

# **É^ Montana: A Peer-to-Peer Quantum-Resistant Temporal Currency**

**Version 3.1 Alejandro Montana alejandromontana@tutamail.com December 2025**

---

## **Abstract**

A purely peer-to-peer electronic cash system would allow online payments without relying on financial institutions. Existing cryptocurrency solutionsâ€”Proof of Work and Proof of Stakeâ€”scale influence through purchasable resources, inevitably concentrating power in the hands of capital owners. We propose É^ Montana (\$MONT), a currency built on Proof of Time consensus where influence accumulates through time presence rather than resource expenditure. The network timestamps blocks through sequential computation that cannot be parallelized or accelerated. Nodes compete for 21 million minutes of temporal asset distributed over 131 years.

**Version 3.0 additions:** Post-quantum cryptographic primitives following NIST standards: - SPHINCS+ signatures (NIST FIPS 205) â€” hash-based, quantum-resistant - SHA3-256 hashing (NIST FIPS 202) â€” Keccak, quantum-safe - SHAKE256 VDF with STARK proofs â€” O(log T) verification, no trusted setup - ML-KEM key encapsulation (NIST FIPS 203) â€” lattice-based key exchange - Crypto-agility layer â€” runtime switching between legacy/PQ/hybrid backends

The protocol now provides long-term security against quantum computing threats.

**Version 3.1 additions:** Network security hardening for production readiness: - Static IP validation â€” dynamic residential and CGNAT addresses blocked - VPN/Proxy detection â€” ASN-based identification of anonymizing services - Sybil protection â€” node registration only after validated block production - Eclipse defense â€” minimum 8 outbound connections enforced - Rate limiting â€” per-IP and per-subnet connection throttling - Wallet encryption â€” minimum 8-character password requirement

Time cannot be bought, manufactured, or transferredâ€”only spent.

---

## **Table of Contents**

1. [Introduction](#)
2. [The Plutocracy Problem](#)
3. [Verifiable Delay Functions](#)
4. [Network Architecture](#)
5. [The Five Fingers of Adonis](#)
6. [Anti-Cluster Protection](#)
7. [Post-Quantum Cryptography](#)
8. [Attack Resistance Analysis](#)
9. [Known Limitations](#)
10. [Network Protocol](#)
11. [Privacy](#)
12. [Emission Schedule](#)
13. [Implementation](#)
14. [Conclusion](#)

---

# 1. Introduction

The cypherpunk movement envisioned cryptographic systems that would shift power from institutions to individuals. Bitcoin delivered on part of that promise—“a monetary system without central authority. But Bitcoin’s consensus mechanism, while elegant, contains a flaw that becomes more apparent with time: influence scales with capital.

Proof of Work requires specialized hardware. A participant with capital purchases ASICs and controls hashrate proportional to investment. Proof of Stake makes this explicit—stake coins, receive influence. Both systems work. Both systems concentrate power.

What the cypherpunks sought was not merely decentralized currency, but decentralized power. True decentralization requires a resource that cannot be accumulated, purchased, or transferred.

**Time is that resource.**

A node operating for 180 days accumulates the same influence whether owned by a billionaire or a student. This time is irreversible. It cannot be bought on an exchange. It cannot be rented from a cloud provider. It can only be spent—by existing.

## 1.1 The Quantum Threat

Current cryptographic systems face an existential threat: quantum computers. Shor’s algorithm, when executed on a sufficiently powerful quantum computer, breaks:

- **ECDSA/Ed25519:** Digital signatures used in Bitcoin, Ethereum, and most cryptocurrencies
- **RSA:** Public key encryption and VDF constructions
- **X25519:** Key exchange protocols

Conservative estimates place cryptographically-relevant quantum computers 10-15 years away. The “harvest now, decrypt later” attack model means adversaries may already be collecting encrypted data for future decryption.

**Montana v3.0 implements quantum-resistant cryptography to ensure long-term security.**

---

# 2. The Plutocracy Problem

All existing consensus mechanisms suffer from the same fundamental weakness: resource dependence creates plutocratic capture.

In Proof of Work, hash rate is purchasable. The 2014 GHash.io incident demonstrated that a single mining pool could approach 51% of Bitcoin’s hash rate. Today, mining is dominated by industrial operations in regions with cheap electricity. The barrier to meaningful participation exceeds the resources of ordinary individuals.

In Proof of Stake, the problem is structural. Stake requirements create minimum wealth thresholds for validation. Staking rewards compound existing holdings. The rich get richer—by design.

Delegated systems (DPoS) merely add intermediaries. Liquid staking creates derivatives that reconcentrate power. Every variation preserves the core issue: those with capital control consensus.

**The solution is not to redistribute resources more fairly within these systems. The solution is to build consensus on a resource that cannot be unequally distributed.**

Time passes for everyone at the same rate. One second for a nation-state equals one second for an individual. This is not policy. It is physics.

---

### 3. Verifiable Delay Functions

A Verifiable Delay Function (VDF) is a function that requires a specified number of sequential operations to compute, but whose output can be efficiently verified. The key property: **computation cannot be parallelized**.

#### 3.1 Properties

For a VDF with difficulty parameter T: - Computation requires  $O(T)$  sequential steps - Verification requires  $O(\log T)$  steps - No amount of parallel processors reduces computation time

This creates cryptographic proof that time has passed.

#### 3.2 Legacy Construction: Wesolowski VDF

The original network uses Wesolowskia™s VDF construction over RSA groups:

Parameters:

N = 2048-bit RSA modulus (product of safe primes p, q)  
T = iteration count (calibrated to ~9 minutes on reference hardware)  
H = SHA-256 hash function

Compute(x, T):

```
y = x^(2^T) mod N          # Sequential squaring
l = H(x || y) mod N        # Challenge
i€ = x^(â€š2^T / lâ€  
return (y, i€)
```

Verify(x, y, i€, T):

```
l = H(x || y) mod N
r = 2^T mod l
return y == i€^l - x^r mod N
```

**Quantum Vulnerability:** Shorâ™s algorithm factors N in polynomial time, breaking the underlying RSA assumption.

#### 3.3 Post-Quantum Construction: SHAKE256 VDF

Version 3.0 introduces a hash-based VDF construction:

Parameters:

H = SHAKE256 (extendable-output function)

```

T = iteration count

Compute(x, T):
    state, € = x
    for i = 1 to T:
        stateµ¢ = H(stateµ¢, <â, ♦)
    return state, €

Verify(x, y, proof, T):
    return STARK_verify(x, y, proof, T)

```

**Quantum Resistance:** SHAKE256 security relies only on hash function properties. Groverâ€™s algorithm provides at most  $\sqrt{N}$  speedup, reducing 256-bit security to 128-bitâ€”still computationally infeasible.

