## EFSPI Regulatory Statistics Workshop

Scientific Committee (SC) – principles of engagement

Date: 20 OCT 2025



## Principles of the EFSPI RSW

- The focus is on discussions less on presentations
  - Presentations should motivate and guide the discussions
  - But the discussion is where the interaction takes place
- Focus is on principles and not on statistical methodology
  - For statistical methodology there are many other events
  - But we also need one focused on strategic and regulatory development principles
- When discussing development principles and strategies focus is on the why
  - The why is essential to foster common understanding between regulators and in industry
- Content should be focused on 'hot' topics
  - Hot topics either for industry or regulators provides maximum value
  - Identification of hot topics essential task of the scientific committee

## Why is this workshop different?

| Workshop                       |                      | Conference               |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Small                          | Scale                | Large                    |
| Plenary (Single-Track)         | Format               | Parallel Sessions        |
| Narrow, Strategic              | Structure            | Diverse, Technical       |
| Quality                        | Focus                | Quantity                 |
| Longer Coffee Breaks & Lunches | Networking           | Shorter Breaks & Lunches |
| Ours                           | Outcome (Impression) | Mine                     |
| Low Cost, High Value           | Cost/Value           | High Cost, ??            |

## Key themes of the workshop

- Focus on OURS, not Mine
  - Emphasizes "OUR" challenges over "My method"
  - Single plenary track facilitates common focus vs. fragmented discussions
  - Scientific Committee (SC) identifies and curates a program of the most important topics of mutual interest
- Discussion is the primary focus
- Create a safe space to discuss hard things
- Create a lasting impact

## Why is discussion the primary focus?

- Intentionally different:
  There are many other conferences where people can present their latest methods inventions
- Adoption of innovation is hard
  - Clear statement of the problem
  - Understand barriers
  - Create safe space for dialog
- Relationships take time to build

# Local Organising Committee (LOC)

## LOC – guiding principles

- Main tasks: organization and coordination of
  - on-site support (welcome desk, badges, banner, ...),
  - venue (access, equipment, relationships, ...)
  - catering,
  - technicians (IT, cameras, mics, chat observers, ...),
  - set up of registration + website maintenance,
  - budget, payment administration, certificates.
- Approximately 5 members.
- Priority to truly local representatives. Exceptions non-local member:
  - Chair, EFSPI Council liaison, treasurer, EFSPI Statistical Method Group member
  - Anticipated different location (shadowing the year before).

#### Recruitment for members of LOC

- Primarily through local personal recruitment.
  - Reach out to local people through, e.g., local EFSPI member group (BBS if event takes place in Basel) or within local companies

## Scientific Committee

## Scientific Committee – general spirit

- Scientific Committee discussions, while at times appearing inefficient, are important to ensure that the program represents a cohesive whole.
- The entire SOC needs to review and debate proposed sessions and associated messaging to ensure that the workshop program covers high priority strategic topics and fits together\*.
- Members represent the broader drug development community not themselves, their company / institution.

<sup>\*</sup>This does not rule out the possibility to advance the planning in smaller dedicated teams or in writing in-between meetings of the entire SC.

## Scientific Committee – general expectations

- Ambition to put together an interesting, balanced, and relevant program for the audience.
- Presence at preparatory meetings and active contribution to the planning (topics, questions, and speakers/panelists)
- Willingness to (co-)chair a session at the workshop, implying the intention to attend in-person
- We aim for equal representation of regulators / HTA and industry
- We aim for diversity: age (certain seniority needed), gender, region,
  areas of expertise (phases of drug development + HTA)

## SC – Regulators & HTA decision makers

 Regulators and HTA colleagues are responsible for nominating their members

## SC – industry members

- Target: ≤ 10 industry SC members
  - No more than one member per company (to be discussed internally in the company; exception: shadowing for LOC role)
  - Regular representation of all interested companies (incl. big & small pharma)
  - Mandatory SC members: the chair (LOC & SC), the EFSPI Council liaison, at least one member of the EFSPI Methods Leader Group
  - LOC representation in SC: as a minimum by the chair and the EFSPI Council liaison

#### Recruitment and tenure

- Call trough EFSPI newsletter / e-mail list, workshop in closure and/or "poster" (via link to workshop webpage), EFSPI Council, LinkedIn, ESIGs (in case that particular expertise is required)
- Tenure of two years, with the possibility to apply for a one-year extension.

## Chair

## Chair – guiding principles

- Max three years.
- Experienced drug developer.
- Ideally, former member of the scientific committee ("shadowing").
- Well connected in Europe and beyond (industry & regulators, ideally also academia).