

Contents

0.0 Credits & Legal Information	4
0.1 Legal Information	4
0.1.1 Using This License	5
0.1.2 <i>Quest Worlds</i> Open Game License, Version 1.0	5
0.2 Credits	7
1.0 Introduction	8
1.1 Why <i>Quest Worlds</i> ?	8
1.2 Version	8
1.3 Who Is This Document For	9
1.4 Numbering	9
1.5 Participants	9
1.5.1 Players	9
1.5.2 Game Master	10
2.0 Mechanics	10
2.1 Abilities	10
2.1.1 Flaws	11
2.1.2 Scores, Ratings and Masteries	11
2.2 Possessions and Equipment	12
2.2.1 Wealth	13
2.3 Contest Procedure	13
2.3.1 Resolution Methods	13
2.3.2 Framing the Contest	14
2.3.3 Rank	16
2.3.4 Resistance	16
2.3.5 Die Rolls	17
2.3.6 Outcome	18
2.3.7 Bumps	19
2.4 Modifiers	20
2.4.1 Stretches	20
2.4.2 Situational Modifiers	20
2.5 Augments	20
2.6 Flaws	21
2.6.1 Hindrance	22
2.6.2 Act According to Your Flaw	22
2.7 Benefits and Consequences	23
2.7.1 Consequences	23
2.7.2 Benefits	24
2.7.3 Recovery and Healing	25
2.7.4 Waning Benefits	26
2.7.5 Multiple Benefits And Consequences	26
2.8 Resistance Progression	26
2.8.1 No Progression	27
2.9 Combined Abilities	27
2.10 Mismatched and Graduated Goals	27

2.11 Mobs, Gangs, and Hordes	27
2.12 Ganging Up	28
2.13 Mass Effort	28
3.0 Character Creation	28
3.1 As-You-Go Method	29
3.2 Assigning Ability Scores	29
3.3 Keywords	29
3.4 Flaws	30
3.5 Advanced Character Creation	30
3.5.1 The List Method	30
3.5.2 The Prose Method	30
3.6 List Method	31
3.7 Prose Method	31
4.0 Simple Contests	31
4.1 Simple Contest	31
4.1.1 Procedure	31
4.2 Group Simple Contest	32
4.2.1 Procedure	32
5.0 Long Contests	32
5.1 No Nesting	33
5.2 Scored Contest	33
5.2.1 Procedure	34
5.2.2 Scored Contest Outcomes	35
5.2.3 Parting Shot	35
5.2.4 Asymmetrical Round	36
5.2.5 Disengaging	36
5.3 Group Scored Contest	36
5.3.1 Procedure	37
5.3.2 Group Scored Contest Outcomes	37
5.3.3 Unrelated Actions	38
5.3.4 Assists	38
5.3.5 Followers	38
5.3.6 Risky Gambits	39
5.3.7 Defensive Responses	39
5.3.8 Joining Scored Contests in Progress	39
5.3.9 Switching Abilities	39
5.4 Extended Contest	40
5.4.1 Procedure	40
5.4.2 Advantage Points	40
5.4.3 Extended Contest Outcomes	42
5.4.4 Parting Shot	42
5.4.5 Desperation Stake	42
5.4.6 Asymmetrical Exchange	42
5.4.7 Switching Abilities	43
5.4.8 Disengaging	43
5.4.9 AP Gifting	43
5.4.10 Edges and Handicaps	43
5.5 Group Extended Contests	44

5.5.1	Procedure	44
5.5.2	Group Extended Contest Outcomes	44
5.5.3	Second Chance	45
5.5.4	AP Lending	45
5.6	Chained Contest	45
5.6.1	Group Chained Contest	46
5.6.2	Group Chained Contest Outcomes	46
5.6.3	Followers in a Chained Contest	46
5.7	Extended vs Scored Contests vs Chained Contests	46
5.8	Extremely Long Contests	47
6.0	Relationships	47
6.1	Supporting Characters	47
6.2	Allies	47
6.3	Patrons	48
6.4	Contacts	48
6.4.1	Occupational Contacts	48
6.5	Followers	48
6.5.1	Sidekick	48
6.5.2	Replacing Lost Sidekicks	49
6.5.3	Retainers	49
6.6	Relationships as Flaws	49
6.6.1	Dependents	49
6.6.2	Adversaries	49
7.0	Story Points	50
7.1	Story Point Pool	50
7.1.1	Refreshing Story Points	50
7.1.2	Story Point Pool Summary	51
7.2	Bump with Story Points	51
7.3	Plot Edits	51
8.0	Experience	51
8.1	Earning Experience Points	52
8.1.1	Experience on Defeat	52
8.2	Improving Your Character	53
8.2.1	Catch-Ups	53
8.2.2	Rate of Advancement	53
8.2.3	Directed Improvements	53
8.2.4	Timing of Improvements	54
8.3	Milestone Improvements	54
9.0	Community Resources and Support	54
9.1	Community Design	54
9.1.1	Defining Resources	54
9.1.2	Assigning Ranks	55
9.1.3	Community Questionnaires	55
9.2	Drawing on Resources	55
9.2.1	Resource Depletion	56
9.2.2	Required Resource Use	56
9.2.3	Resource as a Penalty	56

9.2.4	Bolstering Resources	56
9.2.5	Background Events	56
10.0	Appendix	57
10.1	Glossary of Terms	57
10.2	Version Changes	60
10.2.1	Version 3.0	60
10.3	Outcomes	61
10.3.1	Degree of Victory or Defeat	61
10.3.2	Simple Contest	62
10.3.3	Group Simple Contest	63
10.3.4	Boosting Outcomes	64
10.3.5	Scored Contest	64
10.3.6	Group Scored Contest Outcomes	64
10.3.7	Extended Contest Outcomes	66
10.3.8	Group Extended Contest Outcomes	66
10.3.9	Chained Contests	67
10.3.10	Group Chained Contest Outcomes	67
10.4	Benefits and Consequences	68
10.4.1	The Consequences of Defeat	68
10.4.2	Benefits of Victory	69
10.4.3	Recovery and Healing	71
10.5	Augments	72
10.6	Community Rules	72
10.6.1	Defining Resources	72
10.6.2	Specify an interval	72
10.6.3	Assigning Ability Scores	73
10.6.4	Resource Notation	73
10.6.5	Drawing on Resources	73
10.6.6	Required Resource Use	74
10.6.7	Penalties to Resources	74
10.6.8	Bolstering Resources	74
10.6.9	Background Events	74
10.6.10	Crisis Tests	75
10.6.11	Cementing Benefits of Background Events	75
10.6.12	Changes to Resource Scores	76
10.6.13	Changes from Plot Events	76
10.7	Additional Terms	76

Credits & Legal Information

0.0

Legal Information

0.1

The *Quest Worlds* System Reference Document 0.51 (“QWSRD0.51”) describes the rules of *Quest Worlds*. You may incorporate the rules as they appear in QWSRD0.51, wholly or in part, into a derivative work,

through the use of the *Quest Worlds* Open Game License, Version 1.0. You should read and understand the terms of that License before creating a derivative work from QWSRD0.51.

Thanks to Wizards of the Coast, the Open Source Initiative, and Creative Commons for their work in creating the framework behind Open Source (and in this case Open Game) licenses. You should be aware that the *Quest Worlds* Open Game License for use of the *Quest Worlds* system differs from the Wizards Open Game License and has different terms and conditions.

Using This License

0.1.1

You should note that this is version of 0.51 of the *Quest Worlds* System Reference Document. We expect to release revised versions of this SRD, especially after development of Chaosium's upcoming *Quest Worlds Core Book*. When we release the *Quest Worlds Core Book* we will update the version designation to 1.0, indicating that the SRD reflects the text published in that book. If you are developing materials for *Quest Worlds* projects you may want to bear this in mind. We will track any changes to the SRD at <https://github.com/ChaosiumInc/QuestWorlds>.

Once we release SRD version 1.0 we expect that to be stable for some time.

If you have questions about this license, please reach out to Moon Design at licensing@chaosium.com.

Quest Worlds Open Game License, Version 1.0

0.1.2

All Rights Reserved.

1. Definitions:

- (a) "Contributors" means the copyright and/or trademark owners who have contributed Open Game Content;
- (b) "Derivative Material" means copyrighted material including derivative works and translations (including into computer languages), potation, modification, correction, addition, extension, upgrade, improvement, compilation, abridgment, or other forms in which an existing work may be recast, transformed, or adapted;
- (c) "Distribute" means to reproduce, license, rent, lease, sell, broadcast, publicly display, transmit, or otherwise distribute;
- (d) "Open Game Content" means the *Quest Worlds* game, including the game mechanics and the methods, procedures, processes, and routines to the extent such content does not embody Prohibited Content and is an enhancement over the prior art and any additional content clearly identified as Open Game Content by the Contributor, and means any work covered by this License, including translations and derivative works under copyright law, but specifically excludes Prohibited Content;
- (e) The following items are hereby identified as "Prohibited Content": All trademarks, registered trademarks, proper names (characters, deities, place names, etc.), plots, story elements, locations, characters, artwork, or trade dress from any of the following: any releases from the product lines of *Call of Cthulhu*, *Dragon Lords of Melniboné*, *ElfQuest*, *Elric!*, *Hawkmoon*, *HeroQuest*, *Hero Wars*, *King Arthur Pendragon*, *Magic World*, *Nephilim*, *Prince Valiant*, *Ringworld*, *RuneQuest*, *7th Sea*, *Stormbringer*, *Superworld*, *Thieves' World*, *Worlds of Wonder*, and any related sublines; the world and mythology of Glorantha; all works related to the Cthulhu Mythos, including those that are otherwise public domain; and all works related to *Le Morte d'Arthur*. This list may be updated in future versions of the License.

- (f) “Trademark” means the logos, names, marks, signs, mottos, and designs that are used by a Contributor to identify itself or its products or the associated products contributed to the *Quest Worlds* Open Game License by the Contributor;
 - (g) “Use,” “Used,” or “Using” means to use, distribute, copy, edit, format, modify, translate, and otherwise create Derivative Material of Open Game Content;
 - (h) “You” or “Your” means the licensee in terms of this agreement.
2. Grant: Except for material designated as Prohibited Content (see Section 1(e) above), the *Quest Worlds* System Reference Document is Open Game Content, as defined in the *Quest Worlds* Open Game License version 1.0, Section 1(d). No portion of this work other than the material designated as Open Game Content may be reproduced in any form without permission from Moon Design.
 3. The License: This License applies to any work Using *Quest Worlds* Open Game Content published by Moon Design. You must affix a complete copy of this License to any *Quest Worlds* Open Game Content that You Use and include the Copyright Notice detailed in Section 7 in all appropriate locations. No terms may be added to or subtracted from this License except as described by the License itself. No other terms or conditions may be applied to any *Quest Worlds* Open Game Content distributed Using this License.
 4. Offer and Acceptance: By Using the *Quest Worlds* Open Game Content You indicate Your acceptance of the terms of the *Quest Worlds Open Game License*.
 5. Grant of License: Subject to the terms and conditions of this License, the Contributors grant You a perpetual, worldwide, royalty-free, non-exclusive license to Use the Open Game Content.
 6. Representation of Authority to Contribute: If You are contributing original material as Open Game Content, You represent that Your contributions are Your original creation and/or You have sufficient rights to grant the rights conveyed by this License.
 7. Copyright Notice: You must update the Copyright Notice portion of this License to include the current version of the text of the Copyright Notice of any *Quest Worlds* Open Game Content You are copying, modifying, or distributing.

This work created using the *Quest Worlds* Open Game License.

Quest Worlds Open Game License v 1.0 © copyright 2020 Moon Design Publications LLC.

Quest Worlds © copyright 2019–2020 Moon Design Publications LLC; Author, original rules: Robin D. Laws; developed by Greg Stafford, Ian Cooper, David Dunham, Mark Galeotti, Jeff Richard, Neil Robinson, Roderick Robinson, David Scott, and Lawrence Whitaker.

Quest Worlds and the Quest Worlds logo are trademarks of Moon Design Publications LLC. Used with permission.

8. Limitations on Grant: You agree not to Use any Prohibited Content, except as expressly licensed in another, independent Agreement with Moon Design. You agree not to indicate compatibility or co-adaptability with any Trademark or Registered Trademark in conjunction with a work containing *Quest Worlds* Open Game Content except as expressly licensed in another, independent Agreement with the owner of such Trademark or Registered Trademark.
9. Identification: If you distribute Open Game Content You must clearly indicate which portions of the work that you are distributing are Open Game Content.

10. Updating the License: Moon Design or its designated Agents may publish updated versions of the *Quest Worlds* Open Game License, including updates to the Prohibited Content list. Material published under any version of the License can continue to be published Using the terms of that version, but You agree to Use the most recent authorized version of this License for any new Open Game Content You publish or for revised or updated works with thirty percent (30%) or more revised or new content, by total word count.
11. Use of Contributor Credits: You may not market or advertise the Open Game Content using the name of any Contributor unless You have written permission from the Contributor to do so.
12. Reputation: You must not copy, modify, or distribute Open Game Content connected to this License in a way that would be prejudicial or harmful to the honor or reputation of the Contributors.
13. Inability to Comply: If it is impossible for You to comply with any of the terms of this License with respect to some or all of the *Quest Worlds* Open Game Content due to statute, judicial order, or governmental regulation then You may not Use any Open Game Material so affected.
14. Termination: This License will terminate automatically if You fail to comply with all terms herein and fail to cure such breach within thirty (30) days of becoming aware of the breach.
15. Labeling: You must prominently display one of the following *Quest Worlds* logos on the front and back exterior and in the interior package, on the title page or its equivalent, of your Use of the Open Content. You are granted permission to reproduce the logo only for that purpose.



16. Severability: If any provision of this License is held to be unenforceable, such provision shall be severed only to the extent necessary to make it enforceable.
17. Governing Law and Venue: The governing law for any disputes arising under this License shall be the laws of the State of Michigan, without reference to its choice of laws provisions. Venue is exclusively vested in the United States Federal District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan.

Credits

0.2

Original Rules: Robin D. Laws

Further Development: Greg Stafford, Ian Cooper, David Dunham, Mark Galeotti, Jeff Richard, Neil Robinson, Roderick Robinson, David Scott, Lawrence Whitaker

Additional Contributions: Paul Abertella, Shannon Appelcline, Simon Bray, David Cake, Dave Camoirano, Melissa Camoirano, John Carnahan, Charles Corrigan, David Dunham, Alex Ferguson, James Frusetta,

Phil Hibbs, Simon D. Hibbs, Jeff Kyer, Martin Laurie, Mark Leymaster, Julian Lord, Rick Meints, Peter Metcalfe, Peter Nordstrand, Wesley Quadros, Mikael Raaterova, Jamie Revell, Graham Robinson, Jonas Schiött, Gary Sturgess, Ian Thomson, Nils Weinander

Chained Contests and Plot Edits from *Mythic Russia* © copyright 2006, 2010 Mark Galeotti; developed by Graham Robinson (for “Chained Contests”) and added as Open Game Content here with permission.

Material in *Section 1, Introduction* and *Section 2, Basic Mechanics* © copyright 2018 Jonathan Lauferweiler and added as Open Game Content here with permission.

Material in *Section 2, Basic Mechanics* © copyright 2020 Shawn Carpenter and added as Open Game Content here with permission.

QuestWorlds SRD with annotations for individual contributions can be found at GitHub: <https://github.com/ChaosiumInc/QuestWorlds/pulls>.

Development of this version: Ian Cooper

Development Assistance for this version: Shawn Carpenter, Jonathan Lauferweiler, James Lowder, Michael O’Brien, Jeff Richard, David Scott

Proofreading of this version: Martin Helsdon

Introduction

1.0

Quest Worlds is a roleplaying rules engine suitable for you to play in any genre.

It is a traditional roleplaying game in that there is a GM and players. The players play characters, each guided by the internal thoughts of their character as to what decisions they make, and the GM plays the world, including non-player characters (NPCs) and abstract threats.

It features an abstract, conflict-based resolution method and scalable, customizable character descriptions. Designed to emulate the way characters in fiction face and overcome challenges, it is suitable for a wide variety of genres and play styles. It is particularly suited to pulp genres (including their descendants, comic books) and cinematic, larger-than-life action.

It is a rules-light system that facilitates beginning play easily, and resolving conflicts in play quickly.

We refer to a rules-light but traditional roleplaying game as a storytelling game, after Greg Stafford’s definition in *Prince Valiant*.

Why QuestWorlds?

1.1

Quest Worlds is meant to facilitate your creativity, and then to get out of your way.

It is well suited to a collaborative, friendly group with a high degree of trust in each other’s creativity. Characters in *Quest Worlds* are described more in terms of their place in your imagination and the game setting than by game mechanics.

If your group are often at odds and rely on their chosen rules kit as an arbiter between competing visions of how the game ought to develop, or use mechanical options to decide “what action to take,” *Quest Worlds* is not a rules set that provides that structure. Make sure to discuss with your group whether you are collectively on board with trying a new play style dynamic, or if you would rather stick to more structured systems.

Version

1.2

The first version of these rules *Hero Wars* was published in 2000 (ISBN 978-1-929052-01-1)

The second version *HeroQuest* was published in 2003 (ISBN 978-1-929052-12-7). We refer to this as *HeroQuest* 1e to disambiguate.

The third version *HeroQuest: Core Rules* was published in 2009 (ISBN 978-0-977785-32-2). We refer to this as *HeroQuest* 2e.

HeroQuest Glorantha was published in 2015 (ISBN 978-1-943223-01-5). It is the version of the rules in *HeroQuest* 2e, presented for playing in Glorantha. We refer to this as *HeroQuest* 2.1e.

Quest Worlds was published as a System Reference Document (SRD) (this document) in 2020. The version of the rules here is slightly updated, mainly to clarify ambiguities, from the version presented in *HeroQuest* 2e and *HeroQuest* 2.1e. This makes this ruleset *HeroQuest* 2.2e, despite the name change. However, to simplify we identify this version as *Quest Worlds* 1e.

An Appendix lists changes in this version. As the SRD is updated we will continue to track version changes there.

Who Is This Document For

1.3

The primary audience for this document is game-designers who wish to utilize the *Quest Worlds* rules framework to implement their own game.

We also recognize that some people will use this document to learn about the *Quest Worlds* system before purchasing it, and some players in games where the GM has a rule book, may use this as a reference to help understand the rules.

For that latter reason, we address the rules here to a player.

However, this remains a technical document with few examples, advice, or other non-rules text to help you play your game, as such are beyond the scope of this System Reference Document.

It is expected that the designers of games you play based on these rules will include such guidance and context as is relevant to their game's particular genre or setting, presented in a format better suited for learning how to play.

Numbering

1.4

Sections within this document are numbered. This is for the benefit of game designers and reviewers.

This does not imply that game designers need number the rules in their own games.

Numbering however makes it easy to refer to rules in this document when page numbers may vary by presentation format for the purposes of error trapping or tracking changes. If you need to give us feedback about this document, that will assist us.

Participants

1.5

Players

1.5.1

You and your fellow players each create a Player Character (PC) to be the “avatar” or “persona” whose role you will play in the game. The PCs pursue various goals in an imaginary world, using their **abilities**, motivations, connections, and more to solve problems and overcome **story obstacles** that stand in their way.

When we say ‘you’ in this document we may mean the player or their PC. Which should be clear from context, or explicitly noted.

Game Master

1.5.2

Your Game Master (GM) is the interface between your imagination and the game-world in which the PCs have their adventures, describing the people, places, creatures, objects, and events therein. Your GM also plays the role of any Non-Player Characters (NPCs) with whom your PC interacts in the course of your adventures.

We generally refer to the GM as ‘your GM’ in this document’s player-facing language. However, if you are the GM for a given game, this naturally refers to you.

Mechanics

2.0

In a *Quest Worlds* game, stories develop dynamically as you and your GM work together to role-play the dramatic conflict between your group’s PCs in pursuit of their goals, and the challenges or threats that your GM presents to stand in their way. Stories advance by two methods: conflict and revelation. In conflict, your PC is prevented from achieving their goals because there is something that must be overcome—a **story obstacle**—to gain a desired person, thing, or even status—the **prize**. In revelation, something must be overcome—a **story obstacle**—to learn a secret, uncover the past, or reach understanding—the **prize**.

Over the course of play, your GM will present various **story obstacles** as conflicts to the PCs, resulting in either **victory** or **defeat** for your character, which determines whether or not you gain the **prize** you sought. These conflicts can represent any sort of challenge you might face: fighting, a trial or debate, survival in a harsh environment, out-wooing rival suitors, and so on.

Rather than mechanically addressing the individual tasks that make up these conflicts, *Quest Worlds* usually assesses your overall **victory** or **defeat** in a single **contest** where you and your GM make an opposed roll pitting your character’s **ability** vs the **resistance** the **story obstacle** presents to you achieving the **prize**.

Whenever the GM presents a **story obstacle** for you to overcome, you should **frame the contest** by describing what you are trying to accomplish, the **prize**, and which of your **abilities** (see §2.1) you want to use to achieve that **prize**, and how.

Based on that **framing** and other factors, your GM will assess what **resistance** the characters face.

You roll a twenty-sided die (D20) against your PC’s **ability**, and your GM rolls a D20 against the **resistance**. Your GM will assess your overall **victory** or **defeat** in the contest based on the **success** or **failure** of both rolls, and narrates the results of your attempt to overcome the **story obstacle** and gain the **prize** accordingly. The direction of the story changes, in either a big or small way, depending on whether you gain the **prize** or not.

We encourage your GM to work with your suggestions when narrating the **victory** or **defeat**, but the final decision rests with them.

Abilities

2.1

Characters in *Quest Worlds* are defined by the **abilities** they use to face the challenges that arise in the course of their story. Rather than having a standard list of attributes, skills, powers, etc. for all characters, anything that you can apply to solve a problem or overcome a **story obstacle** could be one of your **abilities**. While your GM may provide some example **abilities** to choose from that connect your PC to a particular story or game world (whether created by your GM or by the designer of a particular game), you get to make up and describe most or all of your **abilities**.

Some **abilities** might be broad descriptions of your background or expertise, like “Dwarf of the Chalk Hills” or “Private Detective”—implying a variety of related capabilities. Others might represent specific capabilities or assets such as “Lore of the Ancients,” “Captain of the Fencing Team,” or “The Jade Eye Medallion.”

Ultimately, **abilities** are just names for the interesting things your character can do.

Flaws

2.1.1

Your character may have one or more **flaws**. A **flaw** is an **ability** that you do not use to accomplish something, but instead the GM uses to hinder you from accomplishing something, or invokes to force you to act a certain way.

Flaws may be psychological weaknesses such as “Alcoholic” or “Heroin Addict,” or physical weaknesses such as “One-Eye,” “Wheelchair-Bound” or “Asthmatic.” A **flaw** might also be a moral philosophy such as “Code Against Killing,” “Pacifist,” or “Radical Candor” that limits your behavior in some way. A **flaw** also might be a relationship such as a “Frail Aunt,” “Single Dad” or “Blackmailed.”

Many **flaws** describe attributes that can be viewed positively. By making it a **flaw** and not an **ability** you are inviting your GM to use it to make your life more difficult, not easier.

You should not use your **flaw** to accomplish something; if you feel that is likely, make it an **ability** and flag to your GM that you want them to draw on it as a **flaw** at appropriate moments. In that case, record both an **ability** and a **flaw** with the same name.

Ultimately, in *Quest Worlds* a **flaw** is simply something that you invite the GM to use to hinder or prevent your character doing something. In return for the GM exercising the **flaw** you gain **experience points** (see §8.1).

