

Teaching History: University of Minnesota

Course Name	Course Number	Term/Year	Position
Microeconomic Analysis (Ph.D. Course)	Econ 8001	Fall 2016	Teaching Assistant
	Econ 8002	Fall 2016	Teaching Assistant
	Econ 8003	Spring 2017	Teaching Assistant
	Econ 8004	Spring 2017	Teaching Assistant

Teaching Evaluations: University of Minnesota

The table below summarizes my course evaluations for teaching Econ 8001-8004 (Ph.D. level) as a teaching assistant. I report the mean score for each question first and the median score second. Each evaluation is reported on a 6-point scale with 1 being “*strongly disagree*” and 6 being “*strongly agree*”. The numbers reported are the responses of the anonymous questionnaires that students were requested to complete at the end of each section.

Evaluation Category	Econ 8001	Econ 8002	Econ 8003	Econ 8004
The instructor was well prepared for class	6.00/6.00	5.83/6.00	5.75/6.00	6.00/6.00
The instructor presented the subject matter clearly	5.75/6.00	5.83/6.00	5.75/6.00	6.00/6.00
The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance	5.63/6.00	5.83/6.00	6.00/6.00	6.00/6.00
The instructor treated me with respect	5.88/6.00	5.83/6.00	6.00/6.00	6.00/6.00
I have a deeper understanding of the subject matter as a result of this course	5.75/6.00	5.83/6.00	5.75/6.00	6.00/6.00
My interest in the subject matter was stimulated by this course	5.63/6.00	5.50/5.50	5.75/6.00	6.00/6.00
Instructional technology employed in this course was effective	5.63/6.00	5.80/6.00	5.75/6.00	6.00/6.00
The grading standards for this course were clear	5.63/6.00	5.67/6.00	5.75/6.00	6.00/6.00
I would recommend this course to other students	5.50/6.00	5.83/6.00	6.00/6.00	6.00/6.00
I would recommend this instructor to other students	5.75/6.00	5.83/6.00	6.00/6.00	6.00/6.00
Overall Course Evaluation	5.63/6.00	5.72/5.90	5.80/6.00	6.00/6.00
Overall Instructor Evaluation	5.80/6.00	5.83/6.00	5.90/6.00	6.00/6.00

NOTE: Scores above are “Mean/Median” evaluations. Scores range from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 6 (*strongly agree*).



SRT Individual Report for ECON 8001 002 Microecon Analysis (Egor Malkov) - Fall 2016

Project Title: **Student Rating of Teaching - Fall 2016**

Courses Audience: **8**
Responses Received: **8**
Response Ratio: **100%**

Report Comments

Office of Measurement Services
Phone: 612.626.0006
Fax: 612.624.1336
879 29th Ave. S.E. Room 103
Minneapolis, MN 55414
<http://oms.umn.edu>
eval@umn.edu

©2016 Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved.
The University of Minnesota is an equal opportunity educator and employer.

Creation Date: **Monday, July 27, 2020**

Letter from the Director

In April 2014, the University Senate approved the updated Student Rating of Teaching (SRT) form. The SRT is expected to improve how teaching is assessed by students and help instructors better understand how they can improve their teaching. The SRT results are linked to the University's Student Learning Outcomes (<http://www.slo.umn.edu>).

The updated Student Rating of Teaching is divided into two major sections and two supplemental questions:

- Section 1: Instructor Ratings - This section contains five questions for students to rate their instructor and an open-ended question: "What did the instructor do that most helped your learning?"
- Section 2: Course Ratings - This section contains six questions for students to rate their course and an open-ended question: "What suggestions do you have for improving this course?"
- Supplemental Questions
 - ◊ Did you take this course because it was required or was an elective?
 - ◊ How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?

Included in this report are summary statistics for the five Instructor items, the six Course items and the two Supplemental items. If students were administered the online version of the SRT, their specific responses to the open-ended questions are also provided with this summary report.

Beginning Spring Semester 2015, SRT reports will be generated regardless of class size and provided to instructors and Department Chairs/Heads. Please be aware that reports based on small sample sizes need to be interpreted with caution.

