|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **REVIEW DOCUMENT** | | | | | | | |
| Start Date: 03.04.2022 | | | |  | | | |
| Project Name: GÖTÜR | | | | Number of Pages: | | | |
| Product Name: GÖTÜR | | | | Product Definition: With their words: “Our product will meet all your needs, from baby diapers, chocolate, chips and beverages, shaving foam, detergent and deodorant to cat-dog food, from batteries to light bulbs, thanks to the product range that we expand every day. It offers opportunities such as delivery within minutes, live order tracking, digital and payment at the door, 24/7 service.” | | | |
| Roles: | Review Leader: | | | *Berkay Pamuk* | | | |
| Reviewers: | | | *1. Berkay Pamuk* | | | *3. Hamdi Ekin Küçük* |
| *2. Bengisu Mısır Yaşa* | | | *4. Ahmet Kul* |
| Review Materials: | * *Vision (V)* * *Glossary (G)* * *Use Case Model (U)* * *System-Wide Requirements (S)* * *Risk List (R)* * *Project Plan (P)* * *Work Items List (W)* * *Next Iteration’s Plan (N)* | | | | | | |
| Total Number of Errors: 9 | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Status: | | | ACCEPTED  **\_OK\_** as it is  \_\_\_ with rework | | REJECTED  \_\_\_\_ review again | | |
| ERROR TYPES | | | | | | | |
| Error Type Number | | Error Type Definition | | | | Number of Errors Found | |
| 1 | | Spelling (grammar, punctuation, etc) | | | | 1 | |
| 2 | | Inconsistency with the standards | | | | 1 | |
| 3 | | Uncertain | | | | 1 | |
| 4 | | Incorrect | | | | 1 | |
| 5 | | Inapplicable | | | |  | |
| 6 | | Internal Inconsistency | | | |  | |
| 7 | | External Inconsistency | | | | 1 | |
| 8 | | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | | | | 4 | |
| 9 | | Other | | | |  | |
|  | | **TOTAL** | | | | 9 | |
| Importance Types | | Importance Type | | | | Number of Errors Found | |
|  | | Little | | | | 8 | |
|  | | Medium | | | | 1 | |
|  | | Important | | | |  | |
|  | | **TOTAL** | | | | 9 | |

| **No** | **Reviewed**  **Material**  **ID** | **Section** | **Page** | **Line/**  **No** | **Error**  **Type** | **Importance** | **Explanation** | **Correction Status** | **Correction**  **Explanation** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | **P** | 3 | 7 |  | 8 | Little | There is no detail on which technical practices will be used. | Done | Correction explanations can be found in the Description field located in Revision History section for all reviewed materials. |
| 2 | **P** | 6 | 11 |  | 2 | Little | Lessons learned definitions are done in the section instead of lessons learned. | Done |
| 3 | **V** | 3.1 | 6 | 7 | 1 | Little | *Implements, tests and* ***documentates***  Should be documents | Done |
| 4 | **V** | 4.1 | 8 |  | 7 | Medium | Features are not fully projected in the work item list | Done |
| 5 | **S** | 4.1 | 9 |  | 3 | Little | *4.1.1 The product will have single web page for each type of user.*  *4.1.2 Customers will be able to navigate back to prior page until successfully placing the order.*  The page definition seems a little ambiguous. | Done |
| 6 | **R** |  |  | 4 | 8 | Little | Risk mitigation is not possible if risk happens:  completing use case models may not be a solution if use cases are not well defined | Done |
| 7 | **R** |  |  | 3-5-6 | 4 | Little | Type should be resource:  (Resource risk: organization, people, funding, or time) | Done |
| 8 | **U** |  |  |  | 8 | Little | System failure status is not mentioned in any use case scenario. | Done |
| 9 | **U** |  |  |  | 8 | Little | Precondition/Postcondition can be added for fully dressed style. | Done |