Scores, Ratings and Masteries

2.1.2

Quest Worlds **abilities** are **scored** on a **rating** of 1–20, representing the **target number** (TN) you need to roll or less to succeed on your roll during a **contest** (see §2.3 for more details).

Once your **ability** passes 20, you would always be able to roll under it on a D20. So to allow ability **scores** to scale we use tiers of capability we refer to as **Mastery**. To reflect **abilities** (or **resistances**) higher than 20, either permanently through character advancement or temporarily with a **modifier** to a contest roll, note a **mastery** for every 20 points in the ability, and treat what remains as the **rating**. So, for a **score** of 27, we note one **mastery** and a **rating** of seven, written as “7M”, and we write a **score** of 21 as 1M.

The “M” after the **rating** signifies **mastery**. The number in front of the M is the **rating**, and represents the new **target number** you seek to roll or less. Whatever your roll, the mastery then **bumps** your **result**. You **bump** a **success** to a **critical**, and **bump** a **failure** to a **success**. If you roll a **critical** you can **bump** down your opponent. When both you and the resistance have **masteries** they cancel each other out.

Having a **mastery** means that you **succeed** most of the time and **critical** more often; you will only **fail** when you roll a **fumble**, and have a higher chance of a **critical** from rolling under the TN.

For example, Trevor Okafor is trying to hover a helicopter over a ravine so that Bethany Ng can winch down to a stranded climber in high cross-winds. The GM calls for a roll. Trevor Okafor has 31 in Pilot, written as “11M”. Trevor’s player rolls against a TN of 11. They roll a 17 and fail, but Trevor’s **mastery** means the actual **result** is **bumped** up to a **success** on a 17. This beats the GM’s **success** on a 13. Later Bethany Ng is trying to stabilize the victim on the route back to hospital. Bethany has 27 in Medic, written as “7M”. Bethany’s player rolls against a TN of 7. They roll a 4 and succeed, but the **mastery** means that the actual **result** is bumped up to a **critical** on a 4, which beats the GM’s **success** on a 14.

Specific *Quest Worlds* games or genre packs may use other symbols relevant to their setting or genre to denote **mastery** instead of M. If so, this should be clearly noted by their designers.

As a **score** climbs, you may even gain multiple **masteries** in it. **Mastery** tiers above one (representing an overall **score** of 41 or more) are marked with a number to the right of the M symbol. Each successive **score** increase over 20 becomes a new **mastery** tier. Thus, if you have 10M2, you have two **masteries** and a **rating** of 10, (representing a total **score** of 50). 10M3 means that you have three **masteries** and a **rating** of 10, and so on. Multiple **masteries** result in multiple **bumps** up, so with two **masteries** a critical **bumps** to a success.

To simplify **bumping**, when both you and the resistance have **masteries** they cancel out.

See §2.3.7 for more details on **bumps**.

No Relevant Ability

2.1.2.1

You may sometimes be faced with a **story obstacle** for which you have no relevant **ability** whatsoever. In such cases, you may still enter into conflict with the **story obstacle** using a minimum base **target number** of 6 for your **contest** roll. Like **scores**, it may also be subject to **modifiers**.

Using Scores As Thresholds

2.1.2.2

Quest Worlds treats **scores** as a measure of how effective you are at solving problems with the **ability**, and does not limit what you can do with that **ability**, provided your actions are credible in genre. Where an important part of the genre is that certain uses of the **ability** are only available when you pass a threshold of experience, often through overcoming **story obstacles** to improve the **ability** in game, you may choose to set a threshold for those **abilities**. For example, a magic system might classify certain supernatural effects as Apprentice, Journeyman, or Master level, and require **ratings** of 15, 5M, or 1M2 (respectively) in a relevant **ability** to even attempt them.

Such departures from abstraction should generally only be made where the increased complexity they bring leads to rewarding choices in a key area of interest to the setting or genre at hand. In most cases, you and your GM can simply follow the fiction surrounding your **ability** and its context within the setting for guidance as to what applications of the **ability** are credible.

Possessions and Equipment

2.2

Your character will generally be considered to have whatever equipment is reasonably implied by your abilities. Having an “Athenian Hoplite” **ability** will mean that your character possesses bronze armor, a shield, a spear, and a short-sword; while a “Country Doctor” would be expected to have a well-stocked medical-bag and possibly a horse & buggy in the right setting.

However, if you wish your character to possess something that is particularly special, interesting, or unusual, you may also enumerate it as a rated **ability** in its own right, just like any other **ability** your character might use to solve a problem.

In play, the degree to which you can overcome **story obstacles** with your possessions depends not on any qualities inherent to the objects themselves, but to the **score** of your relevant **ability**. However the significance of various sorts of gear lies in the types of actions you can credibly propose, and what their impact might reasonably be. An “Invisibility Cloak” **ability** implies very different fictional capabilities than “Souped-up Muscle Car” does.

Conversely, if in the course of play you find your character in a situation without equipment essential to utilize an ability effectively, or where your character’s gear is poorly suited to the task at hand, your GM may take that into account in assessing credibility-based **modifiers**.

Wealth

2.2.1

In *Quest Worlds*, wealth is treated as just another way to overcome **story obstacles**. Many characters may not even have an explicit wealth **ability**, with their wealth or assets instead implied by **abilities** representing their background, profession, or status. Whether explicit or implied, the relevant **score** is not an objective measure of the size of your fortune, but instead indicates how well you solve problems with money and resources.

Contest Procedure

2.3

You choose an **ability** relevant to the conflict at hand, describe exactly what you are trying to accomplish, and how. Your GM may modify these suggested actions to better fit the fictional circumstances, and describes the actions of the NPCs or forces on the other side of the conflict.

Resolution Methods

2.3.1

The basic resolution methods are as follows:

Assured Contest

2.3.1.1

Some **obstacles** don't require a roll to overcome. You'll just do it and keep going, much as you get dressed in the morning or drive your car to work. We call these kinds of contests **assured** contests because your **victory** is assured. Your GM may want to describe your **victory** as a sweat inducing challenge for you, even though there is no risk of **defeat**, to highlight the heroic struggle of your PC to beat the obstacle, nonetheless.

As your character advances, the challenges that qualify for assured contests will become more complex. If you face a driving challenge, the bar for assured will be much lower for a champion Formula 1 racer than a typical commuter.

Assured contests are the GM's primary tool to establish your character's competence. This makes them one of the most powerful and frequently used tools in a GM's tool chest. Remember, your GM doesn't have to, and usually shouldn't, advise you you're involved in an **assured contest**, so it's best to treat all **contests** as if your skin is on the line.

Your GM may also use an **assured contest** when there is no interesting story branch from **defeat**. If failing to open the derelict spaceship's hatch means that the story of your exploration of the ancient space hulk would end abruptly, your GM may choose to make it an **assured contest**. **Assured contests** may be used to find clues when your GM is running a mystery and correct application of one of your **abilities** should reveal the information and allow the story to continue, over becoming mired due to a missed roll and missing clue.

Sometimes your GM will decide potential complications could arise in overcoming a **story obstacle**. Or they may want to give you a **bonus** if you do particularly well. If so, they will call for you to make a die roll even though your **victory** is not in question. Your GM will use your die roll **result** to decide if any unforeseen **consequences** or **benefits** arose from your actions.

If you roll a **failure**, you still beat the obstacle, but you also suffer an adverse **consequence** (see §2.7.1). The nature of this adversity is up to the GM. It will probably be a **penalty** involving the same tactic you used in this one (because you exhausted yourself, sprained an ankle, embarrassed yourself in front of your peers, etc.) or to the value of one of your relationships.

If you achieve a high **result**, you'll receive a **benefit** from your effort (see §2.7.2). Again, this is up to the GM to define. It could be a **bonus** to the **tactic** used in the **contest**, or to of one of your relationships, etc.

Fumbles and **criticals** always result in an unexpected difficulty or reward!

An **assured contest** can be summarized as follows:

1. You and your GM agree upon the terms of the **contest**.
2. The GM may decide that you simply gain the **victory** and there are no **consequences** or **benefits** beyond that.
3. If not the GM conducts a contest.
4. You roll a D20 vs your relevant **ability**, while your GM rolls a D20 vs the **resistance**.
5. Your GM compares the **success** or **failure** of the two rolls, and assesses any **consequences** or **benefits**.
6. Your GM then narrates how you obtained your **victory** and any **benefits** or **consequences** that arose.

Simple Contest

2.3.1.2

The **simple contest** is *Quest Worlds*' primary resolution mechanic for overcoming **story obstacles**, and is used most often where the outcome is uncertain. It also provides the foundation for other types of uncertain **contest**, including several **long** ones. As such, it receives both an overview of key concepts here as well as a more detailed treatment in §4.

A **simple contest** can be summarized as follows:

1. You and your GM agree upon the terms of the **contest**.
2. You roll a D20 vs your relevant **ability**, while your GM rolls a D20 vs the **resistance**.
3. Your GM compares the **success** or **failure** of the two rolls, and assesses your overall **victory** or **defeat**.
4. Your GM then narrates the **outcome** of the conflict as appropriate.

If you enter into conflict with another player rather than a **story obstacle** presented by your GM, you both roll your relevant abilities for the **contest** instead of against a GM-set **resistance**, and your GM interprets the **results**, as described above.

Framing the Contest

2.3.2

Contest Framing Overview

2.3.2.1

When a conflict arises during the game, you and your GM start by clearly agreeing on:

- What goal you are trying to achieve. We call this the **prize**.
- What the **story obstacle** is you are trying to overcome.
- What **tactic** you are using to overcome it.

This process is called **framing the contest**.

Conflict: Goals vs Obstacles

2.3.2.2

Contests in *Quest Worlds* don't simply tell you how well you performed at a particular task: they tell you whether or not you overcame a **story obstacle**, which moves the story in a new direction. Unlike some other roleplaying games, a **contest** in *Quest Worlds* does not resolve a task, it resolves the whole **story obstacle**.

If you need secret records which are stored in a vault within a government compound, your goal is to get the information—while the fact that it is secured against your access is a **story obstacle** you must overcome to attain that goal. Overcoming that **story obstacle** may involve many possible tasks, evading guards, lock-picking, forging credentials, etc.—but the **contest** doesn't address those individually. The **contest** is framed around the entire conflict against the **story obstacle** as a whole.

In a fight, your **story obstacle** may be the opponents themselves, who you are fighting to capture or kill. Just as often you are seeking another goal and you might just as easily attain it by incapacitating or evading your foes. In this case, beating the enemy is a task, not the **story obstacle**. For example, if an **ally** has been accused of treason by the King, your goal could be to prove the **ally's** innocence. The power of the King threatening your **ally** is a **story obstacle** to be overcome, and a trial by combat could be a **contest** to resolve the conflict with an **ability** like “Knight Errant.”

In a court trial, your goal is likely a particular verdict, while the **story obstacle** might be the opposing lawyer, an unjust law, or even the justice system itself. In this case, jury selection, a closing argument, revelatory evidence, or legal procedural challenges are tasks, not the entire **story obstacle**. The overall conflict encompasses all those things.

A conflict to overcome a **story obstacle** moves the story forward when it is resolved. If it is merely a step toward resolving a **story obstacle** it is a task and not a conflict. While those component tasks may be interesting parts of narrating **tactics** and **results**, your GM should be sure to look for the **story obstacle** in conflict when framing a **contest**.

If there is no **story obstacle** to your actions, your GM should not call for a **contest** but simply let you narrate what you do, provided that seems credible.

For example, you are traveling from one star system to another. In the next star system you hope to confront the aged rebel who holds long-forgotten secrets that could bring freedom to the galaxy. Your GM feels there is no useful **story obstacle** for you to **contest** against, and so lets you describe heading down to the spaceport to secure a ship, meeting the captain and crew of your vessel, and traveling to the next world. Your GM encourages you to summarize what happens quickly so you can get to the meeting with the old rebel. Your GM knows that will be the real **story obstacle**: convincing the old rebel to part with their secrets.

Tactics

2.3.2.3

You either choose an **ability** that represents any ‘key moment’ in overcoming that **story obstacle**, or a broad **ability** that lets you overcome the whole **story obstacle**. We call this choosing a **tactic**.

The **rating** from your **ability score**, after adding any **augment** (see §2.5) or **modifiers** (see §2.4), relevant **consequences** or **benefits** (see §2.7) is your **target number**.

Your **tactic** might describe your using an **ability** that helps you overcome a task within the **story obstacle**: sneaking past the guards, picking the locks, choosing the right jury or skewering your opponent with your foil. Or, your **tactics** might describe using a broad ability like “Ninja”, “Lawyer”, or “Fencer” to overcome all those challenges that might form part of the **story obstacle**. Either way, if you succeed at that roll, you overcome the whole **story obstacle**. Or by failing at that roll, you fail to overcome the **story obstacle**, not just fail at one task.

When deciding on your **tactic**, focus on how your unique abilities would help you overcome the **story obstacle**. This as the ‘key moment’ where we focus on your PC. Use this moment to reveal your PC’s strengths to the group.

Your GM will determine if your **tactic** passes a **credibility test**. If you try to jump a 100 meter gap or run faster than a speeding car, your action is not credible and your GM will ask you to choose a different **tactic**.

Credibility depends on the genre, as what is not credible in a gritty police procedural might be in pulp, where you might be able to leap from a bridge onto a speeding train. If in dispute, your GM should discuss with the group whether they consider your **tactic** credible for the genre.

Extraordinary abilities in some genres give you the capability to do the incredible. For example in a superhero genre you might fly or be invulnerable to bullets, while in a fantasy genre you might hurl magical

lightning bolts. A genre pack for the game should help define what incredible **tactics** are allowed for that game as part of an *Extraordinary Powers Framework*.

The GM can narrate the remaining tasks that make sense of the story depending on your **success** with that roll, or have them occur ‘off-stage’ for speed. Think of the way TV or Cinema often cuts to the key moment of drama in a break-in, over showing us the whole heist from beginning to end.

No Repeat Attempts

2.3.2.4

A **contest** represents all of your attempts to overcome a **story obstacle**. If you lose it means that no matter how many times you tried to solve the problem, you finally had to give up. You can try again only if you use a new **tactic** to overcome the **story obstacle**.

Rank

2.3.3

Your GM uses a **rank** when choosing to adjust a **target number**. That adjustment may come from an **augment** (see §2.5), **modifier** (see §2.4), **benefits** or **consequences** (see §2.7). Your GM also uses the same **rank** when determining the **resistance**.

Bonuses to the dice roll use the scale of values: +3, +6, +9, +M, +M2

Penalties to the dice roll use the scale of values: -3, -6, -9, -M, -M2

You should be able to memorize these values in play, and just go up or down the scale, instead of translating a **rank** to a value. For convenience the following table shows the scale of **ranks**.

Rank	Value
5	+M2
4	+M
3	+9
2	+6
1	+3
0	0
-1	-3
-2	-6
-3	-9
-4	-M
-5	-M2

2.3.3.1 RANKS TABLE

Resistance

2.3.4

Your GM chooses a **resistance** to represent the difficulty of the **story obstacle**.

When setting **resistances** it is important to understand that whilst traditional roleplaying games simulate an imaginary reality, *Quest Worlds* emulates the techniques of fictional storytelling.

Understanding this distinction will help you to play the game in a natural, seamless manner.

For example, let's say that your GM is playing a game inspired by fast-paced, non-fantastic, martial arts movies in a contemporary setting. You are running along a bridge, pacing a hovercraft piloted by the main bad guy. You want your character, Joey Chun, to jump onto the hovercraft and punch the villain's lights out.

In a traditional, simulative game, your GM would determine how hard this is based on the physical constraints you've already described. In doing so, they would come up with imaginary numbers and measurements. Your GM would have to work out the distance between bridge and hovercraft. Depending on the rules set, they might take into account your relative speed to the vehicle. Then they would use whatever resolution mechanic the rules provide them with to see if Joey succeeds or fails. If you blow it, your GM will probably consult the falling rules to see how badly you injure yourself (if you land poorly), or the drowning rules, if you end up in the river.

In *Quest Worlds*, your GM starts not with the physical details, but with the proposed action's position in the storyline. They consider a range of narrative factors, from how entertaining it would be for you to **succeed**, how much **failure** would slow the pacing of the current sequence, and how long it has been since you last scored a thrilling **victory**. If, after this, they need further reference points, your GM can draw inspiration more from martial arts movies than the physics of real-life jumps from bridges onto moving hovercraft. Having decided how difficult the task ought to be dramatically, your GM will then supply the physical details as color, to justify their choice and create suspension of disbelief—the illusion of authenticity that makes us accept fictional incidents as credible on their own terms. If they want Joey to have a high chance of **success**, your GM describes the distance between bridge and vehicle as impressive (so it feels exciting if you make it) but not insurmountable (so it seems believable if you make it).

In other words, in *Quest Worlds* your GM will pick a **resistance** based on dramatic needs and then justify it by adding details into the story.

Your GM determines the **resistance** from a **base resistance** modified by a **rank** depending on their view of how difficult the obstacle is for you. Increasing **ranks** make it harder to succeed, and decreasing **ranks** easier.

By default, the **base resistance** starts at 14. The **rank** never reduces the resistance value below 6.

All **contests** use the **base resistance** + **rank**, except for **contests** to determine **augments**. **Augmenting** always faces the **base resistance**.

Die Rolls

2.3.5

To determine how well you use an **ability**, roll a 20-sided die (D20). At the same time, your GM rolls for the **resistance**.

Compare your rolled number with your **Target Number** to determine the **result**, a level of **success** or **failure** for the roll (not the **contest** as a whole).

- **Critical:** If the die roll is equal to the **TN** (even when the **TN** is 20), you succeed brilliantly. This is the best **result** possible.
- **Success:** If the die roll is less than the **TN**, you succeed, but there is nothing remarkable about the success.
- **Failure:** If the die roll is greater than the **TN** but not a **fumble**, you fail. Things do not happen as hoped.
- **Fumble:** If the die roll is 20, you fumble (except when the **TN** is 20, when it is a **critical**). You fail miserably. This is the worst **result** possible.

Note that whatever your **result** the **outcome** will depend on comparing your roll with your opponent's. So you might **succeed**, but still lose the **prize**. At the same time, your GM should take into account your **result** when narrating the **outcome**, and not use your incompetence as a reason you failed to gain the **prize** if you succeeded, instead focusing on the **resistance's** superiority despite your **success**.

Outcome

2.3.6

Your roll and that of your GM's roll are compared to determine your overall **outcome** which will be either **victory** or **defeat** for the **contest** as a whole.

If you have a better **result** than the GM, then you have a **victory** and you gain the **prize** set out when the **contest** was framed.

If you have a worse **result**, then you are **defeated** and do not gain the **prize**.

If you both have the same **result**, the higher roll wins.

If your rolls tie, then it is a standoff.

A **critical** is a better **result** than a **success** which is, in turn, a better **result** than a **failure**, which is a better **result** than a **fumble**.

Narrating Outcomes

2.3.6.1

You GM narrates the contest **outcome**. Their narration should take into account the **prize** and the **tactics** used by each side. Your GM may invite you to contribute more detail on your actions as part of that narration, if they wish. But the GM is the final arbiter of the how the story progresses as a result of the rolls—provided they respect the **outcome** in which you win or lose the **prize**.

Your GM should bear in mind your **result** when describing the outcome. For example, if you **succeeded**, but the **resistance succeeded** better, the GM should describe your actions as successful, but the **resistance** as doing better. If your **result** was a **critical** and the **resistance's result** was a **fumble**, your GM should describe a crushing **defeat** in which your adversary is clearly outclassed.

The GM is narrating a car chase through the busy streets of New Los Angeles. The PCs are trying to catch the demon-worshiper Ath'Zul who has stolen The Eye of Lorus from a museum. Some examples of how the GM might interpret **outcomes** as follows:

- **PC Success (Better Roll) vs. Ath'Zul Success:** Ath'Zul tries to shake the PCs, his hover bike weaving in and out of traffic, but the PCs are always on his tail, and catch him at the lights on Bradbury Junction.
- **PC Success vs. Ath'Zul Failure:** Ath'Zul tries to shake the PCs, his hover bike weaving in and out of traffic, but the PCs force him off the road, where his bike loses repulsor lift and halts.
- **PC Success vs. Ath'Zul Fumble:** Ath'Zul tries to shake the PCs, his hover bike weaving in and out of traffic, but he crashes into a parked car, spilling Ath'Zul and the stolen artefact over the road.

Your GM should avoid robbing your PC of competence by describing your **defeat** as due to your incompetence when you may have rolled a **critical** or a **success**.

Confusing Ties

2.3.6.2

Your GM will describe most tied **outcomes** as inconclusive standoffs, in which neither of you gets what you wanted.

In some situations, ties become difficult to visualize. Chief among these are **contests** with binary **outcomes**, where only two possible results are conceivable.

Your GM can either change the situation on such a tie, introducing a new element that likely renders the original **prize** irrelevant to both participants, or they can resolve the tie in your favor as a **victory**.

Bumps

2.3.7

A **bump** affects the degree of **success** or **failure** of your die roll. A **bump** up improves your **result** by one step, changing a **fumble** to a **failure**, a **failure** to a **success**, or a **success** to a **critical**. **Bump** ups come from two sources: **masteries** and **story points** (applied in that order). A **bump** down reduces the **result** by one step, changing a **critical** to a **success**, a **success** to a **failure**, or a **failure** to a **fumble**. **Bump** downs come from one source: **masteries**.

Bumps always affect **results** not **outcomes**, although the outcome could change as an effect of gaining a different **result**.

Bump Up with Mastery

2.3.7.1

If you're engaged in a **contest** against a **resistance**, and you have a **target number** of 10M versus a **resistance** of 10, you enjoy an advantage. You get a **bump** to your die roll from that **mastery**.

You get one **bump** up for each level of **mastery** your PC has greater than your opponent's. So against a **resistance** of 14 a PC's **target number** of 7M is treated as 7 vs. 14 but we **bump** the **result** one step in the favor of the PC; a PC's **ability** of 3M2 is treated as 3 vs. 14 but we **bump** the **result** two steps in favor of the PC.

Opposed **masteries** cancel out, each contestant reducing their **score** by the same number of **masteries** until only one or neither of them has **masteries**. If you have two **masteries**, then you enjoy the same great advantage over an opponent with a single **mastery** as someone with one **mastery** has over an opponent with no **masteries**. If you have an advantage of two or more **masteries** over an opponent, you can pretty much count on pounding them into the dust.

This allows *Quest Worlds* to represent large differences in **ability** or **resistance**.

If the **resistance** is one or more levels of **mastery** greater than you, your GM can **bump** up their roll.

Bump Up with Story Points

2.3.7.2

You can spend a **story point** to **bump** up any **result** by one step. You can decide to use a **story point** for a **bump** after the die roll **results** are calculated (including any **bump** ups from **masteries**).

You can only spend one **story point** per roll.

Bump Down with Mastery

2.3.7.3

If you have a **critical** and still have one or more **bumps** left from your advantage over your opponent, you can use them to **bump** down your opponent, since you cannot get a **result** better than a **critical**. **Bump** down your opponent's result for each mastery remaining. So against a **resistance** of 14, if you have an **ability** of 7M, you have one **bump** of the result. If you **critical** and the resistance gets a **success**, then as you cannot **bump** up from your critical, you instead **bump** the resistance down to a **failure**.

If the **resistance** has unspent **bumps** then the GM can **bump** you down if they have a **critical**.

Bump Up with Negative Mastery

2.3.7.4

If you are facing a **resistance** that has negative **mastery**, your GM should treat it as an **assured contest**. If the GM wants you to roll, to find out how well you did, your roll is **bumped** up by the **resistance**.

Modifiers

2.4

Your **score** represents a general **ability** to succeed in the narrative, but modifiers reflect specific conditions that may make it easier or harder to overcome particular **story obstacles**. They are applied to your **ability** to get a final **target number** (TN).

Positive modifiers are called **bonuses**; negative modifiers are called **penalties**.

Bonuses may raise your **ability** high enough to gain a **mastery**, in which case you get the **bumps** up or down that a **mastery** would normally supply.

Penalties may lower an **ability** to the point where it loses one or more **masteries**. In this case, you lose the **bumps** up or down you would normally get.

Your GM should only use modifiers to alter your **target number** due to unusual circumstances you helped to create, or have some control over. If an unusual situation applies to a **resistance**, the GM should choose a **resistance** that reflects that. Modifiers never apply to the **resistance**.

If **penalties** reduce your **target number** to 0 or less, any attempt to use it automatically **results** in **failure**. You must find another way to achieve your aim.

Stretches

2.4.1

When you propose an action using an **ability** that seems completely inappropriate, your GM rules it impossible. If you went ahead and tried it anyway, you'd automatically fail—but you won't, because that would be silly.

In some cases, though, your proposed match-up of action and **ability** is only somewhat implausible. A successful attempt with it wouldn't completely break the illusion of fictional reality—just stretch it a bit.

Using a somewhat implausible **ability** is known as a **stretch**. If your GM deems an attempt to be a **stretch**, the PC suffers a -3, -6, -9 **penalty**, or a **bump** down to their **target number**, depending on how incredible the **stretch** seems to the GM and other players. Your GM should **penalize** players who try to create a 'do anything' **ability** that they then **stretch** to gain from raising fewer **abilities** in advancement, to ensure balance with other PCs.

A default **stretch penalty** should be -6.

The definition of **stretch** is elastic, depending on genre.

Your GM should not impose **stretch penalties** on action descriptions that add flavor and variety to a scene, but do not fundamentally change what you can do with your **ability**. These make the scene more fun but don't really gain any advantage.

Situational Modifiers

2.4.2

Your GM may also impose **modifiers** when, given the description of the current situation, believability demands that you should face a notable **bonus** or **penalty**. Your GM should choose **modifiers** of +6, +3, -3, or -6. **Modifiers** of less than 3 don't exert enough effect to be worth the bother. Those higher than 6 give the situational **modifier** a disproportionate role in determining **outcomes**.

During a **long contest**, they should typically last for a single **round** and reflect clever or foolish choices.

Augments

2.5

You may sometimes face **contests** where more than one **ability** may be applicable to the conflict at hand. In such cases, you may attempt to use one **ability** to give a supporting bonus to the main ability you are

using to frame the **contest**. This is called an **augment**. For example, if your character has the **abilities** “The Queen’s Intelligencer” and “Master of Disguise,” you might use the latter to **augment** the former when infiltrating a rival nation’s capital. Similarly, a character with “Knight Errant” and “My Word is my Bond” **abilities** might use one to **augment** the other when in conflict with a **story obstacle** the character has sworn to overcome.

Abilities that represent special items, weapons, armor, or other noteworthy equipment can be a common source of **augments**. However, this grows tired if over-used and you should try and restrict repeated use of equipment in this way to **contests** where they are particularly interesting or apropos.

Augments can also come from other characters’ **abilities** if one character uses an **ability** to support another’s efforts rather than directly engaging in the **contest**. **Augments** can even come from outside resources like support from a community (see §9) or other circumstantial help.

If you have a good idea for an **augment**, propose it to your GM while the **contest** is being framed. When making your proposal, describe how the **augmenting ability** supports the main one in a way that is both *entertaining* and *memorable*. Don’t just hunt for mechanical advantage, show your group more about your PC when you **augment**: their attitudes, passions, or lesser known **abilities**. If you are **augmenting** with a **broad ability** like “Fool’s Luck,” be prepared to describe the unlikely events that tilt the scales in your favor. Your GM will decide whether the **augment** is justified and can refuse boring and uninspired attempts to **augment**, where you are just looking for a bonus to your roll and not adding to the story.

You may only use one of your own **abilities** to **augment** the **ability** you are using in the **contest**, and you may not use an **ability** to **augment** itself. You may not use a **breakout** to augment its parent **keyword** or another **breakout** from the parent **keyword**. However, **augments** from other players supporting you can add together with your own, along with other **modifiers**, including those from **benefits** and from **plot augments**.

If your GM accepts your **augment** proposal, it will be resolved by one of the methods below. The main **contest** then proceeds as normal, with any bonus from the **augment** added onto the **score** of the **ability** chosen when **framing the contest**. The **augment** remains in effect for the duration of the **contest**.

To grant an **augment** to yourself or another PC in an upcoming **contest**, your GM decides how uncertain the benefit of your **ability** is to the contest. In some genres, certain abilities, such as magic in a fantasy setting, may always be uncertain.

If use of the **ability** to augment seems unlikely to fail, your GM may treat it as an **assured contest**. As with any **assured contest**, the GM might still ask you to roll if there is a risk that the **augment** results in a **penalty** to other **abilities** such as resources or relationships.

If your GM decides it is uncertain whether your **ability** can **augment** this contest, you engage in a **simple contest** against the **base resistance** before the main contest begins to determine whether the **augment** attempt results in an advantage. Frame this **augment contest** with your GM, making it clear how your supporting **ability** will achieve the goal of making your **ability** in the main **contest** more effective. **Augment contests** may not themselves be **augmented**, and if your **augment** attempt ends in **defeat**, you may not make another attempt at an **augment** for the main **contest**.

If you are victorious in the **augment contest**, your GM will award a **bonus** of +3 to the **ability** used in the main **contest**. If your description of how you were using the **augmenting ability** was particularly entertaining, your GM may increase the **bonus** to +6.

Flaws

2.6

During play your GM may decide that your **flaw** has been triggered. A **flaw** might apply to the **tactic** you are using in an upcoming **contest**, when it is called a **hindrance** (see §2.6.1). Alternatively a **flaw** might

simply come into play when you want to describe your PC acting in a certain way and your GM feels that the **flaw** could prevent this, or your GM feels that a situation raises a challenge in which your **flaw** could lead you to respond in a certain way (see §2.6.2).

Hindrance

2.6.1

If you describe a **tactic** for a **contest** that is in conflict with a **flaw**, your GM may decide to impose a **penalty** called a **hindrance** against you in the upcoming **contest**. Your GM may also use an **ability** on your character sheet against you in this way too, if appropriate. This may be the case for relationships you have, philosophies you espouse, or groups you belong to. Your GM should trigger a **hindrance** from a **keyword** more frequently than from a stand-alone **ability** that is not a **flaw**. Your GM may treat their use of an **keyword** as a **flaw** as a **stretch** when invoking it.

Your GM should follow a similar approach to **augments** when applying a **hindrance** (see §2.5). They should ask themselves if it is *fresh*, *interesting* or *illuminates character*. In a movie or book would your **flaw** be prominent here?

If your GM feels that there is no uncertainty as to whether the **flaw** applies to your **tactic** in the contest, they apply a **penalty** of –3 or –6 depending on how serious a handicap the **flaw** is. (This is in effect a reverse **assured contest** in the GM’s favor). You may also suggest to the GM that you feel the **flaw** would apply without a **contest**.

If your GM feels that it is uncertain as to whether the **flaw** hinders you, or you are able to overcome it, and you agree that you wish to try, treat it as a **simple contest**. Roll the rating of your **flaw** against the **base resistance**. On a **victory**, you receive a **penalty** of –3 or –6 depending on how significant a **hindrance** your GM feels the flaw is to your **tactic**. On a **defeat**, you overcome the **flaw**. You may want to describe how you overcome your **flaw** to use your **tactic**.

When you experience a **penalty** due to a flaw, you gain an **experience point** (see §8.1).

Act According to Your Flaw

2.6.2

At times the direction of the story you are all telling may place your PC in situations when it seems likely they would act according to their **flaw**. The addict may reach for drink or drugs following an emotional setback, a lust for vengeance may come between your PC and showing mercy, prejudices or bigotry may prevent your from seeing others positively.

If you chose to act according to your **flaw** there is no contest, simply describe your character behaving as the **flaw** dictates. This might result in a **hindrance** to further actions (see §2.6.1)

If you wish to act against your **flaw**, your **tactic** must pass a **credibility test** as to how you try overcome your **flaw** in this instance. In effect, pick an **ability** to resist the **flaw** with. Then you must obtain a **victory** in a **simple contest** against your **flaw**. On a **victory** you may act in a way that contradicts your **flaw**.

If you submit to your **flaw**, your GM might impose a **hindrance** on further actions (see §2.6.1). You should not contest this **hindrance** unless the situation is not related to the one which triggered your **flaw** in this instance, or significant time has now passed.

Your GM may impose a **penalty** against an **ability** if you gain the **victory** against your **flaw** representing your struggle against your inner nature, violating dearly held principles, or letting down dependents. This is often true where the GM invokes a flaw from a **keyword**. For example, if you had the **keyword** “Gangster” and decide to inform on a fellow mobster, your GM might invoke the **flaw** of “Code of Silence” even if it is not a **breakout** under your **keyword**; this is particularly appropriate where facts such as the “Code of Silence” have been established in game. Even if you overcome your **flaw**, and inform on your fellow mobster,

the GM might still impose a **penalty** on use of the **keyword** to interact with your crime family for having breached the “Code of Silence.”

Similarly, your GM might give you a **bonus** for acting according to your **flaw**, representing the sacrifices you have made for dependents or a temporary boost from satisfying your inner demons. For example, if your superhero “Speedster” goes to see the premiere of his partner’s new play, instead of heading to the docks to stop Dr. Squid’s shipment of Vibrium, your GM might award you a **bonus** to your relationship to your partner.

If your GM compels you to act according to your **flaw**, you gain an **experience point** (see §8.1).

Benefits and Consequences

2.7

Contests, in addition to deciding whether you overcome a **story obstacle**, carry additional **consequences**.

Your GM may simply determine these from what makes fictional sense, given the agreed **prize** for the **contest**, as described above. Optionally your GM may impose **consequences** or provide **benefits** if they desire ongoing **penalties** or **bonuses**. Your GM should always respond to the flow of the story, if narrative consequences are enough, they should not reach for additional mechanical **bonuses** or **penalties**. Your GM should use mechanical **bonuses** or **penalties** where it strains credibility that there is no ongoing consequence or benefit from the outcome of the contest.

In a fight, it may strain credibility that a defeat does not leave you impaired for further physical activity. In a display or oratory before the assembled townsfolk, it may strain credibility if they would not later act according to your rousing words. In a romance, it may strain credibility of the wonderful date night, does not improve your chances of taking your relationship to the next level.

Consequences

2.7.1

After a **contest**, you may suffer **consequences**: literal or metaphorical injuries.

- In a fight or test of physical mettle, you wind up literally wounded.
- In a social contest, you suffer damage to your reputation.
- If commanding a war, you lose battalions, equipment, or territories.
- In an economic struggle, you lose money, other resources, or opportunities.
- In a morale crisis, you may suffer bouts of crippling self-doubt.

The GM is the arbiter of when a **consequence** should be applied.

Your GM may assign a penalty to reflect this **consequence**. Your GM should assign a **penalty** that corresponds to a **rank**: -3, -6, -9, -M, or -M2. The **rank** will depend on how severe they feel the **consequences** are.

If your opponent defeats you, your GM may use the difference between your **result** and the **resistance’s** result to determine the **rank**. If your opponent had a **better success** it is one **rank** or -3. If you were one level of result different, such as you **failed** and they **succeeded**, or you **succeeded** and they rolled a **critical**, then it is two **ranks** or -6, and so on, with your rolling a **fumble** and the GM rolling a **critical** the largest **rank** at 4 for a -M penalty.

If you **defeat** your opponent, your GM may still decide that you suffer a **consequence**, representing fatigue, exhaustion, disapproval or other expenditure of resources on earning the **prize**.

- In a fight, you are left bruised and battered.
- In a social contest, you sacrifice the trust of a marginalized group.

- If commanding a war, you must sacrifice some of your forces for victory.
- In an economic struggle, you take significant losses to win market share.
- In a morale crisis, your resolve alienates the cowardly.

On a **better roll** you might suffer a **consequence** of **rank** 2 or –6, and on a better **result** you might suffer a **consequence** of **rank** 1 or –3.

Ending a PC's story

2.7.1.1

Your GM should not impose a narrative **consequence** on your PC that takes them permanently out of the game, such as by death, without discussion. Some games allow characters to be taken out of the story by the result of a dice roll, but Quest Worlds is a co-operative storytelling game where a failed dice roll should not automatically remove a character from play. However, you, or the GM, might feel that your PC's story has come to an end with this failure, and you can consent to that outcome. Usually, your GM should refrain from suggesting this option unless the story itself suggests it.

A story-ending **outcome** may not just be death. It can include anything that takes the PC out of play, such as exile, dismissal from the secret agency, a broken heart. In some cases the ending to your PCs story could be ambiguous, allowing the PC to return at a future point when the story makes their salvation possible.

Your GM might declare that the stakes of a particular **contest** place a PC at risk of this being a story ending moment, before the dice are rolled. This may be important for credibility in the story that the group is telling. In this case there should be an option for the PC to avoid, or backdown from a **contest**, that has a risk of ending their story.

Benefits

2.7.2

Just as when you can experience ongoing ill effects from a **contest**, you can gain ongoing benefits from a **contest**.

- In a fight or test of physical mettle, your workout leaves you sharp for the next encounter.
- In a social contest, you gain confidence and admiration from your triumph.
- If commanding a war, you gain strategic advantage over your enemy.
- In an economic struggle, your profits can be re-invested, or you drive competitors into the ground.
- In a morale crisis, you are buoyed up by success, nothing can stop you now.

Remember that the **benefit** does not have to be directly related to the **ability** used. Look to the goal of the **contest**. The abilities or situation should reflect the **story obstacle** that was overcome and the **tactic** used to overcome it.

- In a fight or test of physical mettle, your triumph has everyone rallying to your cause.
- In a social contest, you win powerful **allies** who will strengthen you in your fight against your enemies.
- If commanding a war, you pillage the enemy city and enrich your army.
- In an economic struggle, you gain status as one of the wealthy elite.
- In a morale crisis, your rallied troops strengthen your army.

The GM is the arbiter of when a **benefits** should be applied.

Your GM may assign a **bonus** to reflect this **benefit**. Your GM should assign a **bonus** that corresponds to a **rank**: +3, +6, +9, +M, or +M2. The **rank** will depend on how great they feel the **benefits** are.

If you win the **prize**, your GM may choose to use the difference between your **result** and their result to determine the **rank**. If you had a **better success** than your opponent it is one **rank** or +3. If you were one level of result different, such as you **succeeded** and they **failed**, or you rolled a **critical** and they **succeeded**,

then it is two **ranks** or +6, and so on, with your rolling a **critical** and the GM rolling a **fumble** the largest **rank** at 4 for a +M bonus.

If you lost the **prize**, your GM may still decide that you gain a **benefit**, representing learning, gratitude, or resolve developed from losing the **prize**.

- In a fight or test of physical mettle, you learn your opponent's weaknesses.
- In a social contest, many feel sympathy for you though they cannot support you.
- If commanding a war, you win the trust of your soldiers through shared suffering.
- In an economic struggle, your organization becomes leaner and fitter.
- In a morale crisis, you reflect on your failure and gain new inner strength.

On a **worse roll** you gain a **benefit** of **rank** 2 or +6, and on a worse **result** you might gain a **benefit** of **rank** 1 or +3.

Recovery and Healing

2.7.3

Consequences lapse on their own with the passage of time. Your GM will determine when the **consequences** have faded, and you should ask about whether they still apply at each new game session. The worse the **penalty**, the longer it may last, though the GM may reduce its **rank** with time, as you recover or heal. However, you'll often want to remove them ahead of schedule, with the use of **abilities**.

Healing Abilities

2.7.3.1

The **ability** used to bring about recovery from a **consequence** must relate to the type of harm.

You can heal physical injuries with medical or extraordinary **abilities**.

You can remove mental traumas, including those of confidence and morale, with mundane psychology or through **extraordinary abilities**. You might also remove them through a dramatic confrontation between the victim and the source of the psychic injury.

You use social abilities to heal social injuries. You probably have to make a public apology of some sort, often including a negotiation with the offended parties and the payment of compensation, either in disposable wealth or something more symbolic.

You can fix damage to items and equipment with some sort of repair **ability**. If you want to fix an extraordinary item, you may require genre-specific expertise: a broken magic ring may require a ritual to reforge.

Your GM should almost always resolve healing attempts as **simple contests**. An exception might be a medical drama, in which surgeries would comprise the suspenseful set-piece sequences of the game, and your GM might chose a **long contest**.

Healing Resistances

2.7.3.2

The **resistances** to remove a states of adversity is the **base resistance** modified by the **rank** of the **consequence**. So if you were suffering from a **rank** 2 consequence, of -6, you modify the **base resistance** by a **rank** 2 modifier of +6.

Your GM can more easily calculate this as the **base resistance** with a **bonus** that is equal to and opposite your **penalty**. So if you have a **penalty** of -3, it is **base resistance** +3; if you have a **penalty** of -6, it is **base resistance** +6 and so on.

When you make a successful healing attempt, you remove the **penalty**.

Waning Benefits

2.7.4

Just as you recover from **consequences** with time, or through healing, so **benefits** fade with time.

At the beginning of a session, especially when a significant period of game-world time passes between the conclusion of one session and the beginning of the next, the GM may declare that all **benefits** have expired or waned. A waning benefit may reduce its **rank** with time, as the effect fades. You are no longer charged with the confidence of your recent victory, the fans have forgotten your last concert, or the people of the village have started to think once again about the day-to-day struggle of their lives not how the stranger helped them. An expired benefit no longer gives you a **bonus**, your past victories no longer bring you solace, your fickle fans have moved on to the latest sensation.

Multiple Benefits And Consequences

2.7.5

A PC may apply **bonuses** from multiple **benefits** to a single **contest**, or apply **penalties** from multiple **consequences** to a single **contest**. **Benefits and consequences** may cancel each other out.

Because it is confusing to track both **benefits and consequences** against the same **ability** your GM may simply rule that one cancels the other out. This is particularly true of social **contests** where a moment of shame can erase your previous triumphs, or your confidence eroded by a **failure**. Physical benefits may cancel out, flushed with victory you may be able to ignore pain, but it may defy credibility for wounds to be healed by an athletic performance.

Your GM may simply rule that **benefits** and **consequences** cancel out, or they may take the difference between the two benefits and create a new one. For example if you have a +6 bonus from impressing the crowd with your previous performance in the dance **contest**, but then suffer an injured ankle with a **penalty** of -3, your GM may rule that your twisted ankle cancels out your energy from the last performance, or your GM might rule that your success sees you through the pain, but you are now only +3 to impress the crowd.

Your GM may prefer to cancel out in **ranks** so that if you have a rank 4 benefit from your popularity with the village following saving their holy idol, giving you a +M bonus, but you make a minor social gaff at the mayor's daughter's wedding of **rank** 1, you drop one **rank** to 3, and a +9 bonus, over reducing +M to 17. This keeps the numbers used for **bonuses** and **penalties** consistent, at the cost of having to track or figure out the **rank** of the bonus.

Resistance Progression

2.8

Your GM may decide that **resistance** to your actions gets harder, as the campaign progresses. This reflects the trope of the type of challenges you face getting tougher as you improve.

Your GM should adopt a strategy that mimics a TV show where the **resistance** does not increase during a season of the show, allowing our protagonists to get more competent as the show progresses towards its climax. In the next season though the **resistance** usually goes up, and the writers reflect this with more challenging opposition in the new season of the show. At the same time, the opposition that was tough in the first season, now become mooks that can be easily dispatched to show the increased competence of the protagonists.

In that case your GM should increment the **base resistance** by +3, +6 or +9 for the next campaign you play with the same characters. The size of the change should reflect the increase in your previous **abilities** in the last campaign. For example, if in the last season you increased your **occupation keyword** by +6, your GM may decide to increase the **resistance** by +3 or +6 to reflect the more challenging opposition in the new campaign. The GM should consider triggering **resistance progression** when your PCs find it difficult to

earn **experience points** because they too regularly outclass even the climatic encounters (the boss monsters) of their game.

Your GM should also take into account that the opposition you were improving with respect to the previous season should now be considered more-easily defeated mooks, and use lower **scores** for them when they appear in the story or even allow them to be taken out with an **assured contest**.

No Progression

2.8.1

Your GM may also decide that the **resistances** do not get harder as the campaign progresses, reflecting the PCs **ability** to disregard minor challenges, and simply choose harder **resistances** to challenge the players and allow them to earn **experience points**.

Combined Abilities

2.9

On certain occasions your GM may rule that you can only hope to achieve the **prize** by using two disparate **abilities**. When this occurs, average your two **ability scores**, then apply any modifiers, to arrive at your **TN**.

Combining your abilities, rather than using the best one and **augmenting** it with other, is always a disadvantage. Your GM should only require combined **ability** use when story logic absolutely demands that you face a lower chance of **success**, because you have to do two things at once.

Mismatched and Graduated Goals

2.10

Sometimes, the two sides in a **contest** may have goals that do not directly conflict one another. A huntsman pursues a nurse, who is trying to escape through the forest with two small children. The huntsman wants to kill the nurse. The nurse wants to save the children.

When encountering **mismatched goals**, your GM should determine whether the mismatch is complete, or partial.

In a **complete mismatch**, neither side is at all interested in preventing the other's goal. A **complete mismatch** does not end in a **contest**; your GM asks what you are doing, and then describes each participant succeeding at their goals.

In most instances, the **contest** goals are not actually **mismatched**, but **graduated**. You have both a **primary** and a **secondary** goal. In this case, your GM frames the **contest**, identifying which goal is which. To achieve both, you must get a higher **result** than your opponent, such as **success** vs. **failure** or a **critical** vs **success**. On a better roll alone, such as **success** vs. **success** or **failure** vs **failure**, your GM may present you with the choice of which objective you obtain, where that choice illuminates your PC's priorities.

Mobs, Gangs, and Hordes

2.11

Sometimes you will face large numbers of opponents. Your GM can treat many as one. Your GM divides the number of opponents by the number of contesting PCs. Your GM then treats each of these sections of the crowd as a single opponent with one **score**. Their numbers are factored into the **score** your GM assigns to them.

If in doubt, your GM should think of the **resistance** that would be dramatically appropriate for a single opponent and then adjust it with a **bonus** of +3, +6 or +9 depending on how outnumbered you are. No more than six foes can typically contend with you in a physical confrontation, or two in a social one, or they tend to get in each other's way.

When the mob loses an exchange, your GM describes individuals within it as being hurt or falling away. When it wins, describe them overwhelming you, or swelling in numbers.

Ganging Up

2.12

Sometimes you may outnumber your opponent. As above, if in doubt, the GM should think of the **resistance** that would be dramatically appropriate for a one-on-one confrontation and then adjust it with a **penalty** of -3, -6, or -9 depending on how significantly you outnumber them. As above, note that unless your opponent is extraordinarily large, you cannot confront them physically with more than about six people (include **followers**) or socially with about two people (again include **followers**) or people just get in each other's way.

Mass Effort

2.13

Clashes of massive forces resolve like any other **contest**, **simple** or **long**. These include:

- Military engagements
- Corporate struggles for market share • Building competitions
- Efforts to spread a faith or ideology • Dance competitions

If you are not participating in the **contest** and have no stake in its **outcome**, then your GM doesn't bother to run a **contest**. The GM just chooses an **outcome** for dramatic purposes.

