Successors for $JVM_{\mathcal{I}}$ instructions

```
succ_I(instr, pc, regT, opdT) =
  case instr of
    Prim(p) \rightarrow
      \{(pc+1, regT, drop(opdT, argSize(p)) \cdot returnType(p))\}
    Dupx(s_1, s_2) \rightarrow
      \{(pc+1, regT, drop(opdT, s_1 + s_2)\}
                          take(opdT, s_2) \cdot take(opdT, s_1 + s_2))
    Pop(s) \rightarrow \{(pc+1, regT, drop(opdT, s))\}
    Load(t,x) \rightarrow
      if size(t) = 1 then
        \{(pc+1, regT, opdT \cdot |regT(x)|)\}
      else
        \{(pc+1, reqT, opdT \cdot [reqT(x), reqT(x+1)])\}
```

Successors for $JVM_{\mathcal{I}}$ instructions (continued)

```
succ_I(instr, pc, regT, opdT) =
  case instr of
    Store(t, x) \rightarrow
      if size(t) = 1 then
        \{(pc+1, regT \oplus \{(x, top(opdT))\}, drop(opdT, 1))\}
      else
        \{(pc+1, regT \oplus \{(x, t_0), (x+1, t_1)\}, drop(opdT, 2))\}
      where [t_0, t_1] = take(opdT, 2)
    Goto(o) \longrightarrow \{(o, regT, opdT)\}
    Cond(p, o) \rightarrow \{(pc + 1, regT, drop(opdT, argSize(p))),
                      (o, regT, drop(opdT, argSize(p)))
```

Successors for $\mathsf{JVM}_\mathcal{C}$ instructions

```
succ_{C}(meth)(instr, pc, regT, opdT) = \\ succ_{I}(instr, pc, regT, opdT) \cup \\ \mathbf{case} \ instr \ \mathbf{of} \\ GetStatic(t, c/f) \rightarrow \{(pc+1, regT, opdT \cdot t)\} \\ PutStatic(t, c/f) \rightarrow \{(pc+1, regT, drop(opdT, size(t)))\} \\ InvokeStatic(t, c/m) \rightarrow \\ \{(pc+1, regT, drop(opdT, argSize(c/m)) \cdot t)\} \\ Return(mt) \rightarrow \emptyset
```

Successors for $JVM_{\mathcal{O}}$ instructions

```
succ_O(meth)(instr, pc, regT, opdT) =
  succ_C(meth)(instr, pc, regT, opdT) \cup
  case instr of
    New(c) \rightarrow \{(pc+1, regS, opdS \cdot [(c, pc)_{new}])\}
       where regS = \{(x, t) \mid (x, t) \in regT, t \neq (c, pc)_{new}\}
       opdS = [\mathbf{if} \ t = (c, pc)_{new} \ \mathbf{then} \ \mathbf{unusable} \ \mathbf{else} \ t \ | \ t \in opdT]
     GetField(t, c/f) \rightarrow \{(pc+1, regT, drop(opdT, 1) \cdot t)\}
    PutField(t, c/f) \rightarrow \{(pc+1, regT, drop(opdT, 1 + size(t)))\}
    InstanceOf(c) \rightarrow \{(pc+1, regT, drop(opdT, 1) \cdot [int])\}
     Checkcast(t) \rightarrow \{(pc+1, regT, drop(opdT, 1) \cdot t)\}
```

Successors for JVM_O instructions (continued)

```
succ_{O}(meth)(instr, pc, regT, opdT) =
  case instr of
    InvokeSpecial(t, c/m) \rightarrow
      let opdT' = drop(opdT, 1 + argSize(c/m)) \cdot t
      if methNm(m) = "<init>" then
        case top(drop(opdT, argSize(c/m))) of
        (c, o)_{new} \rightarrow \{(pc + 1, regT[c/(c, o)_{new}], opdT'[c/(c, o)_{new}])\}
        InInit \longrightarrow \mathbf{let} \ c/\_ = meth
                      \{(pc+1, regT[c/InInit], opdT'[c/InInit])\}
        else
          \{(pc+1, regT, opdT')\}
    InvokeVirtual(t, c/m) \rightarrow
      let opdT' = drop(opdT, 1 + argSize(c/m)) \cdot t
      \{(pc+1, regT, opdT')\}
```

Successors for $\mathsf{JVM}_\mathcal{E}$ instructions

```
egin{aligned} succ_E(meth)(instr,pc,\mathit{reg}\,T,\mathit{opd}\,T) = \\ succ_O(meth)(instr,pc,\mathit{reg}\,T,\mathit{opd}\,T) \cup \\ allhandlers(instr,meth,pc,\mathit{reg}\,T) \cup \\ \mathbf{case} \ instr \ \mathbf{of} \\ Athrow & 
ightarrow \emptyset \\ Jsr(s) & 
ightarrow \{(s,\mathit{reg}\,T,\mathit{opd}\,T \cdot [\mathtt{ret}\mathtt{Addr}(s)])\} \\ Ret(x) & 
ightarrow \emptyset \end{aligned}
```

```
\begin{aligned} &allhandlers(Jsr(\_), m, pc, regT) &= \{\} \\ &allhandlers(Goto(\_), m, pc, regT) &= \{\} \\ &allhandlers(Return(\_), m, pc, regT) &= \{\} \\ &allhandlers(Load(\_, \_), m, pc, regT) &= \{\} \\ &allhandlers(instr, m, pc, regT) &= \\ &\{(h, regT, [t]) \mid (f, u, h, t) \in excs(m) \land f \leq pc < u\} \end{aligned}
```

