Notes from the Methods of Mathematical Physics II courses of 2013-02-19/05-30 by Prof. Dr. Eugene Trubowitz

Robin Leroy and David Nadlinger

2013-03-08

1 General properties of the Fourier transform

Here begins the lecture of 2013-03-06.

Lemma ("Your life in Fourier land depends on it.")**.**

$$\widehat{\varphi + \psi} = \widehat{\varphi} + \widehat{\psi},$$
$$\widehat{\lambda \varphi} = \lambda \widehat{\varphi} \tag{1}$$

$$\left|\widehat{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{k})\right| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \left\|\varphi\right\|_1 < \infty, \qquad\qquad \left\|\varphi\right\|_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\varphi(\boldsymbol{x})\right| \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \tag{2}$$

$$\widehat{\varphi(\lambda \cdot)}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{1}{|\lambda|^n} \widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{k}}{\lambda}\right), \qquad\qquad \lambda \neq 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\widehat{T_{\boldsymbol{y}} \varphi}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle \boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{y}\rangle} \widehat{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{k}), \qquad\qquad \left(T_{\boldsymbol{y}} \varphi\right)(\boldsymbol{x}) := \varphi(\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{y}) \tag{4}$$

$$\widehat{\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \mathrm{i} k_j \widehat{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{k})$$

$$\widehat{x_j \varphi}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \mathrm{i} \frac{\partial \widehat{\varphi}}{\partial k_j}(\boldsymbol{k}) \tag{5}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{y}) \psi(\boldsymbol{y}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) \widehat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{y}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} \tag{6}$$

Proof. (2):

$$\left|\widehat{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{k})\right| = \left|\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\langle \boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}\right| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\langle \boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle}\right| \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \underbrace{\left|\varphi(\boldsymbol{x})\right|}_{\|\varphi\|_1} \underbrace{\left|\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\langle \boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle}\right|}_{1} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

Proof of existence of the integral $\|\varphi\|_1$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\varphi(\boldsymbol{x})\right| \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(1 + |\boldsymbol{x}|^2\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \left|\varphi(\boldsymbol{x})\right| \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}}{\left(1 + |\boldsymbol{x}|^2\right)^{\frac{s}{2}}}$$

$$\leq \|\varphi\|_{s,0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{\left(1 + |\boldsymbol{x}|^2\right)^{\frac{s}{2}}} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

$$= \|\varphi\|_{s,0} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{n-1}}{\left(1 + r^2\right)^{\frac{s}{2}}} \mathrm{d}r < \infty \qquad\qquad \text{for } s \geq n+1$$

"(1) I will not do!" Proof of (3):

$$\widehat{\varphi(\lambda\cdot)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\lambda\boldsymbol{x})e^{-i\langle\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\boldsymbol{y})e^{-i\langle\frac{1}{\lambda}\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{y}\rangle}\,\mathrm{d}\!\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{y}}{\lambda}\right) \qquad\qquad \text{where } \boldsymbol{x} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \underbrace{\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\boldsymbol{y})e^{-i\langle\frac{\boldsymbol{k}}{\lambda}|\boldsymbol{y}\rangle}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}}_{\widehat{\varphi}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{k}}{\lambda}\right)}$$

Proof of the second part of (5):

$$\widehat{x_j\varphi}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} x_j\varphi(\boldsymbol{x})e^{-i\langle\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x})i\frac{\partial}{\partial k_j}e^{-i\langle\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \qquad \text{as } \frac{\partial}{\partial k_j}e^{-i\langle\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle} = \frac{\partial}{\partial k_j}e^{-i\sum\limits_{r=0}^{n}k_j x_j} = -ix_j e^{-i\langle\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle}$$

$$= i\frac{\partial}{\partial k_j}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x})e^{-i\langle\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

$$= i\frac{\partial\widehat{\varphi}}{\partial k_j}(\boldsymbol{k})$$

The professor starts whistling some elevator music while waiting for a student to write down the proof before he can wipe the board. When the student is done, the professor notices that there still is some room left on the blackboard and starts writing the rest there, without erasing anything.

Proof of 6:

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{y})\psi(\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x})e^{-i\langle\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}\,\psi(\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x})\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\langle\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y}\rangle}\psi(\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \qquad \text{As } \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}}^{2n}\left|\varphi(\boldsymbol{x})e^{-i\langle\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle}\psi(\boldsymbol{y})\right|\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} < \infty, \text{ we can use}$$
$$\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{Fubini's theorem.}$$

$$= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\boldsymbol{y})\widehat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}$$

$\square$

Proposition. *The Fourier transform $\widehat{}$ is a continuous bijective linear map from the Schwartz space $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself. $\widecheck{\widehat{\varphi}} = \varphi$, where $\widecheck{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n}\psi(\boldsymbol{k})e^{i\langle\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$, read "unhat", "bird", "seagull", or whatever you like.*

Proof.

$$\varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\partial_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$\widehat{(\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\partial_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\varphi)}(\boldsymbol{k}) = i^{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|}\partial_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\widehat{(\partial_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\varphi)}(\boldsymbol{k}) \qquad\qquad \text{from the second equality in (5), applied } |\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \text{ times.}$$

$$= i^{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|}\partial_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\left(i^{|\boldsymbol{\beta}|}\boldsymbol{k}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\widehat{\varphi}\right)(\boldsymbol{k})$$

$$= i^{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|+|\boldsymbol{\beta}|}\partial_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{k}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\widehat{\varphi})(\boldsymbol{k})$$

"I should have done it the other way around. [...] Let's try it the other way around." We want:

$$\forall\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sup_{\boldsymbol{k}\in\mathbb{R}^n}\left|\boldsymbol{k}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\partial_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\widehat{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{k})\right| < \infty$$

As that implies $\widehat{\varphi} \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

$$\left(\widehat{\partial_x^{\alpha}\left(k^{\beta}\varphi\right)}\right)(k) = \mathrm{i}^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}k^{\alpha}\partial_k^{\beta}\widehat{\varphi}(k)$$

by applying the first part of (5) $|\alpha|$ times and the second part $|\beta|$ times.

$$\forall \alpha, \beta, \left|k^{\alpha}\partial_k^{\beta}\widehat{\varphi}(k)\right| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\left\|\partial_x\left(x^{\beta}\varphi\right)\right\|_1 < \infty$$

from (2).

