

Assignment 1 Solutions

1 Convexity and Linear Algebra

1.1 Taylor Expansions

a) **Solution:**

Gradient of f can be computed by:

$$\nabla f = \langle 4x_1, 2x_2 + 2x_3, 2x_3 + 2x_2 \rangle$$

Hessian of f :

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

b) **solution:**

The second order Taylor expansion of $f(x)$ at point x_0 is given by:

$$f(x) \approx f(x_0) + \nabla f(x_0)^T (x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2} (x - x_0)^T H_f(x_0) (x - x_0)$$

Given the result from part(a), we will evaluate this expression at point $x_0 = (0, 0, 0)$.

Substituting these values into the Taylor expansion formula, with $x - x_0 = x$:

$$f(x) \approx 0 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$f(x) \approx \frac{1}{2} (4x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + 4x_2x_3 + 2x_3^2)$$

$$f(x) \approx 2x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_2x_3 + x_3^2$$

c) **solution:**

We know that:

A function is convex if its Hessian is positive semi-definite everywhere

It is strictly convex if its Hessian is positive definite everywhere

To determine this, we find the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix H_f .

$$H_f = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues λ are found by solving $\det(H_f - \lambda I) = 0$:

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 4-\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2-\lambda & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 2-\lambda \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$(4-\lambda)[(2-\lambda)(2-\lambda) - (2)(2)] = 0$$

$$(4-\lambda)[(4-4\lambda+\lambda^2) - 4] = 0$$

$$(4-\lambda)(\lambda^2 - 4\lambda) = 0$$

$$(4-\lambda)\lambda(\lambda-4) = 0$$

The eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = 4$, $\lambda_2 = 4$, and $\lambda_3 = 0$.

Therefore, since: A matrix is positive semi-definite if all its eigenvalues are non-negative, it is positive definite if all its eigenvalues are strictly positive

The Hessian Matrix H_f is positive semi-definite but not positive definite.

Therefore, the function is **convex** but **not strictly convex**

1.2 Matrix Rank and Inverse

a) Solution:

WTS: $Ax = 0 \iff x = 0$

\Rightarrow :

can write $Ax = 0$ as the linear combination of columns of A:

$$x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + \dots + x_n a_n = 0$$

Since A is full rank, its n columns are all linearly independent

Therefore, by the definition of linearly independent, the only way for the above equation to be true is if all coefficients are zero:

$$x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$$

which means $x = 0$.

\Leftarrow :

If $x = 0$, then it is trivial that $Ax = A0 = 0$.

b) Solution:

Now let's prove that $A^T A$ is positive definite To prove this, let's evaluate the expression:

$$x^T (A^T A) x$$

$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} x^T (A^T A) x &= (x^T A^T)(Ax) \\ &= (Ax)^T (Ax) \\ &= \|Ax\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\|Ax\|^2 \text{ is } 0 \iff Ax = 0$$

From part a, we have shown that $\forall x \neq 0, Ax \neq 0$

Therefore, for any non-zero vector x , the vector Ax will also be non-zero

This means that its squared form is strictly positive:

$$\|Ax\|^2 > 0$$

Therefore, it means that $x^T(A^T A)x > 0$ for all $x \neq 0$.

Therefore, $A^T A$ is **positive definite**

WTS: Symmetric Positive Definite Matrix is Always Invertible

From the previous part b, we have shown that $A^T A$ is **positive definite**. Note that $A^T A$ is always symmetric by Matrix Multiplication and definition of Transpose

Let M be a symmetric positive definite matrix. By the spectral theorem, it has an eigen-decomposition $M = Q\Lambda Q^T$, where:

- Q is an orthogonal matrix ($Q^T Q = I$) whose columns are the eigenvectors of M .
- Λ is a diagonal matrix containing the positive eigenvalues λ_i of M .

