

# J Montana: Temporal Currency on Asymptotic Trust Consensus

Alejandro Montana  
[alejandromontana@tutamail.com](mailto:alejandromontana@tutamail.com)  
December 31, 2025

## Abstract.

*A peer-to-peer quantum-resistant electronic cash system where one token equals one second of time. J Montana (\$MONT) is built on Asymptotic Trust Consensus (ATC)-a three-layer architecture achieving trustlessness asymptotically: Layer 0 observes physical time from global atomic clocks (zero cryptographic trust), Layer 1 proves temporal presence through Verifiable Delay Functions (diminishing trust), Layer 2 anchors finalization to Bitcoin (exponentially decreasing trust). Unlike traditional cryptocurrencies, Montana enables participation without running infrastructure. A Telegram bot-inspired by “Hamster Kombat” mechanics but with Bitcoin’s economic fundamentals-allows anyone to validate time and earn block rewards. Block rewards are distributed through a three-tier lottery: 70% probability for full nodes, 20% for bot validators, 10% for bot users. Post-quantum cryptography (SPHINCS+, SHA3-256, ML-KEM-768) ensures long-term security. Privacy tiers (T0-T3) offer configurable anonymity. Fair launch: no pre-mine, no founder allocation. Total supply: 1,260,000,000 J (21 million minutes). Time is the only truly fair currency-everyone receives exactly 86,400 seconds per day.*

## 1. Introduction

The cypherpunk movement envisioned cryptographic systems that shift power from institutions to individuals. Bitcoin [1] delivered a monetary system without central authority. But Bitcoin’s consensus mechanism contains a flaw that becomes more apparent with time: influence scales with capital.

Proof of Work requires specialized hardware. A participant with capital purchases ASICs and controls hashrate proportional to investment. Proof of Stake makes this explicit-stake coins, receive influence. Both systems work. Both systems concentrate power.

True decentralization requires a resource that cannot be accumulated, purchased, or transferred.

## 2. Time as Currency

ℳ Montana introduces a radical concept: one token equals one second.

1 ℳ = 1 second

60 ℳ = 1 minute

3,600 ℳ = 1 hour

86,400 ℳ = 1 day

The total supply of 1,260,000,000 ℳ represents 21 million minutes-a deliberate parallel to Bitcoin's 21 million coin cap. But unlike Bitcoin measured in abstract units, Montana is measured in the most universal unit: time itself.

### The Plutocracy Problem

All existing consensus mechanisms suffer from the same fundamental weakness: resource dependence creates plutocratic capture.

Proof of Work

Hash rate is purchasable. Today's Bitcoin mining is dominated by industrial operations with access to cheap electricity and ASIC manufacturing. Individual miners are economically irrational.

Proof of Stake

The problem is structural. Stake more coins, receive more rewards, stake even more. This positive feedback loop ensures wealth concentration over time.

The Solution

Build consensus on resources that cannot be unequally distributed:

Time passes for everyone at the same rate. This is physics.

Participation can be made accessible through proper interface design.

Montana combines both: time-based consensus with Telegram-based accessibility.

## 3. Asymptotic Trust Consensus

ATC is a three-layer architecture where trust requirements approach zero asymptotically.

### Layer 0: Physical Time (Zero Trust)

The foundation layer makes no cryptographic claims. It observes a physical phenomenon: time reported by national metrology laboratories operating atomic clocks.

34 atomic sources across 8 regions:

Europe (8): PTB, NPL, LNE-SYRTE, METAS, INRIM, VSL, ROA, GUM

Asia (7): NICT, NIM, KRISS, NPLI, VNIIIFTRI, TL, INPL

North America (4): NIST, USNO, NRC, CENAM

South America (3): INMETRO, INTI, INN

Africa (3): NMISA, NIS, KEBS

Oceania (3): NMI, MSL, NMC

Antarctica (3): McMurdo, Amundsen-Scott, Concordia

Arctic (3): Ny-Alesund, Thule, Alert

These laboratories maintain the international definition of the second through cesium-133 atomic transitions [2]. Querying them requires no cryptographic verification-it observes physical reality.

Consensus requirement: >50% sources (18/34) must agree, with minimum 2 per inhabited continent.

Trust at Layer 0:  $T_0 = 0$  (no cryptographic proof required)

## **Layer 1: Temporal Proof (Diminishing Trust)**

Layer 1 establishes proof that a participant has dedicated real time to the network through Verifiable Delay Functions (VDFs) [3].

