

TODO

Author(s)

Affiliation(s)

Abstract. TODO

You can leave notes using the command `\firstName{note}`.  
Page limit: 16 + 4 (appendix)

## 1 Introduction

TODO

## 2 Preliminaries

TODO: Check and simplify.

We define boolean domain  $\mathbb{B} = \{\perp, \top\}$  as the set of boolean truth values, where  $\perp < \top$  and they complement each other, i.e.,  $\overline{\perp} = \top$  and  $\overline{\top} = \perp$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{R}$  the set of reals,  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  the set of nonnegative reals, and  $\mathbb{R}_{> 0}$  the set of positive reals. An interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  of reals with the end points  $a < b$  has length  $|b - a|$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite *alphabet*. We denote by  $\Sigma^*$  the set of finite words over  $\Sigma$  and by  $\epsilon$  the empty word. For  $u \in \Sigma^*$ , we respectively write  $\text{prefix}(u)$  and  $\text{suffix}(u)$  for the sets of nonempty prefixes and suffixes of  $u$ . We also let  $\text{infix}(u) = \{v \in \Sigma^* \mid \exists x, y \in \Sigma^* : u = xvy \wedge v \neq \epsilon\}$ . For a nonempty word  $u \in \Sigma^*$  and  $1 \leq i \leq |u|$ , we denote by  $u[i]$  the  $i$ th letter of  $u$ , by  $u[..i]$  the prefix of  $u$  of length  $i$ , and by  $u[i..]$  the suffix of  $u$  of length  $|u| - i + 1$ . Given  $u \in \Sigma^*$  and  $\ell \geq 1$ , we denote by  $u^\ell$  the word obtained by concatenating  $u$  by itself  $\ell - 1$  times. Moreover, given  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ , we define  $\text{first}(L) = \{u[0] \mid u \in L\}$ .

We define the function  $\text{destutter} : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  inductively as follows. For all  $\sigma \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ , let  $\text{destutter}(\sigma) = \sigma$ . For all  $u \in \Sigma^*$  such that  $u = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 v$  for some  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma$  and  $v \in \Sigma^*$ , let (i)  $\text{destutter}(u) = \text{destutter}(\sigma_2 v)$  if  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ , and (ii)  $\text{destutter}(u) = \sigma_1 \cdot \text{destutter}(\sigma_2 v)$  otherwise. By extension, for a set  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  of finite words, we write  $\text{destutter}(L) = \{\text{destutter}(u) \mid u \in L\}$ . Given a tuple  $(u_1, \dots, u_m)$  of finite words of the same length, we write  $\text{destutter}(u_1, \dots, u_m)$  for the extension of  $\text{destutter}$  defined as expected: requiring the equality condition in (i) to hold for all the words in the tuple.

Moreover, given an integer  $k \geq 0$ , we define  $\text{stutter}_k : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  such that  $\text{stutter}_k(u) = \{v \in \Sigma^* \mid |v| = k \wedge \text{destutter}(v) = \text{destutter}(u)\}$  if  $k \geq |\text{destutter}(u)|$ , and  $\text{stutter}_k(u) = \emptyset$  otherwise.

## 2.1 Signal Temporal Logic

Let  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$ . A function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is *right-continuous* iff  $\lim_{a \rightarrow c^+} f(a) = f(c)$  for all  $c \in A$ , and *non-Zeno* iff for every bounded interval  $I \subseteq A$  there are finitely many  $a \in I$  such that  $f$  is not continuous at  $a$ .

