

# EXOS Performance Evaluation

Egawati Panjei

2022-05-17

## Contents

<b>Case</b>	<b>1</b>
Case 1 . . . . .	1
Case 4 . . . . .	2
<b>Precision</b>	<b>3</b>
Variance Test for Precision . . . . .	3
t Test for Precision . . . . .	3
<b>Recall</b>	<b>4</b>
Variance Test for recall . . . . .	4
t Test for recall . . . . .	4
<b>F1 score</b>	<b>5</b>
Variance Test for F1 score . . . . .	5
t Test for F1 score . . . . .	5

## Case

In this report, we generate 30 datasets for Case 1 (all data attributes have strong correlation) and Case 4 (all data attributes have weak correlation). Dataset  $i$  for each case is generated using the same seed random number. Hence, they have the same number of attributes in each group (stream). Each attribute  $j$  in Case 1 and Case 2 has similar mean and range. However, the mean and range of each attribute in each case is different. Cases 1 and 2 also have the same number of inlier and outlier data points. The difference is in their data attribute correlation.

### Case 1

```
df_case1 = read.csv('dbpca/pickles/performance/small_cases/Case1/aggregate_3_1K_Case1_30.csv')
df_case1
```

##	experiment	precision	recall	f1_score	running_time
## 1	1	0.5305556	0.7166667	0.5755556	11.16485
## 2	2	0.5944444	0.6416667	0.5883333	11.22272
## 3	3	0.5805556	0.6444444	0.5798413	11.08926
## 4	4	0.5583333	0.6166667	0.5726190	11.42335
## 5	5	0.6027778	0.6277778	0.5866667	11.15206
## 6	6	0.4805556	0.6638889	0.5407143	11.22820
## 7	7	0.5166667	0.5944444	0.5296825	11.23469
## 8	8	0.5088889	0.5294444	0.5066931	11.15765
## 9	9	0.5527778	0.5750000	0.5343651	11.18522
## 10	10	0.5388889	0.5527778	0.5222222	11.24516
## 11	11	0.5000000	0.5000000	0.4777778	11.10427
## 12	12	0.5444444	0.6361111	0.5644444	11.20386
## 13	13	0.5166667	0.6194444	0.5385714	11.16366
## 14	14	0.5111111	0.6611111	0.5566667	11.06926
## 15	15	0.4583333	0.5083333	0.4738889	11.13464
## 16	16	0.6700000	0.6361111	0.6312698	11.22130
## 17	17	0.6750000	0.6694444	0.6432540	11.15420
## 18	18	0.5972222	0.6222222	0.5859524	11.18812
## 19	19	0.5472222	0.6361111	0.5527778	11.16732
## 20	20	0.6266667	0.6211111	0.5809524	11.13932
## 21	21	0.5722222	0.5750000	0.5419048	11.32427
## 22	22	0.6444444	0.6500000	0.6134921	11.18158
## 23	23	0.6066667	0.6777778	0.6004762	11.17796
## 24	24	0.5966667	0.6555556	0.5860317	11.19333
## 25	25	0.4972222	0.6805556	0.5607143	11.13376
## 26	26	0.5216667	0.6488889	0.5513757	11.09964
## 27	27	0.4861111	0.4916667	0.4794444	11.11297
## 28	28	0.5777778	0.7277778	0.6144444	11.32180
## 29	29	0.5111111	0.4944444	0.4722222	11.16073
## 30	30	0.6277778	0.6083333	0.5996825	11.45709

## Case 4

```
df_case4 = read.csv('dbpca/pickles/performance/small_cases/Case4/aggregate_3_1K_Case4_30.csv')
df_case4
```

