# A Strong Separation for Adversarially Robust $\ell_0$ Estimation for Linear Sketches

Elena Gribelyuk<sup>1</sup>, Honghao Lin<sup>2</sup>, David P. Woodruff<sup>2</sup>, Huacheng Yu<sup>1</sup>, Samson Zhou<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Princeton University, <sup>2</sup> Carnegie Mellon University, <sup>3</sup> Texas A&M University

### **Standard Streaming Model**

- Input: Elements of a stream π, which arrive sequentially one at a time (worst-case, fixed in advance).
- Output: At the <u>end</u> of the stream, A outputs an approximation of a given function of π.
- Goal: A should use space sublinear in the size m
  of the input stream π.

### **Adversarially Robust Streaming**

- **Input:** Elements of a stream  $\pi$ , which arrive sequentially and *adversarially*.
- Output: At each time t, A receives an update u<sub>t</sub>, updates its internal state, and returns a current estimate r<sub>t</sub>, which is recorded by the adversary.

#### "Future updates may depend on previous updates"

 Question: can we still design algorithms that use sublinear space?

# **Distinct Elements Estimation**

- Given a stream  $\pi$  of m elements from [n], let  $f_i$  denote frequency of element i.
- Let  $F_0$  be the number of distinct elements:  $F_0 = |\{i : f_i \neq 0\}|$
- Goal: Given a stream  $\pi$  of m elements from [n] and an accuracy parameter  $\varepsilon$ , output a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation to  $F_0$
- $\Theta(\frac{1}{c^2} + \log n)$  space in standard streaming model.
  - Must use randomization to achieve sublinear space!
  - If the stream updates are adaptive, the adversary may (over time) learn something about the internal randomness.

#### **Main Result**

<u>Theorem 1:</u> There is a constant  $\varepsilon = \Omega(1)$  so that any linear sketch giving a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation to  $F_0$  on an adversarial insertion-deletion stream that uses  $r < n^c$  rows, for a constant c > 0, can be broken in  $\tilde{O}(r^8)$  queries.

## **Overview of our Approach**

Construct adaptive attack for the gap  $\ell_0$  norm problem, defined below.

<u>Definition (Gap  $\ell_0$  Norm Promise Problem):</u> Given input  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , decide whether  $|x|_0 \ge \beta n$  or  $|x|_0 \le \alpha n$ , for constants  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ . If neither holds, return 0/1 arbitrarily.

#### **High-level intuition:**

- $\triangleright$  For query x, A will observe Ax.
- Some coordinates of the input vector are significant, i.e., learned well by the sketching matrix A, but most of them are not...
  - $\triangleright$  Ex. If sketching matrix A has a row  $e_i$ , A will observe  $\langle e_i, x \rangle = x_i$  exactly.

#### Definition (significant coordinate):

Coordinate i is *significant* if there exists  $y \in \mathbb{R}^r$  such that

$$(\operatorname{FRAC}(y^{\mathsf{T}}A)_i)^2 \ge \frac{1}{s} \sum (\operatorname{FRAC}(y^{\mathsf{T}}A)_j)^2$$

• WLOG, pre-process A to obtain a new matrix A', which separates the significant coordinates (sparse part) and insignificant coordinates (dense part).

$$A' = \begin{bmatrix} S \\ D \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Attack Outline:

- 1. Iteratively identify the significant coordinates and set them to zero in all future queries. Our attack algorithm is inspired by the *interactive* fingerprinting code problem (defined below).
- 2. After we have learned all significant coordinates, the query algorithm must rely on the other coordinates, for which the sketch *Ax* only has "small" information.
- 3. Finally, we design a hard distribution family  $\mathcal{D}$  over [-R, ..., R] for the dense part, such that
  - o For  $D_p \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $p \in [\alpha, \beta]$ , we have  $\Pr_{X \sim D_p} [X = 0] = p$
  - For any  $q, p \in [a, b]$ , the total variation distance between  $Dx_p$  and  $Dx_q$  is small, i.e.,  $\frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)}$ .

### **Interactive Fingerprinting Code**

- An algorithm P selects a secret set S ⊂
   [N], |S| = n of coordinates unknown to the fingerprinting code F
- $\mathcal{F}$  must identify S by making adaptive queries  $c^t \in \{0, 1\}^N$
- For each query  $c^t$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$  must distinguish between the case that  $c^t = 0^n$  versus  $c^t = 1^n$ .
- BUT:  $\mathcal{P}$  can only observe  $c_i^t$  for  $i \in S$ .
- There exists an interactive fingerprinting code with length  $\tilde{O}(n^2)$  [SteinkeUllman15]

### **Conclusions and Future Work**

- We also provide efficient poly(r) length adaptive attacks against linear sketches over F<sub>p</sub> and R.
- Open question: lower bounds against general sketches?