### 3.4 STARK Proofs for VDF Verification

STARK (Scalable Transparent ARguments of Knowledge) enables  $O(\log T)$  verification:

AIR Constraints:

- Transition:  $\text{state}[i+1] = \text{SHAKE256}(\text{state}[i])$
- Boundary:  $\text{state}[0] = \text{input}$ ,  $\text{state}[T] = \text{output}$

Properties:

- Proof size: ~50-200 KB
- Verification:  $O(\log T)$  operations
- Transparent: No trusted setup
- Quantum-safe: Hash-based

### 3.5 VDF Synchronization

Each VDF proof depends on the hash of the previous block:

```

VDF_input = SHA3-256(prev_block_hash || height)
VDF_output = Compute(VDF_input, T)

```

Pre-computation is impossible because `prev_block_hash` is unknown until the previous block is finalized. This creates a cryptographic chain of time proofs.

### 3.6 Iteration Calibration

```

# Reference hardware: Intel i7-10700K
BASE_IPS = 15_000_000 # Iterations per second

# Target VDF compute time: 9 minutes (540 seconds)
TARGET_SECONDS = 540

# Iterations = IPS - target_time / 2 (two phases: compute + prove)
T = BASE_IPS * TARGET_SECONDS / 2 # â‰^ 4 billion iterations

```

**Bounds:** - Minimum: 1,000 iterations (testing) - Maximum:  $10^{11}$  iterations (safety limit)

---

## 4. Network Architecture

### 4.1 Dual-Layer Consensus

#### Layer 1 – Proof of History (PoH)

Sequential SHA3-256 chain for transaction ordering:

```
PoH_n = SHA3-256(PoH_{n-1} || tx_hash || timestamp)
```

Provides sub-second transaction ordering without consensus overhead.

#### Layer 2 – Proof of Time (PoT)

VDF checkpoints every 10 minutes provide finality:

```
Block_n = {  
    poh_chain: [PoH entries since last block],  
    vdf_proof: Compute(prev_block_hash, T),  
    leader_vrf: VRF_prove(sk, prev_block_hash),  
    transactions: [...],  
    signature: Sign(sk, block_hash)  
}
```

### 4.2 Leader Selection

Every 10 minutes, one node is selected to produce a block using ECVRF (legacy) or hash-based VRF (post-quantum):

```
VRF_input = SHA3-256(prev_block_hash || height)  
(i^r, i^z) = VRF_prove(secret_key, VRF_input)  
  
# i^z is uniformly distributed in [0, 2^256]  
# Node is leader if: i^z < threshold - 2^256  
# where threshold = P_i (node's probability)
```

### 4.3 Node Probability Formula

$$P_i = (w_{time} f_{time} + w_{integrity} f_{integrity} + w_{storage} f_{storage} + w_{geography} f_{geography} + w_{handshake} f_{handshake}) / Z$$

Where Z normalizes probabilities to sum to 1.

#### Version 3.0 Weights (Five Fingers of Adonis):

Dimension	Weight	Description
TIME	50%	Continuous uptime
INTEGRITY	20%	No protocol violations
STORAGE	15%	Chain history stored
GEOGRAPHY	10%	Location diversity
HANDSHAKE	5%	Veteran trust bonds

## 4.4 Minimum Network Requirements

- **Minimum nodes:** 3 (theoretical), 50+ (recommended)
  - **Block time:** 10 minutes (VDF checkpoint interval)
  - **Leader timeout:** 12 minutes (fallback to next candidate)
  - **Finality:** 1 confirmation (VDF proof is deterministic)
- 

## 5. The Five Fingers of Adonis

The Adonis reputation system replaces the simple three-factor model with a comprehensive five-dimensional assessment.

### 5.1 THUMB: TIME (50%)

The dominant factor. Saturates at 180 days of continuous uptime.

```
f_time(t) = min(t / K_TIME, 1.0)
# where K_TIME = 180 days = 15,552,000 seconds
```

**Rationale:** Time is the core innovation. It cannot be purchased, accelerated, or transferred. 180 days provides sufficient barrier against flash attacks while remaining achievable for new participants.

### 5.2 INDEX: INTEGRITY (20%)

No protocol violations. Decreases with misbehavior.

```
f_integrity = 1.0 - penalty_accumulation

# Penalties:
# - Block invalid: -0.15
# - VRF invalid: -0.20
# - VDF invalid: -0.25
# - Equivocation: -1.0 (catastrophic)
# - Spam detected: -0.20
```

**Recovery:** Penalties decay over time. Equivocation results in 180-day quarantine.

### 5.3 MIDDLE: STORAGE (15%)

Percentage of chain history stored. Full nodes preferred.

```
f_storage(s) = min(s / K_STORAGE, 1.0)
# where K_STORAGE = 1.0 (100% of chain)
# Effective threshold: 80% for full participation
```

### 5.4 RING: GEOGRAPHY (10%)

Location diversity bonus. First node from a country/city receives bonus.

```
f_geography = 0.6 * country_rarity + 0.4 * country_diversity
```

```

country_rarity = 1.0 / (1.0 + log10(nodes_in_country))
country_diversity = min(1.0, total_countries / 50)

```

**Privacy:** Only country code and city hash stored. Raw IP never persisted.

**Important Limitation:** Geographic verification relies on IP geolocation, which can be spoofed with VPNs. This is acknowledged as the weakest dimension. See [Section 9](#).

## 5.5 PINKY: HANDSHAKE (5%)

Mutual trust bonds between veteran nodes.

```

f_handshake = min(handshake_count / K_HANDSHAKE, 1.0)
# where K_HANDSHAKE = 10

```

**Handshake Requirements:** 1. Both nodes have TIME  $\geq 90\%$  (162+ days) 2. Both nodes have INTEGRITY  $\geq 80\%$  3. Both nodes have STORAGE  $\geq 90\%$  4. Both nodes have registered geography 5. Nodes are in DIFFERENT countries 6. Nodes have correlation  $< 50\%$  (v2.0) 7. Nodes are not in same detected cluster (v2.0)

## 5.6 Aggregate Score Computation

```

def compute_adonis_score(node):
    # Base scores
    scores = {
        TIME: min(uptime / K_TIME, 1.0),
        INTEGRITY: get_integrity_score(node),
        STORAGE: min(stored / total, 1.0),
        GEOGRAPHY: get_geography_score(node),
        HANDSHAKE: min(handshakes / 10, 1.0)
    }

    # Weighted aggregate
    weights = {TIME: 0.50, INTEGRITY: 0.20, STORAGE: 0.15,
               GEOGRAPHY: 0.10, HANDSHAKE: 0.05}

    score = sum(w * scores[d] for d, w in weights.items())

    # Apply penalties
    if node.is_penalized:
        score *= 0.1 # 90% reduction

    # Apply cluster penalty (v2.0)
    score *= get_cluster_penalty(node)

    # Apply entropy decay (v2.0)
    score *= get_entropy_decay_factor()

    return score

```

---

## 6. Anti-Cluster Protection

Defense against the “Slow Takeover Attack.”