A VDF based on iterated SHAKE256 hashing provides: (1) sequential computation enforced by hash chaining, (2) efficient verification through STARK proofs [4], (3) quantum resistance through hash-based construction.

Score function:

$$Score(n) = \sqrt{n} / (heartbeats)$$

The square root ensures diminishing returns-doubling your score requires quadrupling your heartbeats. This makes Sybil attacks economically irrational:

1 identity with time T → Score =  $\sqrt{T}$  → 100% efficiency

100 identities with T/100 each → Score =  $10\sqrt{T}$  → 10% efficiency

10,000 identities with T/10,000 each → Score =  $100\sqrt{T}$  → 1% efficiency

Trust at Layer 1:  $T_1(c) = 1/\sqrt{c}$  where c is heartbeat count

## **Layer 2: Bitcoin Anchor (Exponential Trust Reduction)**

Layer 2 provides absolute finalization by anchoring state to the Bitcoin blockchain. Bitcoin's accumulated proof of work over 16 years represents the most secure timestamping mechanism humanity has created [5].

The halving cycle (210,000 blocks, approximately 4 years) provides natural epoch boundaries. Montana inherits these epochs directly.

Trust at Layer 2:  $T_2(c) = 2^{-(c)}$  where c is Bitcoin confirmations

## **4. Asymptotic Trust Formula**

Combined trust approaches zero:

$$T_{total} = T_0 \times T_1 \times T_2 = 0 \times (1/\sqrt{c_1}) \times 2^{-(c_2)} \rightarrow 0$$

## **5. Montana Telegram Bot**

### **Design Philosophy**

The Montana bot combines:

Hamster Kombat mechanics: Simple, engaging, gamified participation

Bitcoin economics: Real scarcity, halving schedule, fair distribution

### **Time Verification Game**

At user-selected intervals (1 minute to 1 day), the bot asks:

*“What time is it on your clock, Chico?”*

- Tony Montana

Five options appear in random order:

Correct time (from device)

Correct time + 1 minute

Correct time + 2 minutes

Correct time - 1 minute

Correct time - 2 minutes

Correct answers contribute to validation. Incorrect answers or timeouts reduce participation score.

## Validation Frequency Tiers

Tier 0 (Full Node): Continuous heartbeat stream

Tier 1 (Bot Validator): Frequency depends on user activity in their bot

Tier 2 (Bot User): User-selected interval from 1 minute to 1 day

Trade-off: Higher frequency = more validation opportunities = higher reward probability, but requires more attention.

## Bot Validator (Tier 1)

Users can run their own Montana bot instance, becoming validators. Their validation frequency depends on their users' activity-more active users mean more validation opportunities. This creates a network effect: successful bot operators attract users, increasing their validation frequency and reward probability.

## 6. Transaction Ordering and Block Rewards

**Two-Layer Timing** Montana separates transaction ordering from block rewards:

- VDF Checkpoint: Every 1 second — soft finality for transactions
- Block Interval: Every 10 minutes — reward distribution, UTC-aligned

Blocks align to UTC from genesis timestamp 00:00:00. Block N starts at

GENESIS\_TIMESTAMP + (N × 600 seconds). **6.2 Transaction Flow** Transactions are instant with millisecond timestamps:

- User submits transaction with timestamp\_ms from atomic time
- Transaction enters mempool, propagates to network
- VDF checkpoint every 1 second provides ordering
- DAG-PHANTOM determines deterministic order across nodes
- Bitcoin anchor every ~10 minutes provides hard finality

No TPS ceiling — network processes transactions as they arrive.

**Block Production** Montana uses DAG-PHANTOM [6] ordering without leader selection:

- Any eligible node can produce blocks
- ECVRF determines eligibility (not selection)
- Blocks reference multiple parents (DAG structure)
- PHANTOM algorithm orders blocks deterministically

Eligibility requirement: Minimum heartbeats in current epoch + recent activity.