A *signal* is a right-continuous, non-Zeno, piecewise-constant function  $x : [0, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where  $d \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is the duration of  $x$  and  $[0, d)$  is its temporal domain. Let  $x : [0, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a signal. An *event* of  $x$  is a pair  $(t, x(t))$  where  $t \in [0, d)$ . An *edge* of  $x$  is an event  $(t, x(t))$  such that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow t^-} x(s) \neq \lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} x(s)$ . In particular, an edge is *rising* if  $\lim_{s \rightarrow t^-} x(s) < \lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} x(s)$ , and it is *falling* otherwise. A signal  $x : [0, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  can be represented finitely by its initial value and edges: if  $x$  has  $m$  edges, then  $x = (t_0, v_0)(t_1, v_1) \dots (t_m, v_m)$  such that  $t_0 = 0$ ,  $t_{i-1} < t_i$ , and  $(t_i, v_i)$  is an edge of  $x$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

Let  $\text{AP}$  be a set of atomic propositions. The syntax is given by the following grammar where  $p \in \text{AP}$  and  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is an interval.

$$\varphi := p \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \wedge \varphi \mid \varphi \mathcal{U}_I \varphi$$

A *trace*  $w = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a finite vector of signals. We express atomic propositions as functions of trace values at a time point  $t$ , i.e., a proposition  $p \in \text{AP}$  over a trace  $w = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is defined as  $f_p(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)) > 0$  where  $f_p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function. Given intervals  $I, J \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , we define  $I \oplus J = \{i + j \mid i \in I \wedge j \in J\}$ , and we simply write  $t$  for the singleton set  $\{t\}$ .

Below we recall the finite-trace qualitative semantics of STL defined over  $\mathbb{B}$  [1]. Let  $d \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and  $w = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with  $x_i : [0, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  be STL formulas and let  $t \in [0, d)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} [w, t \models p]_{\text{STL}} &\iff f_p(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)) > 0 \\ [w, t \models \neg \varphi_1]_{\text{STL}} &\iff \overline{[w, t \models \varphi_1]_{\text{STL}}} \\ [w, t \models \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2]_{\text{STL}} &\iff [w, t \models \varphi_1]_{\text{STL}} \wedge [w, t \models \varphi_2]_{\text{STL}} \\ [w, t \models \varphi_1 \mathcal{U}_I \varphi_2]_{\text{STL}} &\iff \exists t' \in (t \oplus I) \cap [0, d) : \\ &\quad [w, t' \models \varphi_2]_{\text{STL}} \wedge \forall t'' \in (t, t') : [w, t'' \models \varphi_1]_{\text{STL}} \end{aligned}$$

We simply write  $[w \models \varphi]$  for  $[w, 0 \models \varphi]$ . We additionally use the following standard abbreviations: **false** =  $p \wedge \neg p$ , **true** =  $\neg \text{false}$ ,  $\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 = \neg(\neg \varphi_1 \wedge \neg \varphi_2)$ ,  $\Diamond_I \varphi = \text{true} \mathcal{U}_I \varphi$ , and  $\Box_I \varphi = \neg \Diamond_I \neg \varphi$ . Moreover, the untimed temporal operators are defined through their timed counterparts on the interval  $(0, \infty)$ , e.g.,  $\varphi_1 \mathcal{U} \varphi_2 = \varphi_1 \mathcal{U}_{(0, \infty)} \varphi_2$ .

## 2.2 Distributed Semantics of Signal Temporal Logic

We consider an asynchronous and loosely-coupled message-passing system of  $n \geq 2$  reliable agents producing a set of signals  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , where for some  $d \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  we have  $x_i : [0, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The agents do not share memory or

a global clock. Only to formalize statements, we speak of a *hypothetical* global clock and denote its value by  $T$ . For local time values, we use the lowercase letters  $t$  and  $s$ .

For a signal  $x_i$ , we denote by  $V_i$  the set of its events, by  $E_i^\uparrow$  the set of its rising edges, and by  $E_i^\downarrow$  that of falling edges. Moreover, we let  $E_i = E_i^\uparrow \cup E_i^\downarrow$ . We represent the local clock of the  $i$ th agent as an increasing and divergent function  $c_i : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  that maps a global time  $T$  to a local time  $c_i(T)$ . We denote by  $c_i^{-1}$  the inverse of the local clock function  $c_i$ .

We assume that the system is *partially synchronous*: the agents use a clock synchronization algorithm that guarantees a bounded clock skew with respect to the global clock, i.e.,  $|c_i(T) - c_j(T)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq N$  and  $T \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , where  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{> 0}$  is the maximum clock skew.

We assume that signals have *bounded variability* with respect to the global clock: for each signal  $x_i$  and every pair  $(t, x_i(t)), (t', x_i(t')) \in E_i$  of edges, we have  $|c_i^{-1}(t) - c_i^{-1}(t')| \geq \delta$  where  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is the variability bound. **Ege:** This definition made sense when we defined partial synchrony with respect to the global clock. It seems off with the pairwise definition of partial synchrony. Do we even use this assumption now? Maybe we can assume equally spaced edges for the theoretical analysis?

**Definition 1.** A distributed signal is a pair  $(S, \rightsquigarrow)$ , where  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a vector of signals and  $\rightsquigarrow$  is the happened-before relation between events in signals extended with the partial synchrony assumption as follows.

- For every agent, the events of its signals are totally ordered, i.e., for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and all  $(t, x_i(t)), (t', x_i(t')) \in V_i$ , if  $t < t'$  then  $(t, x_i(t)) \rightsquigarrow (t', x_i(t'))$ .
- Every pair of events whose timestamps are at least  $\varepsilon$  apart is totally ordered, i.e., for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  and all  $(t, x_i(t)) \in V_i$  and  $(t', x_j(t')) \in V_j$ , if  $t + \varepsilon \leq t'$  then  $(t, x_i(t)) \rightsquigarrow (t', x_j(t'))$ .

*Example 2.* **TODO:** distributed signal, happened-before relation

**Definition 3.** Let  $(S, \rightsquigarrow)$  be a distributed signal of  $n$  signals, and  $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$  be the set of its events. A set  $C \subseteq V$  is a consistent cut iff for every event in  $C$ , all events that happened before it also belong to  $C$ , i.e., for all  $e, e' \in V$ , if  $e \in C$  and  $e' \rightsquigarrow e$ , then  $e' \in C$ .

We denote by  $\mathbb{C}(T)$  the (infinite) set of consistent cuts at global time  $T$ . Given a consistent cut  $C$ , its *frontier*  $\text{front}(C) \subseteq C$  is the set consisting of the last events in  $C$  of each signal, i.e.,  $\text{front}(C) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{(t, x_i(t)) \in V_i \cap C \mid \forall t' > t : (t', x_i(t')) \notin V_i \cap C\}$ .

**Definition 4.** A consistent cut flow is a function  $\text{ccf} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow 2^V$  that maps a global clock value  $T$  to the frontier of a consistent cut at time  $T$ , i.e.,  $\text{ccf}(T) \in \{\text{front}(C) \mid C \in \mathbb{C}(T)\}$ .

For all  $T, T' \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , if  $T < T'$ , then for every pair of events  $(c_i(T), x_i(c_i(T))) \in \text{ccf}(T)$  and  $(c_i(T'), x_i(c_i(T'))) \in \text{ccf}(T')$  we have

$(c_i(T), x_i(c_i(T))) \rightsquigarrow (c_i(T'), x_i(c_i(T')))$ . We denote by  $\text{CCF}(S, \rightsquigarrow)$  the set of all consistent cut flows of the distributed signal  $(S, \rightsquigarrow)$ . Observe that a consistent cut flow of a distributed signal induces a vector of synchronous signals which can be evaluated using the standard semantics described in Section 2.1.