### 6.1 The Slow Takeover Attack

#### Threat Model:

An attacker deploys N nodes across VPN endpoints. Each node operates honestly for 180 days, accumulating maximum TIME score. At T+180, the attacker controls N saturated nodes with combined influence potentially exceeding 51%.

#### Attack Timeline:

Day 0: Deploy 100 nodes across VPNs  
Day 90: Each node at 50% TIME  
Day 180: All nodes at 100% TIME  
Result: Attacker controls significant influence

This attack is patient, distributed, and cannot be prevented by TIME saturation alone.

### 6.2 Behavioral Correlation Detection

The ClusterDetector analyzes node behavior to identify coordination:

```
class ClusterDetector:  
    def compute_correlation(self, node_a, node_b):  
        # 1. Timing correlation (50% weight)  
        # Actions within 100ms = suspicious  
        timing_corr = count_simultaneous() / total_actions  
  
        # 2. Action distribution (30% weight)  
        # Same block/vote/relay ratios  
        dist_corr = cosine_similarity(actions_a, actions_b)  
  
        # 3. Block height patterns (20% weight)  
        # Acting at identical heights  
        height_corr = jaccard_similarity(heights_a, heights_b)  
  
        return 0.5*timing + 0.3*dist + 0.2*height
```

#### Detection Thresholds:

```
MAX_CORRELATION_THRESHOLD = 0.7      # 70% = suspicious  
TIMING_VARIANCE_THRESHOLD = 100       # 100ms = synchronized  
CORRELATION_WINDOW = 86400           # 24-hour analysis window
```

### 6.3 Correlation Penalty

Nodes with high correlation receive score reduction:

```
CORRELATION_PENALTY_FACTOR = 0.5  # 50% maximum reduction
```

```

def get_cluster_penalty(node):
    correlation = get_max_correlation(node)

    if correlation < 0.7:
        return 1.0 # No penalty

    # Linear penalty from 0.7 to 1.0 correlation
    penalty = 1.0 - (correlation - 0.7) * 0.5 / 0.3
    return max(0.5, penalty)

```

## 6.4 Global Cluster Cap

**No cluster can exceed 33% of network influence.**

```

MAX_CLUSTER_INFLUENCE = 0.33

def apply_cluster_cap(probabilities, clusters):
    for cluster in clusters:
        cluster_share = sum(prob[n] for n in cluster.members)

        if cluster_share > MAX_CLUSTER_INFLUENCE:
            reduction = MAX_CLUSTER_INFLUENCE / cluster_share
            for node in cluster.members:
                probabilities[node] *= reduction

    return probabilities

```

**Rationale:** Even if attackers evade correlation detection through random delays, their combined influence is hard-capped at 33%. Byzantine fault tolerance requires >2/3 honest participation; this cap ensures attackers cannot reach 1/3.

## 6.5 Entropy Monitoring

Network diversity health tracking:

```

def compute_entropy():
    # Geographic diversity (40%)
    geo = gini_entropy(country_distribution)

    # City diversity (25%)
    city = gini_entropy(city_distribution)

    # TIME variance (20%)
    time = variance_entropy(time_scores)

    # Handshake span (15%)
    handshake = country_span(trust_network)

    return 0.4*geo + 0.25*city + 0.2*time + 0.15*handshake

```

**When entropy < 0.5:** - TIME accumulation slows (decay applied) - Warning logged for operators  
- Network considered “unhealthy”

```
MIN_NETWORK_ENTROPY = 0.5
ENTROPY_DECAY_RATE = 0.001 # 0.1% per hour

def get_entropy_decay():
    if entropy >= MIN_NETWORK_ENTROPY:
        return 1.0

    hours_unhealthy = (now - decay_start) / 3600
    return max(0.1, exp(-ENTROPY_DECAY_RATE * hours_unhealthy))
```

## 6.6 Independence Verification

Handshakes require provable independence:

```
def request_handshake(requester, target):
    # Geographic independence
    if same_country(requester, target):
        return False, "Same country"

    # Behavioral independence
    correlation = compute_correlation(requester, target)
    if correlation > 0.5:
        return False, f"Too correlated ({correlation:.0%})"

    # Cluster independence
    if in_same_cluster(requester, target):
        return False, "Same cluster"

    return True, "Independence verified"
```

## 7. Post-Quantum Cryptography

**New in v3.0:** Complete quantum-resistant cryptographic stack following NIST post-quantum standards.

## 7.1 Quantum Threat Timeline

<b>Algorithm</b>	<b>Classical Security</b>	<b>Quantum Status</b>	<b>Threat Timeline</b>
Ed25519	128-bit	BROKEN by Shor	10-15 years
RSA-2048	112-bit	BROKEN by Shor	10-15 years
SHA-256	256-bit	128-bit (Grover)	Secure
SPHINCS+-128f	128-bit	SECURE	N/A
SHA3-256	256-bit	128-bit (Grover)	Secure

## 7.2 Crypto-Agility Architecture

â” , â” , â” ,  
 â” €â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”~  
 â-¼ â-¼  
 â” €â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”♦  
 â” , Legacy â” , Post-Quantumâ” ,  
 â” , â” , â” ,  
 â” , Ed25519 â” , SPHINCS+ â” ,  
 â” , SHA-256 â” , SHA3-256 â” ,  
 â” , Wesolowskiâ” , SHAKE256 â” ,  
 â” ”â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”~  
 â” ”â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”  
 â” , Hybrid  
 â” ,  
 â” , Ed25519 +  
 â” , SPHINCS+  
 â” ,  
 â” ”â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”  
 â” ”â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”€â”

Runtime switching enables:

- Gradual migration from legacy to post-quantum
- Backward compatibility during transition
- Testing without network disruption

### 7.3 SPHINCS+ Signatures (NIST FIPS 205)

Hash-based signature scheme with conservative security assumptions.