Successors are monotonic

Lemma: Assume that

- $\blacksquare reg V \sqsubseteq_{\operatorname{reg}} reg T$, $opd V \sqsubseteq_{\operatorname{seq}} opd T$,
- \bullet $(s, regS, opdS) \in succ(meth, pc, regV, opdV).$

Then there exists $(s, regU, opdU) \in succ(meth, pc, regT, opdT)$ such that $regS \sqsubseteq_{reg} regU$ and $opdS \sqsubseteq_{seq} opdU$.

for each successor (s, regS, opdS) of (pc, regV, opdV)

$$regV \sqsubseteq_{reg} regT$$
 $opdV \sqsubseteq_{seq} opdT$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$
 $regS \sqsubseteq_{reg} regU$
 $opdS \sqsubseteq_{seq} opdU$

there exists a successor (s, regU, opdU) of (pc, regT, opdT)

Reachability

Control transfer instructions:

Goto(i), Cond(p, i), Return(t), Athrow, Jsr(i), Ret(x).

Successor index: A code index j is called a successor index of i (wrt. m), if one of the following conditions is true:

- code(i) is not a control transfer instruction and j = i + 1
- code(i) = Goto(j)
- $\mathbf{C} code(i) = Cond(p, k) \text{ or } code(i) = Jsr(k) \text{ and } \mathbf{j} \in \{i+1, k\}$
- There exists a handler $(f, u, j, _) \in excs(m)$ such that $f \le i < u$ and code(i) is neither Jsr, Goto, Return nor Load.

Reachble: A code index j is reachable from i if there exists a finite (possibly empty) sequence of successor steps from i to j.

Subroutines

Subroutine: If i is reachable from 0 and the code(i) = Jsr(s), then the code index s is called a subroutine.

Return from subroutine. A code index r is a possible return from subroutine s, if code(s) = Store(addr, x), code(r) = Ret(x) and r is reachable from s+1 on a path that does not use any $Store(_, x)$ instruction.

Belongs to a subroutine: A code index i belongs to subroutine s, if there exists a possible return r from s such that $s \le i \le r$.

Modified variables: Let s be a subroutine. A variable x belongs to mod(s), if there exists a code index i which belongs to s such that code(i) = Store(t, y) and one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- \bullet size(t) = 1 and x = y
- size(t) = 2 and x = y or x = y + 1.

Bytecode type assignments

A bytecode type assignment with domain \mathcal{D} for a method μ is a family $(regT_i, opdT_i)_{i \in \mathcal{D}}$ of type frames satisfying the following conditions:

- **T1.** \mathcal{D} is a set of valid code indices of the method μ .
- **T2.** Code index 0 belongs to \mathcal{D} .
- **T3.** Let $[\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n] = argTypes(\mu)$ and $c = classNm(\mu)$. If μ is a
 - (a) class initialization method: $reg T_0 = \emptyset$.
 - (b) class method: $\{0 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, n-1 \mapsto \tau_n\} \sqsubseteq_{\text{reg}} reg T_0$.
 - (c) instance method: $\{0 \mapsto \mathbf{c}, 1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, n \mapsto \tau_n\} \sqsubseteq_{\text{reg}} reg T_0$.
 - (d) constructor: $\{0 \mapsto InInit, 1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, n \mapsto \tau_n\} \sqsubseteq_{\text{reg}} reg T_0$.
- **T4.** The list $opdT_0$ is empty.
- **T5.** If $i \in \mathcal{D}$, then $check(\mu, i, regT_i, opdT_i)$ is true.
- **T6.** If $i \in \mathcal{D}$ and $(j, regS, opdS) \in succ(\mu, i, regT_i, opdT_i)$, then $j \in \mathcal{D}$, $regS \sqsubseteq_{reg} regT_j$ and $opdS \sqsubseteq_{seq} opdT_j$.

Bytecode type assignments (continued)

- **T7.** If $i \in \mathcal{D}$, code(i) = Ret(x) and $regT_i(x) = retAddr(s)$, then for all reachable $j \in \mathcal{D}$ with code(j) = Jsr(s):
 - (a) $j+1 \in \mathcal{D}$,
 - (b) $reg T_i \sqsubseteq_{reg} mod(s) \lhd reg T_{j+1}$,
 - (c) $opdT_i \sqsubseteq_{seq} opdT_{j+1}$,
 - (d) $regT_j \sqsubseteq_{reg} mod(s) \Leftrightarrow regT_{j+1}$,
 - (e) if $\operatorname{retAddr}(\ell)$ occurs in $mod(s) \triangleleft \operatorname{reg} T_{j+1}$, then each code index which belongs to s belongs to ℓ ,
 - (f) neither $(c, k)_{new}$ nor InInit occur in $mod(s) \triangleleft regT_{j+1}$.
- **T8.** If $i \in \mathcal{D}$ and retAddr(s) occurs in $regT_i$, then i belongs to s. If $i \in \mathcal{D}$ and retAddr(s) occurs in $opdT_i$, then i = s.

Notation.

$$X \lhd f := \{(x, y) \in f \mid x \in X\}$$
$$X \lhd f := \{(x, y) \in f \mid x \notin X\}$$

Bytecode type assignments (soundness)

Theorem. If every method in the class environment cenv has a bytecode type assignment, then the defensive JVM does not halt with the message "Runtime check failed".

Remark. If the JVM is executing the code of a method μ which has a bytecode type assignment with domain \mathcal{D} , then $pc \in \mathcal{D}$.