We now know $\widehat{\varphi} \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let us prove $\overset{\smile}{\widehat{\varphi}} = \varphi$. "When you do the wrong thing I'm gonna scream loudly."

$$\overset{\smile}{\widehat{\varphi}}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\widehat{\varphi}(k)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle k|x\rangle}\,\mathrm{d}k$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi(y)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\langle k|y\rangle}\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle k|x\rangle}\,\mathrm{d}k$$

We don't interchange the integrals here because that would lead to ugly calculations. "If pou paint the walls before you start building, it's not a good idea." At this point, somebody suggests replacing $\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle k|x-y\rangle}\,\mathrm{d}k$ by $\delta(x-y)$. "It's plausible! — WHY? [...] Ah I said it, so it's plausible." However, doing this would also lead to some nasty calculations. "How are we going to do it so fast that you don't get bored, and yet in enough detail that he's convinced? Be sneaky."

$$\overset{\smile}{\widehat{\varphi}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\widehat{\varphi}(k)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle k|x\rangle}\underbrace{\lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0}\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\frac{|k|^2}{2}}}_{\substack{1\text{ written in}\\\text{some other way}}}\,\mathrm{d}k$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\widehat{\varphi}(k)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle k|x\rangle}\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\frac{|k|^2}{2}}$$

"Don't worry." This is actually a one-liner using Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem.

The 10-minute break ends with the loud noise of a metallic pointing stick hitting the desk.

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\widehat{\varphi}(k)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle k|x\rangle}\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\frac{|k|^2}{2}}\,\mathrm{d}k = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi(y)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\langle y|k\rangle}\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle k|x\rangle}\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\frac{|k|^2}{2}}\,\mathrm{d}k$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi(y)\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle k|x-y\rangle}\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\frac{|k|^2}{2}}\,\mathrm{d}k\,\mathrm{d}y$$

Recall: "every path leads to Rome and every Gaussian is in $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$." Also, the last foot of a dactylic hexameter is always a spondee.

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\frac{|k|^2}{2}}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle y-x|k\rangle}\,\mathrm{d}k = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2\epsilon}|x-y|^2}}{\epsilon^{\frac{n}{2}}}$$

We therefore get:

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\widehat{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{k})\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle}\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\frac{|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}{2}}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{k}=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi(\boldsymbol{y})\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle\boldsymbol{k}|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\rangle}\mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon\frac{|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}{2}}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{k}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi(\boldsymbol{y})\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2\epsilon}|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|^2}}{\epsilon^{\frac{n}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi(\boldsymbol{y}\sqrt{\epsilon}+\boldsymbol{x})\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2\epsilon}|\boldsymbol{y}\sqrt{\epsilon}|^2}}{\epsilon^{\frac{n}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{y}\sqrt{\epsilon}) \qquad \text{``Epsilons everywhere!''}$$

$$=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y}\sqrt{\epsilon})\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{y}|^2}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} \qquad \text{``Now we can paint.''}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\epsilon\downarrow 0}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\varphi(\boldsymbol{x})\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{y}|^2}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}=\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}).$$

<div style="text-align:right">□</div>

2 Eigenfunctions of the Fourier transform

"The main ideas of many things are right here." We seek to find the solutions (φ,λ) of

$$\widehat{\varphi}=\lambda\varphi.$$

We shall find all of them. "Not one is going to get away." Actually, we have already got one:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{x}|^2}}=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{x}|^2},$$

so we know that 1 is an eigenvalue. How do we find the others? "Here you actually have to have an idea."

Idea Find an $H\in\mathrm{End}(\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that $[H,\widehat{}]=0$. Then they have the same eigenspaces, so we just need to find the eigenfunctions of H (see *Finite Dimensional Quantum Mechanics*).
Let $n=1$.

$$\left.\begin{array}{r}\widehat{(x^2\varphi)}(k)=-\dfrac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}k^2}\widehat{\varphi}(k)\\[2ex]\widehat{\left(\dfrac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2}\varphi\right)}(k)=-k^2\widehat{\varphi}(k)\end{array}\right\} \qquad \text{From the lemma your life depends on.}$$

Subtracting the second equality from the first one above:

$$\mathscr{F}\!\left(\!\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2}+x^2\right)\!\varphi\right)\!(k)=\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}k^2}+k^2\right)\mathscr{F}\,\varphi(k),$$

where $\mathscr{F}=\widehat{}$ because things are getting a bit too big for the hat. We smell a harmonic potential. "Don't get your fingers too close, they sometimes bite."

Let $H:=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2}+x^2-1\right)$. Then $[H,\widehat{}]=0$. We multiplied by $\frac{1}{2}$ so that it looks even more like a harmonic potential. Why did we add -1? Define

$$A:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}+x\right),$$

$$A^\dagger:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}+x\right).$$

We then have $H=A^\dagger A$. If x and y commute, then we have $(x-y)(x+y)=x^2-y^2$, but here x and $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}$ don't quite commute, hence the -1.

Here ends the lecture of 2013-03-06.