*Example 5. TODO: consistent cut, frontier, consistent cut flow*

Let  $(S, \rightsquigarrow)$  be a distributed signal of  $n$  signals  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . A consistent cut flow  $\text{ccf} \in \text{CCF}(S, \rightsquigarrow)$  yields a trace  $w_{\text{ccf}} = (x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$  on a temporal domain  $[0, D)$  where  $D \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $(c_i(T), x_i(c_i(T))) \in \text{ccf}(T)$  implies  $x'_i(T) = x_i(c_i(T))$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $T \in [0, D)$ . The set of traces of  $(S, \rightsquigarrow)$  is given by  $\text{Tr}(S, \rightsquigarrow) = \{w_{\text{ccf}} \mid \text{ccf} \in \text{CCF}(S, \rightsquigarrow)\}$ . Moreover, we write  $\text{Tr}(S, \rightsquigarrow, \delta)$  for the largest subset of  $\text{Tr}(S, \rightsquigarrow)$  where all signals of all traces satisfy the bounded variability assumption with respect to the constant  $\delta$ .

We define the satisfaction of an STL formula  $\varphi$  by a distributed signal  $(S, \rightsquigarrow)$  over a three-valued domain  $\{\top, \perp, ?\}$ .

$$[(S, \rightsquigarrow) \models \varphi]_{\text{STL}} = \begin{cases} \top & \text{if } \forall w \in \text{Tr}(S, \rightsquigarrow) : [w \models \varphi]_{\text{STL}} \\ \perp & \text{if } \forall w \in \text{Tr}(S, \rightsquigarrow) : [w \models \neg \varphi]_{\text{STL}} \\ ? & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If the set of synchronous traces  $\text{Tr}(S, \rightsquigarrow)$  defined by a distributed signal  $(S, \rightsquigarrow)$  is contained in the set of traces allowed by the formula  $\varphi$ , then  $(S, \rightsquigarrow)$  satisfies  $\varphi$ . Similarly, if  $\text{Tr}(S, \rightsquigarrow)$  has an empty intersection with the set of traces  $\Phi$  defines, then  $(S, \rightsquigarrow)$  violates  $\varphi$ . Otherwise, the evaluation is inconclusive since some traces satisfy the property and some violate it.

### 3 Overapproximation of Synchronous Traces

TODO: summary. main idea is to reduce bookkeeping as much as possible to improve scalability. note we use bool signals for convenience of demonstration, but the methods are general.

$\text{STL}^+$  has the same syntax as STL and the below semantics.  $\text{Tr}^+(S, \rightsquigarrow)$  is the overapproximation we compute.

$$[(S, \rightsquigarrow) \models \varphi]_{\text{STL}^+} = \begin{cases} \top & \text{if } \forall w \in \text{Tr}^+(S, \rightsquigarrow) : [w \models \varphi]_{\text{STL}} \\ \perp & \text{if } \forall w \in \text{Tr}^+(S, \rightsquigarrow) : [w \models \neg \varphi]_{\text{STL}} \\ ? & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 6.** For every STL formula  $\varphi$  and every distributed signal  $(S, \rightsquigarrow)$ , if  $[(S, \rightsquigarrow) \models \varphi]_{\text{STL}^+}$  then  $[(S, \rightsquigarrow) \models \varphi]_{\text{STL}}$ .

#### 3.1 Uncertainty Regions and Canonical Segmentations

Consider a signal  $x : [0, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with a single rising edge from value 2 to 3 at local time  $t$ . The monitor observing this signal needs to take into account

how the local clock of the agent producing  $x$  relates with the global clock: due to clock skew, the rising edge of  $x$  occurs in the range  $(t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon)$  according to the global clock. This range is called an *uncertainty region* because in the interval  $(t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon)$  the monitor cannot tell the value of  $x$  precisely, but only that it changes from 2 to 3. To systematically reason about uncertainty regions of signals, we use the notion of segmentation of temporal domains of signals.

Given a temporal domain  $I = [0, d) \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , a *segmentation* of  $I$  is a partition of  $I$  into finitely many intervals  $I_1, \dots, I_k$  of the form  $I_j = [t_j, t_{j+1})$  such that  $t_j < t_{j+1}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . By extension, a segmentation of a collection of signals with the same temporal domain  $I$  is a segmentation of  $I$ .

Let  $x : [0, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a signal and  $E_x = \{(t_1, x(t_1)), \dots, (t_m, x(t_m))\}$  be the set of edges of  $x$ , given in an increasing order of local clock values. For  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , we let

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{\text{lo}}(x, t_i) &= \max_{1 \leq j \leq i} t_j - \varepsilon + (i - j)\delta, \text{ and} \\ \theta_{\text{hi}}(x, t_i) &= \min_{i \leq j \leq m} t_j + \varepsilon - (j - i)\delta.\end{aligned}$$

Intuitively,  $\theta_{\text{lo}}$  and  $\theta_{\text{hi}}$  give us the lower and upper bounds on the value of the global clock for a given edge, i.e., the bounds on the uncertainty region of the edge. We use these to describe a canonical segmentation of a distributed signal.

Let  $(S, \rightsquigarrow)$  be a distributed signal of  $n$  signals. For each signal  $x_i$ , let  $F_i = \{\theta_{\text{lo}}(x_i, t_j) \mid (t_j, x_i(t_j)) \in E_i\} \cup \{\theta_{\text{hi}}(x_i, t_j) \mid (t_j, x_i(t_j)) \in E_i\}$  be the set of bounds on its uncertainty regions. Let  $d' = \max(d, \max(\bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i))$ , which corresponds to the duration of the distributed signal with respect to the global clock. **Borzo: why global clock?** We define  $F_S = \{0, d'\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i$  and let  $(a_j)_{1 \leq j \leq |F|}$  be an increasing sequence of clock values corresponding to the elements of  $F$ . We define the *canonical segmentation* of  $(S, \rightsquigarrow)$  as  $G_S = \{I_1, \dots, I_{|F|-1}\}$  where  $I_j = [a_j, a_{j+1})$  for all  $1 \leq j < |F|$ .

*Example 7.* Let  $(S, \rightsquigarrow)$  be a distributed signal with  $S = (x_1, x_2)$  over the temporal domain  $[0, 8)$  such that  $\pi(x_1) \neq \pi(x_2)$ . **Borzo:  $\pi$  is unnecessary.** Suppose  $x_1(0) = 2$  and  $x_2(0) = 3$ , and let  $\varepsilon = 2$  and  $\delta = 3$ . Consider the case where  $x_1$  has a rising edge  $(3, 5)$  and a falling edge  $(5, 3)$  while  $x_2$  has a rising edge  $(2, 7)$  and a falling edge  $(5, 2)$ . For  $x_1$ , taking into account only the clock skew would give us the uncertainty regions  $(1, 5)$  and  $(3, 7)$ . **Borzo: We should this by a figure.** However, the computation of uncertainty regions take into account also the bounded variability. Intuitively, if the rising edge of  $x_1$  occurs at global time 1, considering bounded variability, its falling edge occurs at the earliest at global time 4 instead of 3. Conversely, if the falling edge occurs at global time 7 then its rising edge occurs at the latest at global time 4 instead of 5. Then, we obtain  $F_1 = \{1, 4, 7\}$ . For  $x_2$ , the uncertainty regions are  $(0, 4)$  and  $(3, 7)$ , which gives us  $F_2 = \{0, 3, 4, 7\}$ ; and therefore,  $F_S = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 7, 8\}$ . This leads to the canonical segmentation  $G_S = \{[0, 1), [1, 3), [3, 4), [4, 7), [7, 8)\}$ .

**Fig. 1.** **TODO**

### **3.2 Value Expressions**

**TODO**

### **3.3 Overapproximate Evaluation**

**TODO** – for each signal concat the valexpr sets. choose one elt from each. stutter to the right length. this belongs to the set.

## **4 Monitoring Algorithm**

**TODO**

## **5 Experimental Evaluation**

**TODO**

## **6 Conclusion**

**TODO**

## **References**

1. Maler, O., Nickovic, D.: Monitoring properties of analog and mixed-signal circuits. Int. J. Softw. Tools Technol. Transf. **15**(3), 247–268 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10009-012-0247-9>