Otherwise, your GM will start by determining your degree of influence over the **outcome**. They are either:

- **Determining factors:** The success of the effort depends mostly on your choices and successes. For example, you might be a military leader facing a force of roughly equal potency. As all else is equal, the better general will win the day. In this instance, your **tactic** should be a relevant leadership **ability**.
- **Contributors:** One of the forces enjoys a clear advantage over the others, but your efforts may tip the balance in favor of a chosen side. Your GM will give you a **TN** to roll against that represents the strength of your force, but you can **augment** that **TN** with an appropriate leadership **ability**.
- **Acted Upon:** You have little influence over the **outcome**, but are stuck in the middle of the conflict and must struggle to prosper within it. The GM predetermines the **outcome** of the overall competition on dramatic grounds. To determine your fate in the battle, you **contest** against a **resistance** determined by the GM, derived from the overall battle **outcome**.

Character Creation

3.0

The first step in creating your character is to come up with a concept that fits in with the genre of game that your GM intends to run. With that, you can assign **abilities**, **scores** for those **abilities**, and if required **flaws**.

In addition, you will want to give your character a name, and provide a physical description. We recommend focusing on three physical things about your PC that others would immediately notice, over anything more detailed.

Your GM should not use this method for creating NPCs. NPCs do not require definition via **abilities** and **keywords**. Instead, your GM simply describes the NPC, and picks an appropriate **resistance** in any contest with them, based on their feeling for what would be **credible** for that NPC. If in doubt the GM just uses the **base resistance** for a mook, with a suitably higher **rank** for a boss. The design intent is to remove the

need for the GM to prepare stat blocks, making improvisation of NPCs easier, and shifting focus to the NPCs personality or role in the story instead.

As-You-Go Method

3.1

1. Choose a **concept**. Your **concept** is a brief phrase, often just a couple of words that tells the GM and other players what you do and how you act. Start with a noun or phrase indicating your **occupation keyword** or area of expertise, and modify it with an adjective suggesting a **distinguishing characteristic**, a personality trait that defines you in broad strokes:
 - haughty priestess
 - hotshot lawyer
 - noble samurai
 - remorseful assassin
 - sardonic ex-mercenary
 - slothful vampire
 - naive warrior
2. Now provide your character with a name.
3. If the series uses other **keywords**, such as those for culture or religion, you may gain one for free.
4. When events in the story put you in a situation where you want to overcome a **story obstacle**, make up an applicable **ability** on the spot. The first time you use an **ability** (including the two you start play with: **distinguishing characteristic** and **occupational keyword**), assign a **score** to it. This may be a **breakout ability** from a **keyword**. You are restricted to only one **sidekick**.
5. If you want, describe **flaws**.
6. Once you have 12 **abilities** (including the two for character concept), and up to three **flaws** you are done creating your character.

Assigning Ability Scores

3.2

You have now defined your **abilities**. These tell everyone what you can do. Now assign numbers to each **ability**, called **scores**, which determine how well you can do these things.

Assign a starting **score** of 17 to the **ability** you find most important or defining. Although most players consider it wisest to assign this **scores** to their **occupational keyword**, you don't have to do this. Assign a **score** of 17 to your **distinguishing characteristic**.

All other **abilities** start at a **score** of 13.

A **breakout** from a **keyword** starts at +1. In some cases, you may treat your **distinguishing characteristic** as a **breakout ability** from a **keyword** in this case, treat it as a +4.

Now spend up to 20 points to increase any of your various **scores**, including **keywords**. Each point spent increases a **score** by 1 point. You can't spend more than 10 points on any one **ability**.

Some genre packs may require you to have additional **keywords** that reflect the setting. These additional **keywords** come from the 12 **abilites** allowance, so in many genres you will have fewer wildcard **abilities** but better fit the setting.

Keywords

3.3

You may build your PC around one or more **keywords**. A **keyword** gives you a package deal: you get a number of **abilities** by selecting a pre-existing character concept, which the player then modifies.

Keywords are best suited for use as the PC's **occupation**.

In certain genres, you may require multiple **keywords**: for example, one for **occupation**, another for species or culture, and perhaps a third for religious affiliation.

Here are two ways to handle **keywords**. If in doubt, choose Umbrella.

Keywords as Packages: Treat **keywords** simply as shorthand for a package of **abilities**. These can be increased together during character creation, but are too unrelated to increase together during a game. You are still free to use the **keyword** as an **ability**, and in fact may prefer to write only the specific **abilities** they've improved on their character sheet.

Keywords as an Umbrella: Treat **keywords** both as raisable **abilities** and as a collection of more specific **abilities**. This approach keeps the character sheet from getting too cluttered but encourages specialization. If your character is particularly good at an aspect of that keyword, you create a **breakout ability** under the **keyword** at a **bonus** from the **score** of the **keyword** you write these specialized **breakout abilities** under the **keyword**, along with how much they've improved from the **keyword**:

Detective 17 Forensics +2 Handgun +1

In this example, whilst the **score** for most **contests** in which Detective was an appropriate **tactic** would be 17, for contests involving Forensics it would be 19, and for those involving firing a handgun it would be 18.

In some settings, an **ability** may be listed in more than one of a PC's **keywords**. Choose only one to detail it under.

Flaws

3.4

You may assign up to three **flaws** to their PC. Common flaws include:

- Personality traits: surly, petty, compulsive.
- Physical challenges: blindness, lameness, diabetes.
- Social hurdles: outcast, ill-mannered, hated by United supporters.

Flaws are assigned a **score** equivalent to your **abilities**. The first **flaw** is rated at the highest **ability**, the second shares the same **score** as the second-highest **ability**, and the third equals the lowest **ability**.

Certain **keywords** include **flaws**. **Flaws** gained through **keywords** do not count against the limit of three chosen **flaws**. All **flaws** after the third are given the same **score** as the third **ability**. You may designate **flaws** from **keywords** as your first or second-ranked **flaw**.

Advanced Character Creation

3.5

Quest Worlds offers two advanced methods of character creation: prose and list.

The List Method

3.5.1

This is like the As-You-Go method (see §3.1) but you spend all their points before the game begins. This is possible with the As-You-Go method as well, but the list method allows you to signal what they want the game to be about from the abilities you pick, as opposed to reacting to material once the game begins.

The Prose Method

3.5.2

This is the most different method as you write a piece of prose and then pull **abilities** from that. Its intent is to emulate a character description in fiction, and indeed PCs can be built by copying text from a story and then identifying **keywords**. It is the least 'fair' of the character creation options.

List Method

3.6

1. Choose a **concept**. Your **concept** is a brief phrase, often just a couple of words that tells the GM and other players what you do and how you act. Start with a noun or phrase indicating your **occupation keyword** or area of expertise, and modify it with an adjective suggesting a **distinguishing characteristic**, a personality trait that defines you in broad strokes:
 - haughty priestess
 - hotshot lawyer
 - noble samurai
 - remorseful assassin
 - sardonic ex-mercenary
 - slothful vampire
 - naive warrior
2. Now provide the character with a name.
3. Note their **occupation**, which is usually a **keyword**. You probably already picked this when you came up with your character concept.
4. If the series uses other **keywords**, such as those for culture or religion, you may have one of them for free.
5. Pick 10 additional **abilities**, describing them however the player wants. Only one of these **abilities** may be a **sidekick**.
6. If you want, describe up to 3 **flaws**.

Prose Method

3.7

You write a paragraph of text like you would see in a story outline, describing the most essential elements of your character. Include **keywords**, personality traits, important possessions, relationships, and anything else that suggests what you can do and why. The paragraph should be about 100 words long.

Compose the description in complete, grammatical sentences. No lists of **abilities**; no sentence fragments. Your GM may choose to allow sentences like the previous one for emphasis or rhythmic effect, but not simply to squeeze in more cool things you can do.

Once your narrative is finished, convert the description into a set of **abilities**. Mark any **keywords** with double underlines. Mark any other word or phrase that could be an **ability** with a single underline. Then write these **keywords** and **abilities** on your character sheet.

There is no limit to the number of **abilities** you can gain from a single sentence, as long as the sentence is not just a list of **abilities**. If your GM decides a sentence is just a list, they may allow the first two **abilities**, or they may tell the player to rewrite the sentence. Note, however, that you cannot specify more than one **sidekick** in your prose description.

Simple Contests

4.0

Simple contests are the default resolution method for all **story obstacles**.

Simple Contest

4.1

Procedure

4.1.1

1. Your GM **frames the contest**.
2. You choose a **tactic**, and figure your PC's **target number (TN)** using the **score** and any **modifiers**. The PC's **TN** is the **score** of their **ability**, plus or minus **modifiers** the GM may give you.
3. Your GM determines the **resistance**. If two PCs contend, your opponent figures their **TN** as described in step 2.
4. Roll a D20 to determine your **success or failure**, then apply any **bumps**. Your GM does the same for the **resistance**. Compare your rolled number with your **TN** to see how well you succeeded or failed with your **ability**. Remember to apply any **bumps** from **masteries** or **story points**.
5. Determine **victory or defeat**. Award **experience points** if appropriate (see §8.1).
6. Describe the **outcome** based on the **story obstacle**.

Group Simple Contest

4.2

In the **group simple contest**, multiple participants take part in a **simple contest**. Each of you in your group conducts an individual **simple contest** against the GM, and the **outcomes** for each side are collated to determine the victor.

A **group simple contest** may pit all of you against a single **resistance**, representing one **story obstacle**. Alternatively, a **group simple contest** may be a series of paired match-ups between two groups of contestants. If you are forced to participate in more than one **contest**, then you face the standard multiple opponent **penalties**.

Procedure

4.2.1

1. Your GM **frames the contest**.
2. You choose a **tactic**, and figure your PC's **target number (TN)** using the **score** and any **modifiers**. Your **TN** is the **score** of their **ability**, plus or minus **modifiers** the GM may give you.
3. Your GM determines the **resistance**. If two PCs contend, your opponent figures their **TN** as described in step 2.
4. For each of your group, roll a D20 to determine your **success or failure**, then apply any **bumps**. Your GM does the same for the **resistance**. Compare your rolled number with your **TN** to see how well you succeeded or failed with your **ability**. Remember to apply any **bumps** from **masteries** or **story points**.
5. The side with the highest number of **victories** is the overall victor in the **contest**. Award **experience points** if appropriate (see §8.1).
6. Describe the **outcome** based on the agreed **prize**.

It is possible that you suffer a **defeat**, even though your side gains the **victory**. It is possible that, as a result, that your GM will suffer a **consequence of defeat** (see §2.7) related to your **defeat**, even though your side won. If your side loses, then you may suffer both a **consequence of defeat** for your own individual **contest**, and a **consequence of defeat** for the overall **contest**. That may simply be a worsening of the **consequence of defeat**.

Long Contests

5.0

Most conflicts should be resolved simply and quickly, using the **simple contest** rules.

However, every so often, your GM wants to draw out the resolution, breaking it down into a series of smaller actions, increasing the suspense you feel as you wait to see if they **succeed** or **fail**.

Think of the different ways a film director can choose to portray a given moment, depending on how important it is to the story, and how invested they want us to feel in its **outcome**.

For example, there are two ways to shoot a scene in which a thief breaks into the bank to steal the contents of the safe.

The action can be portrayed quickly, cutting to a moment with the thief, their ear pressed against the safe trying to get the tumblers to fall into place. Then they sigh with relief, open the safe, and get whatever is inside. In this instance, the story is about what happens after the thief gets what's in the safe, not about what might happen to them if they fail.

Another film might instead choose to make the bank robbery a pivotal turning point in the story, if not its climactic moment. It would spend many scenes building up to the safe-cracking sequence: obtaining the plans of the bank, learning the movements of the guards, crawling through the air conditioning ducts, sliding past the motion sensors and pressure plates, and finally cracking the safe itself. All of these scenes would be **rounds** of a **long contest**.

Remember that *Quest Worlds* uses conflict resolution. If you want to describe how you overcome a sequence of **story obstacles** to overcome the **resistance** then your GM should use a **long contest**, if you just want to move on to the next scene, use a **simple contest**.

Even a movie driven by action and suspense will typically include only a handful of these set-piece sequences. They need the rest of their running time to build up to their big moments, to make us care about the characters, and to give us quiet moments to contrast with the white-knuckle parts.

So pacing may always trump your desire to work through the sequence of events, as your GM may wish to resolve this conflict quickly. This is especially true if only one player is involved.

Your GM may be tempted, to adjudicate every fight with a **long contest**, because fights seem like they should be played out blow-by-blow. They should resist this temptation, as fights are often repetitive trading of blows that can drag when everyone repeats actions from **round** to **round**. Only use **long contests** for fights where the PCs want to do more than slug it out toe-to-toe with their opponents until only one is left standing.

There are three types of **long contest**. Your GM should choose **ONE** to use with their campaign: **scored contest**, **extended contest**, or **chained contest**.

No Nesting

5.1

Your GM should never “nest” one **long contest** inside another. If a **long contest** is in progress and you want to perform an action your GM should treat it as an **unrelated action**, or disallow it completely during the current **contest**.

Scored Contest

5.2

Scored contests are longer and more dramatic than **simple contests**. Your GM uses **scored contests** when the **outcome** of the struggle is important, to generate suspense for you, or when your GM want a back-and-forth struggle. It is something you and your GM should visualize and describe.

A **scored contest** consists of one or more **rounds**, in which you perform actions that are similar to **simple contests**. However, actions and **rounds** do not decide the **outcome** of the whole **contest**, only who gains or loses **resolution points** at that time. In a **scored contest** there is no distinction between aggressor and defender, each **round** represents attempts by both parties to overcome their opponent. Your GM should determine who has the initiative to describe what they are doing for any **exchange**, based on their

interpretation of the flow of events. If in doubt your GM should defer to you over your opponent to describe what you do in the **round**, and describe the NPC reacting to that.

Procedure

5.2.1

1. Your GM **frames the contest**.
2. You choose a **tactic**, and figure your PC's **target number (TN)** using the **score** of your **ability**, plus or minus **modifiers** the GM may give you.
3. Your GM determines the **resistance**. If two PCs contend, your opponent figures their **TN** as described in step 2.
4. Carry out one or more **rounds**, repeating as necessary.
 1. A **scored contest** unfolds as a series of **simple contests**. At the end of each **simple contest**, the winner scores a number of **resolution points (RPs)** to their tally, which varies between 1 and 5, depending on the **result**. Tied **results** leave the score unchanged.
 2. Your GM decides which opponent has the initiative and describes what they are trying to do to achieve the **prize**, the 'aggressor'. The 'defender' describes how they counter the aggressor's attempt to seize the **prize**. If it is not obvious from the unfolding narrative, your GM should choose your PC as the 'aggressor'.
 3. Conduct a **simple contest** as normal, but once the **outcome** has been determined, it becomes a number of **resolution points** scored by the winning side.
 4. The number of **resolution points** the winner garners at the end of each **round** depends on the difference in their **results**, (see below).
 5. The first to accumulate a total of 5 **resolution points** wins; their opponent is knocked out of the **contest** and loses the **prize**.
5. Determine the **scored contest outcome** based on **rising action** or **climax** (below). Award **experience points** if appropriate (see §8.1).
6. Determine **benefits** or **consequences**.
7. Describe the **outcome** based on the **story obstacle**.

Unlike in an **extended contest** (see below), where you usually take part in two **exchanges** with your opponent per **round** (one in which you choose the **AP bid**, and one in which your opponent does), here you and your opponent engage in a single **exchange** per **round** (in which whoever the GM determines has initiative describes an action to seize the **prize** and their opponent how they intend to stop them).

Resolution Points

5.2.1.1

- If you have a better roll on the same result you score 1 **resolution point**.
- You score two **resolution points** for one level of difference, such as **success** vs. **failure**, or **critical** vs. **success**.
- You score three **resolution points** for two level of difference such as **success** vs **fumble**, or **critical** vs. **failure**
- You score four **resolution points** for three levels of difference, which is a **critical** vs. **fumble**.

You can summarize this as: one more **resolution point** than the levels of difference between the **results**.

Your **resolution point** score tells you how well you're doing, relative to your opponent, in the ebb and flow of a fluid, suspenseful conflict. If you're leading your opponent by 0–4, you're giving them a thorough pasting. If you're behind 4–0, you're on your last legs, while your opponent has had an easy time of it. If you're tied, you've each been getting in some good licks.

In a fight, scoring 1 **RP** might mean that you hit your opponent with a grazing blow, or knocked him into an awkward position.

Scoring 2 **RPs** might mean a palpable hit, most likely with bone-crunching sound effects.

A 3 **RP** hit sends them reeling, and, depending on the realism level of the genre, may be accompanied by a spray of blood.

However, the exact physical harm you've dished out to them remains unclear until the **contest's** end. When that happens, the real effects of your various **victories** become suddenly apparent. Perhaps they stagger, merely dazed, up against a wall. Maybe they fall over dead.

In a debate, a 1 **RP** might occasion mild head nodding from spectators, or a frown on your opponent's face.

2 **RPs** would occasion mild applause from onlookers, or send a flush to your opponent's face.

On 3 **RPs**, your opponent might be thrown completely off-track, as audience members wince at the force of your devastating verbal jab.

In interpreting the individual **simple contests** within a **scored contest**, your GM is guided by two principles:

1. No consequence is certain until the entire **scored contest** is over.
2. When a character scores points, it can reflect any positive change in fortunes, not just the most obvious one.

Scored Contest Outcomes

5.2.2

In a **scored contest** the contestant that is the first to gain a total of 5 **resolution points** gains the **prize**.

Your GM may treat the difference in **resource points** as a measure of the magnitude of your **victory** or **defeat**. A 5–0 **outcome** is far more decisive than a 5–4 **outcome** for example.

Your GM may apply **consequences** and **benefits** as they see fit. The **scale** of those **consequences** and **benefits** may be guided by the difference in **resolution points** between the two sides.

Parting Shot

5.2.3

In the **round** immediately after you take an opponent out of the **contest**, you may attempt to gain another **prize** from your opponent suffers by engaging in a **parting shot**. This is an attempt (metaphoric or otherwise) to kick your opponent while he's down:

- Striking an incapacitated enemy
- Attacking a retreating army
- Attacking one more punitive rider to a legal settlement
- Demanding additional money from a business partner
- Delivering one last humiliating insult

You should agree an additional **prize** that you desire beyond the stakes agreed at the beginning of the contest. If you succeed in a **parting shot** you will also gain that prize. Your GM should agree that the additional **prize** makes sense as an opportunity brought about by your opponent's **defeat**.

Your GM should not use a **parting shot**.

The **parting shot** is another simple contest against your **defeated** opponent. The **ability** you use must relate to the consequences the opposition will suffer, but needn't be the same one you used to win the **contest**. If the loser is a PC they use a suitable **ability** to resist; otherwise the GM rolls a suitable **resistance** value.

If you succeed in your **parting shot** roll, you gain the additional **prize**.

However, if your opponent succeeds, they take the number of **resolution points** they would, in a standard **round**, score against you, and instead subtracts them from the number of **resolution points** scored against them in the **round** that removed them from the **contest**. If the revised total is now less than 5 **RPs**, they return to the **contest**, and may re-engage you. Your GM describes this as a dramatic turnaround, in which your overreaching has somehow granted them an advantage allowing them to recover from their previous misfortune. The provisional consequences they suffered now go away, and are treated as a momentary or seeming disadvantage.

Where it makes sense, unengaged PCs may attempt **parting shots** against opponents taken out of the **contest** by someone else. You may not revive your teammates by using your lamest abilities to make **parting shots** on them; this, by definition, does not pass a **credibility test**.

Asymmetrical Round

5.2.4

You may choose to briefly suspend your attempt to best your opponent in a **scored contest**, in order to do something else. An instance where you are trying to do something else and your opponent is trying to win the **contest** is called an **asymmetrical round**.

In an **asymmetrical round**, you do not score **RPs** against your opponent if you win the **round**. Instead, you succeed at whatever else you were doing. You still lose **RPs** if you fail. Often you will be using an **ability** other than the one you've been waging the **contest** with, one better suited to the task at hand. This becomes additionally dangerous when the **score** associated with your substitute **ability** is significantly lower than the one used for the rest of the **contest**.

In addition to secondary objectives, as in the above example, you may engage in **asymmetrical round** to grant **augments** (see above) to yourself or others.

Disengaging

5.2.5

You can always abandon a **contest**, but, in addition to failing at the **story obstacle**, you may also suffer negative consequences. In a **contest** where your opponent intends to harm you, you will always suffer negative consequences if you withdraw, unless you successfully disengage.

To disengage, you make an **asymmetrical round**, using the **ability** relevant to the **contest** you're trying to wriggle out of.

If you fail, your effort is wasted and the score against you increases, as it would have during a normal **round**. If you succeed, you escape the clutches, literal or metaphorical, of your opponent, without further harm from a **contest** during the **rising action**. In a **climactic** scene, however, **RPs** scored during **contests** you disengaged from are still taken into account when determining **consequences**. In the case of a **group contest**, **consequences** against you are determined as soon as you disengage.

Group Scored Contest

5.3

Group scored contests proceed as a series of **scored contests** between pairs of PC and opponents, interwoven so that they happen nearly simultaneously.

As in a **scored contest** between a single PC and an opponent, only one **simple contest** per pair of adversaries occurs each **round**. Usually the PCs make up one team, and their antagonists the other.

A **group scored contest** continues until one side has no active participants. If you **defeat** your opponent you can pair with a new opponent. The new opponent might be unengaged, but might also be engaged in an existing pairing. When you pair with a new opponent, you begin a new **contest**, even if your opponent

is already engaged in a **contest**. Alternatively, if you are unopposed, you may choose to **assist**. Of course, you may be later engaged by an opponent who becomes free yourself.

You may lose some pairings amongst the PCs, but still win if the last participant standing is a PC; otherwise if the last participant belongs to the opposition you lose.

Procedure

5.3.1

1. Your GM **frames the contest**.
2. You choose a **tactic**, and figure your PC's **target number (TN)** using the **score** of your **ability**, plus or minus **modifiers** the GM may give you.
3. The GM determines the **resistance**. If two PCs contend, your opponent figures their **TN** as described in step 2.
4. The PCs to choose their opponents in order of their **TN** where it makes sense. Otherwise your GM will allocate opponents to you dependent on what makes narrative sense.
5. Establish an order of the paired **contests**. There is no significant advantage to going first, but use your group's **TNs** from highest to lowest if no other option presents itself.
6. For each pairing your GM carries out one **round**. Then they repeat by carrying out more **rounds** in order, as necessary. The **group scored contest** ends as soon as there are no active participants on one side of the conflict. The side with one or more participants left standing wins.
 1. A **group scored contest** unfolds as a series of **simple contests**. At the end of each **simple contest**, the winner scores a number of **resolution points (RPs)** to their tally, which varies between 1 and 5, depending on the result. Tied results leave the score unchanged.
 2. Your GM decides which opponent in a pair has the initiative and describes what they are trying to do to achieve the **prize**, the 'aggressor'. The 'defender' describes how they counter the aggressor's attempt to seize the **prize**. If it is not obvious from the unfolding narrative, your GM should choose your PC as the 'aggressor'.
 3. Conduct a **simple contest** as normal, but once the **outcome** has been determined, it becomes a number of **resolution points** scored by the winning side.
 4. The number of **resolution points** the winner garners at the end of each **round** depends on the difference between their **results**, (see §5.1.2).
 5. The first to accumulate a total of 5 points wins; their opponent is knocked out of the **contest**.
 1. As one of a pair is eliminated from the **group scored contest**, their victorious opponents may then move on to engage new targets, starting new **contests**, which are then added to the end of the existing sequence.
 2. If participating in multiple pairings, each pairing is the first to 5 points, points already scored do not count.
7. Award **experience points** if appropriate (see §8.1).
8. Describe the **outcome** based on the **story obstacle**.

