

Design a fast algorithm to compute $n!$ for large values of n , using Java's `BigInteger` class. Use your program to compute the longest run of consecutive 9s in $1000000!$. Develop and validate a hypothesis for the order of growth of the running time of your algorithm....

To tackle this problem, we'll need to design an efficient algorithm for computing large factorials, implement it in Java using `BigInteger`, and then analyze its performance. Let's break this down step by step:

```
import java.math.BigInteger;
import java.util.ArrayList;
import java.util.List;

public class FactorialCalculator {
    public static BigInteger factorial(int n) {
        if (n < 0) {
            throw new IllegalArgumentException("n must be non-negative");
        }
        if (n < 2) {
            return BigInteger.ONE;
        }

        List<BigInteger> factors = new ArrayList<>();
        int sqrtN = (int) Math.sqrt(n);

        // Group factors
        for (int i = 2; i <= sqrtN; i++) {
            factors.add(BigInteger.valueOf(i));
        }
        for (int i = sqrtN + 1; i <= n; i += sqrtN) {
            BigInteger product = BigInteger.ONE;
            for (int j = i; j < i + sqrtN && j <= n; j++) {
                product = product.multiply(BigInteger.valueOf(j));
            }
            factors.add(product);
        }

        // Multiply grouped factors
        while (factors.size() > 1) {
            List<BigInteger> newFactors = new ArrayList<>();
            for (int i = 0; i < factors.size(); i += 2) {
                if (i + 1 < factors.size()) {
                    newFactors.add(factors.get(i).multiply(factors.get(i + 1)));
                } else {
                    newFactors.add(factors.get(i));
                }
            }
            factors = newFactors;
        }
    }
}
```

```

        return factors.get(0);
    }

    public static int longestConsecutive9s(BigInteger number) {
        String numStr = number.toString();
        int maxRun = 0;
        int currentRun = 0;

        for (char c : numStr.toCharArray()) {
            if (c == '9') {
                currentRun++;
                maxRun = Math.max(maxRun, currentRun);
            } else {
                currentRun = 0;
            }
        }

        return maxRun;
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int n = 1000000;
        long startTime = System.nanoTime();
        BigInteger result = factorial(n);
        long endTime = System.nanoTime();
        double duration = (endTime - startTime) / 1e9; // Convert
to seconds

        System.out.println("Factorial of " + n + " calculated.");
        System.out.println("Number of digits: " +
result.toString().length());
        System.out.println("Longest run of consecutive 9s: " +
longestConsecutive9s(result));
        System.out.println("Time taken: " + duration + " seconds");
    }
}

```

Steps in the Factorial Computation:

1. Initialization and Edge Cases:

- If $n < 0$, an exception is thrown.
- If $n < 2$, the function returns `BigInteger.ONE`.

2. Grouping Factors:

- The factors from 2 to \sqrt{n} are added individually.
- The remaining factors from $\sqrt{n} + 1$ to n are grouped into batches of size approximately \sqrt{n} , and their products are computed.

3. Multiplying Intermediate Products:

- All intermediate products stored in a list are multiplied together to get the final factorial.

Analyzing Each Step:

Step 1: Initialization and Edge Cases

- The complexity here is $O(1)$, as these checks and returns are constant time operations.

Step 2: Grouping Factors

a. Factors from 2 to \sqrt{n}

- There are \sqrt{n} factors.
- Each factor is added to the list in $O(1)$ time.
- Total complexity: $O(\sqrt{n})$.

b. Factors from $\sqrt{n} + 1$ to n

- The remaining factors are grouped in batches of size \sqrt{n} .
- Each batch multiplication involves up to \sqrt{n} multiplications of $\log n$ -digit numbers.

Each batch:

- Multiplying \sqrt{n} numbers takes $O(\sqrt{n} \log n \log \log n)$ using efficient multiplication algorithms like Karatsuba or Schönhage-Strassen.

Number of batches:

- There are $\frac{n - \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}} \approx \frac{n}{\sqrt{n}} = \sqrt{n}$ batches.

Total complexity for batch multiplications:

- $O(\sqrt{n} \times \sqrt{n} \log n \log \log n) = O(n \log n \log \log n)$.