For a fuller interpretation of the items and suggestions for improving instruction, please refer to the SRT User's Manual. This Manual, as well as other very useful SRT information, may be found at the SRT website: <http://oms.umn.edu/srt>. For an updated list of training resources, workshops and other sources for instructional support, you may also contact the Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL) (<http://www1.umn.edu/ohr/teachlearn>).

Thank you.


Thomas Dohm Signature

Thomas E. Dohm, Ph.D.


Instructor Items

Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Score


Overall




1. The instructor was well prepared for class.




2. The instructor presented the subject matter clearly.




3. The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance.



4. The instructor treated me with respect.



5. I would recommend this instructor to other students.




Instructor Items

Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Frequency

<div>1. The instructor was well prepared for class.</div> <div> <div> <div>6 Strongly Agree (8)</div> <div>5 Agree (0)</div> <div>4 Somewhat Agree (0)</div> <div>3 Somewhat Disagree (0)</div> <div>2 Disagree (0)</div> <div>1 Strongly Disagree (0)</div> <div>[Total (8)]</div> </div> <div> <div>100.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>0</div> <div>50%</div> <div>100%</div> </div> <div> <div>Statistics</div> <div>Value</div> <div>Mean</div> <div>6.00</div> <div>Median</div> <div>6.00</div> <div>Standard Deviation</div> <div>0.00</div> </div>	<div>2. The instructor presented the subject matter clearly.</div> <div> <div> <div>6 Strongly Agree (7)</div> <div>5 Agree (0)</div> <div>4 Somewhat Agree (1)</div> <div>3 Somewhat Disagree (0)</div> <div>2 Disagree (0)</div> <div>1 Strongly Disagree (0)</div> <div>[Total (8)]</div> </div> <div> <div>87.50%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>12.50%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>0</div> <div>50%</div> <div>100%</div> </div> <div> <div>Statistics</div> <div>Value</div> <div>Mean</div> <div>5.75</div> <div>Median</div> <div>6.00</div> <div>Standard Deviation</div> <div>0.71</div> </div>
<div>3. The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance.</div> <div> <div> <div>6 Strongly Agree (6)</div> <div>5 Agree (1)</div> <div>4 Somewhat Agree (1)</div> <div>3 Somewhat Disagree (0)</div> <div>2 Disagree (0)</div> <div>1 Strongly Disagree (0)</div> <div>[Total (8)]</div> </div> <div> <div>75.00%</div> <div>12.50%</div> <div>12.50%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>0</div> <div>50%</div> <div>100%</div> </div> <div> <div>Statistics</div> <div>Value</div> <div>Mean</div> <div>5.63</div> <div>Median</div> <div>6.00</div> <div>Standard Deviation</div> <div>0.74</div> </div>	<div>4. The instructor treated me with respect.</div> <div> <div> <div>6 Strongly Agree (7)</div> <div>5 Agree (1)</div> <div>4 Somewhat Agree (0)</div> <div>3 Somewhat Disagree (0)</div> <div>2 Disagree (0)</div> <div>1 Strongly Disagree (0)</div> <div>[Total (8)]</div> </div> <div> <div>87.50%</div> <div>12.50%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>0</div> <div>50%</div> <div>100%</div> </div> <div> <div>Statistics</div> <div>Value</div> <div>Mean</div> <div>5.88</div> <div>Median</div> <div>6.00</div> <div>Standard Deviation</div> <div>0.35</div> </div>
<div>5. I would recommend this instructor to other students.</div> <div> <div> <div>6 Strongly Agree (7)</div> <div>5 Agree (0)</div> <div>4 Somewhat Agree (1)</div> <div>3 Somewhat Disagree (0)</div> <div>2 Disagree (0)</div> <div>1 Strongly Disagree (0)</div> <div>[Total (8)]</div> </div> <div> <div>87.50%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>12.50%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>0</div> <div>50%</div> <div>100%</div> </div> <div> <div>Statistics</div> <div>Value</div> <div>Mean</div> <div>5.75</div> <div>Median</div> <div>6.00</div> <div>Standard Deviation</div> <div>0.71</div> </div>	


Did you take this course because it was required or was it an elective?