Group Scored Contest Outcomes

5.3.2

In a **group scored contest** the team that has the last undefeated contestant gains the **prize**.

Your GM may decide that you suffer individual **consequences** or gain individual **benefits** from the **outcome** of the contests you pursued, regardless of whether your team won or lost. You might be on the winning team, but lost your individual **contest** and suffer a **penalty** to ongoing actions as a result. Alternatively, you might be on the losing team, but win your individual **contest** and gain a **benefit** as a result. If you lost, your **benefit** should not be the **prize** but instead reflect a side-affect of your individual triumph. Similarly, if your team won, your **consequence** should not limit the **prize** which your team one, but should reflect a side-affect of your individual loss.

See §5.1.3 for a discussion of **consequences** and **benefits** in **scored contests**.

Unrelated Actions

5.3.3

If you are not currently enmeshed in a **round**, either after a successful disengagement, or after winning a **round**, you may take actions within the scene that do not directly contribute to the **defeat** of the other side. These **unrelated actions** may grant an **augment** to yourself or to a teammate. You may achieve a secondary story objective. This resembles an **asymmetrical round**, except that, as you are not targeted by any opponents, there is no additional risk.

Assists

5.3.4

You may take an **unrelated action** to grant an **assist** to a teammate enmeshed in a **round**. **Assists** are subject to the same restrictions as **augments**: they must be both credible and interesting.

Your first **assist** faces a **moderate resistance**. Each subsequent **assist** attempt to the same beneficiary, steps up by one step on the **scale**: +3, +6, +9, +M, +M2. The **resistance** escalation occurs even when another PC steps in to make a subsequent **assist**. This escalation allows the occasional dramatic rescue but makes it difficult for players to prolong losing battles to excruciating length. Your GM should make it seem credible by justifying the increasing **resistances** with descriptions of ever-escalating countermeasures on the part of the opposition.

Your GM may adjust the starting **resistance** up or down by one step to account for campaign credibility or other dramatic factors. If an **assist** as proposed seems too improbable or insufficiently useful, your GM should collaborate with you to propose alternate suggestions which would face **moderate resistance**.

The **assist** alters the score against your teammate according to the **outcome** of a **simple contest**.

If you have the same result, but a better level of **success**, you reduce the **resolution points** by 1; if you have one level of difference, such as **critical** vs a **success** or a **success** vs a **failure** you reduce then **resolution points** by 2; if you have two levels of difference, such as a **critical** vs a **failure** or a **success** vs a **fumble** you reduce the **resolution points** by 3; if you have three levels of difference from a **critical** vs. a **fumble** you reduce the **resolution points** by 4.

If you lost the contest, you will worsen your allies position.

If you have the same result, but a lower level of **success** you increase the **resolution points** by 1; if you have one level of difference, such as a **success** vs a **critical** or a **failure** vs a **success** you increase the **resolution points** by 2; if you have two levels of difference, such as a **failure** vs a **critical** or a **fumble** vs. a **success** you increase the **resolution points** by 3. If you **fumble** against a **critical** you increase the **resolution points** by 4.

If you fail with a lesser success, your GM may interpret the actions as a distraction that allows your opponent advantage. If you fail, your GM may interpret your actions as backfiring and making inflicting harm.

Scores can never be reduced below 0.

Followers

5.3.5

You may choose to have your **followers** take part in **group scored contests** in one of three ways: as full contestants, as secondary contestants, or as supporters.

Contestant: The **follower** takes part in the **contest** as any other PC would. You roll for your **followers** as you would their main characters. However, your **followers** are removed from the **contest** whenever 3 **resolution points** are scored against them in a given **round**.

Secondary contestant: To act as a secondary contestant, your **follower** must have an **ability** relevant to the **contest**. The **follower** sticks by your side, contributing directly to the effort: fighting in a battle, tossing in arguments in a legal dispute, acting as the ship's navigator, or whatever. Although you describe this, you do not roll for the **follower**. Instead, you may, at any point, shift any number of **resolution points** to a **follower** acting as a secondary contestant. Followers with 3 or more **resource points** lodged against them are removed from the scene.

Supporter: Your **follower** is present in the scene, but does not directly engage your opponents. Instead they may perform **assists** and other **unrelated actions**.

Followers acting in any of these three capacities may be removed from the **contest** by otherwise unengaged opponents. To remove a **follower** from a scene, an opponent engages your **follower** in a **simple contest**. Your GM sets the **resistance**, or if it is another PC's **follower** they determine the relevant **ability** of the **follower** engaging yours. On any failure, your **follower** is taken out of the **contest**.

Your GM determines any long-term implications for the follower being removed from the contest. Whilst your GM should not end your character's story without consent, such as via death, they may choose to end the story of a follower in such circumstances, viscerally demonstrating the threat that the PCs face.

Risky Gambits

5.3.6

During a **scored contest**, you can attempt to force a conflict to an early resolution by making a **risky gambit**. If you win the **round**, you lodge an additional 1 **resolution point** against your opponent. However, if you lose the **round**, your opponent lodges an additional 2 **resolution points** against you.

If both contestants engage in a **risky gambit**, the winner lodges an additional 2 **resolution points** against the loser.

Defensive Responses

5.3.7

In a **scored contest**, you can make a **defensive response**, lowering the number of **resolution points** lodged against you in a **round**. If you win the **round**, the number of **resolution points** you lodge against your opponent decreases by 1. If you lose, your opponent lodges 2 fewer **resolution points** against you. The total number of **resolution points** assigned by a **round** is never less than 0; there is no such thing as a negative **resolution point**.

Joining Scored Contests in Progress

5.3.8

When you wish to join a **scored contest** in progress, you and your GM should discuss whether you accept the current framing. If so, you can participate. In a **scored contest**, you simply select an opponent and enter into a new **round**. If you want to achieve something other than the goal established during framing, you may instead perform **unrelated actions**, including **assists** and **augments**.

Switching Abilities

5.3.9

You may describe an action in a **scored contest** that is not covered by the **ability** that you started the contest with. There are two possibilities here: either you are trying to provide color to your actions in the **round**, without seeking to gain advantage, or you are seeking to gain advantage over your opponent with a novel **tactic**. In the former case, you can continue to use the **ability** you started the contest with, as you should not be penalized for wanting to enhance the contest with colorful or entertaining descriptions. In the latter case you should switch **abilities**, and your GM must decide if the **resistance** changes because of your new **ability**. Your GM is encouraged to reward **tactics** that exploit weaknesses that have been identified in the

story so far with a lower **resistance**. Sometimes your GM may respond with a higher **resistance** because your **tactic** looks less likely to succeed due to conditions already established in the story.

Extended Contest

5.4

Extended contests are longer and more dramatic than **simple contests**. Your GM uses **extended contests** when the **outcome** of the struggle is important, to generate suspense for the players, or when they want a back-and-forth struggle. It is something you and your GM should visualize and describe.

An **extended contest** consists of one or more **rounds**, in which you perform actions that are similar to **simple contests**. However, actions and **rounds** do not decide the **outcome** of the whole **contest**, only who gains or loses **advantage points (AP)** at that time. You take actions in turn, an **exchange**, losing and gaining the advantage, until either you or your opponent runs out of **advantage points** and is **defeated**.

Procedure

5.4.1

1. Your GM **frames the contest**.
2. You choose a **tactic**, and figure your PC's **target number (TN)** using the **score** of your **ability**, plus or minus **modifiers** the GM may give you. Figure your starting **advantage point (AP)** total (see §5.3.2.1).
3. The GM determines the **resistance**. The GM opposes the PC with a **resistance**—the harder the task or tougher the opponent, the higher the **resistance**. The GM figures starting **APs** for the **resistance** (see §5.3.2.1).
4. Carry out one or more **rounds**, repeating as necessary.
 1. Each **round** consists of two **exchanges**: an action and immediate response.
 1. You describe your action towards the desired **prize** and bid **APs** *(see §5.3.2.2).
 2. Roll a die to determine your **result**, then apply any **bumps**. Your GM does the same.
 3. Compare the results of the two **results** to determine who loses **AP**; only when you have a **critical** can you gain **AP** from your opponent. (see §5.3.2.3)
 4. If either contestant reaches 0 **advantage points** or fewer, the contest is over.
 5. The GM then hazards a number of **APs** for the **resistance**.
 6. Roll a die to determine your **result**, then apply any **bumps**. Your GM does the same.
 7. Compare the results of the two **results** to determine who loses **AP**; only when you have a **critical** can you gain **AP** from your opponent. (see §5.3.2.3).
 8. If either contestant reaches 0 **advantage points** or fewer, the contest is over.
5. Award **experience points** if appropriate (see §8.1).

Advantage Points

5.4.2

Starting AP Totals

5.4.2.1

You describe your action towards the desired **prize** and what **ability** you use. The **ability** used in the contest can be varied, but **APs** are always calculated on the first **ability** that you use in a contest. That **ability** must be used in the first **round**. Figure your starting **advantage point (AP)** total using the **TN**, including all **modifiers** and **augments**. The **AP** include +20 for each level of **mastery**, and can also be increased by **followers**.

The GM figures starting **APs** for the **resistance** from the **resistance TN**.

Bidding Advantage Points

5.4.2.2

You gamble a number of your **APs** in an attempt to reduce your opponent's **AP**, but if you fail the attempt you lose the **AP**.

You describe your action towards the desired **prize**, what **ability** you use, and how much risk you take. "I want to climb straight up to that outcrop, taking chances if needed." You can specify your **AP bid**; if you do not, your GM determines this based on the amount of risk you are taking.

The size of the **bid** mirrors how bold and risky your character's action is. Extreme or aggressive actions mean a high **AP bid**, and cautious actions require less. If you describe an all-out offensive with your sword cutting vicious arcs, you need to bid a lot of **APs**; if you say that you are circling your foe cautiously, a low **bid** is in order. Your GM will look at the level of risk you are taking, and may suggest that you change your **bid** to better match your actions. If you do not declare a **bid** before rolling the die, your GM will decide how many points are **bid** (using 3 as a default), with riskier actions calling for higher **AP bids**.

Losing Advantage Points

5.4.2.3

The number of advantage points lost by a contestant is a multiplier of their bid. Determine the multiplier used as follows:

- On a tie, both contestants lose $\frac{1}{2}x$ bid. Round up.
- If the loser had the same result, but a worse roll, they lose $\frac{1}{2}x$ bid. Round up.
- If the loser had one level of difference, such as **success** vs **failure** or **failure** vs **fumble**, they lose 1x their bid.
- If the loser had two levels of difference, such as **success** vs **fumble**, they lose 2x their bid.
- If the loser has three levels of difference, a **critical** vs **fumble**, they lose 3x their bid.
- If the winner has a **critical**, the **APs** lost by the loser are gained by the winner—a transfer.

Followers and Advantage Points

5.4.2.4

Followers can act in different ways during a **contest**, **augmenting** you with their **abilities** or allowing you to use one of your **abilities** as if it were your own. Alternatively, a **follower** with a relevant **ability** or **keyword** can simply add their **APs** to the PC's at the beginning of the **contest**.

Remember to figure any **modifiers** into your **follower's ability** before adding it to your starting **AP** total.

Neither you nor the GM makes rolls for **followers**. Instead, their actions are subsumed into yours. The **follower's** relevant **ability** or **keyword** is used solely as a source of **advantage points**.

You can assign your **followers** to someone else, although you may have to succeed at a contest to persuade a reluctant follower to go along.

Advantage Point Knowledge

5.4.2.5

Once your opponent has won or lost **APs** during the current contest, you can ask the GM what the opposition's **AP** total is. This is where the element of skill comes in. When choosing how many **APs** to stake, you must weigh the effect they want to gain if you succeed versus the risk you face if the action fails.

Advantage Point Recalculation

5.4.2.6

Advantage points are only relevant for the length of a particular **contest**. Your PC does not have any until the next **extended contest** begins, when you calculate them all over again.

Extended Contest Outcomes

5.4.3

When your GM determines **consequences** and **benefits** they can use the final **AP** totals

In a **group extended contest** the side that has the last undefeated contestant gains the **prize**.

Your GM may apply **consequences** and **benefits** as they see fit. The **scale** of those **consequences** and **benefits** may be guided by the difference in **action points** between the two sides.

Parting Shot

5.4.4

When you **defeat** an opponent in an **extended contest**, you can act again immediately to try to make their **consequences** more severe. This is called a **parting shot**.

In the **round** immediately after you take an opponent out of the **contest**, you may attempt to gain another **prize** from your opponent suffers by engaging in a **parting shot**. This is an attempt (metaphoric or otherwise) to kick your opponent while he's down:

- Striking an incapacitated enemy
- Attacking a retreating army
- Attacking one more punitive rider to a legal settlement
- Demanding additional money from a business partner
- Delivering one last humiliating insult

You should agree an additional **prize** that you desire beyond the stakes agreed at the beginning of the contest. If you succeed in a **parting shot** you will also gain that **prize**. Your GM should agree that the additional **prize** makes sense as an opportunity brought about by your opponent's **defeat**.

Your GM should not use a **parting shot**.

You once again **bid AP** and use an appropriate **ability** against your opponent. Your GM must agree that the size of your **AP bid** is sufficient to gain the additional **prize**. The greater the **prize** the more risk that failure will bring them back into the contest, and so the higher the **bid** must be. If you succeed, their **AP** will decrease; their **outcome** may or may not change, but they cannot finish the **round** by taking an action against you.

Parting shots are risky; if you fail, an **AP** transfer might bring your opponent back into the **contest**. Your stumble can give them an opening that they can exploit in an effort to snatch **victory** from the jaws of **defeat**.

Desperation Stake

5.4.5

You can stake more **advantage points** than you currently have, to a maximum of your starting **AP** total. This allows you to attempt a **desperation stake** even when you are within a single **AP** of **defeat**. Your GM can never stake more **advantage points** than they have.

Asymmetrical Exchange

5.4.6

If you are engaged, you may choose to briefly suspend your attempt to best your opponent in an **extended contest**, in order to do something else. An instance where you are trying to do something else and your opponent is trying to win the **contest** is called an **asymmetrical exchange**.

In an **asymmetrical exchange**, you do not score **APs** against your opponent if you win the **exchange**. Instead, you succeed at whatever else you were doing. You still lose **AP** if you fail. Often you will be using

an **ability** other than the one you've been waging the **contest** with, one better suited to the task at hand. This becomes additionally dangerous when the **score** associated with your substitute **ability** is significantly lower than the one used for the rest of the **contest**.

In addition to secondary objectives, as in the above example, you may engage in **asymmetrical exchange** to grant **augments** (see §2.5) to yourself or others.

Switching Abilities

5.4.7

You can usually switch freely from one **ability** to another in the middle of an **extended contest**. It makes sense to do so if you think a different **ability** will yield an advantage.

Your **AP** total stays the same when you change your **ability**, so it makes sense to start the contest with your best **ability** (appropriate to your goal, of course). If this seems odd, remember that **advantage points** measure advantage—how well the character is doing in the contest at the current moment. They do not measure proficiency; that is what the **target number** is for.

When you switch **abilities**, your **prize** does not change, just the means by which you pursue it.

Disengaging

5.4.8

To disengage from an **extended contest** when your opponent is actively trying to keep you in the conflict, use an **asymmetrical exchange** (see ±5.3.6). You use an **ability** relevant to your attempt to disengage; the opponent counters with the **resistance** or, if a PC, an appropriate **ability**. If the GM attempts to disengage, they use the **resistance** to do so. These **abilities** may or may not be those used in the main **contest**.

On any **victory**, you are able to leave the **contest**.

If you withdraw from a **group extended contest** and later decide to rejoin it (or are forced to), you rejoin with the **advantage point** total you had when you left. If you can show how your leaving and returning substantially changes the situation, the GM may restore some of your **AP**—for example, if you leave a street fight to get your **followers** from a nearby tavern. Leaving a **contest** just to pick up a weapon or catch your breath is an **unrelated action**, and does not change your **advantage points**.

AP Gifting

5.4.9

If you are uninvolved in the contest you can also increase a participant's **AP** total. First, agree the **tactic** you are using to help the engaged participant. Second, figure your **APs** from that ability (see ±5.3.2.1). You **bid** a number of **APs** which may not exceed your **target number**. The **resistance** is twice the **bid**.

On a **victory** you transfer that number of the participant. On a **defeat** you transfer that number to the participant's opponent.

Edges and Handicaps

5.4.10

Your GM may want rules to represent opponents who strike rarely but with great effect or who strike often but with little impact per blow. The first quality can be represented with an **edge**; the second, with a **handicap**. **Edges** and **handicaps** are designated using \wedge ($\wedge 5$, for example), **handicaps** with a minus sign ($-\wedge 5$).

Edges and **handicaps** affect only the **advantage points bid** in an **extended contest**. Your **edge** is added to your **AP bid** when your opponent must **lose** or **transfer APs**. Your **handicap** is subtracted from your bid when your opponent **loses** or **transfers APs**. A contestant's **edge** or **handicap** never affects his **AP** when he defends, only when he is attacking.

Most GMs find **edges** and **handicaps** more trouble than they're worth, and depict these phenomena with description alone. Earlier books made more extensive use of **edges** and **handicaps** to represent the quality of equipment carried by the PCs. For example, your suit for chainmail might be ^4 and your sword ^3. In games where restricted access to equipment is a significant part of the setting and your GM wants to use extended contests it may make sense to use them, otherwise we recommend ignoring them.

Group Extended Contests

5.5

When an **extended contest** involves three or more contestants, it is a **group extended contest**. The conflict is often between two groups; each side wants to knock the other out of the contest by reducing all of its opponents to 0 or fewer **APs**.

Sometimes a contest will be a free-for-all involving three or more groups.

Procedure

5.5.1

1. Your GM **frames the contest**.
2. You choose a **tactic**, and figure your PC's **target number (TN)** using the **score** of your **ability**, plus or minus **modifiers** the GM may give you. Figure your starting **advantage point (AP)** total (see §5.3.2.1).
3. The GM determines the **resistance**. The GM opposes the PC with a **resistance**—the harder the task or tougher the opponent, the higher the **resistance**. The GM figures starting **APs** for the **resistance** (see §5.3.2.1).
4. You describe your action towards the desired **prize** and bid **APs*** (see §5.3.2.2).
5. The GM describes actions for the resistance and bids **APs** (see §5.3.2.2).
6. The GM determines the order of action from highest **bid** to lowest: a **bid** of 20 **APs** goes before a **bid** of 5 **APs**. (In case of a tie, the contestant whose **bid** is the most daring goes first.)
 1. In order of action
 1. Decide if you want to defer your action, you can jump back into the order at any point.
 2. Roll a die to determine your **result**, then apply any **bumps**. Your GM does the same.
 3. Compare the results of the two **results** to determine who loses **AP**; only when you have a **critical** can you gain **AP** from your opponent. (see §5.3.2.3)
 4. If either contestant reaches 0 **advantage points** or fewer, the contest is out of the contest.
7. When all characters still in the contest have completed their action the **round** ends and a new one begins.
8. When one side has reduced all of its opponents to 0 or fewer **APs** the contest ends.

If your chosen opponent is knocked out before your PC acts, the GM decides if you can change your declared action. If you defer your action and jump back in, the GM decides if you can change your declared action.

Group Extended Contest Outcomes

5.5.2

In a **group extended contest** the side that has the last undefeated contestant gains the **prize**.

Your GM may decide that you suffer individual **consequences** or gain individual **benefits** from the **outcome** of the contests you pursued, regardless of whether your team won or lost. You might be on the winning team, but lost your individual **contest** and suffer a **penalty** to ongoing actions as a result. Alternatively, you might be on the losing team, but win your individual **contest** and gain a **benefit** as a result. If you lost, your **benefit** should not be the **prize** but instead reflect a side-affect of your individual triumph. Similarly, if

your team won, your **consequence** should not limit the **prize** which your team one, but should reflect a side-affect of your individual loss.

See §5.3.3 for a discussion of **consequences** and **benefits** in **scored contests**.

Second Chance

5.5.3

If your PC falls to 0 or fewer **advantage points** in a standard **extended contest**, you are **defeated**. In a **group extended contest**, however, you can try a **second chance** to stay in the **contest**. A **second chance** represents the knack to come back when your opponent turns away to gloat or deal with the other player characters. A character may only attempt one **second chance** in any **contest**.

To attempt a **second chance**, you must be free from attention by the opposition. You must spend a **story point**. This does not provide a **bump** up on the roll to come; it is the cost of performing a **second chance**. You can use a relevant **ability** in a **simple contest** against the number of **APs** your PC is below 0. Even if you succeed, a **consequences** applies: take a -6 to further actions in this contest.

If you win the **simple contest**, you rejoin the contest with a positive **AP** total. Your new total is a 1/4 of your original **AP** total at the outset of the **contest**, round up.

Your GM should not use a **second chance** for the **resistance**.

Your GM may decide to impost a **consequence** on you, even if you are later victorious in a contest, or your team wins the prize, that represents the adversity you suffered that brought you initially to defeat.

AP Lending

5.5.4

AP lending is a common and important option in **extended contests**. You can transfer some or all of your **advantage points** to another PC engaged in a **group extended contest** on your side. With more **advantage points**, they can stay in the **contest** for longer, or make larger **bids** without driving themselves to **defeat**.

You cannot lend **advantage points** to yourself.

If a **follower's AP** are already included in your **AP** total, the **follower** cannot lend them to you.

Use an **unrelated action** and describe what your character is trying to do to improve the position of the target. For example, your PC might throw them a weapon, jeer at an opponent, or simply shout words of encouragement. Then, state the number of **AP** you are trying to **lend**. (The GM may suggest a higher or lower **bid** based on the action you describe.) This determines the **resistance** you face in a **simple contest**. You lost the **APs** whether or not you **succeed** in the contest.

Chained Contest

5.6

Chained contests do not defer **consequences** to the end of the **contest**, instead your GM applies the **consequences** to the loser in the **contest** immediately following a **round**. This leads to a grittier feel to the **contest**, but at the price of a death spiral: once you lose the **consequences** make it likelier that you will lose again. **Chained contests** tend to produce the most extreme **outcomes**, as participants tend to accumulate significant **consequences**.

To run an **chained contest** your GM runs a **simple contest** as normal, and then applies **consequences** (see §2.6) with immediate effect.

You decide if you wish to continue the **chained contest**, and your GM makes a similar determination for the **resistance**. Both you and your GM then express your intent. If your or your GM wishes to continue, play out another **simple contest**. If you, or your GM, wishes to **disengage**, then on a **victory** you leave

the contest, without inflicting consequences on the opposition. If both you and the GM wish to leave the contest, then you both **disengage**, and the contest ends. Award **experience points** if appropriate (see §8.1).

You can switch **abilities** within the contest, provided your GM agrees that the new **ability** represents a suitable **tactic** to obtain the **prize**.

If the **penalties** suffered by one contestant reduce their **ability** below 0, they must concede the contest. If it makes sense, your GM may allow you to continue by switching **abilities**. The GM may decide that accumulated **penalties** apply to the new ability used in the contest—this may still force you to concede.

A **chained contest** can benefit from using the difference between **results** as a **rank** when your GM assesses the **benefits and consequence** (see §2.7.2).

Chained contests are asymmetric, in that they accumulate **consequences** for the loser, and not **benefits** for the winner. Your GM may decide to award **benefits** to a victorious PC after the **contest** ends (see §2.6).