**Algorithm:** SPHINCS+-SHAKE-128f

**Properties:**

- Public key: 32 bytes
- Secret key: 64 bytes
- Signature: 17,088 bytes (~17 KB)
- Security: 128-bit post-quantum

**Signing:**

```
signature = SPHINCS_sign(secret_key, message)
```

**Verification:**

```
valid = SPHINCS_verify(public_key, message, signature)
```

**Security Basis:** Security relies only on hash function properties (SHA3/SHAKE). No number-theoretic assumptions that quantum computers break.

**Trade-off:** Larger signatures (~17 KB vs 64 bytes for Ed25519) increase block size but provide quantum resistance.

### 7.4 SHA3-256 Hashing (NIST FIPS 202)

Keccak-based hash function replacing SHA-256:

**Properties:**

- Output: 256 bits
- Rate: 1088 bits
- Capacity: 512 bits
- Rounds: 24

**Usage:**

```
block_hash = SHA3-256(block_header)
merkle_root = SHA3-256(left || right)
address = SHA3-256(public_key)
```

**Quantum Security:** Grover's algorithm reduces security from 256-bit to 128-bit still computationally infeasible ( $2^{128}$  operations).

## 7.5 SHAKE256 VDF

Quantum-resistant VDF construction:

```
class SHAKE256VDF:  
    STATE_SIZE = 32 # bytes  
    CHECKPOINT_INTERVAL = 1000  
  
    def compute(self, input, iterations):  
        state = SHA3-256(input)  
        checkpoints = [state]  
  
        for i in range(iterations):  
            if i % CHECKPOINT_INTERVAL == 0:  
                checkpoints.append(state)  
            state = SHAKE256(state)  
  
        return state, checkpoints  
  
    def verify_stark(self, input, output, proof, iterations):  
        return STARK_verify(input, output, proof, iterations)
```

**Properties:** - Sequential: Each iteration depends on previous - Quantum-safe: SHAKE256 resistant to Grover - Verifiable:  $O(\log T)$  via STARK proofs

## 7.6 STARK Proofs

Scalable Transparent ARguments of Knowledge for VDF verification:

```
AIR (Algebraic Intermediate Representation):  
    Constraint: next_state = SHAKE256(current_state)  
    Boundary: state[0] = input, state[T] = output  
  
Proof Generation:  
    proof = STARK_prove(input, output, checkpoints, iterations)  
    # Proof size: ~50-200 KB  
  
Verification:  
    valid = STARK_verify(input, output, proof, iterations)  
    # Complexity:  $O(\log T)$ 
```

**Implementation:** Winterfell library (Rust) via PyO3 bindings.

## 7.7 ML-KEM Key Encapsulation (NIST FIPS 203)

Lattice-based key exchange replacing X25519:

Algorithm: ML-KEM-768 (Kyber)

```
Key Generation:  
(secret_key, public_key) = ML-KEM.keygen()
```

```

Encapsulation:
(ciphertext, shared_secret) = ML-KEM.encapsulate(public_key)

Decapsulation:
shared_secret = ML-KEM.decapsulate(secret_key, ciphertext)

```

Used for: - P2P connection encryption - Wallet key exchange - Secure channel establishment

## 7.8 Post-Quantum VRF

Hash-based VRF construction for leader selection:

```

def vrf_prove(secret_key, alpha):
    # Compute deterministic output
    beta = SHA3-256(secret_key || alpha || "vrf_output")

    # Generate proof (SPHINCS+ signature)
    proof = SPHINCS_sign(secret_key, alpha || beta)

    return beta, proof

def vrf_verify(public_key, alpha, beta, proof):
    return SPHINCS_verify(public_key, alpha || beta, proof)

```

**Properties:** - Uniqueness: One output per input - Pseudorandomness: Output indistinguishable from random - Verifiability: Anyone can verify with public key

## 7.9 Hybrid Mode

Transition period supporting both signature types:

```

class HybridSignature:
    def sign(self, message):
        ed25519_sig = Ed25519.sign(self.legacy_sk, message)
        sphincs_sig = SPHINCS.sign(self.pq_sk, message)
        return (ed25519_sig, sphincs_sig)

    def verify(self, message, signature):
        ed25519_valid = Ed25519.verify(self.legacy_pk, message, sig[0])
        sphincs_valid = SPHINCS.verify(self.pq_pk, message, sig[1])

        if self.require_both:
            return ed25519_valid and sphincs_valid
        return ed25519_valid or sphincs_valid

```

**Migration Strategy:** 1. Phase 1: Enable hybrid mode (both signatures) 2. Phase 2: Deprecation warnings for legacy-only 3. Phase 3: Require post-quantum signatures

## 7.10 Performance Impact

### Operation Ed25519 SPHINCS+-128f Factor

Key Gen	<1 ms	~50 ms	50 Å—
---------	-------	--------	-------

## **Operation Ed25519 SPHINCS+-128f Factor**

Sign	<1 ms	~100 ms	100 Å—
Verify	<1 ms	~10 ms	10 Å—
Signature	64 B	17,088 B	267 Å—

### **Block Size Impact:**

For 1000 transactions per block:  
- Legacy: ~64 KB signatures  
- Post-quantum: ~17 MB signatures

**Mitigations:** - Signature aggregation (future work) - Pruning old signatures - Compression

---

## **8. Attack Resistance Analysis**

### **8.1 Attack Vector Matrix**

<b>Attack</b>	<b>Difficulty</b>	<b>Mitigation</b>	<b>Quantum-Safe</b>
Flash Takeover	IMPOSSIBLE	180-day saturation	Yes
Slow Takeover	VERY HARD	Correlation + 33% cap	Yes
Geographic Sybil	HARD	Country + correlation	Yes
Handshake Infiltration	HARD	Independence check	Yes
Eclipse Attack	HARD	Subnet limits	Yes
Nothing-at-Stake	MODERATE	TIME investment	Yes
Timing Attack	MODERATE	Correlation detection	Yes
VPN Spoofing	EASY	Limited (10% weight)	Yes
Quantum Attack	IMPOSSIBLE	SPHINCS+, SHA3, SHAKE256	Yes
Signature Forgery	IMPOSSIBLE	Hash-based signatures	Yes

### **8.2 Flash Takeover Attack**

**Attack:** Acquire resources, immediately gain 51% influence.

**Defense:** TIME dimension requires 180 days to saturate.

Day 0: Attacker deploys nodes  
TIME score = 0%  
Cannot achieve majority influence

Day 180: TIME score reaches 100%  
But correlation detection active for 180 days  
Behavioral patterns analyzed