##	experiment	precision	recall	f1_score	running_time
## 1	1	0.5611111	0.7555556	0.6088889	11.10616
## 2	2	0.5194444	0.5750000	0.5229365	11.21000
## 3	3	0.5472222	0.6250000	0.5496825	11.16100
## 4	4	0.5666667	0.6583333	0.5853175	11.24222
## 5	5	0.6250000	0.6277778	0.5892063	11.13956
## 6	6	0.4972222	0.6527778	0.5405556	11.12045
## 7	7	0.5283333	0.6200000	0.5429365	11.29755
## 8	8	0.5000000	0.5777778	0.5169048	11.16045
## 9	9	0.6000000	0.5666667	0.5517460	11.19042
## 10	10	0.6333333	0.6527778	0.6076190	11.26226
## 11	11	0.4277778	0.4055556	0.4022222	11.15099
## 12	12	0.5216667	0.6000000	0.5381746	11.18038
## 13	13	0.4694444	0.5861111	0.5027778	11.19985
## 14	14	0.5111111	0.6611111	0.5601587	11.07977

```
## 15      15 0.5111111 0.5333333 0.5111111      11.15410
## 16      16 0.5944444 0.6166667 0.5690476      11.24763
## 17      17 0.6722222 0.6888889 0.6517460      11.15730
## 18      18 0.6055556 0.5722222 0.5522222      11.19341
## 19      19 0.5694444 0.6694444 0.5784921      11.14410
## 20      20 0.6250000 0.6083333 0.5765873      11.14221
## 21      21 0.5744444 0.6155556 0.5597884      11.29272
## 22      22 0.5916667 0.6222222 0.5736508      11.17476
## 23      23 0.6183333 0.7127778 0.6263492      11.22256
## 24      24 0.6222222 0.6777778 0.6112698      11.08572
## 25      25 0.5188889 0.7211111 0.5872222      11.12132
## 26      26 0.5477778 0.7111111 0.5830952      11.21196
## 27      27 0.4972222 0.5027778 0.4905556      11.13760
## 28      28 0.5722222 0.7111111 0.5966667      11.14866
## 29      29 0.5666667 0.5694444 0.5352381      11.12403
## 30      30 0.6472222 0.6055556 0.6035714      11.17501
```

## Precision

### Variance Test for Precision

```
var.test(df_case1$precision, df_case4$precision)
```

```
##
## F test to compare two variances
##
## data: df_case1$precision and df_case4$precision
## F = 0.98496, num df = 29, denom df = 29, p-value = 0.9677
## alternative hypothesis: true ratio of variances is not equal to 1
## 95 percent confidence interval:
##  0.4688073 2.0694015
## sample estimates:
## ratio of variances
##      0.9849622
```

The var test shows that the true ratio of precision variances of Case 1 and Case 4 is equal to 1 (accept the null hypothesis).

### t Test for Precision

```
t.test(df_case1$precision, df_case4$precision, alternative = "greater", var.equal=TRUE)
```

```
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: df_case1$precision and df_case4$precision
## t = -0.20301, df = 58, p-value = 0.5801
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is greater than 0
```

```
## 95 percent confidence interval:
##  -0.027701      Inf
## sample estimates:
## mean of x mean of y
## 0.5584259 0.5614259
```

The t test shows that there is no difference between the precision mean of Case 1 and Case 4. We accept the null hypothesis.

## Recall

### Variance Test for recall

```
var.test(df_case1$recall, df_case4$recall)
```

```
##
## F test to compare two variances
##
## data: df_case1$recall and df_case4$recall
## F = 0.76749, num df = 29, denom df = 29, p-value = 0.4806
## alternative hypothesis: true ratio of variances is not equal to 1
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.3652992 1.6124979
## sample estimates:
## ratio of variances
## 0.7674922
```

The var test shows that the true ratio of recall variances of Case 1 and Case 4 is equal to 1 (accept the null hypothesis).

### t Test for recall

```
t.test(df_case1$recall, df_case4$recall, alternative = "greater", var.equal=TRUE)
```

```
##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: df_case1$recall and df_case4$recall
## t = -0.42003, df = 58, p-value = 0.662
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is greater than 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.03651697      Inf
## sample estimates:
## mean of x mean of y
## 0.6160926 0.6234259
```

The t test shows that there is no difference between the recall mean of Case 1 and Case 4. We accept the null hypothesis.

## F1 score

### Variance Test for F1 score

```
var.test(df_case1$f1_score, df_case4$f1_score)

##
## F test to compare two variances
##
## data: df_case1$f1_score and df_case4$f1_score
## F = 0.8971, num df = 29, denom df = 29, p-value = 0.772
## alternative hypothesis: true ratio of variances is not equal to 1
## 95 percent confidence interval:
##  0.4269881 1.8848040
## sample estimates:
## ratio of variances
##      0.8971003
```

The var test shows that the true ratio of f1 score variances of Case 1 and Case 4 is equal to 1 (accept the null hypothesis).

### t Test for F1 score

```
t.test(df_case1$f1_score, df_case4$f1_score, alternative = "greater", var.equal=TRUE)

##
## Two Sample t-test
##
## data: df_case1$f1_score and df_case4$f1_score
## t = -0.17492, df = 58, p-value = 0.5691
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is greater than 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.02241589      Inf
## sample estimates:
## mean of x mean of y
## 0.5587346 0.5608580
```

The t test shows that there is no difference between the F1 score mean of Case 1 and Case 4. We accept the null hypothesis since p-value is not small enough.