One winner takes all (like Bitcoin) — block reward plus fees go to a single participant. Winner selection uses hash-based lottery with three-tier weights:

- Tier 0 (Node server + heartbeat): 70% probability
- Tier 1 (TG bot validator): 20% probability
- Tier 2 (TG bot user): 10% probability

Selection process:

1. Block hash seeds the VRF
2. VRF selects tier (0/1/2) based on weights
3. Within selected tier, winner chosen by score-weighted lottery
4. Winner receives entire block reward + accumulated fees

**Reward Schedule** Era 1: Block reward 3,000 J (50 minutes) → Cumulative 630,000,000 J

Era 2: Block reward 1,500 J (25 minutes) → Cumulative 945,000,000 J

Era 3: Block reward 750 J (12.5 minutes) → Cumulative 1,102,500,000 J

Era 4: Block reward 375 J (6.25 minutes) → Cumulative 1,181,250,000 J

... Era 33: Block reward 0 J → Total 1,260,000,000 J

## 7. Privacy Tiers

Montana implements four privacy tiers with corresponding fee multipliers.

### 1. Tier Overview

T0 (Transparent): Nothing hidden, 1× fee, no cryptography

T1 (Hidden Receiver): Receiver hidden, 2× fee, Stealth Addresses (ECDH)

T2 (Hidden Amount): Receiver + amount hidden, 5× fee, + Pedersen Commitments

T3 (Fully Private): Everything hidden, 10× fee, + Ring Signatures (LSAG)

### T0: Transparent

All transaction details visible on-chain. Suitable for public payments, merchant transactions, regulatory compliance.

### T1: Hidden Receiver

Stealth Addresses [7] using ECDH key agreement:

Sender generates random  $r$ , computes  $R = r*G$

Shared secret:  $s = H(r * \text{recipient\_view\_public})$

One-time address:  $P = s*G + \text{recipient\_spend\_public}$

Only recipient can detect and spend (using view key)

### T2: Hidden Receiver + Amount

Adds Pedersen Commitments:

$$C = vH + rG$$

Where  $v$  = transaction value,  $r$  = blinding factor,  $H$  = second generator (nothing-up-my-sleeve),  $G$  = base point.

Validators verify:  $\Sigma(\text{inputs}) = \Sigma(\text{outputs}) + \text{fee}$ , without knowing actual amounts.

### T3: Fully Private

Adds Linkable Spontaneous Anonymous Group (LSAG) ring signatures:

Ring =  $[P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n]$  including real sender

Signature proves: “One of these keys signed” without revealing which

Key image  $I = x * H_p(P)$  prevents double-spending while maintaining anonymity

Default ring size: 11 members.

## 8. Tokenomics

Token Identity

Name: ⚡ Montana

Ticker: \$MONT

Unit: Seconds

Symbol: ⚡

Supply Parameters

Total Supply: 1,260,000,000 ⚡

In Minutes: 21,000,000 minutes

Initial Reward: 3,000 ⚡ (50 minutes)

Halving Interval: 210,000 blocks

Total Blocks: 6,930,000

Total Eras: 33

Fair Launch

PRE\_MINE = 0

FOUNDER\_ALLOCATION = 0

ICO\_ALLOCATION = 0

All tokens come from block rewards only. No exceptions.

Block Reward Formula

$$reward(height) = 3000 >> (height / 210000)$$

Bit shift equals divide by  $2^{^{\text{halvings}}}$ . After 33 halvings, reward becomes 0.

## 9. Transaction Fees

Free Tier

Most users never pay fees:

1 transaction per second - free

10 transactions per 10 minutes - free

Anti-Spam Proof of Work

Beyond free tier, exponential proof of work required:

Base difficulty: 16 bits (~65ms computation)

Excess penalty: +2 bits per excess transaction

Burst penalty: +4 bits per same-second transaction

Maximum difficulty: 32 bits (~18 hours)

Formula:

$$difficulty\_bits = base + (excess\_tx \times 2) + (burst\_tx \times 4)$$

## Fee Distribution

All fees go to block reward winner (like Bitcoin). No burning, no redistribution.

## 10. Post-Quantum Cryptography

The protocol is designed for quantum resistance from inception. All cryptographic primitives are selected from NIST post-quantum standards [8][9][10]:

Signatures: SPHINCS+-SHAKE-128f (FIPS 205)

Hashing: SHA3-256, SHAKE256 (FIPS 202)

Key Exchange: ML-KEM-768 (FIPS 203)

VDF Proofs: STARK (hash-based, transparent)

Grover's algorithm reduces hash security by half; 256-bit hashes maintain 128-bit post-quantum security. Shor's algorithm breaks elliptic curve cryptography entirely; SPHINCS+ and ML-KEM are immune.

Key and Signature Sizes:

SPHINCS+ Public Key: 32 bytes

SPHINCS+ Secret Key: 64 bytes

SPHINCS+ Signature: 17,088 bytes

SHA3-256 Hash: 32 bytes

Conservative estimates suggest cryptographically relevant quantum computers by 2030-2040.