Group Chained Contest

5.6.1

In a **group chained contest** opponents pair off and fight a series of **chained contest rounds** with each other.

Your GM should determine the order of action, but as all rounds represent actions by both aggressor and defender there is no advantage to be obtained by going first. If there are surplus characters on your side, you may engage an already engaged opponent in a second **contest**; your GM may choose to apply a **penalty** to them as they are already engaged with one opponent. Alternatively you may choose to **augment** an existing player character, reflecting aiding them in their fight instead.

Group Chained Contest Outcomes

5.6.2

In a **group chained contest** the side that has not conceded gains the **prize**.

Individual **consequences** or **benefits** will have already been determined by the **chained contest outcomes** on each **round**.

Followers in a Chained Contest

5.6.3

Followers may augment your character in a **chained contest**.

In addition, if you suffer a defeat in a round of a **chained contest** you may transfer that **outcome** to a follower, but they suffer a **state of adversity** one level worse than you would do, so marginal becomes minor etc., and the **follower** is removed from the **contest**.

Extended vs Scored Contests vs Chained Contests

5.7

We recommend that your GM chooses ONE form of **long contest** only, and stick to it, within a given campaign of *Quest Worlds*. If in doubt, use a **scored contest** by default. We also recommend that game designers choosing to use *Quest Worlds* as the basis of their own game, choose ONE form of **long contest** to include. This document is comprehensive to allow designers and GMs to choose.

Scored contests have the advantage of speed and simplicity. **Extended contests** have the advantage of each **exchange** allowing both parties to take turns acting, over your GM adjudicating who has the initiative; the bidding system also adds drama. **Chained contests** offer the benefit of grittier exchanges where the **outcomes** of each **round** have impact, as opposed to being ‘cosmetic’ until the end of the **contest**.

Scored contests require more interpretation by your GM, to determine who has the initiative and describe the nature of the next **round**. **Extended contests** drama comes at the cost of increased complexity, and some harder to interpret corner cases. **Chained contests** create a death spiral which can be hard to break out of.

Extremely Long Contests

5.8

There's no particular time scale associated with **contests**. But some **contests** may by their very nature be a drama that can't be resolved at one point in the narrative. Examples include political campaigns, construction projects, or seductions. These can be resolved by **long contests** where each **round** is conducted at an appropriate moment, rather than sequentially. Your GM will need to keep track of the **resolution or advantage points** and the **resistance**, though this might change as the context changes (a civil war started by the players could impede their castle-building plans). The challenges of each round will vary, and you may use a different **ability** or **augment** in the next exchange.

Relationships

6.0

Abilities may represent your relationship to NPCs.

Supporting Characters

6.1

Many relationships connect you to NPCs controlled by the GM.

When you try to use one of these relationships to solve a problem, your **tactic** is your relationship **ability**. You can't simply go to the **supporting character** you have a relationship with, stick them with the problem, and expect to see it solved.

If you succeed, the **supporting character** helps you solve the problem. If you fail, they don't. As with any **ability**, you must still specify how the NPC goes about overcoming the **story obstacle**. Calls on relationships are almost always **simple contests**.

In crucial situations, it may seem dramatically inappropriate for you to solve a problem indirectly, by working through others. Your GM can expose the **supporting character** to serious risk. If the character dies or otherwise suffers a change of status that renders them useless to you, you permanently lose the relationship **ability**.

Before putting **supporting characters** at serious risk, your GM should make sure the players understand the magnitude of the possible consequences.

When **supporting characters** undertake significant risk, the **supporting character** may suffer a **consequence of defeat** commensurate with the level of the **defeat** in the **contest**. Or it may simply be your relationship that is damaged or destroyed.

Allies

6.2

An **ally** is a character of roughly the same level of accomplishment as you, often in the same or a similar line of work. For every favor you ask of them they'll ask one of you. These reciprocal favors will be roughly equivalent in terms of risk, time commitment, resistance class, and inconvenience.

Patrons

6.3

Patrons enjoy greater access to assets than you, either through personal ownership (as in a Merchant Prince) or authority (as in the governor of a province). They may lend you advice or provide you with assets but are too busy and important to personally perform tasks for you. They may hire you to do jobs, or issue orders within a command structure to which you both belong.

When you roll your **patron** relationship, your GM adjusts the resistance class depending on what you have done for them lately.

Contacts

6.4

A **contact** is a specialist in an **occupation**, skill, or area of expertise. **Contacts** provide your information and perform minor favors, but will expect information or small favors from you in return.

You can describe a **contact** as being a particular individual, or as a group of similar individuals.

Occupational Contacts

6.4.1

Any **occupational keyword** can be treated as a source of **contacts**. However, using an **occupational keyword** as a source of **contacts** will always be a **stretch**. To more reliably draw on particular **contacts** associated with your occupation, you should take an explicit ability. Use a **breakout ability** if you are using **umbrella keywords**.

Followers

6.5

A **follower** is a **supporting character** that travels with you and contributes on a regular basis to your success.

There are two types of followers: **sidekicks** and **retainers**.

Followers need not be people, or even sentient beings: you can write up a spirit guardian, trusty robot, or companion animal as a **follower**.

Sidekick

6.5.1

A **sidekick** is a **supporting character** under your control. Most of the time they stay at your side to render assistance, but they can also go off and perform errands or missions on their own.

You should give your **sidekick** a name. You should, when asked, explain how the **sidekick** came to be your **follower**, and why they continue in that role.

Sidekicks start with three **abilities**, one rated at 16 and the others at 13. Any of these **abilities** may be a **keyword**. At least one of them should indicate a **distinguishing characteristic**.

If the sidekick is nonhuman or a member of an unusual culture, one of its three starting **abilities** must be its species or culture **keyword**.

Once you have determined the **sidekick's** base **abilities**, they allocate 15 additional points between three of them, spending no more than 10 on any one **ability**.

You can improve these **abilities** through the expenditure of **experience points**.

You may use any of your **sidekick's** **abilities** as your own. The **sidekick** can go off and do things without you.

Replacing Lost Sidekicks

6.5.2

As a **consequence of defeats** in which they participated, **sidekicks** can be killed or leave your service permanently.

Defeat in physical **contests** can lead to literal death. Metaphorical deaths from non-violent **contests** indicate they break up with you. The **sidekick** may angrily withdraw from your service, but is more likely to sorrowfully retire. You may be able to bring a **sidekick** back from metaphorical death by overcoming **story obstacles**.

If you lose a **sidekick**, you may create a new one without needing to spend a **experience point**. You must explain how the new **sidekick** has come to be your new **follower**.

You may find it convenient to promote **retainers** to **sidekick** status, giving them names and personalities, with a sudden improvement in **abilities** and **scores** to match.

Retainers

6.5.3

A **retainer** is a more or less anonymous servant or helper. You may specify a single **retainer**, or, where appropriate to your character concept, an entire staff of them.

Like any other **ability**, a **retainer ability** allows you to overcome relevant **story obstacles** by engaging in a **contest**. To model the contribution of **retainers**, when you are acting, you can use them to **augment** your **ability**. Your GM can rule that **consequences** apply to **retainers**.

Retainers generally regard you with all the affection and loyalty due to an employer or master. If you treat them more poorly than is expected for their culture, your GM should increase the **resistance class** of attempts to make use of their talents.

If you lose **retainers** for any reason, you can replace them simply by providing a convincing explanation of how you go about it.

Relationships as Flaws

6.6

Certain relationships with **supporting characters** act as **flaws**. They impose obligations on you, prompting your GM to present you with **story obstacles** you have no choice but to overcome.

Dependents

6.6.1

A **dependent** is a person, usually a family member or loved one, who requires your aid and protection. Your GM should periodically create storylines in which your **dependent** is endangered.

Rather than taking a **dependent** as a **flaw**, you may find it more fruitful to specify the nature of your relationship as an **ability**, such as *Love for Wife* or *Love for Son*.

Adversaries

6.6.2

An **adversary** is a rival, enemy or other individual who can be relied upon to periodically disrupt your plans. The **adversary's** goals are probably the opposite of yours, although they could be a bitter rival within the same community, organization, or movement.

To treat an **adversary** as an **ability**, rather than a **flaw**, describe your emotional response to them. Examples: *Hates Leonard Crisp*, *Fears the Electronaut*, *Sworn Vengeance Against Heimdall*. That way, you still inspire

your GM to add the plot elements you desire, but can use your antipathy toward the enemy to **augment** your **target numbers** against them.

Story Points

7.0

Quest Worlds design favors pulp stories and cinematic action. **Story points** mirror the ability of heroes in these genres to “cheat death”, or “escape with one bound”.

Normally, your GM should ensure that **defeat** takes the story for your PC in an interesting new direction. Unlike some games, where your goal is to win against challenges set by the GM, in a storytelling game your goal is to tell a good story together. Just as in fiction the protagonist can suffer all sorts of reversals, so in a storytelling game, your PC should suffer all sorts of adversities before they triumph (or meet their tragic end). As a result, we recommend against the tendency to ‘buy off **defeat**’ with **story points** in the middle of the story. Instead, use **story points** when **defeat** would damage the conception of the character that you have been building during the story, or lead to an unsatisfactory climax to the story.

Your GM should push the story in an interesting new direction on **defeat** not send it to a dead end. If there is no interesting branch from **defeat** they should consider an **assured contest** instead.

In other genres, it may feel less appropriate that you can ‘cheat certain death.’ For those genres you can simply drop **story points** without impacting the game.

In games with a strong player vs. player element, your GM should dispense with **story points** as they become disruptive if used against each other.

Story Point Pool

7.1

At the beginning of play, your GM will create a **story point pool** for your group. The **story point pool** has one **story point** per PC. During play you can **burn** one or more points from this **pool**, after which it is lost. When you **burn story points** you can edit the story in your PC or group’s favor. You can either do this to improve your **result** via a **bump** (see §7.1) or to introduce a helpful fact into the world via a **plot edit** (see §7.2).

You can decide to spend **story points** at any time. You do not need agreement from the other players to do so.

Your GM may feel that the genre they are playing requires a greater pool of **story points** as in that genre the heroes never seem to lose. You can create a larger **story point pool** to reflect this but beware that failure and reversals of fortune for the hero are part of most *interesting* stories. It can be unwise for the GM to remove all sense of threat from the players by giving them a **story point pool** from which they can **bump** any roll, or remove all branches of the story that stem from **defeat**.

Refreshing Story Points

7.1.1

Because you burn a **story point** to use it, your **story point pool** may become exhausted. The GM has three choices for **refreshing** your **story point pool**:

- The **story point pool refreshes** at the beginning of every session of play.
- The **story point pool refreshes** whenever your PCs engage in genre-appropriate downtime. Usually the GM plays this out as a montage, asking your character to describe genre appropriate activities in this time period. For example: in a police procedural series, the PCs might gather at a cop bar to drink and talk about their personal problems; in a series about high-school paranormal investigators they

might gather in the school library to chill with their mentor, the librarian, and talk about teenage problems.

- The **story point pool refreshes** whenever the GM deems it necessary, based on their desire to allow you to edit the upcoming story.

Ultimately your GM is always the arbiter of when and how the **story point pool refreshes**. On a refresh your **story points** pool resets to one **story point** per PC.

Story Point Pool Summary

7.1.2

To summarize:

- At the beginning of a session you have 1 **story points** per PC in the pool.
- During the session you may **burn** one **story point** to **bump** a PC's roll (see §7.1),
- During the session you may **burn** one or more **story points** on a **plot edit**.
- **Story points** that are burned are lost from the **story point pool**.
- The GM decides on the conditions to a refresh a **story point pool**.
- The **story point pool** refreshes to 1 **story point** per PC in the pool.

Bump with Story Points

7.2

You can burn a **story point** to gain a **bump** (see §2.3.7).

Plot Edits

7.3

Quest Worlds is a co-operative game, and you may create details about the setting as the normal part of narration. Your GM should allow this, as long as they do not break credibility. So, you may describe your PC walking over to the pot of soup bubbling on the fire, swiping a drink from the tray the waiter is carrying at the governor's ball, or taking the monorail to the next city to continue your investigation. Your GM should allow these additions without interruption, providing it does not confer significant advantage to your PC. Mostly this will be using elements that have already been established as part of the setting.

A **plot edit** is a more significant moment of good fortune that you wish to narrate, that provides advantage to your PC. You are not just describing something that is plausible in the environment, but something whose existence aids you in overcoming **story obstacles** or uncovering secrets.

A **plot edit** might be thought of as 'fate' or 'luck.'

Burning **story points** for a **plot edit** allows you to modify the setting or environment in your PC's favor. The chance encounter in the street with an NPC, favorable weather, car keys in the sun visor, the forthcoming eclipse, the wind that fills the sails.

Your GM is the arbitrator of whether a **plot edit** is allowed. It should not suspend the disbelief of the other players in the game or setting or hamper their enjoyment. It should not derail or short-circuit the game's entertainment. The **plot edit** should, by contrast, be something that enhances the story for all the players.

The cost, in **story points**, of a **plot edit**, is given by the following table.

Experience

8.0

During a session of play your character will have the chance to learn from experience or overcoming personal obstacles. When your character learns, they gain a **experience points**. **Experience points** can be used to improve your character.

Level	Cost	Impact	Example
Marginal	1	A substantive change that does not alter the situation but offers an alternate avenue for resolution	The gate guard at the secret government facility tonight is an old war buddy established by the PC in a prior scene and cemented as a relationship
Minor	2	A substantive change that does not flow from previously established facts in the story. <i>A deus ex machina</i> change	The XO of the Patrol ship is an old drinking buddy of your PC, a fact not previously established in play
Major	3	A stroke of good fortune that is unrelated to prior events and resolves a conflict or reveals a secret	The vampire has failed to notice the approaching sunrise, which disintegrates them just as they are about to drain the incapacitated PC

7.3.1.1 PLOT EDIT TABLE

Earning Experience Points

8.1

You gain one **experience points** for any of the following:

- When your **outcome** for a **contest** is a **defeat**.
- Your GM uses a **flaw** or other **ability** against you in a contest with you (see §2.6). This happens either when the story forced you to confront a **flaw**, or the GM gave you a **hindrance** (see §3.4), if the **hindrance** results in a **penalty**.

You do not gain **experience points** if any of the following apply:

- You only gain an **experience point** for each of your **abilities** or **flaws** once in a session of game play.
- You do not get **experience points** for an **augment**, **AP gifting** or **assist**.
- You do not gain an **experience point** from an **assured contest**, even if you roll to determine **benefits** or **consequences**.

You can gain a maximum of five **experience points** in any one session. Once you have earned five **experience points**, you cannot gain further **experience points** in that session.

Experience on Defeat

8.1.1

Awarding **experience points** on **defeat** is a self-correction mechanism.

- It slows your advance if your PC regularly outclass the **resistance**. This pushes your GM to introduce threats that **credibly** present a greater threat to your PC.
- If you regularly buy off **defeat** with **story points** you will find it harder to advance. In *Quest Worlds* your GM should provide an entertaining story branch on defeat; you should not need to buy **defeat** off, unless it damages your character conception or is the climax.

If the GM finds that the PCs are no longer regularly earning **experience points** they can consider using **resistance progression** (see §2.8) to increase the **base resistance** so that more **contests** will feature a high enough resistance to earn **experience points**.

Improving Your Character

8.2

When you accumulate 10 **experience points**, you can buy an **advance**. An **advance** allows you to select two of the following. You cannot choose an element more than once.

- +9 to a standalone **ability** or breakout **ability**; or +6 to a **keyword**.
- +6 to a standalone **ability** or breakout **ability**; or +3 to a **keyword**.
- a new standalone **ability** at 13; or a new breakout **ability** at +1.
- a new standalone **ability** at 13.
- Turn a stand-alone **ability** into a **keyword** by adding a new +1 breakout **ability** to it.
- Drop a **flaw**, or turn it into an **ability** if story appropriate and agreed with the GM.

In addition, if you have less than three **flaws**, you may add another, provided it fits the story, when you take an **advance**.

In some genres you may wish to maintain a tally of the total **experience points** earned as a measure of your reputation.

Catch-Ups

8.2.1

To encourage well-rounded characters, a package deal, called a **catch-up**, becomes available whenever you acquire via improvement a new **mastery** in one of your **abilities** (**keyword** or stand-alone). Any time one of your **scores** crosses a **mastery** threshold (i.e. 20 -> 21, 40 -> 41, etc). you may also improve up to three **abilities** or **keywords** of your choice by three points each, as long as the chosen **abilities** are currently rated five or more points lower than your newly adjusted **scores** in the raised **ability** that triggered the **catch-up**.

You may not increase the bonus of **breakout abilities** under a **keyword** with a **catch-up**, nor does net effective value of a breakout **ability** crossing a **mastery** threshold trigger a **catch-up**. Only a **keyword**'s base **scores** is considered in this context.

Rate of Advancement

8.2.2

We assume an average earning rate of two **experience points** per session. This would lead to you gaining an advance every five sessions. If your rate is lower than one **experience point** a session, your GM should choose one of these options:

- Provide more credible threats
- Use **resistance progression**
- Reduce the cost of an **advance** to five **experience points**.

Directed Improvements

8.2.3

On occasion your GM may increase one of your **abilities**, by +3, +6 or +9, or give you a new **ability**, usually rated at 13. These are called **directed improvements**.

Directed improvements are usually rewards for overcoming particularly important or dramatic **story obstacles**.

Your GM will tend to use them to raise **abilities** that would otherwise fall behind, but should increase due to story logic, or introduce new **abilities** for the same reason.

Your GM might give you a new **flaw** to represent a story outcome from a contest, that leads you with a hindrance to future action. If you have three or more **flaws** you can ask your GM to drop one in favor of the new **flaw**, if you it seems story appropriate.

Timing of Improvements

8.2.4

Your improvements happen immediately, when you cross the threshold to buy an **advance**, or a GM awards you a **directed improvement**.

Milestone Improvements

8.3

Your GM may decide that they do not want to track **experience points** earned during a game. In this case they may switch to **milestone improvement**.

Under **milestone improvements** the GM simply declares that your PCs have reached a point in the story where we should see them improve their **abilities** and award you an **advance** (see §8.2).

Your GM should not use both **experience points** and **milestone improvements** but choose one. If in doubt, choose **experience points** as the default. **Milestone improvements** do not naturally balance against the **resistance** and the GM may need to use **resistance progression** to continue to up the threat level against your PCs (see §2.8).

Community Resources and Support

9.0

Some series revolve around the relationship between a band of influential figures and the community they protect. In defense of the community, they can **bolster**, expend, and juggle its various **resources**.

These rules allow your GM to track the rise and fall of the fortunes of your community, and your impact on them.

If your GM intend to play a game centered around a community, you should have a relationship **ability** to that community.

It is possible that you have relationships with other communities that are not the focus of play. Treat these relationships as **abilities** that you can call on, but your GM should not track these communities with these rules. Your GM should pick the level of community that provides the greatest dramatic potential from its competition for **resources**, friendly or otherwise, with its rivals.

Some campaigns do not center on a community, with the adventurers being footloose wanderers. In that case, even if you have community **abilities**, your GM will not track any community. Before you decide this though, consider where your PCs might turn for help, succor, or aid. Is there somewhere in the campaign defined as a place of refuge and safety for you. It may well be that there is a community, the bar where other footloose adventurers all meet, who will help each other out in a tight spot for example, that your GM can model.

Community Design

9.1

Defining Resources

9.1.1

Communities have **resources** that your GM defines. Your PC can try to draw on their community's **resources** to use them as **bonus**. If your community is in difficulty, a strained **resource** might act as a **penalty**. Your GM should focus on no more than five or so broadly-labeled **resource** types, so that the PCs can care about (and have a chance of successfully managing) all of them.

Most communities have variants of the following **resources**, perhaps with more colorful names:

- **Wealth** — the capacity of the community to provide financial help, whether counted primarily in dollars, credits, or cattle
- **Diplomacy** — the relationships with other groups through which a community can obtain favors, while minimizing the cost of its reciprocal obligations
- **Morale** — the community's resolve to achieve its goals, and willingness to follow the directives of its leaders

The following abilities might appear, depending on setting:

- **Military** — its capacity to defend itself from outside threats, and to aggressively achieve its own aims through force of arms (for settings where communities of the size you're tracking field their own armed units)
- **Magic** — the capability of a community to perform supernatural acts (for fantasy worlds)
- **Technology** — its access to specialized, rare or secret devices or scientific knowledge not shared by its rivals (for post-apocalyptic or SF worlds)

Similar communities in the genre, should have the same set of **resources**.

Assigning Ranks

9.1.2

Your GM distributes the following **ranks** between the five **resources**: +M, +9, +6 +3 and 0. Note that the size of the group doesn't affect the **ranks**.

Community Questionnaires

9.1.3

Your GM may create a questionnaire that asks the players to make choices about the priorities of their community. The responses to each question should be multiple-choice. Each choice you make adds points to a score for each **resource** type. Points are awarded according to what the answer reveals about the community's relative priorities. An answer may give points to more than one **resource**.

You can choose your answers by consensus, majority vote, or take turns.

When you're done, rank the **resources** in the order of the scores. Assign the high **ranks** to the highest **scores** and the lowest to the low.

A questionnaire also introduces your setting in a punchy, interactive format, and tailors the community to the players' desires, increasing their investment in it.

Drawing on Resources

9.2

You can use community **resources** as a **bonus** to your **abilities** after convincing the community to let you expend precious assets. This requires a preliminary **contest** using a social **ability**, most likely your community relationship. Your GM will use a **moderate resistance** as the baseline, with higher **resistances** when your proposals seem selfish or likely to fail, and lower ones when everyone but the dullest dolt would readily see their collective benefits. Your GM may increase **resistances** if your group draws constantly on community **resources** without replenishing them.

The lobbying effort and the actual resource use require framing, a clear description of what you are doing, and other details to bring them to fictional life. You cannot use **resource abilities** directly, but as an **bonus** to your own **abilities**.

Use of community **resources** should pass the threshold for being *memorable* and *entertaining*. Normally there should be a clear benefit to the community, or risk to the community. The PC's actions should be in

support of the community, not themselves. Community involvement becomes part of the story. A certain amount of routine support for your character is assumed; a **bonus** implies that the community is expending abnormal effort on your behalf, that will cost the community itself.

Resource Depletion

9.2.1

Unlike character abilities, each use of community **resources** temporarily **depletes** it. Regardless of **outcome** a **resource** drops a **rank** when used.

Your GM decides when a **resource** is restored to its original value. Your GM should decide what the credible interval is for the community to recover from the expenditure of effort. At that point, your GM restores the **bonus** for the **resource**.

You might chose to use a **resource** when it is already depleted, in which case you use its lower **rank**. Your GM may use this to represent attrition to your community from a continued struggle. A **resource** that is depleted enough, may become a **penalty**.

Threats to community **resources** act as a spur to PC action. Your GM may rule that the **outcome** from a **contest** where you did not use the **resource** may still deplete the rank of a community **resource**.

Required Resource Use

9.2.2

As part of your GM's setting design, they may specify that certain actions in a setting always require the use of a community **resource**. Because the **resource** use is obligatory, it need not meet the usual criteria for entertainment value.

Resource as a Penalty

9.2.3

A **resource's rank** may fall below 0. If you require use of a community's **resources** (see §9.2.2) your actions will be subject to a **penalty** equal to the resource rank.

