17/06/2024, 19:07 gcdtime

#### Euclidean Algorithm (gcd) Time

Recall the core of the Euclidean algorithm for finding the greatest common divisor (gcd) of two integers  $\,p\,$  and  $\,q\,$ :

```
gcd(p, q):
    if q == 0:
        return p
    else:
        return gcd(q, p % q)
```

That is

$$\gcd(p,q) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} q & ext{if } q = 0 \ \gcd(q,p \mod q) & ext{if } q 
eq 0 \end{array} 
ight.$$

### **Euclidean Algorithm and Remainder Properties**

The Euclidean algorithm is based on the principle that the gcd of two numbers also divides their difference. Specifically, if p and q are the numbers, then:

$$\gcd(p,q) = \gcd(q,p \mod q)$$

This means that each recursive step of the Euclidean algorithm replaces the larger number p with q and the smaller number q with the remainder  $q = p \mod q$ .

# **Key Property of Modulo Operation**

When performing the modulo operation  $r=p\mod q$  , the remainder r satisfies:  $0\leq r < q$ 

This is by definition of the modulo operation. Importantly, r is always strictly less than q.

#### Argument about Decrease by at Least a Factor of 2

To show that the second argument in the gcd function decreases by at least a factor of 2 for every second recursive call, we use the following reasoning:

1. Initial Call: gcd(p,q)

Here, q is the second argument.

2. First Recursive Call:  $\gcd(q,r_1)$ 

```
Where r_1 = p \mod q, so 0 \le r_1 < q.
```

3. Second Recursive Call:  $\gcd(r_1,r_2)$ 

17/06/2024, 19:07 gcdtime

Where 
$$r_2 = q \mod r_1$$
, so  $0 \le r_2 < r_1$ .

Now, consider the relationship between q and  $r_2$ . Since  $r_1 < q$ , the value of  $r_1$  could be anything from 0 to q-1.

However, the crucial insight comes from the fact that:

$$r_2 = q \mod r_1$$

Since  $r_1$  is now the divisor and q the dividend in the modulo operation, the remainder  $r_2$  must be less than  $r_1$ . Importantly, we look at the case when  $r_1$  is close to q, but since it can be no more than q-1, for  $r_2$  to be close to half of q,  $r_1$  must be less than or equal to q/2.

In the worst-case scenario:  $r_2 \leq q/2$ 

Thus, after every two recursive calls, the second argument (which starts as q) will be reduced to at most half of its original value.

## **Recursive Call Count Analysis**

Let's now show that the gcd function uses at most  $2\log_2 n + 1$  recursive calls, where n is the larger of p and q.

1. Initial Call:  $\gcd(p,q)$ 

Assume 
$$p \geq q$$
, so  $n = p$ .

- 2. **After 2 calls:** The second argument has been reduced to at most q/2.
- 3. After 4 calls: The second argument has been reduced to at most q/4.
- 4. **Generalizing:** After 2k calls, the second argument is reduced to at most  $q/2^k$ .

The recursion stops when the second argument reaches 1 or 0. Therefore, the number of times you can halve q before it becomes less than or equal to 1 is  $\log_2 q$ . Since we are halving every two calls, the total number of calls required is:

$$2\log_2 q$$

Adding the initial call gives:

$$T(n) \leq 2\log_2 n + 1$$

where n is the larger of p and q.

Thus, the number of recursive calls T(n) satisfies:  $T(n) \leq 2\log_2 q + 1$ 

Since  $q \leq p \leq n$ , we can substitute q with n in the worst case, giving:

$$T(n) \leq 2\log_2 n + 1$$

17/06/2024, 19:07 gcdtime