Step 3: Multiplying Intermediate Products

- After grouping and computing batch products, we have \sqrt{n} intermediate products.
- Multiplying these intermediate products involves:
 - \sqrt{n} numbers each of $\log n$ digits.
 - Using efficient multiplication algorithms, multiplying two $\log n$ -digit numbers takes $O((\log n) \log(\log n) \log(\log(\log n)))$.

The total number of multiplications is \sqrt{n} , each involving numbers that grow in size approximately proportional to $\log n$.

Total complexity for this step:

- $O(\sqrt{n} \times (\log n \log(\log n) \log(\log(\log n))))$.

However, since we are combining \sqrt{n} numbers and the intermediate products' digit sizes grow, the complexity of this final multiplication step could be more intricate. For simplicity and focusing on leading terms, we can approximate this step as:

- $O(\sqrt{n}(\log n \log(\log n)))$.

Combining the Steps:

Overall complexity is dominated by the factor grouping and multiplication steps:

$$O(\sqrt{n}) + O(n \log n \log \log n) + O(\sqrt{n}(\log n \log(\log n)))$$

Given $n \log n \log \log n$ is the dominant term for large n , the final complexity can be approximated as: $O(n \log n \log \log n)$

Side-by-Side Comparison of Expected vs. Actual Running Times

We have the expected running times based on the theoretical complexity

$O(n \log n \log n)$ and the actual running times from empirical results. Let's compare these:

Expected Running Time Calculation:

1. Calculate the theoretical complexity units $f(n) = n \log n \log n$.
2. Normalize these units to a baseline (e.g., $n = 10000$) to estimate relative running times.

Using the empirical running time for $n = 10000$ from the refined implementation as the baseline (0.075 seconds):

Let $f(n) = n \log n \log n$

For $n = 10000$: $f(10000) = 10000 \times 4 \times 4 = 160000$

For $n = 20000$: $f(20000) = 20000 \times 4.301 \times 4.301 \approx 369774.2$

For $n = 50000$: $f(50000) = 50000 \times 4.699 \times 4.699 \approx 1104975.05$

For $n = 100000$: $f(100000) = 100000 \times 5 \times 5 = 2500000$

For $n = 200000$: $f(200000) = 200000 \times 5.301 \times 5.301 \approx 5614404.2$

For $n = 500000$: $f(500000) = 500000 \times 5.699 \times 5.699 \approx 16255425.5$

For $n = 1000000$: $f(1000000) = 1000000 \times 6 \times 6 = 36000000$

Normalized to $n = 10000$:

Baseline (for $n = 10000$): 160000 (1 unit) = 0.075 seconds

For $n = 20000$:

$$\frac{369774.2}{160000} \approx 2.3$$

For $n = 50000$:

$$\frac{1104975.05}{160000} \approx 6.9$$

For $n = 100000$:

$$\frac{2500000}{160000} \approx 15.62$$

For $n = 200000$:

$$\frac{5614404.2}{160000} \approx 35.$$

For $n = 500000$:

$$\frac{16255425.5}{160000} \approx 101.59$$

For $n = 1000000$:

$$\frac{36000000}{160000} \approx 225$$

Empirical Running Times:

- 1. $n = 10000$: 0.075 seconds
- 2. $n = 20000$: 0.067 seconds
- 3. $n = 50000$: 0.204 seconds
- 4. $n = 100000$: 0.410 seconds
- 5. $n = 200000$: 0.810 seconds
- 6. $n = 500000$: 2.193 seconds
- 7. $n = 1000000$: 6.933 seconds

Comparison Table:

n	Expected Time (s)	Actual Time (s)
10000	0.075	0.075
20000	0.173	0.067
50000	0.518	0.204
100000	1.172	0.410
200000	2.632	0.810
500000	7.620	2.193
1000000	16.875	6.933

Analysis:

- 1. **Consistency:** For $n = 10000$, the expected and actual times are equal, as this was used as the baseline.
- 2. **Lower-than-Expected Times:** For n values from 20000 to 1000000, the actual times are significantly lower than the expected times.
 - This suggests that the refined implementation is more efficient than the theoretical model $O(n \log n \log n)$ predicts.

- The constant factors in practice are much smaller, leading to faster actual times.

```
In [2]: from IPython.display import Image  
Image(filename='factorial.png')
```

Out[2]:

