



AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical
Framework

Current Status

Primary
Generator

Emerging
Neutrons

Model
Comparison
Conclusion

Simulation of a $^{241}\text{Am} - ^9\text{Be}$ neutron source using Geant4

Filippo Falezza, J. Bishop, Tz. Kokalova, C. Wheldon, S. Pirrie, M. Conroy,
N. Curtis
University of Birmingham, UK

26th November 2025 - Neutron User Club @ NPL



^{241}Am - ^9Be Neutron source

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical
Framework

Current Status

Primary
Generator

Emerging
Neutrons

Model
Comparison

Conclusion

WHY DO WE NEED THIS??? HOW DOES THIS CONTRIBUTION MAKE NEUTRON USERS LIFE BETTER AND EASIER??? Long half life and stable flux over a 10 - 15 year working life

Plethora of uses:

- Metrology
- Education environment
- Neutron Activation Analysis for identification of unknown materials
- Calibration (dosimeters and detectors)
- Industrial (e.g. well logging via $^1\text{H}(n,\gamma)^2\text{H}$)

No accurate simulation from first principles



Reaction of Interest

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical
Framework

Current Status

Primary
Generator

Emerging
Neutrons

Model
Comparison
Conclusion


Mixture of AmO_2 and ^9Be powder. $> 99\%$ ^{241}Am

Stainless-steel casing


^{241}Am α emission:

Energy (keV)	Intensity (%)
5388	1.66
5442.80	13.1
5485.56	84.8
5511.5	0.225
5544.5	0.37

Fast Neutron reaction: Q value: 5.702 MeV



^{12}C can be either in ground, 1st, 2nd (Hoyle) excited depending on incoming energy



Source drawing, AmBe mixture (red) encased in steel [Raims Ltd]



Reactions of interest

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza


Theoretical
Framework

Current Status

Primary
Generator

Emerging
Neutrons

Model
Comparison
Conclusion





Fast reaction

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza


Theoretical
Framework

Current Status


Primary
Generator

Emerging
Neutrons

Model
Comparison
Conclusion



AmBe neutron distribution per ^{12}C state [Geiger-Van Der Zwan 1975]



^{12}C

^{12}C states - ground, 1st,
2nd [NNDC]



Current status

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical
Framework


Current Status

Primary
Generator

Emerging
Neutrons

Model
Comparison
Conclusion

- Geant4 has built in example in extended/hadronic/NeutronSource
- Simulates ^{241}Am α -decay
- Lacks differential cross sections and crucial features



Geant4 extended/hadronic/NeutronSource example



Implementation

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical
Framework

Current Status

Primary
Generator

Emerging
Neutrons

Model
Comparison

Conclusion

Aim: make the simulation as accurate as possible while reducing inefficiencies

- Simulate n and ^{12}C directly
High activity sources $\Rightarrow 2.27 \times 10^6$ fast neutrons/s/Ci
Simulate one fast neutron per event vs one neutron every ≈ 17000 events using α decay method
- Rejection sampling techniques
- Integrated and differential cross section from 1970 and 1975 Geiger and Van Der Zwan for $^9\text{Be}(\alpha, n)^{12}\text{C}$



Differential cross-section contribution

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza


Theoretical
Framework

Current Status


Primary
Generator

Emerging
Neutrons

Model
Comparison
Conclusion



Initial neutrons: without differential
cross-sections model




Initial neutrons: with differential
cross-sections model



Disadvantages

Differential and Integrated cross section of beryllium-9 break-up not available

- ${}^9\text{Be}(\alpha, \alpha')$ scattering
- ${}^9\text{Be}^*$ angular decay information
- Other break-up channels more suppressed at interaction energy (< 5 MeV)
e.g. ${}^9\text{Be}^* \rightarrow \alpha + {}^5\text{He}$





Simulation Results - Emerging Neutrons

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical
Framework

Current Status


Primary
Generator

**Emerging
Neutrons**

Model
Comparison

Conclusion

Neutrons emerging from source casing (simulation)





Simulation Results - Fission and Break-up Neutrons

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical
Framework


Current Status

Primary
Generator

Emerging
Neutrons

Model
Comparison
Conclusion

Fission and break-up neutrons emerging from the source material





Simulation Results - AmBe carbon γ

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical
Framework


Current Status

Primary
Generator

Emerging
Neutrons

Model
Comparison

Conclusion





Model comparison

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical
Framework

Current Status


Primary
Generator

Emerging
Neutrons

Model
Comparison

Conclusion

Comparison with AmBe standards





Comparison with Geant4 NeutronSource example

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical
Framework


Current Status

Primary
Generator

Emerging
Neutrons

Model
Comparison

Conclusion





Conclusion

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Theoretical
Framework

Current Status

Primary
Generator

Emerging
Neutrons

Model
Comparison

Conclusion

- Validated AmBe neutron spectrum in Geant4
- Correctly reproduced AmBe signature peaks
- Implemented 1970 and 1975 Geiger-Van Der Zwan Cross sections (not otherwise present in Geant)
- Faster execution than full ^{241}Am α -decay chain recreation
- Useful for analysis of flux and neutron moderation in various media
- Future analysis of neutron moderation in water bath

Thank you for listening



AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza


Backup Slides



Primary Generator flowchart

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza






Azimuthal emission

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza





Investigation of water bath

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

- Source neutron spectrum is known
- Source is at centre of 1 m tall, 1 m diameter water tank. The moderation profile is unknown



Two group model

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza


Does it actually agree with the two-group neutron moderation model?

Two group model:

$$\Phi_T = \frac{SL_T^2}{4\pi r \bar{D}(L_T^2 - \tau_T)} (e^{-r/L_T} - e^{-r/\sqrt{\tau_T}})$$

describes thermal neutron diffusion and fast to thermal neutron moderation.

- $\tau_T \rightarrow$ (Fast) neutron age
- $L_t \rightarrow$ Thermal diffusion length



Neutron moderation $L^2 = \frac{1}{6} \tau_T$
[Lamarsh-Baratta 2001]



Equivalent Dose - Preliminary

AmBeSim

Filippo Falezza

Calculated dose for outgoing γ and neutrons from the water bath and verified against experimental

Sampling over 0.2 s spectrum

Particle	Experimental [$\mu\text{Sv/h}$]	Simulated [$\mu\text{Sv/h}$]
γ	1.54	8.05
n	0.8	1.68

Notes:

- Neutrons measured with Nuclear Enterprises NM-2 dose monitor (BF_3)
- Gammas measured with dose monitor calibrated in the 59-1332 keV range