Bolstering Resources

9.2.4

Your GM may offer you the opportunity to **bolster** a community **resources** ahead of need by seeking out and overcoming relevant **story obstacles**. If you succeed, the community resource improves a **rank**. Your GM will set the **resistance** for the **bolster**. The community's higher **ranked** resources should have higher **resistances** to **bolstering**. As a default, use the current **rank** as the resistance to **bolstering**.

For clarity, a **resource** rated at +M can be bolstered to +M2.

Bolstering lasts until the **resource** is used. When your GM depletes a **bolstered resource** following usage, they remove only the additional **rank** from **bolstering**.

If a **resource** is already suffering from a **penalty**, bolstering removes that **penalty** instead of improving the **rank**.

Background Events

9.2.5

In the background all sorts of other events periodically alter the community's prosperity. These include the actions of other community members, who are **depleting and bolstering resources** all the time, as well as the unexpected intrusion of outside forces.

Your GM may decide that the community's **rank** in a **resource** is temporarily at a higher or lower **rank** due to these outside events. Your GM decides when the **resource** returns to normal. For a lower **rank**, this may require you to overcome a **story obstacle**.

Appendix

10.0

Glossary of Terms

10.1

Ability: Anything you can apply to solve a problem or overcome an obstacle.

Advance: A package of improvements to your **abilities** and **keywords** earned through **experience points** or **milestone advancement**.

Advantage Point (AP): A measure of advantage in an **extended contest**.

Ally: A **supporting character** of roughly equal ability to your own.

AP: Abbreviation for Advantage Point.

AP Gifting: When you help another character, whilst uninvolved in a **contest**, by giving them **advantage points** in an **extended contest**.

AP Lending: When you help another character, whilst engaged in a **contest**, by lending them **advantage points**, in an **extended contest**.

Asymmetrical Exchange: In a **extended contest**, where you are pressed by an opponent, but want to do something other than contend directly for the **prize**.

Asymmetrical Round: In a **scored contest**, where you are pressed by an opponent, but want to do something other than contend directly for the **prize**.

Assist: In a **scored contest**, if you are unengaged you may use an **assist** to reduce the **resolution points** scored against another character.

Augment: Using one **ability** to help another **ability**.

Assured Contest: You have an appropriate **ability** and the GM feels **failure** is not interesting, or makes the PC look un-heroic.

Background Event: An off-stage **bonus** or **penalty** applied to a **resource**.

Base resistance: The TN for a **moderate resistance class**, from which all other **resistance classes** are figured as a **bonus** or **penalty**.

Benefit of Victory: Long term positive modifier, because you won a **contest**, against a challenging opponent (not -6 or less than your **ability**). Usually a **state of fortune**.

Bid: Also an **AP Bid** or **advantage point bid** is your wager in an **extended contest**.

Bolster: A **story obstacle** to apply a bonus to a community **resource**

Bonus: A positive modifier.

Boost: Spending points after a **group simple contest outcome**, to improve the victory.

Bump: An increment of the **result** of a roll, up or down. So a bump up moves a **fumble**, to a **failure**, to a **success** to a **critical**, a bump down moves a **critical**, to a **success**, to a **failure** to a **fumble**. One step is moved per **bump**. It is usually the impact of a **story point** or **mastery**.

Burn: Using a **story point** as a bump. The **story point** is lost after burning.

Catch-Up: When you cross a **mastery** threshold you can increase lesser used **abilities** to ensure they keep pace.

Climax: A **long contest story obstacle** that provides the conclusion to a story.

Contact: A **supporting character** who shares an **occupation** or interest with your character.

Contest: Where there is uncertainty as to whether a PC can overcome a **story obstacle** or discover a secret, then your GM can call for a contest to determine if the PC succeeds or fails. A contest may be **simple** (one roll) or **long** (a series of rolls).

Consequences: Long term negative modifier, because you lost a contest. Usually a **state of adversity**.

Contest Framing: Setting the stakes of the **contest**, what is this conflict about. Often not the immediate aftermath of victory.

Credibility Test: Is it possible to perform the action without an **ability**, with an ordinary **ability**, or only with a **extraordinary ability**?

Crisis Test: Used to determine if a **resource** that has a **penalty** creates a crisis.

Defeat: Your **result** is worse than the **resistance's** result.

Defensive Response: In a **scored contest** you can choose a defensive **tactic** which reduces the **resource points** you lose on a negative **result**.

Dependent: A **supporting character** who depends on your PC.

Depletion: Use of a community **resource** leads to its depletion.

Directed Improvement: When your GM grants you a new **ability**, or an increase to an existing one, to recognize a story event.

Distinguishing Characteristic: The dominant personality **ability** that others recognize in a character.

Dying: A **state of adversity**, where the character's **defeat** will end their participation.

Edge: In an **extended contest** adds to the **APs** lost or transferred when you win an **exchange**.

Exchange: In an **extended contest** a round is divided into two **exchanges** where both aggressor and defender act. In a **group extended contest** a round consists of a sequence of **exchanges** where everyone acts in turn. The GM determines the order of action.

Extended Contest: A type of **long contest** in which you track the relative advantage one opponent has over another using **advantage points**.

Experience Points (XP): When you experience **defeat**, or a **flaw** you may gain an **experience point**, which accumulate between sessions.

Extraordinary ability: Certain genres allow player characters to have **abilities** that exceed human norms, these are **extraordinary abilities**. A genre pack normally outlines what is possible as part of its extraordinary powers framework.

Failure: Rolling over your **target number**. It can be a **fumble** or just a plain **failure**.

Final Action: A last action by a **dying** character

Flaw: An **ability** that penalizes you instead of helping you.

Fumble: The worst **failure result**, a notable failure either due to incompetence or bad luck.

Follower: A **supporting character** under your control. Either a **sidekick** or **retainer**

Framing the contest: You and your GM agree on the **prize** for the victor, and your tactic in trying to win it.

Group Chained Contest: A **chained contest** in which more than a pair of opponents contend for the **prize**

Group Extended Contest: An **extended contest** in which more than a pair of opponents contend for the **prize**

Group Scored Contest: A **scored contest** in which more than a pair of opponents contend for the **prize**

Group Simple Contest: A **simple contest** where one side has multiple participants.

Graduated Goals: When a contestant has a **primary** and **secondary** goal, and may have to choose between them if you have the same result as your opponent but a better roll.

Handicap: In an **extended contest** subtracts from the **APs** lost or transferred when you win an **exchange**.

Story Point: Allows you to alter fate for a player character, either by a **bump** to their **result** or a **plot edit**.

Hurt: A state of adversity, a flesh wound or injured pride, heals at the end of a session.

Keyword: A single **ability** that encompasses a range of abilities within it, such as an **occupation** or culture. An **ability** within an **umbrella keyword** is a **break-out ability**, an **ability** within a **package keyword** is a **stand-alone ability**.

Long Contest: A **contest** where we drill-down to the individual exchanges that resolve the conflict. We support **scored**, **extended**, and **chained contests**

Milestone Advancement: A method for improving a character where the GM declares when you receive an **advance**.

Modifiers: Adjustments to a **target number** due to circumstance.

Mastery: An **ability score** that rises above 20 is said to have a **mastery**. **Masteries** cancel each other out in **contests**. **Masteries** that are not cancelled provide a **bump**.

Mismatched Goals: When the opposing sides in a **contest** want different **prizes**.

Occupation: An **ability** that indicates the profession, or primary area of expertise, of your character.

Outcome: A **contest** has an **outcome**, described as a **victory** or **defeat** in obtaining the **prize** that was agreed in **contest framing** for any PCs involved.

Parting Shot: An attempt to make your opponent's **defeat** worse in a **long contest** (**scored** or **extended**), by 'finishing them off'.

Patron: A **supporting character** with superior assets.

Penalty: A negative modifier.

Prize: What is at stake in the **contest**, decided during **framing**.

Rating: An ability has a **rating**, between 1 and 20, indicating how likely a character is to succeed at using it.

Resistance: The forces opposing the PC in a conflict, or concealing a secret that must be overcome by using an **ability** in a **contest**. One of: **Extreme**, **Huge**, **Very High**, **High**, **Raised**, **Moderate**, **Low**, **Very Low**, **Tiny**, **Rock-bottom**.

Resistance Class: The **bonus** or **penalty** to the **resistance TN**, depending on the GM's interpretation of how *dramatically* hard the **story obstacle** is.

Resolution Point (RP): In a **scored contest** an **RP** tracks the advantage one contestant has over the other.

Resource: A community **ability** that your PC may draw on.

Result: The **outcome** of a die roll against a **TN**. One of **critical**, **success**, **failure**, and **fumble**.

Retainer: A **follower** of your PC who is not 'fleshed out' and cannot act independently.

Rising Action: A **scored contest** where the **story obstacle** is a step towards the final **story obstacle** of this story.

Risky Gambit: In a **long contest** you can take an action that puts you at more risk on defeat, but enhances victory.

Round: A **long contest** is broken into a series of rounds, each of which is an attempt to obtain the **prize**. In an **extended contest** a round is further broken into a number of **exchanges** in which all participants have the chance to act.

Score: A **score** consists of a **rating** and, if it is above 20, one or more **masteries**

Second Chance: An attempt by **defeated**, but unengaged, PCs to re-enter an **extended contest**.

Scored Contest: A **long contest** where we track the relative advantage one contestant has over another using **resolution points**

Sidekick: A fleshed out **follower** of your PC who can act independently.

Supporting Characters: Additional characters under the player's control that play a supporting role to their PC.

Simple Contest: A one roll resolution method, the default **contest** type, used when learning the **outcome** matters more than the breakdown of how you achieved it.

Stand Alone Ability: An **ability** raised separately to a **keyword**. It may have been added to the character as part of a **package keyword**, or on its own.

Story Obstacle: Something that prevents you from getting what you want, the **prize**. A **story obstacle** is the trigger for a **contest**.

Stretch: A **penalty** applied to an **ability** because it stretches credibility that it is a reasonable **tactic**.

Success: Rolling under your **target number**. It can be a **critical** or just a plain **success**.

Tactic: How you intend to use one of your **abilities** to overcome a **story obstacle**

Target Number (TN): The number, either an **ability rating**, or a **resistance**, to roll under or equal to in order to **succeed**.

TN: Abbreviation for **Target Number**

Unrelated Action: An action when you are disengaged in a **long contest** that does not relate to your attempt to win the **prize**.

Victory: Your **result** is a better roll than the **resistance**.

Version Changes

10.2

Version 3.0

10.2.1

These are the major changes in this version of the rules

- Split hero points into story points (bumps) and experience points (character improvement). Flaws generate experience points as do failures.
- Moved the Degree of Victory to an Appendix. We now recommend that the GM just uses victory and defeat and adjudicates a suitable bonus or penalty if needed.
- Added ranks to codify the +3, +6, +9, ... progression used throughout.
- For Degree of Victory, clarified that contest results are only reciprocal between PCs. When the contest is against a resistance set by the GM, the results indicate whether the PC gains the prize, and the GM narrates the result for the resistance based on this.

- Rephrased the Degree of Victory outcomes to emphasize: Yes, No, And..., But..., This change is designed to dissuade GMs from misunderstanding that the prize is obtained on a marginal victory, one of the most common result types, and instead encourage GMs to allow PCs to fail forward on such a result by introducing downstream complications.
- Provided clarity that consequences of defeat and benefit of victory are optional and the GM should focus on using the prize to narrate the outcome of a contest, only applying mechanical benefits if they make sense.
- For use with Degrees of Victory, added States of Fortune to mirror States of Adversity. Overall mirrored benefits and consequences more closely
- Specific Ability Bonuses are dropped. They were hard for the GM to adjudicate and the same intent is better served by using a stretch on a broad ability when contesting against a PC with a more specific ability.
- A winning group in a Group Simple Contest does not suffer a Consequence of Defeat as a result of a low RP difference victory any more, the GM should narrate consequences from the level of victory, if appropriate.
- Dropped the negative consequences for the winner in an Extended Contest during the Rising Action. If the winner is a PC the different results suggest additional consequences. So this rule is an over-complication.
- Made it clear that only a PC should use a parting shot, not the resistance.
- Long contests include both extended contest and scored contests. Between version 1 and version 2 extended contests switched to scored contests, this approach restores both variants, but requires changing the generic name to a long contest.
- Dropped edges and handicaps from extended contests - we use a resistance not stats, so makes no sense to have edges and handicaps
- Added alternate mechanisms for determining if resistance advances and when
- Added story-based resistance mechanics
- Added story-based improvements
- Added Mythic Russia's Plot Edits
- Added Mythic Russia's Pyrrhic Victories for Extended Contests but as Climatic Contests
- Changed degree of success and failure, to degree of victory and defeat, as success and failure are for individual rolls, victory and defeat once compared.
- Simplified how multiple opponents are handled
- Clarified contest outcomes for long contests, and how to determine the overall winner in a long contest
- Do not allow transfers in an extended contest where the abilities differ by 6 or more. Consistent with benefits of victory and prevents 'loading up on mooks' as a strategy.

Outcomes

10.3

Prior versions of the *Quest Worlds* rules determined a **degree of victory** by comparing PC and **resistance results**. We now recommend just having a **victory** or **defeat** an narrating from the individual **results** as faster and simpler in play. But for those who prefer the older approach, or want to maintain compatibility with it, we present those rules in this appendix.

Degree of Victory or Defeat

10.3.1

Often all you need to know to interpret the **outcome** of a resolution is whether you gained **victory** or suffered a **defeat**.

Sometimes, you'll want to know how great a **victory** you won, or how bad a **defeat** you endured. This may be important in providing **consequences or benefits** that drive further story.

All of the resolution methods have an option to yield the **Degree of Victor or Defeat** for the PC. The possible **Degree of Victory or Defeat**, from least to greatest, are: **marginal**, **minor**, **major**, **complete**. **Ties** are also possible.

If you struggle against NPCs or abstract forces, the interpretation of the **outcome** reveals whether you overcome the **story obstacle**, and any **consequences or benefits**; your GM narrates the fate of the NPCs or other forces depending on what makes sense. However, when you and another PC engage in a **contest** then a **victory** for one contestant means a corresponding **defeat** for the loser.

So whilst in a PC vs. PC duel the PC would only be killed on a **complete defeat**, an NPC, described as a **resistance**, might be killed on any **victory**, depending on how the **contest** was framed.

Tie: Tie means no **outcome**. Effort was expended, but the net **outcome** is that nothing consequential occurs, or else both sides lose or gain equally. If this is confusing, and you are not contending with another PC, your GM can rule that you gain a **marginal victory**.

Marginal Victory: Yes, but... You get what you want, the **prize**, but there are complications, the effect is more limited than you desired, or you have to make a hard choice between benefits or accept a loss to get one

Minor Victory: Yes... You get exactly what they want i.e. whatever was the **prize** in the **contest**.

Major & Complete Victory: Yes, and... You get the **prize**, and something else. You gain something, stealing a possession, gaining a new **follower**, or become renowned in song. If you want to distinguish a **complete** the effect is often permanent and no new **contests** should be framed for this **story obstacle**.

Marginal Defeat: No, but... You don't get what you want, you lose the **prize**, but it's not a total loss. You are able to salvage something from the **defeat**, a little more if you sacrifice something other than the **prize** to your opponent, that the opponent agrees to take instead.

Minor Defeat: No... You don't get what you want, you lose the **prize**. Any consequences or complications such as injury or loss of influence are short term and easily shrugged off. Just take the loss and rest up.

Major & Complete Defeat: No and... You don't get what you want, you lose the **prize**, and there are long-term consequences. The situation might grow worse or more complicated or you might suffer adverse consequences that will require other conflicts to resolve: an injury that needs a healer, an insult that requires a formal apology, a loss of influence with the community that requires a triumph to win their trust again etc. You might be dead, or as good as. The **prize** is likely lost to you permanently. Or perhaps you lose something, an item is taken from you, a **follower** deserts you, your reputation lies in ruins as poets mock your defeat. If you want to distinguish, a **complete** should be bigger loss than a **major**, but you can often ignore this distinction.

Your GM will use the **degree of success** to determine any **benefits and consequences**, but be sure to describe the **success** in narrative terms.

If you are using a **stretch**, see §2.4.1, then **major or complete victories** you obtain are instead treated as **minor victories**.

Simple Contest

10.3.2

In a **simple contest**, using the table below (§9.2.1.2) to determine the **degree of victory or defeat** in the **outcome**

* In a **group simple contest** (see below), your GM may declare that both contestants suffer a **marginal defeat** to indicate that, although their **results** cancel out with respect to each other, their situation worsens compared to other contestants.

Roll	Critical	Success	Failure	Fumble
Critical	Better roll = Marginal Victory, else tie	Minor Victory	Major Victory	Complete Victory
Success	Minor Victory	Better roll = Marginal Victory, else tie	Minor Victory	Major Victory
Failure	Major Victory	Minor Victory	Better roll = Marginal Victory, else tie	Minor Victory
Fumble	Complete Victory	Major Victory	Minor Victory	Tie*

10.3.2.1 SIMPLE CONTEST TABLE

Group Simple Contest

10.3.3

In a **group simple contest** rather than overall **victory** going to the side with the plurality of **victories**, each side scores a number of **outcome points** (OPs) for their side on a **victory**. The number of **RPs** is determined by the table below (see §9.2.3.1). After all the exchange have been concluded, your GM uses the the difference in **outcome points** between the two groups and table §9.2.3.2 to determine the **degree of victory or defeat**

Depending on which approach seems to grow from the story, your GM may assign **consequences** or **benefits** from **group simple contests** to the entire group, or to individual members who performed either especially well, or especially poorly. Your GM should default to rewarding or penalizing everyone. Your GM should resort to individualized repercussions or benefits only when a group reward defies dramatic credibility, or when competition within the group is a pivotal dramatic issue.

	Critical	Success	Failure	Fumble
Critical	1	2	3	5
Success	2	1	2	3
Failure	3	2	1	2
Fumble	5	3	2	0

10.3.3.1 GROUP SIMPLE CONTEST TABLE

Difference Between OPs	Winning Group's Degree of Victory
1	Marginal
2	Minor
3–4	Major
5+	Complete

10.3.3.2 DEGREE OF VICTORY TABLE

Boosting Outcomes

10.3.4

Because they average together the **outcomes** of multiple participants, **group simple contests** tend to flatten **outcomes**, making **victories** more likely to be **marginal** or **minor** than **major** or **complete**.

To overcome this flattening effect, if the outcome of a **group simple contest** is a **tie** or **victory**, you may spend one or more **story points** to purchase a **boost**; a **boost** assures a clearer victory.

The cost varies by the number of PCs participating:

- 1 **story point** for 1–3 PCs.
- 2 **story points** for 4–6 PCs.
- 3 **story points** for 7–9 PCs.
- and so on...

You may spend twice as many **story points** as required to gain a **double boost**. The points may be spent by any combination of players. They remain spent no matter how the **contest** resolves. You may continue to spend **story points** to **bump** your individual **result**.

The **boost** increases the collective **victory** level by one step. A **double boost** increases it by two steps.

Scored Contest

10.3.5

In a **scored contest** you compare the difference between the winner's **resolution points** and the loser's **resolution points** to determine the **outcome**. You use one of two tables **rising action** (see §9.2.) or **climax** depending on the dramatic arc of your story.

Rising Action

10.3.5.1

Rising action refers to all of the many plot events and complications that occur between the beginning and the climax of a story. During this phase of your GM's story, they will use the **rising action** consequence table to assess **outcomes**.

Find the difference between you and your opponent's **resolution point** scores at the **contest**'s conclusion. Your GM then determines your **outcome** by cross-referencing with the following table to find your **benefits** or **consequences**.

Note, you may suffer a **state of adversity**, even if you win the **prize**.

Climax

10.3.5.2

For the final, climactic confrontation that wraps up your GM's story, you may suffer a **state of adversity**, even if the **outcome** shows that you won the **prize**. This represents that at the **climax** you may triumph, but be laid low by the effort.

First, determine your **outcome** for the **contest** as for rising action, but in addition, if the outcome show that you gained the **prize** your GM now cross-references the **resolution points** scored against you by your opponent on the **climactic state of adversity** table to determine the **state of adversity** you suffered in winning that **victory**. If you lost the **prize** use the **RPs** scored against you to determine your **outcome** as per the **rising action** table above.

Group Scored Contest Outcomes

10.3.6

In a **group scored contest** the side that has the last undefeated contestant gains the **prize**.

Difference Between RPs	Negative Consequences for Loser	Consequences/Benefit for Winner	Victory/Defeat Level
1	Hurt	Hurt	Marginal
2	Hurt	Fresh	Marginal
3	Impaired	Pumped	Minor
4	Impaired	Pumped	Minor
5	Injured	Invigorated	Major
6	Injured	Invigorated	Major
7	Dying	Heroic	Complete
8	Dead	Heroic	Complete
9	Dead	Heroic	Complete

10.3.4.2 RISING ACTION CONTEST TABLE

Total Resolution Points Scored Against PC	State of Adversity
0	Unharmed
1	Dazed
2	Hurt
3	Hurt
4	Impaired
5	Impaired
6	Injured
7	Injured
8	Dying
9	Dead

10.3.4.4 CLIMACTIC STATE OF ADVERSITY TABLE

If the PCs won, determine the group's overall **outcome** by using the second-best **outcome** obtained by the PCs, or if there is only one opponent, the **outcome**. If the PCs lost, determine the group's overall **outcome** by using the second-worst outcome obtained by the PCs, or if there is only one PC, the **outcome**.

*For example, your PC Lieutenant Jackson of the Royal Navy has led a shore-action against a French outpost. Lieutenant Jackson and two other PCs have **victory outcomes** at the end of the contest, so the Royal Navy wins the day. To determine how well the Royal Navy has done your GM looks at the three **victorious outcomes** for the Royal Navy, a **major victory**, a **minor victory** and a **marginal victory**. The second best outcome is a **minor victory** so your GM declares that the Royal Navy have a **minor victory** and have overrun the French outpost, but gained little else.*

*Later you lead your men in a spirited defense against a French boarding action of your ship. However, the French win the day, with Lieutenant Jackson and the other PCs suffering **defeat outcomes** at the end of the*

contest. Looking at your PCs **outcomes** there is a **major defeat**, two **minor defeats** and a **marginal defeat**. The French win the day with a **minor defeat** for your Royal Navy crew.

To determine individual **consequences** or **benefits**, in **rising action**, even if you engage multiple opponents in a **rising action scored contest**, only use the last opponent you engaged to determine your individual **outcome**. In a climatic contest total the **resolution points** scored against you by all your opponents. If you engage more than one opponent, be sure to add the **resolution points** scored against you by all of them. If you lost, add 1 to your total. Your GM cross-references the total against the **climactic state of adversity** table.

Extended Contest Outcomes

10.3.7

At the end of the contest the **APs** of the loser determine the **benefits** for the winner or **consequences** for the loser. As with all **contests**, if the contest involved a **resistance**, and not another PC, we care about your **outcome**, win or lose, and the GM should feel free to narrate the **outcome** for the **resistance** depending on their interpretation of your **outcome**, which may not be symmetrical. For example, if the **benefit of victory** for your PC is **pumped** the GM should feel free to interpret what this means for the **resistance**: in a melee they might be dead, in a social contest they might be exiled, or they might surrender in the melee or cede ground in a social contest. Your GM should focus on the **prize** that was agreed when deciding how to narrate the resolution of the contest.

Final AP Total	Level of Defeat	Consequence for Loser	Benefit for Winner
0 to -10 AP	Marginal	Hurt	Fresh
-11 to -20 AP	Minor	Impaired	Pumped
-21 to -30 AP	Major	Injured	Invigorated
-31 or fewer AP	Complete	Dying	Heroic

10.3.6.1 EXTENDED CONTEST TABLE

Group Extended Contest Outcomes

10.3.8

In a **group extended contest** the side that has the last undefeated contestant gains the **prize**.