Montana is designed for multi-decade operation-post-quantum security is not optional.

## 11. Governance

Montana follows the Bitcoin model: rough consensus and running code.

No on-chain voting. No governance tokens. No foundation control.

Montana Improvement Proposals (MIP)

Changes follow a structured process:

MIP Draft - Author publishes proposal

Discussion - Community review (GitHub, forums)

Implementation - Code written and tested

Signaling - Nodes signal support via heartbeat version bits

Activation - If threshold reached within window

### **Activation Parameters**

Soft fork threshold: 95% score-weighted

Activation window: 2016 blocks (~2 weeks in BTC blocks)

Timeout: 2 epochs (~8 years)

### **Fork Policy**

Tokenomics changes: Hard fork, 95% threshold + extreme caution

Consensus changes: Hard fork, 95% threshold

Cryptography changes: Hard fork, 95% threshold

New transaction types: Soft fork, 75% threshold

Validation rule changes: Soft fork, 75% threshold

Emergency fixes: Hard fork, immediate if critical

Hard forks are avoided. The chain split risk is not worth governance flexibility.

## **12. Attack Resistance**

### **Attack Vector Matrix**

51% Attack: VERY HARD - Score =  $\sqrt{\text{heartbeats}}$ , diminishing returns

Sybil Attack: VERY HARD - N identities =  $N \times \text{time cost}$ ,  $1/\sqrt{N}$  efficiency

Time Manipulation: IMPOSSIBLE - 34 atomic sources, >50% consensus

Bot Automation: HARD - Time variance, CAPTCHA escalation

Quantum Attack: IMPOSSIBLE - SPHINCS+, SHA3, SHAKE256

Spam Attack: EXPENSIVE - Exponential PoW for excess transactions

## **13. Conclusion**

J Montana represents a new paradigm in cryptocurrency design:

Time as currency - 1 J = 1 second, universally understood

Accessible participation - Telegram bot enables anyone to participate

Fair distribution - No pre-mine, three-tier reward system

Asymptotic trust - Trust approaches zero across three layers

Post-quantum security - Built for multi-decade operation

Configurable privacy - T0 through T3 based on user needs

The fundamental insight is that time is the only resource distributed equally to all humans. A billionaire and a student both receive exactly 86,400 seconds per day. By building consensus on time rather than capital, Montana achieves true decentralization.

*“All Sybil identities are equal in time.”*

## References:

1. S. Nakamoto, “Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System,” October 31, 2008. <https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf>
2. Bureau International des Poids et Mesures, “SI Brochure: The International System of Units (SI), 9th edition,” Definition of the Second, 2019. <https://www.bipm.org/en/si-base-units/second>
3. D. Boneh, J. Bonneau, B. Bünz, and B. Fisch, “Verifiable Delay Functions,” Annual International Cryptology Conference, August 2018. <https://eprint.iacr.org/2018/601.pdf>
4. E. Ben-Sasson, I. Bentov, Y. Horesh, and M. Riabzev, “Scalable, transparent, and post-quantum secure computational integrity,” IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive, March 6, 2018. <https://eprint.iacr.org/2018/046.pdf>
5. J. Garay, A. Kiayias, and N. Leonardos, “The Bitcoin Backbone Protocol: Analysis and Applications,” EUROCRYPT 2015, April 2015. <https://eprint.iacr.org/2014/765.pdf>
6. Y. Sompolinsky and A. Zohar, “PHANTOM: A Scalable BlockDAG Protocol,” IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive, 2018. <https://eprint.iacr.org/2018/104.pdf>
7. N. van Saberhagen, “CryptoNote v2.0,” October 17, 2013. <https://cryptonote.org/whitepaper.pdf>
8. National Institute of Standards and Technology, “Stateless Hash-Based Digital Signature Standard,” FIPS PUB 205, August 13, 2024. <https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/fips/205/final>
9. National Institute of Standards and Technology, “SHA-3 Standard: Permutation-Based Hash and Extendable-Output Functions,” FIPS PUB 202, August 4, 2015. <https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/fips/202/final>
10. National Institute of Standards and Technology, “Module-Lattice-Based Key-Encapsulation Mechanism Standard,” FIPS PUB 203, August 13, 2024. <https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/fips/203/final>
10. H. Finney, “RPOW - Reusable Proofs of Work,” August 15, 2004. <https://nakamotoinstitute.org/finney/rpow/>