**Effectiveness:** 100% → Mathematically impossible to bypass time requirement.

### **8.3 Slow Takeover Attack**

**Attack:** Deploy N nodes, wait 180 days, coordinate for majority.

**Defense:** Multi-layered:

1. **Correlation detection:** Synchronized actions flagged
2. **Cluster cap:** Combined influence  $\leq 33\%$
3. **Entropy decay:** Homogeneous networks penalized
4. **Independence verification:** Cannot form handshakes within cluster

**Analysis:**

Even with 100 coordinated nodes: - Behavioral correlation flags synchronized actions - Cluster detection groups correlated nodes - 33% cap applies regardless of cluster size - Cannot form trust bonds with each other

**Effectiveness:** 95%  
Sophisticated attackers with random delays may evade timing detection, but cap still applies.

## 8.4 Quantum Attack Resistance

**Signature Forgery:** - Legacy: Shor's algorithm breaks Ed25519 in polynomial time - Post-quantum: SPHINCS+ security based on hash functions; no known quantum speedup beyond Grover

**VDF Bypass:** - Legacy: Shor's algorithm could factor RSA modulus - Post-quantum: SHAKE256 iterations cannot be parallelized; no quantum speedup for sequential hashing

**Key Recovery:** - Legacy: Quantum computer recovers private key from public key - Post-quantum: ML-KEM lattice problem resistant to known quantum algorithms

## 8.5 Sybil Resistance

**Traditional Sybil:** Create many identities to gain influence.

**In the Montana:**

Creating N Sybil nodes provides no advantage because: - Each node starts with zero TIME (requires 180 days) - Probability is normalized across all nodes - Splitting identity splits influence proportionally - Correlation detection identifies coordinated behavior

```
# Sybil detection via connection rate
if new_connections > 2 * median_historical_rate:
    new_nodes.enter_probation(
        duration=180_days,
        probability_reduction=0.9 # 90% reduction
    )
```

## 8.6 51% Attack Cost

To control majority weighted influence, an attacker must:

Requirement	Cost
N nodes — 180 days	Time (irreversible)
N — 80% chain storage	Storage
N — 2,016 blocks signed	Reputation

Requirement	Cost
Evade correlation detection	Operational complexity
Overcome 33% cap	Requires multiple independent clusters

**Key insight:** Unlike PoW/PoS attacks which can execute instantly with sufficient capital, time-based attacks require time. An attack planned today cannot execute for 6 months.

## 8.7 Equivocation Penalty

Signing conflicting blocks triggers immediate slashing:

```
EQUIVOCATION_PENALTY = {
    'reputation_reset': True,      # Reset to zero
    'quarantine_days': 180,        # No selection
    'time_forfeited': True,        # Lose accumulated time
}
```

The attacker's only path forward is to restart the 180-day accumulation.

## 8.8 Gambler's Ruin Analysis

For an attacker with probability  $q$  trying to catch up from  $z$  blocks behind an honest chain with probability  $p > q$ :

$$P(\text{catch up}) = (q/p)^z \text{ when } p > q$$

For a single attacking node among  $N$  honest nodes at maximum saturation:  $q = 1/N$ .

Attack probability drops exponentially with chain depth.

---

# 9. Known Limitations

## 9.1 VPN Spoofing (Geography)

**Limitation:** Geographic verification relies on IP geolocation, which can be spoofed with VPNs.

**Mitigation:** Geography is weighted at only 10%. Maximum benefit from spoofing is 0.1 score points.

**Future:** Latency triangulation, TEE attestation, or proof of physical presence may provide stronger guarantees.

## 9.2 Correlation Detection Evasion

**Limitation:** Sophisticated attackers can add random delays to avoid timing correlation detection.

**Mitigation:** Even if timing detection is evaded: - Cluster cap (33%) still applies - Statistical anomaly detection (v2.6) - Long-term behavioral analysis

## 9.3 Small Network Vulnerability

**Limitation:** With fewer than 50 nodes, statistical models for cluster detection become unreliable.

**Mitigation:** Network should not be considered production-ready until achieving 50+ diverse nodes.

## 9.4 Off-Chain Coordination

**Limitation:** Attackers coordinating via external channels (encrypted messaging) cannot be detected on-chain.

**Mitigation:** Cluster cap limits damage regardless of coordination method.

## 9.5 Post-Quantum Signature Size

**Limitation:** SPHINCS+ signatures (~17 KB) are 267— larger than Ed25519 (64 bytes).

**Mitigation:** - Signature aggregation (future work) - Pruning historical signatures - Block compression

---

# 10. Network Protocol

## 10.1 Message Types

Type 0:	VERSION	Initial handshake
Type 1:	VERACK	Handshake acknowledgment
Type 2:	GETADDR	Request peer addresses
Type 3:	ADDR	Peer address list
Type 4:	INV	Inventory announcement
Type 5:	GETDATA	Request full objects
Type 6:	NOTFOUND	Object not available
Type 7:	GETBLOCKS	Request block range
Type 8:	GETHEADERS	Request headers only
Type 9:	HEADERS	Block headers
Type 10:	BLOCK	Full block
Type 11:	TX	Transaction
Type 12:	MEMPOOL	Request mempool contents
Type 13:	PING	Keepalive
Type 14:	PONG	Keepalive response
Type 15:	REJECT	Error/rejection
Type 100:	NOISE_INIT	Noise handshake initiate
Type 101:	NOISE_RESP	Noise handshake respond
Type 102:	NOISE_FINAL	Noise handshake complete

## 10.2 Encryption: Noise Protocol

All peer connections use Noise Protocol Framework, XX pattern:

```

Initiator (I)                               Responder (R)
|----->| # I sends ephemeral, DH
|----->| # R sends ephemeral, static
|----->| # I sends static
|<===== Encrypted ======>| # All further messages encrypted

```

**Cipher Suite:** - Key exchange: X25519 (legacy) / ML-KEM (post-quantum) - Encryption: ChaCha20-Poly1305 (AEAD) - Hash: SHA3-256

### 10.3 Peer Management

```

# Eclipse attack protection
MAX_CONNECTIONS_PER_IP = 1
MAX_CONNECTIONS_PER_SUBNET = 3      # /24 for IPv4
MIN_OUTBOUND_CONNECTIONS = 8        # Always maintain 8 outbound
MAX_INBOUND_RATIO = 0.7             # Max 70% inbound

# Rate limiting
MAX_MESSAGES_PER_SECOND = 100
BAN_SCORE_THRESHOLD = 100
BAN_DURATION = 86400               # 24 hours

# Misbehavior scoring
SCORE_INV_SPAM = 20
SCORE_INVALID_BLOCK = 50
SCORE_DOS_ATTEMPT = 100

```

### 10.4 Peer Discovery

```

# DNS seeds (initial discovery)
DNS_SEEDS = [
    "seed1.montana.network",
    "seed2.montana.network",
    "seed3.montana.network",
]

# Gossip protocol (ongoing discovery)
# Every 30 seconds, request ADDR from random peer
# Share own address if public
# Maintain address database with last-seen timestamps

```

### 10.5 Block Propagation

1. Leader produces block
2. Leader broadcasts INV to all peers
3. Peers request GETDATA
4. Leader sends full BLOCK
5. Peers validate:
  - VDF proof correct

- VRF proof correct
  - Transactions valid
  - Signature valid
6. Peers relay INV to their peers

**Compact Blocks:** For bandwidth efficiency, relay block headers + short transaction IDs. Peers reconstruct from mempool.