If the PCs won, determine the group's overall **outcome** by using the second-best **outcome** obtained by the PCs, or if there is only one opponent, the **outcome**. If the PCs lost, determine the group's overall **outcome** by using the second-worst outcome obtained by the PCs, or if there is only one PC, the **outcome**.

*For example, your PC Lieutenant Jackson of the Royal Navy has led a shore-action against a French outpost. Lieutenant Jackson and two other PCs have **victory outcomes** at the end of the contest, so the Royal Navy wins the day. To determine how well the Royal Navy has done your GM looks at the three **victorious outcomes** for the Royal Navy, a **major victory**, a **minor victory** and a **marginal victory**. The second best outcome is a **minor victory** so your GM declares that the Royal Navy have a **minor victory** and have overrun the French outpost, but gained little else.*

*Later you lead your men in a spirited defense against a French boarding action of your ship. However, the French win the day, with Lieutenant Jackson and the other PCs suffering **defeat outcomes** at the end of the **contest**. Looking at your PCs **outcomes** there is a **major defeat**, two **minor defeats** and a **marginal defeat**. The French win the day with a **minor defeat** for your Royal Navy crew.*

To determine individual **consequences** or **benefits**, use the **AP** of last opponent you engaged to determine your individual **outcome**.

Chained Contests

10.3.9

In a **chained contest** determine the **state of adversity** (see §9.4) to the loser of an exchange from the following table (see 9.3.8.1).

Roll	Critical	Success	Failure	Fumble
Critical	Worse roll is hurt . If tied, no effect.	Opponent hurt . If already hurt in this contest, Injured. If already injured, Dying	Opponent Injured. If already Injured in this contest, Dying	Opponent Dying: player has them at complete mercy. Contest is over.
Success	PC is hurt . If already hurt in this contest, Injured. If already injured, Dying	Worse roll is hurt . If tied, no effect	Opponent hurt . If already hurt in this contest, Injured. If already injured, Dying	Opponent Injured. If already Injured in this contest, Dying
Failure	PC is Injured. If already Injured in this contest, Dying	PC is hurt . If already hurt in contest, Injured. If injured, Dying	Worse roll is hurt . If tied, no effect	Opponent hurt . If already hurt in this contest, Injured. If already injured, Dying
Fumble	PC Dying: opponent has them at complete mercy. Contest is over.	PC is Injured. If already Injured in this contest, Dying	PC is hurt . If already hurt contest, Injured. If already injured, Dying	Both make a mistake. No effect on contest. Side effects at GM's discretion

10.3.8.1 CHAINED CONTEST TABLE

Group Chained Contest Outcomes

10.3.10

In a **group chained contest** the side that has the last undefeated contestant gains the **prize**.

If the PCs won, determine the group's overall **outcome** by using the second-best **outcome** obtained by the PCs, or if there is only one opponent, the **outcome**. If the PCs lost, determine the group's overall **outcome** by using the second-worst outcome obtained by the PCs, or if there is only one PC, the **outcome**.

*For example, your PC Lieutenant Jackson of the Royal Navy has led a shore-action against a French outpost. Lieutenant Jackson and two other PCs have **victory outcomes** at the end of the contest, so the Royal Navy wins the day. To determine how well the Royal Navy has done your GM looks at the three **victorious outcomes** for the Royal Navy, a **major victory**, a **minor victory** and a **marginal victory**. The second best outcome is a **minor victory** so your GM declares that the Royal Navy have a **minor victory** and have overrun the French outpost, but gained little else.*

*Later you lead your men in a spirited defense against a French boarding action of your ship. However, the French win the day, with Lieutenant Jackson and the other PCs suffering **defeat outcomes** at the end of the contest. Looking at your PCs **outcomes** there is a **major defeat**, two **minor defeats** and a **marginal defeat**. The French win the day with a **minor defeat** for your Royal Navy crew.*

Individual **consequences** or **benefits** will have already been determined by the **chained contest outcomes** on each **round**.

Benefits and Consequences

10.4

Prior versions of the *Quest Worlds* rules used the **degree of victory or defeat** (see §9.3) to determine consequences. Whilst we now recommend that your GM determines consequences using the **scale** from their interpretation of the **outcome**. We find this simpler and faster in play. But for those who prefer the older approach, or want to maintain compatibility with it, we present those rules in this appendix.

You should note that these rules allow the possibility that a PC will end up dying either literally or figuratively and will be removed from the game without intervention. Under the main rules this to be a player choice in response to an **outcome** not something that should come randomly from the dice.

The Consequences of Defeat

10.4.1

When you lose a **contest**, you may suffer **consequences**: literal or metaphorical injuries which make it harder for you to use related **abilities**.

From the least to the most punishing, the five **states of adversity** are: **hurt**, **impaired**, **injured**, **dying**, and **dead**. The first four are possible **consequences** of any **contest**. **Dying** PCs become **dead**, unless they receive intervention of some sort.

Although the levels refer to physical **states of adversity**, the consequences can be emotional, social, spiritual, magical, and so on.

Hurt

10.4.1.1

If you are **hurt**, you show signs of adversity and find it harder to succeed at **contests** related to your **defeat**. Either your flesh or pride may be bruised. Until you recover, you suffer a **–3 penalty** to all related **abilities**.

You may suffer multiple **hurts** to the same **ability**. These are cumulative until recovery occurs.

Unless your GM has a dramatic reason to decide otherwise, your **hurts** vanish at the end of a session, after one day of rest per accumulated **hurt**, or when in-game events justify their removal.

Impaired

10.4.1.2

If you are **impaired**, you have taken a jarring blow, physically, socially, or emotionally, and you are much likelier to fail when attempting similar actions in the future. You suffer a **–6 penalty** to all related **abilities**. Impairments combine with **hurts** and with other impairments.

As bad as your condition may be, there's nothing wrong with you that some prolonged inactivity won't fix. A single **impairment** goes away after one week of rest, or when an in-game event (like miraculous or extraordinary treatment) occurs to make their removal seem believable.

Injured

10.4.1.3

If you are **injured**, you have suffered a debilitating blow which leaves you reeling. Physically you may have lost the use of a limb or sense, socially you may be shunned, and emotionally you may be in shock. Although you should heal with time, you suffer a **–9 penalty** to all related **abilities**. Injuries combine with impairments and **hurts**.

A single **injury** goes away after a month's rest, or by miraculous intervention, as above.

Dying

10.4.1.4

If you are **dying** you will, without rapid and appropriate intervention, expire. To save you, the other PCs must overcome a **story obstacle**. Their attempt must be credible, using medicine or magic, as defined by your genre. Your GM should use a **very high resistance** for this **contest**, unless the story suggests otherwise. According to the conventions of dramatic storytelling, the character typically has just enough time left for the other characters to make this one attempt.

Successful intervention leaves the PC **injured**. Depending on the narrative circumstances, a **complete victory** on the intervention attempt may leave them merely **impaired**.

If intervention fails, you will die, but not necessarily immediately. Although irrevocably doomed, your GM may rule that the story suggests that you survive long enough to take one final, heroic, action.

To even take that **final action** if the GM offers you the chance, you must succeed at a prior **contest of wherewithal** to rouse yourself to action. Appropriate abilities for the **contest of wherewithal** include:

- Physical action: Endurance, High Pain Threshold, Grim Determination, etc.
- Intellectual activity: Concentration, Iron Will, Love of Country (if action to be attempted is patriotic), etc.
- Social humiliation: Savoir Faire, Unflappable, Stoic Dignity

A **contest of wherewithal** faces a **moderate resistance**. Even if you succeed at the **contest of wherewithal**, you take an automatic **bump down penalty** whenever you use any related **ability** in a **contest**. (The **bump down** does not apply to the **contest of wherewithal** itself.) Where it seems apt, your GM may choose to ignore the **bump down** if you score a **major or complete victory** on the **contest of wherewithal**.)

Any active **hurts** or **impairments** continue to be counted against you as well.

Your **final action** cannot reverse the **outcome** of the **contest** that you lost, it must involve a new **story obstacle**. Your GM will rule if your action is allowable.

Like other **states of adversity**, **dying** may be literal or metaphorical. Your standing in society, business or politics may be on the brink of permanent extinction. You may be facing mental death — a permanent lapse into madness or senility.

Dead

10.4.1.5

If you die as a consequence of physical injuries, you are gone from the game, period.

Death from a non-physical **contest** will likely be metaphorical. If you die in an economic, social, spiritual, or artistic **contest**, you permanently lose abilities.

Even only metaphorically dead, your GM may declare that you have undergone changes so dire as to make your PC unplayable. You may be incurably insane, or be so socially shamed that you retire to a life of obscurity or religious meditation. You may be shunned by all around you, sent into permanent exile, or sentenced to long-term imprisonment with no hope of escape.

Benefits of Victory

10.4.2

Just as when you experience **defeat** you can suffer ongoing ill effects in addition to the loss of the **prize** at hand, when you win you can gain benefits from that **victory**.

A **benefit of victory** gives you a bonus on the selected **abilities**, or in the specified situation, as determined by your **victory** level.

A PC may apply **bonuses** from multiple **benefits** to a single **contest**.

Defeat Level	State of Adversity	Penalty
Marginal	Hurt	–3 penalty to appropriate abilities
Minor	Impaired	–6 penalty to appropriate abilities
Major	injured	–9 penalty to appropriate abilities
Complete	Dying	No actions allowed. If ‘final action’, automatic bump down on uses of appropriate ability

10.4.1.7 CONSEQUENCES OF DEFEAT TABLE

From the least to the most robust the four **states of fortune** are: **fresh**, **pumped**, **invigorated**, and **heroic**.

Fresh

10.4.2.1

If you are **fresh**, you are lively and find it easier to succeed at **contests** related to your **victory**. You are on a roll and feel confident and able. Until you are **defeated**, you gain a +3 **bonus** to all related abilities.

You may be refreshed multiple times on the same **ability**. These are cumulative until **defeat** occurs.

Unless your GM has a dramatic reason to decide otherwise, your **freshness** vanishes at the end of a session, after one day of idleness, or when in-game events justify their removal.

Pumped

10.4.2.2

If you are **pumped**, you are energized, physically, socially, or emotionally, and you are much likelier to succeed when attempting similar actions in the future. You gain a +6 **bonus** to all related abilities. **Pumped** combines with **fresh** and **pumped**.

As good as your condition may be, an extended period of idleness will cause you to lose your edge. A single **pumped** goes away after one week of idleness, or when an in-game event (like long drunken party) occurs to make their removal seem believable.

Invigorated

10.4.2.3

If you are **invigorated**, you are pulsing with hormones, mentally focused, or exuding confidence. Physically you can push your body to new personal bests of achievement, socially confident and exuding charisma, and emotionally you are in touch with your feelings and resonate with those of others. Although this will fade with time, you gain a +9 **bonus** to all related abilities. **Invigorated** combines with **pumped** and **fresh**.

Being **invigorated** goes away after a month’s idleness, or an in-game event, as above.

Heroic

10.4.2.4

If you are **heroic**, you have become unstoppable, physically at peak performance, socially, everyone wants to be you or be with you, and emotionally you have gained new insights into yourself and others around you. Although this will fade with time, you gain a **bump bonus** to all related abilities. Being **heroic** combines with **invigorated**, **pumped** and **fresh**.

Being **heroic** goes away after a season’s idleness, or an in-game event, as above.

Victory Level	State of Fortune	Benefit
Marginal	Fresh	+3
Minor	Pumped	+6
Major	Invigorated	+9
Complete	Heroic	You receive an automatic bump up on uses of an appropriate ability

10.4.2.5 BENEFITS OF VICTORY TABLE

Clearly Inferior Opponents

10.4.2.5

Defeating clearly inferior opponents neither teaches you anything nor significantly enhances your reputation; you are ineligible for a **benefit of victory** if the **resistance** you used in the **contest** exceeded the **resistance** by 6 or more. If, in the case of a **long contest**, you or your opponent used multiple **abilities**, compare the best **ability** you used to their worst.

Recovery and Healing

10.4.3

Consequences of **injured** or less lapse on their own with the passage of time. However, you'll often want to remove them ahead of schedule, with the use of **abilities**.

Healing Abilities

10.4.3.1

The **ability** used to bring about recovery from a **state of adversity** must relate to the type of harm.

You can heal physical injuries with medical or extraordinary **abilities**.

You can remove mental traumas, including those of confidence and morale, with mundane psychology or through **extraordinary abilities**. You might also remove them through a dramatic confrontation between the victim and the source of the psychic injury.

You use social abilities to heal social injuries. You probably have to make a public apology of some sort, often including a negotiation with the offended parties and the payment of compensation, either in disposable wealth or something more symbolic.

You can fix damage to items and equipment with some sort of repair **ability**. If you want to fix an extraordinary item, you may require genre-specific expertise: a broken magic ring may require a ritual to reforge.

Your GM should almost always resolve healing attempts as **simple contests**. An exception might be a medical drama, in which surgeries would comprise the suspenseful set-piece sequences of the game, and your GM might chose a **long contest**.

Healing Resistances

10.4.3.2

Default **resistances** to remove states of adversity are as follows:

Outcomes of Healing

10.4.3.3

When you make a successful healing attempt, you remove one level of **adversity** for each level of **victory**. A **major defeat** increases the subject's **consequences** by 1; a **complete defeat** adds an additional 2 levels to the **state of adversity**.

Consequence of Defeat	Difficulty
Hurt	Moderate
Impaired	Raised
Injured	High
Dying	Very High

**10.4.3.3 HEALING
RESISTANCES TABLE**

Augments

10.5

If you are using **degrees of victory or defeat**, use the table below to interpret the **outcome** of the **simple contest** for an augment. Note that **penalties** for **defeat** when attempting an **augment** are much lessened compared to a regular **contest**.

Contest Outcome	Modifier
Complete Victory	+M
Major Victory	+9
Minor Victory	+6
Marginal Victory	+3
Marginal Defeat	0
Minor Defeat	0
Major Defeat	0
Complete Defeat	-3

10.5.1 AUGMENT TABLE

Community Rules

10.6

The community rules in earlier versions were complex and have been simplified in the current rules. They are presented here mainly to help in understanding older material, or for those who prefer it's more complex tracking approach.

Defining Resources

10.6.1

Communities can have a type of **ability** called a **resource** that your GM defines. Your PC can try to draw on their community's **resources** to use them as **abilities**. Your GM should focus on no more than five or so broadly-labeled **resource** types, so that the PCs can care about (and have a chance of successfully managing) all of them.

Specify an interval

10.6.2

Your GM chooses a suitable interval to mark changes in **resources**. For genres bound by the agricultural season, this is usually a season, for a military genre it might be a campaign, for a ship a voyage.

Assigning Ability Scores

10.6.3

Your GM distributes the following **scores** between the five abilities: 12W, 9W, 18, 18, and 12. Note that the size of the group doesn't affect the **scores**.

Resource Notation

10.6.4

Your GM will keep track of **modifiers** to community **resources** with a copy of the following record sheet. They will use a pencil, because the numbers will fluctuate.

Your GM lists the names and **scores** of your chosen **resources** in the first row. Under the total column for each, your GM will list the total current modifier. Under the PC column, your GM lists **bonuses** resulting from PC activities (as opposed to un-cemented **background events**.) When PCs **cement a background benefit**, your GM adds its bonus to the PC column.

When PC activity reduces a **penalty** but does not eliminate it, your GM will alter the entry under the Total column to reflect the reduction, but leave the PC column blank.

Total	PC	Total	PC	Total	PC	Total	PC	Total	PC

10.6.4.1 RESOURCE NOTATION TABLE

Drawing on Resources

10.6.5

You can use community **resources** as **abilities** after convincing the community to let you expend precious assets. This requires a preliminary **contest** using a social **ability**, most likely your community relationship. Your GM will use a **moderate resistance** as the baseline, with higher **resistances** when your proposals seem selfish or likely to fail, and lower ones when everyone but the dullest dolt would readily see their collective benefits. Your GM may increase **resistances** if your group draws constantly on community **resources** without replenishing them.

The lobbying effort and the actual resource use require framing, a clear description of what you are doing, and other details to bring them to fictional life. You can use **resource abilities** directly, or to **augment** your own **abilities**.

Unlike character abilities, each use of community **resources** temporarily **depletes** it.

On a **victory**, you win the **prize** specified by **contest framing**, and a **penalty** is applied to subsequent uses of the **resource**.

On a **defeat**, you lose the **prize** and an even more severe **penalty** is applied to subsequent **resource** uses. If you fail to secure the **prize** you were seeking, the depletion **penalty** is also applied to your social and community **abilities** when interacting with members of your community. This reflects community displeasure at your fruitless expenditure.

Penalties from the Resource Depletion Table replace standard **penalties** for **defeat**, not add to them.

Like other **modifiers** to **resources**, depletion **penalties** end at the end of the current interval. These include **depletion penalties** applied to character **abilities**. However, a **depletion penalty** left unattended at the end of the interval can result in a permanent drop in the relevant **resource**.

If your GM wants resource depletion to lead to longer-lasting social **penalties**, at the cost of some extra bookkeeping, they can have the characters shed a 3-point **penalty** at the end of each interval.

Contest Outcome	Depletion Penalty
Complete Victory	0
Major Victory	−3
Minor Victory	−3
Marginal Victory	−3
Marginal Defeat	−6
Minor Defeat	−6
Major Defeat	−6
Complete Defeat	−9

**10.6.5.1 RESOURCE
DEPLETION TABLE**

Required Resource Use

10.6.6

As part of your GM's setting design, they may specify that certain actions in a setting always require the use of a community **resource**. Because the **resource** use is obligatory, it need not meet the usual criteria for entertainment value. Also, when the resource is used as an **augment**, you can also add a second **augment** from some other **ability**, adjudicated according to the standard rules, including entertainment value criteria. (This way, the required **resource** use doesn't penalize you by forcing you to **augment** with a low-rated **resource** when you could otherwise use a higher-rated **ability**.)

Penalties to Resources

10.6.7

Threats to community **resources** act as a spur to PC action. Your GM may rule that the **penalty** from any **outcome** may be applied to a **resource**. (It might at the same time be applied to one or more PC **abilities**.)

When choosing a **penalty** arising from a player **defeat** in a **simple contest**, your GM will use the **consequences of defeat** table. For a **group contest**, the **penalty** corresponds to the second worst **state of adversity** suffered by a defeated group member.

If your group voluntarily concede a **contest** by withdrawing, your community suffers **resource depletion** equivalent to a **major defeat**.

Bolstering Resources

10.6.8

You can add **bonuses** to **bolster** community **resources** by seeking out and overcoming relevant **story obstacles**, specifying in the **contest framing** that the proceeds of **victory** go the community. If you succeed, **bonuses** from the **benefits of victory** table are applied to a resource instead of one or more character abilities. (Your GM may rule that the bonus also applies to you in social situations that involve community members, reflecting gratitude for their efforts on behalf of the community.)

Background Events

10.6.9

Your changes to **resources** take center stage in a series, but in the background all sorts of other events periodically alter the community's prosperity. These include the actions of other community members,

who are **depleting and bolstering resources** all the time, as well as the unexpected intrusion of outside forces.

At the beginning of each interval, one of your group should perform a **simple contest** of each **resource** against a **resistance** equal to the average value of all **resources**. These **contests** simulate **background events** outside of your control or influence; they can't be **augmented** or **bumped** up with **hero points**.

The **outcome** of the **contest** may apply a **modifier** to a **resource**, as per the following table:

Outcome	Depletion Penalty
Complete Victory	+9
Major Victory	+6
Minor Victory	+3
Marginal Victory	0
Marginal Defeat	0
Minor Defeat	-3
Major Defeat	-6
Complete Defeat	-9

**10.6.9.1 RESOURCE
FLUCTUATION TABLE**

Except where your group is exceptionally keen on tracking **resources**, your GM should skip the **background events** process when the PCs are long absent from home. Your GM should rejigger them to serve their plot purposes when they return. The GM may also want to shuffle this process offstage when the PCs are occupied by epic events. This prevents them from having to flee from a climactic plot development to go home and tend to the beet crop.

Crisis Tests

10.6.10

When **resources** endure **penalties**, you conduct a **crisis test** at the beginning of each game session to see if trouble strikes the community. A high but **penalized score** can still lead to crisis, because people have adjusted to the equilibrium it offers and feel squeezed when it shifts on them.

A **crisis test** is a **simple contest** (one for each **penalized ability**) of the **resource score** against a **resistance** equal to the average of all **resource scores**. Like **background event** checks, these can't be **augmented** or **bumped** up by player action. On any **defeat**, the community starts to visibly suffer.

Your GM invents the specific reasons for each fluctuation and narrates them to you.

Crisis tests should spur you to action, challenging you to find ways to **bolster** the affected **resources** (see above). When **bolstered**, the **crisis** is reversed. If you neglect your duties or fail, the **crisis** worsens.

Your GM will call for **crisis tests** only as needed, as a tool to generate story. If your group already has enough story on its hands, your GM will suspend them until you next need a new plot hook.

Cementing Benefits of Background Events

10.6.11

Bonuses from **background events** are temporary, unless you take steps to **cement your benefits**. Doing so requires you to overcome a major **story obstacle**, perhaps taking focus for an evening's worth of play. If you

succeed, the **background event bonus** may, as per the next section, later solidify into a permanent increase in the **resource's score**.

When you **cement a background bonus**, your GM changes their notation of that **bonus**.

Changes to Resource Scores

10.6.12

At the end of your GM's chosen interval, they review the Resource Notation Table.

Any **resource** with a **bonus** of 3 or more in its PC column increases by 1 for each 3 points of **bonus**, for a maximum increase of 3.

Any **resource** with a **penalty** in its Total column decreases by 1 for each 3 points of **penalty**, for a maximum loss of 2.

Any remaining **modifiers** are now reduced to 0.

The GM now start a new Resource Notation Table, with **resource scores** altered to reflect any changes from the above process.

Having made permanent changes to the community's **resource scores**, your GM then restarts the cycle by again testing for a new set of **background events**.

Changes from Plot Events

10.6.13

Your GM may decide that certain remarkable triumphs or horrifying catastrophes may directly alter a **resource score**, independent of the resource tracking system given here. The possibility of a dramatic swing in community fortunes should be made clear by your GM during **contest framing**, so that you know the **prize** and can pull out all the stops to secure **victory** or stave off **defeat**.

Additional Terms

10.7

The following terms are for rules in the appendix that are no longer used in the main rules.

Contest of Wherewithal: A **contest** that allows a **dying** character to complete one **final action**.

Complete Defeat: No, and.... You have lost, and the impact is long-lasting, maybe even fatal or terminal.

Complete Victory: Yes, and... You have won, and the impact is long-lasting, possibly a permanent change in your favor.

Degree of Victory or Defeat: How well did you triumph, or how badly did you fail: **marginal, minor, major, complete**

Major Defeat: No, and. You have lost, and the impact is long-lasting.

Major Victory: Yes, and. You have won, and the impact is long-lasting.

Marginal Defeat: No, but... You don't get what you want, but the damage may be mitigated.

Marginal Victory: Yes, but... You get what you want, but you may have to make a hard choice.

Minor Defeat: No... you don't get the agreed **prize**.

Minor Victory: Yes... you get the agreed **prize**.

Outcome Point: A point scored in favor one side in a **group simple contest**

State of Adversity: How 'banged up' a PC is, physically or metaphorically, following a **defeat**: **Hurt, Injured, Impaired, Dying and Dead**

State of Fortune: A 'boost' to the PC which may be physical or metaphorical.