BMI203 – Winter 2020

Homework 2

Erin Gilbertson

Assignment Questions

1. Explain your similarity metric, and why it makes sense biologically.
   1. I chose to use Euclidean distance between a one-dimensional vector representation of active sites. The 1-D representation is essentially just counts of amino acids, which made Euclidean distance a straightforward way to calculate the difference between two active sites. I think that this makes sense biologically because after looking at the active sites more closely I realized that these aren't actually in sequence order so it seemed more relevant to look at how many of each amino acid are present at each active site. While it would probably be interesting to look at 3-D structure of the active sites, I have no expertise in this area and decided that my time was better spent understanding the algorithms.
2. Explain your choice of partitioning algorithm.
   1. I chose to do a K Means algorithm because it is a fast, and straightforward partitioning algorithm. The basic process of K-Means is shown below. I started with this outline and started building up my functions to complete the tasks. More specific documentation on these is in my code.
      1. Randomly initialize k cluster centroids
      2. Assign data points to nearest cluster
      3. Recalculate cluster centroids
      4. Assign data points to nearest cluster
      5. Repeat steps 3-4 until centroids stop moving significantly
3. Explain your choice of hierarchical algorithm.
   1. I used a basic frame work of an agglomerative clustering algorithm as outlined below.
      1. Create an all sites by all sites similarity matrix
      2. Find the two most similar clusters
      3. Combine the two most similar clusters
         1. Recalculate cluster average for use in average linkage comparisons
      4. Repeat steps 2-3 until everything is in the same cluster (or you reach the desired number of clusters.)
4. Explain your choice of quality metric. How did your clusterings measure up?
   1. I used silhouette score for my quality metric, it works by XXXXXX…..
   2. My K – Means clustering resulted in a maximum silhouette score of 0.79 at 2 clusters, which is reasonably high so I feel pretty good about my K-Means clustering given the simple distance metric that I used. This is shown below in figure 1. Increasing the number of clusters consistently decreases the silhouette score.
   3. My agglomerative clustering resulted in a maximum silhouette score of at K clusters, which is reasonably high so I feel pretty good about my K-Means clustering given the simple distance metric that I used.
5. Explain your function to compare clusterings. How similar were your two clusterings using this function?
   1. I used Jacaard Index to compare my two clusterings, Jacaard works by XXX……
6. Did your clusterings have any biological meaning?
   1. Realistically, the only biological meaning that can be derived from my clusters is that active sites that cluster more closely together have similar amino acid compositions. If I were to be using this in research and wanting to learn more details about the biology, I would want to incorporate something about the 3D structure of the active sites.
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