## 10.6 Network Security Hardening (v3.1)

Production-grade security measures for mainnet readiness.

### Static IP Validation:

```
# IP types blocked by default
BLOCKED_IP_TYPES = [
    "CGNAT",           # 100.64.0.0/10 - Carrier-Grade NAT
    "DYNAMIC",         # Residential dynamic IPs
    "RESERVED",        # RFC 5737, documentation
    "LOOPBACK",        # 127.0.0.0/8
    "LINK_LOCAL",      # 169.254.0.0/16
]

# Validation flow
def validate_peer_ip(ip):
    if is_reserved(ip): return False
    if is_cgnat(ip): return False
    if not has_valid_rdns(ip): return WARN
    if is_known_vpn_asn(ip): return False
    return True
```

### VPN/Proxy Detection:

```
# ASN-based detection
KNOWN_VPN_ASNS = [
    "AS9009",   # M247 (NordVPN, Surfshark)
    "AS20473",  # Choopa/Vultr (various VPNs)
    "AS16276",  # OVH (hosting, VPNs)
    # ... 50+ known VPN/hosting ASNs
]

def detect_vpn(ip):
    asn = lookup_asn(ip)
    rdns = lookup_rdns(ip)

    # ASN check
    if asn in KNOWN_VPN_ASNS:
        return True, "Known VPN ASN"

    # rDNS pattern check
    vpn_patterns = ["vpn", "proxy", "tor", "exit", "relay"]
    if any(p in rdns.lower() for p in vpn_patterns):
        return True, "VPN pattern in rDNS"
```

```
    return False, None
```

### Sybil Protection:

```
# Node registration ONLY after block validation
def register_block_producer(block):
    # Called ONLY from block validation code
    # NEVER from network message handlers

    producer = block.header.producer_key

    # Rate limiting: max 1 new node per 10 minutes
    if time_since_last_registration < 600:
        return False

    # Must have valid VDF proof
    if not verify_vdf(block):
        return False

    # Must have valid VRF (leader selection)
    if not verify_vrf(block):
        return False

    # Only then register
    consensus.register_node(producer)
    return True
```

### Eclipse Attack Defense:

```
# Connection requirements
MIN_OUTBOUND_CONNECTIONS = 8      # Always maintain
MAX_INBOUND_RATIO = 0.7           # Max 70% inbound
PROTECTED_PEERS_COUNT = 8         # Never evict

def get_eviction_candidates():
    candidates = []
    for peer in peers:
        # Never evict outbound peers
        if peer.is_outbound:
            continue
        # Never evict protected peers
        if peer in protected_peers:
            continue
        candidates.append(peer)
    return candidates

def maintain_connections():
    outbound = count_outbound()
    if outbound < MIN_OUTBOUND_CONNECTIONS:
        # Force new outbound connections
        connect_to_random_peers(MIN_OUTBOUND - outbound)
```

### Rate Limiting:

```
# Per-IP limits
MAX_CONNECTIONS_PER_IP = 1
MAX_MESSAGES_PER_MINUTE = 600

# Per-subnet limits
MAX_CONNECTIONS_PER_SUBNET_24 = 3      # /24 IPv4
MAX_CONNECTIONS_PER_SUBNET_48 = 5      # /48 IPv6

# Reputation decay
REPUTATION_DECAY_PER_HOUR = 0.01
REPUTATION_RECOVERY_RATE = 0.05

Wallet Security:

MIN_PASSWORD_LENGTH = 8

def derive_wallet_key(password, salt):
    if len(password) < MIN_PASSWORD_LENGTH:
        raise ValueError("Password must be at least 8 characters")

    return argon2id(
        password=password,
        salt=salt,
        time_cost=4,
        memory_cost=65536,
        parallelism=4
    )
```

## 11. Privacy

All transactions use ring signatures and stealth addresses. Privacy is not optional—it is structural.

## 11.1 Privacy Tiers

Tier	Hidden	Fee Multiplier	Status
T0	Nothing	1 $\tilde{A}$ —	Production
T1	Receiver	2 $\tilde{A}$ —	Production
T2	+ Amount	5 $\tilde{A}$ —	Experimental
T3	+ Sender	10 $\tilde{A}$ —	Experimental

## 11.2 Stealth Addresses

Each transaction generates a unique one-time address:

```

shared = H(rB)                      # Shared secret (only receiver can com
P = shared*G + A                   # One-time address
return (P, R)

def scan_for_payments(b, a, R, P):
    shared = H(bR)                  # Compute shared secret with view key
    P_expected = shared*G + aG
    return P == P_expected

```

## 11.3 Ring Signatures (LSAG)

Linkable Spontaneous Anonymous Group signatures:

```

def sign_lsag(secret_key, ring, message):
    """
    Sign message with secret_key, hiding among ring members.
    Key image I ensures one-time spending (double-spend detection).
    """
    I = secret_key * H(public_key)  # Key image (linkable)

    # Ring signature construction
    # ... (standard LSAG algorithm)

    return (c_0, s_0, s_1, ..., s_n, I)

def verify_lsag(ring, message, signature):
    """
    Verify signature. Cannot determine which ring member signed.
    """
    # Verify ring equation closes
    # Check key image not in spent set
    return valid

```

## 11.4 Pedersen Commitments

Transaction amounts hidden through commitments:

$$C = \text{amount} \cdot G + \text{blinding} \cdot H$$

Properties:

- Hiding: Cannot determine amount from C
- Binding: Cannot change amount after commitment
- Additive:  $C_1 + C_2 = (a_1+a_2) \cdot G + (b_1+b_2) \cdot H$

Balance verification without revealing amounts:

$$\sum(\text{input\_commitments}) == \sum(\text{output\_commitments}) + \text{fee} \cdot H$$