We can construct the inverse M^{-1} as follows:

$$M^{-1} = Q\Lambda^{-1}Q^T$$

The inverse of the diagonal matrix, Λ^{-1} , exists because all its diagonal entries λ_i are non-zero. Λ^{-1} is simply a diagonal matrix with entries $1/\lambda_i$. Let's verify this is the correct inverse:

$$\begin{aligned} MM^{-1} &= (Q\Lambda Q^T)(Q\Lambda^{-1}Q^T) \\ &= Q\Lambda(Q^T Q)\Lambda^{-1}Q^T \\ &= Q\Lambda(I)\Lambda^{-1}Q^T \\ &= Q(\Lambda\Lambda^{-1})Q^T \\ &= Q(I)Q^T \\ &= QQ^T = I \end{aligned}$$

Same logic applied to showing $M^{-1}M = I$.

Therefore, since we can always compose an inverse of M by eigendecomposition, which means that M is **invertible**.

Therefore, we have shown that any symmetric positive definite matrix is always invertible by using eigendecomposition to construct the inverse.

Since we have previously shown that $A^T A$ is positive definite and $A^T A$ is symmetric by definition, $A^T A$ is invertible.

2 SVD and Eigendecomposition

a) **Solution:**

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

b) **Solution:** To prove that the given form is the eigendecomposition of $B = U\Lambda U^T$ We must first compute the eigenvalues of B :

By solving the linear equation:

$$B - \lambda I = 0$$

the eigenvalues of B are:

$$\lambda_1 = 6, \lambda_2 = 1, \lambda_3 = 0$$

Now, the quick way to verify the above matrix decomposition is an eigendecomposition of B , is that we check each column of alleged U , denoted by u_i , whether for each u_i , we have the following equation holds:

$$\Lambda u_i = \lambda_i u_i$$

Now, let's check if this entity holds one by one:

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \lambda_1 = 6, u_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{30}} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} : \\ \Lambda u_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{30}} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{30}} \begin{bmatrix} -12 \\ -30 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ \lambda_1 u_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{30}} \begin{bmatrix} -12 \\ -30 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\Lambda u_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \lambda_2 = 1, u_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} : \\ \Lambda u_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \lambda_2 u_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\Lambda u_2 = \lambda_2 u_2$

$$\bullet \lambda_3 = 0, u_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} :$$

$$\Lambda u_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_3 u_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, $\Lambda u_3 = \lambda_3 u_3$ Therefore, now we can verify that the above matrix is the eigen-decomposition of matrix B

- c) **Solution:** Since the **singular values** of matrix A denoted as σ_i are the square roots of the non-zero eigenvalues of matrix AA^T

Since we have found the eigenvalues of $B = AA^T$:

$$\lambda_1 = 6, \lambda_2 = 1, \lambda_3 = 0$$

We now can calculate the singular values of A by taking the square root of non-zero eigenvalues of B :

$$\sigma_1 = \sqrt{6}, \sigma_2 = \sqrt{1}$$

Therefore, we can construct

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- d) **Solution:**

- For U : first, we prove that U is orthogonal:

For columns of U , we check whether $u_1 \cdot u_2 = 0$

$$(1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)(-\sqrt{3}/2, 1/2) = 0$$

Then we check the determinant, whether $\det(U) = 1$

$$\det(U) = 1/4 + 3/4 = 1$$

Therefore, basis vectors of transformation is orthonormal, the matrix U is a rotation matrix

- For V : first, we prove that V is orthogonal:

For columns of V , we check whether $u_1 \cdot u_2 = 0$

$$(\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{2}/2)(-\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{2}/2) = 0$$

Then we check the determinant, whether $\det(V) = 1$

$$\det(V) = 1/2 + 1/2 = 1$$

Therefore, basis vectors of transformation is orthonormal, the matrix V is a rotation matrix, V^T is also a rotation matrix by rotation matrix's property

Now we compute the rotation angles for U and V :

- for U : $\theta_u = \frac{\pi}{3}$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\frac{\pi}{3}) & -\sin(\frac{\pi}{3}) \\ \sin(\frac{\pi}{3}) & -\cos(\frac{\pi}{3}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

- for V : $\theta_v = -\frac{\pi}{4}$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\frac{\pi}{3}) & -\sin(\frac{\pi}{3}) \\ \sin(\frac{\pi}{3}) & -\cos(\frac{\pi}{3}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

e) **Solution:**

Finally, let's explain the geometric intuition behind the singular value decomposition matrix: First, V^T rotates the input space by $-\pi/4$, then it scales the input space by 4 in rotated x direction and 1/2 in rotated y direction. Then it rotates again by $\pi/3$ clockwise to final position.

