information**: feature that shows information, like the type signature, when the user moves the pointer over an element (such as a function definition);
- 3. **Jump to definition**: feature that shows the definition of a selected symbol;
- 4. Workspace symbols: displays all the symbols of the workspace, in order to help the user search for elements inside the workspace (such as classes, variables, methods, etc.);
- 5. Find references: given a symbol, this features lists all the project wide references;
- 6. Diagnostics: the tool (e.g. VSCode) flags syntax errors, warnings together with a description.

The protocol supports many other features that are all linked to the above listed. The requirements elicitation phase was based on these main ones.

### 3.2 Functional Requirements

Functional requirements are statements of services the system should provide, particularly how it should react to particular inputs [2]. From the features analyzed in the previous section, we extracted the following functional requirements, listed in ascending order of importance.

Functional r. No. Description FR 1 The server must provide information of eventual programming errors and warnings every time a document is opened/modified. FR 2 Every time the user starts typing an operation name, the server returns a list of possible completion items that consists of the full operation name and the output port. FR 3 Every time the user starts typing a reserved word, the server provides a list of possible completion items. FR 4 Every time a document is opened/modified/closed, the server saves/updates/deletes the information in his memory like the text, URI and a data structure containing all the information regarding the Jolie program (an abstract syntax tree of the behavior and data regarding the deployment). FR 5 The server provides the type signature to the client every time the latter sends an hover request. FR. 6 The server shows the operation definition every time it receives a definition. request FR 7 The server provides a list or hierarchy of symbols of a specific document requested by the client.  $\mathrm{FR}~8$ 

Table 3.1: Functional Requirements

#### 3.3 Non-functional requirements

FR9

Non-functional requirements are requirements that are not directly concerned with the specific services delivered by the system to its users. They may relate to emergent system properties such as reliability, response time, and store occupancy. Alternatively, they may define constraints on the system implementation such as the capabilities of I/O devices or the data representations used in interfaces with other systems [2].

Information regarding the workspace are sent to the client and updated every

time the client requests them (workspace symbols for example).

The server resolves project wide references of a given symbol.

Regarding the aforementioned non-functional requirements, the response time is critical for the project, as the server should be able to respond to the client as soon as possible. Nonetheless, this kind of calculations (such as code completion) are not computationally heavy.

Other non-functional requirements, are listed below.

#### 3.3.1 Complying with the Language Server Protocol specification

The Language Server Protocol defines a common language, between a tool and a language server. As a consequence, the latter must be able to recognize the different requests received from the client and send back proper responses that the client is able to interpret and compute.

Following an example: the request-response method initialize, that provides the server client's capa-

bilities and it expects a response with the server capabilities, has the following type:

### Request type

```
interface InitializeParams {
2
    processId: number | null;
3
    rootPath?: string | null;
    rootUri: DocumentUri | null;
4
    initializationOptions?: any;
5
    capabilities: ClientCapabilities;
6
    trace?: 'off' | 'messages' | 'verbose';
7
    workspaceFolders?: WorkspaceFolder[] | null;
8
9
  }
```

### Response type

```
interface InitializeResult {
   /**

* The capabilities the language server provides.

*/

capabilities: ServerCapabilities;

}
```

### JSON-RPC 2.0 Protocol

Regarding the communication protocol, LSP supports a custom version of the JSON-RPC 2.0 protocol, as mentioned in Chapter 2. A message is characterized by an header part, which can contain up to two headers (Content-Length, which is mandatory, and Content-Type) and a content part, with the actual message represented with the JSON-RPC notation.

Jolie supports JSON-RPC, but it runs over HTTP, therefore Jolie's JSON-RPC will expect HTTP headers before the Content-Length and Content-Type. As a consequence, Jolie's JSON-RPC protocol must be extended in order to make it compatible LSP messages.

### 3.3.2 Distributed

The server must be adapted to the LSP, in order to make it works with different tools that uses the protocol concerned. Therefore, it must be **editor-agnostic** and it should be designed and implemented in order to be deployed both locally and in a different machine with respect to the clients, so it can interact with different tools at the same time. Consequently the best communication method to use in this case is the socket.

### 3.3.3 Modular

Not every language server can support all features defined by the protocol. LSP therefore provides, the previous mentioned, **capabilities**. A capability groups a set of language features. A development tool and the language server announce their supported features using capabilities. For instance, the server announces that it can handle the textDocument/hover request, but it might not support the

textDocument/references request. Similarly, a development tool announces its ability to provide textDocument/didChange notification when a document is modified, so that a server can compute textual edits to format the edited document.

On account of this, the server must be designed in order to easily add new capabilities or improve the existing ones. After implementing a new feature, the programmer just need to modify the server capabilities thus the client can start sending requests regarding the newly activated capability.

Table 3.2: Non-Functional Requirements

Non-functional r. No.	Description
NFR 1	The server must support the LSP's JSON-RPC protocol.
NFR 2	The server must be designed to be modular.
NFR 3	The server must be able to respond to a client request as soon as possible.
NFR 4	The server must work both when deployed in a different machine and
	when deployed in a local machine, with respect of the client location. As
	a consequence, it has to support the socket channel of communication.
NFR 5	The server if distributed, must be able to handle more clients sending
	multiple requests at the same time.

# Design of the system

- 4.1 Architecture
- 4.1.1 Modules, interfaces, communication
- 4.2 What needs to be implemented

# **Implementation**

- 5.1 Choosing a language: Jolie (why)
- 5.2 Implementation details
- 5.2.1 Complying with LSP's JSON-RPC protocol

The JSON-RPC 2.0 is a remote procedure call protocol that uses the JSON, a lightweight data-interchange format which is language independent. As stated in Chapter 2, an LSP message supports only two headers (*Content-Lenghth* and *Content-Type*), while the Jolie protocol runs over HTTP. This incompatibility led to a complex rework on the aforementioned Jolie protocol in order to meet the FR 1

Further details can be read in Chapter 5.

# **V**alidation

- 6.1 Met requirements (screenshots)
- 6.2 Unmet requirements

Conclusions: review, what needs to be done

**Appendici** 

# A

# Altro capitolo

# Summary

# **Bibliography**

- [1] Fabrizio Montesi, Claudio Guidi, and Gianluigi Zavattaro. Service-oriented programming with jolie. page 1.
- [2] Ian Sommerville. Software Engineering. Pearson, 2011.