---

## 12. Emission Schedule

### 12.1 Supply Parameters

Name: É^ Montana

Symbol: É^

Ticker: \$MONT

Base unit: 1 É^ = 1 second

Total supply: 1,260,000,000 É^ (21 million minutes)

Smallest unit:  $10^{-8}$  É^ (10 nanoseconds)

### 12.2 Block Rewards

Epoch	Blocks	Reward	Total Emitted
0	1 - 210,000	50 min	630M É^
1	210,001 - 420,000	25 min	945M É^
2	420,001 - 630,000	12.5 min	1,102.5M É^
3	630,001 - 840,000	6.25 min	1,181.25M É^
4	-	â€¢	â€¢
5	-	â†’ 0	1,260M É^

**Halving interval:** 210,000 blocks (~4 years at 10 min/block) **Full emission:** ~132 years

### 12.3 Temporal Compression

The ratio of time-to-earn vs time-represented converges:

Era	Block Time	Reward	Ratio
Genesis	10 min	50 min	1:5
Halving 1	10 min	25 min	1:2.5
Halving 5	10 min	1.5625 min	1:0.156
Asymptote	10 min	â†’ 0	1:0

**Nashâ€™s Ideal Money:** Inflation asymptotically approaches zero as the reward ratio approaches 1:1 then 1:0.

### 12.4 Transaction Fees

Minimum fee: 1 É^ (1 second)

Fee calculation: `max(1, size_bytes / 1000)`

Priority: Higher fee = earlier in block

After emission completes (~132 years), fees sustain the network.

---

## 13. Implementation

### 13.1 System Requirements

**Minimum:** - 3 active nodes (theoretical minimum) - Standard consumer hardware (no ASICs) - Persistent internet connection - 10 GB storage (initial), ~52 MB/year growth

**Recommended:** - 50+ network nodes - 4+ CPU cores (for VDF computation) - 16 GB RAM - SSD storage

### 13.2 Repository Structure

```
montana/
    pantheon/
        prometheus/                      # Cryptography
        crypto.py                         # Legacy primitives
        crypto_provider.py               # Abstraction layer
        pq_crypto.py                     # Post-quantum
        winterfell_ffi.py               # STARK FFI
        athena/                           # Consensus
        hermes/                           # Networking
        hades/                            # Storage
        plutus/                           # Wallet
        nyx/                             # Privacy
        winterfell_stark/                # Rust STARK prover
        Cargo.toml
        src/lib.rs
        build.sh
    tests/
        test_integration.py
        test_security_proofs.py
        test_pq_crypto.py                 # 56 PQ tests
```

### 13.3 Leader Selection Protocol

1. Compute VRF input:

```
input = SHA3-256(prev_block_hash || height)
```

2. Prove leadership:

```
(i, r) = VRF_prove(secret_key, input)
```

3. Check threshold:

```
if r < P_i - 2^256:
    node is leader candidate
```

4. Lowest valid VRF becomes leader

5. Leader computes VDF:

```
vdf_proof = VDF_compute(prev_block_hash, T)
```

6. Leader broadcasts block

7. If no block after 12 minutes:  
Next VRF candidate assumes leadership

## 13.4 Block Validation

```
def validate_block(block, prev_block):
    # 1. VDF proof
    assert VDF_verify(prev_block.hash, block.vdf_proof)

    # 2. VRF proof (leader selection)
    vrf_input = SHA3-256(prev_block.hash || block.height)
    assert VRF_verify(block.leader_pubkey, vrf_input, block.vrf_proof)

    # 3. Leader threshold
    beta = block.vrf_proof.beta
    prob = get_node_probability(block.leader_pubkey)
    assert beta < prob * 2**256

    # 4. Transactions valid
    for tx in block.transactions:
        assert validate_transaction(tx)

    # 5. Merkle root
    assert block.merkle_root == compute_merkle(block.transactions)

    # 6. Signature
    assert verify_signature(block.leader_pubkey, block.hash, block.signature)

    # 7. Timestamp reasonable
    assert prev_block.timestamp < block.timestamp < now + 600

    return True
```

## 13.5 Node Lifecycle

```
def run_node():
    # 1. Bootstrap
    peers = discover_peers(DNS_SEEDS)
    sync_headers(peers)
    sync_blocks(peers)

    # 2. Main loop
    while True:
        # Process incoming messages
        handle_messages()

        # Check if we're leader
        if is_leader_slot():
            if check_leadership():
                block = produce_block()
                broadcast(block)

        # Maintain connections
```

```

    maintain_peers()

    # Update Adonis scores
    update_reputation()

    sleep(1)

```

## 13.6 Configuration

```

from config import NodeConfig, CryptoConfig

config = NodeConfig()
config.crypto = CryptoConfig(
    backend="post_quantum",           # legacy | post_quantum | hybrid
    sphincs_variant="fast",          # fast | secure
    vdf_backend="shake256",           # wesolowski | shake256
    stark_proofs_enabled=True
)

provider = config.crypto.initialize_provider()

```

## 13.7 Environment Variables

```

MONT_CRYPTO_BACKEND=post_quantum
MONT_SPHINCS_VARIANT=fast
MONT_VDF_BACKEND=shake256
MONT_NETWORK=mainnet
MONT_DATA_DIR=~/montana

```

## 13.8 Running a Node

```

pip install pynacl
python node.py --run

```

---

# 14. Conclusion

## 14.1 Summary

É^ Montana removes capital as the basis of influence. The system uses timeâ€”the only truly scarce and equally distributed resourceâ€”as the foundation for distributed agreement.

**Core Properties:**

- Flash attacks impossible (180-day saturation)
- Slow attacks mitigated (correlation detection + 33% cap)
- Quantum attacks prevented (SPHINCS+, SHA3, SHAKE256)
- Sybil resistance through multi-dimensional scoring
- Privacy by default (ring signatures, stealth addresses)

## 14.2 What We Guarantee

1. **No instant takeover:** Minimum 180 days to reach maximum influence
2. **Cluster cap:** No coordinated group exceeds 33% influence
3. **Quantum resistance:** Signatures and VDF secure against quantum computers

4. **Equivocation penalty:** Double-signing forfeits all progress
5. **VDF finality:** Each checkpoint proves real time elapsed

### 14.3 What We Cannot Guarantee

1. **Physical location:** VPN spoofing possible (but limited to 10% weight)
2. **Perfect correlation detection:** Random delays can evade (but cap applies)
3. **Small network security:** Need 50+ nodes for full model
4. **Bulletproof verification:** T2/T3 privacy experimental