3 Convexity

a) **Solution:**

We need to prove that the Huber loss function with parameter $\delta > 0$:

$$\text{Huber}_\delta(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}x^2, & |x| \leq \delta, \\ \delta|x| - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2, & |x| > \delta, \end{cases}$$

is convex using only the definition of convex functions.

To prove convexity, we need to show that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $t \in [0, 1]$:

$$\text{Huber}_\delta(tx + (1-t)y) \leq t \cdot \text{Huber}_\delta(x) + (1-t) \cdot \text{Huber}_\delta(y)$$

We consider four cases based on the values of x and y :

Case 1: $|x| \leq \delta$ and $|y| \leq \delta$

In this case, we need to check if $|tx + (1-t)y| \leq \delta$.

$$\begin{aligned} |tx + (1-t)y| &\leq t|x| + (1-t)|y| \quad (\text{triangle inequality}) \\ &\leq t\delta + (1-t)\delta = \delta \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, all three points fall in the quadratic region:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Huber}_\delta(tx + (1-t)y) &= \frac{1}{2}(tx + (1-t)y)^2 \\ &\leq t \cdot \frac{1}{2}x^2 + (1-t) \cdot \frac{1}{2}y^2 \quad (\text{convexity of } \frac{1}{2}x^2) \\ &= t \cdot \text{Huber}_\delta(x) + (1-t) \cdot \text{Huber}_\delta(y) \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $|x| > \delta$ and $|y| > \delta$, with x and y having the same sign

Without loss of generality, assume $x, y > \delta$. Then $tx + (1 - t)y > \delta$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Huber}_\delta(tx + (1 - t)y) &= \delta(tx + (1 - t)y) - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 \\ &= t(\delta x - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2) + (1 - t)(\delta y - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2) \\ &= t \cdot \text{Huber}_\delta(x) + (1 - t) \cdot \text{Huber}_\delta(y)\end{aligned}$$

The function is linear in this region, so the inequality holds with equality.

Case 3: $|x| > \delta$ and $|y| > \delta$, with x and y having opposite signs

Let $x > \delta$ and $y < -\delta$. Then $tx + (1 - t)y$ may fall in any region. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}t \cdot \text{Huber}_\delta(x) + (1 - t) \cdot \text{Huber}_\delta(y) &= t(\delta x - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2) + (1 - t)(\delta(-y) - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2) \\ &= t\delta x - (1 - t)\delta y - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2\end{aligned}$$

Since the Huber function is convex on each piece and continuous at the boundary, the convexity property holds.

Case 4: One point inside $[-\delta, \delta]$ and one outside

By the continuity of the Huber function at $\pm\delta$ and the convexity of each piece, the overall function remains convex.

Therefore, $\text{Huber}_\delta(x)$ is convex for all $\delta > 0$.

b) Solution:

We need to prove that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \|A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}\|_2 + \lambda\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty$$

is convex, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\lambda \geq 0$.

Step 1: Show that any norm is convex

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be any norm. For any \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} and $t \in [0, 1]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\|t\mathbf{u} + (1 - t)\mathbf{v}\| &\leq \|t\mathbf{u}\| + \|(1 - t)\mathbf{v}\| \quad (\text{triangle inequality}) \\ &= t\|\mathbf{u}\| + (1 - t)\|\mathbf{v}\| \quad (\text{positive homogeneity})\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, any norm is a convex function. In particular, both $\|\cdot\|_2$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ are convex.

