



AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical  
Framework

Current Status

Primary  
Generator

Emerging  
Neutrons

Model  
Comparison  
Conclusion

## Simulation of a $^{241}\text{Am} - {}^9\text{Be}$ neutron source using Geant4

**Filippo Falezza, J. Bishop, Tz. Kokalova, C. Wheldon, S. Pirrie, M. Conroy,  
N. Curtis**  
**University of Birmingham, UK**

26<sup>th</sup> November 2025 - Neutron User Club @ NPL



# $^{241}\text{Am}$ - $^9\text{Be}$ Neutron source

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical  
Framework

Current Status

Primary  
Generator

Emerging  
Neutrons

Model  
Comparison  
Conclusion

WHY DO WE NEED THIS??? HOW DOES THIS CONTRIBUTION MAKE NEUTRON USERS LIFE BETTER AND EASIER??? Long half life and stable flux over a 10 - 15 year working life

Plethora of uses:

- Metrology
- Education environment
- Neutron Activation Analysis for identification of unknown materials
- Calibration (dosimeters and detectors)
- Industrial (e.g. well logging via  $^1\text{H}(n,\gamma)^2\text{H}$ )

No accurate simulation from first principles



# Reaction of Interest

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical Framework

Current Status

Primary Generator

Emerging Neutrons

Model Comparison

Conclusion


Mixture of  $\text{AmO}_2$  and  $^9\text{Be}$  powder. >99%  $^{241}\text{Am}$

Stainless-steel casing


$^{241}\text{Am } \alpha$  emission:

Energy (keV)	Intensity (%)
5388	1.66
5442.80	13.1
5485.56	84.8
5511.5	0.225
5544.5	0.37

Fast Neutron reaction: Q value: 5.702 MeV



$^{12}\text{C}$  can be either in ground, 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> (Hoyle) excited depending on incoming energy



Source drawing, AmBe mixture (red) encased in steel [Raims Ltd]



# Reactions of interest

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical Framework


Current Status

Primary Generator

Emerging Neutrons

Model Comparison

Conclusion





# Fast reaction

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza


Theoretical Framework

Current Status


Primary Generator

Emerging Neutrons

Model Comparison  
Conclusion



AmBe neutron distribution per  $^{12}\text{C}$  state [Geiger-Van Der Zwan 1975]



$^{12}\text{C}$  states - ground, 1<sup>st</sup>,  
2<sup>nd</sup> [NNDC]



# Current status

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical Framework

Current Status


Primary Generator

Emerging Neutrons

Model Comparison

Conclusion

- Geant4 has built in example in extended/hadronic/NeutronSource
- Simulates  $^{241}\text{Am}$   $\alpha$ -decay
- Lacks differential cross sections and crucial features



Geant4 extended/hadronic/NeutronSource example



# Implementation

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical Framework

Current Status

Primary Generator

Emerging Neutrons

Model Comparison

Conclusion

Aim: make the simulation as accurate as possible while reducing inefficiencies

- Simulate  $n$  and  $^{12}\text{C}$  directly
  - High activity sources  $\Rightarrow 2.27 \times 10^6$  fast neutrons/s/Ci
  - Simulate one fast neutron per event vs one neutron every  $\approx 17000$  events using  $\alpha$  decay method
- Rejection sampling techniques
- Integrated and differential cross section from 1970 and 1975 Geiger and Van Der Zwan for  ${}^9\text{Be}(\alpha, n){}^{12}\text{C}$



# Differential cross-section contribution

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza


Theoretical Framework

Current Status


Primary Generator

Emerging Neutrons

Model Comparison  
Conclusion



Initial neutrons: without differential cross-sections model



Initial neutrons: with differential cross-sections model



# Disadvantages

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical Framework  
Current Status


Primary Generator

Emerging Neutrons

Model Comparison  
Conclusion

Differential and Integrated cross section of beryllium-9 break-up not available

- ${}^9\text{Be}(\alpha, \alpha')$  scattering
- ${}^9\text{Be}^*$  angular decay information
- Other break-up channels more suppressed at interaction energy (< 5 MeV)  
e.g.  ${}^9\text{Be}^* \rightarrow \alpha + {}^5\text{He}$





# Simulation Results - Emerging Neutrons

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical Framework

Current Status


Primary Generator

Emerging Neutrons

Model Comparison

Conclusion

Neutrons emerging from source casing (simulation)





# Simulation Results - Fission and Break-up Neutrons

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical Framework

Current Status


Primary Generator

Emerging Neutrons

Model Comparison

Conclusion

Fission and break-up neutrons emerging from the source material





# Simulation Results - AmBe carbon $\gamma$

AmBeSim


Filippo Falezza

Theoretical Framework  
Current Status

Primary Generator

Emerging Neutrons

Model Comparison  
Conclusion





# Model comparison

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical Framework

Current Status


Primary Generator

Emerging Neutrons

Model Comparison

Conclusion

## Comparison with AmBe standards





# Comparison with Geant4 NeutronSource example

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza


Theoretical Framework  
Current Status

Primary Generator

Emerging Neutrons

Model Comparison

Conclusion





# Conclusion

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical  
Framework

Current Status

Primary  
Generator

Emerging  
Neutrons

Model  
Comparison  
Conclusion

- Validated AmBe neutron spectrum in Geant4
- Correctly reproduced AmBe signature peaks
- Implemented 1970 and 1975 Geiger-Van Der Zwan Cross sections (not otherwise present in Geant)
- Faster execution than full  $^{241}\text{Am}$   $\alpha$ -decay chain recreation
- Useful for analysis of flux and neutron moderation in various media
- Future analysis of neutron moderation in water bath

Thank you for listening



AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza


## Backup Slides



# Primary Generator flowchart

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza






# Azimuthal emission

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza





# Investigation of water bath

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

- Source neutron spectrum is known
- Source is at centre of 1 m tall, 1 m diameter water tank. The moderation profile is unknown



# Two group model

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza


Does it actually agree with the two-group neutron moderation model?

Two group model:

$$\Phi_T = \frac{SL_T^2}{4\pi r D(L_T^2 - \tau_T)} (e^{-r/L_T} - e^{-r/\sqrt{\tau_T}})$$

describes thermal neutron diffusion and fast to thermal neutron moderation.

- $\tau_T \rightarrow$  (Fast) neutron age
- $L_t \rightarrow$  Thermal diffusion length



Neutron moderation  $L^2 = \frac{1}{6}r^2$   
[Lamarsh-Baratta 2001]



# Equivalent Dose - Preliminary

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Calculated dose for outgoing  $\gamma$  and neutrons from the water bath and verified against experimental

Sampling over 0.2 s spectrum

Particle	Experimental [ $\mu\text{Sv}/\text{h}$ ]	Simulated [ $\mu\text{Sv}/\text{h}$ ]
$\gamma$	1.54	8.05
n	0.8	1.68

Notes:

- Neutrons measured with Nuclear Enterprises NM-2 dose monitor ( $BF_3$ )
- Gammas measured with dose monitor calibrated in the 59-1332 keV range