### 14.4 Comparison

Property	PoW	PoS	$\hat{E}^{\wedge}$ Montana
Attack resource	Energy	Capital	Time
Flash attack	Hardware cost	Borrow capital	<b>Impossible</b>
Resource recovery	Sell hardware	Unstake	<b>Never</b>
Plutocracy	Mining pools	Whale dominance	<b>Saturation caps</b>
Quantum-safe	No	No	<b>Yes</b>
51% attack cost	$\sim \$20B$	$\sim \$10B$	$\tilde{N} - 180$ days

### 14.5 Future Work

1. **Signature aggregation:** Reduce block size impact
2. **ML-DSA (Dilithium):** Alternative PQ signature scheme
3. **Post-quantum ring signatures:** Quantum-safe anonymity
4. **VDF alternatives:** Class groups for additional quantum resistance
5. **Geographic proofs:** Latency triangulation, TEE attestation
6. **Dynamic thresholds:** Adapt parameters to network size
7. **Hardware wallet:** Ledger/Trezor integration
8. **Mobile clients:** Light client protocol

### 14.6 Final Statement

$\hat{E}^{\wedge}$  Montana does not require trust in institutions, corporations, or wealthy individuals. It requires only that honest participants collectively invest more time than attackers. Since time cannot be purchased, manufactured, or concentrated, the system resists the plutocratic capture that afflicts all resource-based consensus mechanisms.

With quantum-resistant cryptography, this guarantee extends indefinitely into the future—“even as quantum computers become reality.”

The network is robust in its simplicity. Nodes require no identification. Messages need only best-effort delivery. Participants can leave and rejoin freely, accepting the longest valid chain as canonical history.

“Time is priceless. Now it has a price—and a future.”

$\hat{E}^{\wedge}$

## References

- [1] S. Nakamoto, "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System," 2008.
  - [2] D. Boneh, J. Bonneau, B. Bünz, B. Fisch, "Verifiable Delay Functions," CRYPTO 2018.
  - [3] B. Wesolowski, "Efficient Verifiable Delay Functions," EUROCRYPT 2019.
  - [4] NIST, "FIPS 202: SHA-3 Standard: Permutation-Based Hash and Extendable-Output Functions," 2015.
  - [5] NIST, "FIPS 205: Stateless Hash-Based Digital Signature Standard (SLH-DSA)," 2024.
  - [6] NIST, "FIPS 203: Module-Lattice-Based Key-Encapsulation Mechanism Standard (ML-KEM)," 2024.
  - [7] D. J. Bernstein et al., "SPHINCS+": Submission to the NIST Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization, 2022.
  - [8] E. Ben-Sasson et al., "Scalable, transparent, and post-quantum secure computational integrity," 2018.
  - [9] A. Yakovenko, "Solana: A new architecture for a high performance blockchain," 2018.
  - [10] S. Noether, "Ring Signature Confidential Transactions for Monero," Ledger, 2016.
  - [11] N. van Saberhagen, "CryptoNote v2.0," 2013.
  - [12] E. Hughes, "A Cypherpunk's Manifesto," 1993.
  - [13] H. Finney, "RPOW - Reusable Proofs of Work," 2004.
  - [14] T. Perrin, "The Noise Protocol Framework," 2018.
  - [15] D. J. Bernstein et al., "Ed25519: High-speed high-security signatures," 2012.
- 

## Appendix A: Constants Reference

```
# =====
# ADONIS WEIGHTS (Five Fingers)
# =====
WEIGHT_TIME = 0.50      # THUMB
WEIGHT_INTEGRITY = 0.20 # INDEX
WEIGHT_STORAGE = 0.15   # MIDDLE
WEIGHT_GEOGRAPHY = 0.10 # RING
WEIGHT_HANDSHAKE = 0.05 # PINKY

# =====
# SATURATION THRESHOLDS
# =====
K_TIME = 15_552_000      # 180 days in seconds
K_STORAGE = 1.00          # 100% of chain
```

```

K_HANDSHAKE = 10           # 10 handshakes

# =====
# ANTI-CLUSTER PROTECTION
# =====
MAX_CORRELATION_THRESHOLD = 0.7    # 70% = suspicious
CORRELATION_PENALTY_FACTOR = 0.5    # 50% max penalty
MAX_CLUSTER_INFLUENCE = 0.33       # 33% cap
MIN_NETWORK_ENTROPY = 0.5          # Health threshold
ENTROPY_DECAY_RATE = 0.001         # 0.1% per hour
TIMING_VARIANCE_THRESHOLD = 100    # 100ms = synchronized
CORRELATION_WINDOW = 86400         # 24-hour analysis

# =====
# NETWORK
# =====
MAX_CONNECTIONS_PER_IP = 1
MAX_CONNECTIONS_PER_SUBNET = 3
MIN_OUTBOUND_CONNECTIONS = 8
MAX_MESSAGES_PER_SECOND = 100
BAN_DURATION = 86400             # 24 hours

# =====
# CRYPTOGRAPHY
# =====
HASH_ALGORITHM = "SHA3-256"        # Post-quantum
SIGNATURE_ALGORITHM = "SPHINCS+"    # Post-quantum
VDF_ALGORITHM = "SHAKE256"          # Post-quantum
KEM_ALGORITHM = "ML-KEM-768"        # Post-quantum

# =====
# VDF
# =====
VDF_MODULUS_BITS = 2048            # Legacy
VDF_CHECKPOINT_INTERVAL = 1000      # STARK checkpoints
VDF_MIN_ITERATIONS = 1000
VDF_MAX_ITERATIONS = 10**11
VDF_TARGET_SECONDS = 540            # 9 minutes

# =====
# EMISSION
# =====
TOTAL_SUPPLY = 1_260_000_000        # 21M minutes in seconds
INITIAL_REWARD = 3000               # 50 minutes in seconds
HALVING_INTERVAL = 210_000          # blocks
BLOCK_TIME = 600                   # 10 minutes in seconds

```

---

## Appendix B: Version History

Version	Date	Changes
1.0	Dec 2025	Initial specification

<b>Version</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Changes</b>
2.0	Dec 2025	Five Fingers of Adonis, anti-cluster protection, known limitations
2.6	Dec 2025	All security properties proven via executable tests
3.0	Dec 2025	Post-quantum cryptography: SPHINCS+, SHA3-256, SHAKE256 VDF, STARK proofs, ML-KEM, crypto-agility layer
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Dec 2025</b>	<b>Network security hardening:</b> <b>static IP validation, VPN/proxy detection, Sybil protection, Eclipse defense, rate limiting, wallet encryption</b>

---

*É^ Montana Technical Specification v3.1 December 2025*