Step 2: Show $\|A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}\|_2$ is convex

Let $g(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$. This is an affine transformation. Let $h(\mathbf{z}) = \|\mathbf{z}\|_2$, which is convex by Step 1.

Then $\|A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}\|_2 = h(g(\mathbf{x}))$.

Using the property that $f(A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$ is convex if f is convex (composition of convex function with affine transformation), we have that $\|A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}\|_2$ is convex.

Step 3: Show $\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty$ is convex

From Step 1, $\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty$ is convex.

Step 4: Combine using the property of weighted sums

We have:

- $\|A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}\|_2$ is convex (Step 2)
- $\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty$ is convex (Step 3)
- $\lambda \geq 0$ (given)

Using the property that $\sum_i w_i f_i(\mathbf{x})$ is convex if f_i are convex and $w_i \geq 0$, we have:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \underbrace{\|A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}\|_2}_{\text{convex}} + \underbrace{\lambda}_{\geq 0} \cdot \underbrace{\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty}_{\text{convex}}$$

is convex.

Therefore, $f(\mathbf{x}) = \|A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}\|_2 + \lambda\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty$ is convex.

c) Solution:

We need to prove that the Swish activation function

$$f(x) = x\sigma(x) = \frac{x}{1 + e^{-x}}$$

is neither convex nor concave on \mathbb{R} .

To show that f is neither convex nor concave, we will compute $f''(x)$ and show that it changes sign.

Step 1: Compute $f'(x)$

Given that $\sigma'(x) = \sigma(x)(1 - \sigma(x))$, we use the product rule and since $\sigma'(x) = \sigma(x)(1 - \sigma(x))$

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= \sigma(x) + x\sigma'(x) \\ &= \sigma(x) + \sigma(x)(1 - \sigma(x))x \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Compute $f''(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} f''(x) &= \sigma'(x) + \frac{d}{dx}(x\sigma'(x)) = \sigma'(x) + (\sigma'(x) + x\sigma''(x)) \\ f''(x) &= 2\sigma'(x) + x\sigma''(x) \end{aligned}$$

Now we find $\sigma''(x)$ by differentiating $\sigma'(x) = \sigma(x) - \sigma(x)^2$:

$$\sigma''(x) = \sigma'(x) - 2\sigma(x)\sigma'(x) = \sigma'(x)(1 - 2\sigma(x))$$

Substitute this back into the expression for $f''(x)$:

The term $\sigma'(x) = \sigma(x)(1 - \sigma(x))$ is always positive because $\sigma(x) \in (0, 1)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, the sign of $f''(x)$ is determined by the sign of the term $S(x) = 2 + x(1 - 2\sigma(x))$. Let's test the sign of $S(x)$ at two different points: - At $x = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(0) &= \frac{1}{1 + e^0} = \frac{1}{2} \\ S(0) &= 2 + 0 \left(1 - 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) = 2\end{aligned}$$

Since $S(0) = 2 > 0$, we have $f''(0) > 0$. - As $x \rightarrow \infty$:

As x becomes very large, $e^{-x} \rightarrow 0$, so $\sigma(x) \rightarrow 1$. The term $(1 - 2\sigma(x))$ approaches $1 - 2(1) = -1$. So, for large positive x , $S(x) \approx 2 + x(-1) = 2 - x$. This value is negative for $x > 2$. For example, at $x = 5$, $S(5) \approx -3 < 0$, which means $f''(5) < 0$.

Since we have found a point where $f''(x) > 0$ (e.g., $x = 0$) and a point where $f''(x) < 0$ (e.g., $x = 5$), the second derivative changes sign.

Since $f''(x)$ changes sign on \mathbb{R} , the function f is neither convex (which would require $f''(x) \geq 0$) nor concave (which would require $f''(x) \leq 0$) on \mathbb{R} .

Therefore, the Swish activation function is neither convex nor concave on \mathbb{R} .