Course Items

Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Score


Overall




1. I have a deeper understanding of the subject matter as a result of this course.




2. My interest in the subject matter was stimulated by this course.




3. Instructional technology employed in this course was effective.



4. The grading standards for this course were clear.




5. I would recommend this course to other students.




Course Items

Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Frequency




Approximately how many hours per week do you spend working on homework, reading, and projects for this course?




How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?


How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?



How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?



How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?





SRT Individual Report for ECON 8002 002 Microecon Analysis (Egor Malkov) - Fall 2016

Project Title: **Student Rating of Teaching - Fall 2016**

Courses Audience: **6**
Responses Received: **6**
Response Ratio: **100%**

Report Comments

Office of Measurement Services
Phone: 612.626.0006
Fax: 612.624.1336
879 29th Ave. S.E. Room 103
Minneapolis, MN 55414
<http://oms.umn.edu>
eval@umn.edu

©2016 Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved.
The University of Minnesota is an equal opportunity educator and employer.

Creation Date: **Monday, July 27, 2020**

Letter from the Director

In April 2014, the University Senate approved the updated Student Rating of Teaching (SRT) form. The SRT is expected to improve how teaching is assessed by students and help instructors better understand how they can improve their teaching. The SRT results are linked to the University's Student Learning Outcomes (<http://www.slo.umn.edu>).

The updated Student Rating of Teaching is divided into two major sections and two supplemental questions:

- Section 1: Instructor Ratings - This section contains five questions for students to rate their instructor and an open-ended question: "What did the instructor do that most helped your learning?"
- Section 2: Course Ratings - This section contains six questions for students to rate their course and an open-ended question: "What suggestions do you have for improving this course?"
- Supplemental Questions
 - ◊ Did you take this course because it was required or was an elective?
 - ◊ How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?

Included in this report are summary statistics for the five Instructor items, the six Course items and the two Supplemental items. If students were administered the online version of the SRT, their specific responses to the open-ended questions are also provided with this summary report.

Beginning Spring Semester 2015, SRT reports will be generated regardless of class size and provided to instructors and Department Chairs/Heads. Please be aware that reports based on small sample sizes need to be interpreted with caution.

For a fuller interpretation of the items and suggestions for improving instruction, please refer to the SRT User's Manual. This Manual, as well as other very useful SRT information, may be found at the SRT website: <http://oms.umn.edu/srt>. For an updated list of training resources, workshops and other sources for instructional support, you may also contact the Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL) (<http://www1.umn.edu/ohr/teachlearn>).

Thank you.


Thomas Dohm Signature

Thomas E. Dohm, Ph.D.


Instructor Items

Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Score


Overall




1. The instructor was well prepared for class.




2. The instructor presented the subject matter clearly.




3. The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance.








4. The instructor treated me with respect.




5. I would recommend this instructor to other students.



Instructor Items**Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Frequency**


<p>1. The instructor was well prepared for class.</p>  <table> <tr> <th>Statistics</th> <th>Value</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Mean</td> <td>5.83</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Median</td> <td>6.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Standard Deviation</td> <td>0.41</td> </tr> </table>	Statistics	Value	Mean	5.83	Median	6.00	Standard Deviation	0.41	<p>2. The instructor presented the subject matter clearly.</p>  <table> <tr> <th>Statistics</th> <th>Value</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Mean</td> <td>5.83</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Median</td> <td>6.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Standard Deviation</td> <td>0.41</td> </tr> </table>	Statistics	Value	Mean	5.83	Median	6.00	Standard Deviation	0.41
Statistics	Value																
Mean	5.83																
Median	6.00																
Standard Deviation	0.41																
Statistics	Value																
Mean	5.83																
Median	6.00																
Standard Deviation	0.41																
<p>3. The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance.</p>  <table> <tr> <th>Statistics</th> <th>Value</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Mean</td> <td>5.83</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Median</td> <td>6.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Standard Deviation</td> <td>0.41</td> </tr> </table>	Statistics	Value	Mean	5.83	Median	6.00	Standard Deviation	0.41	<p>4. The instructor treated me with respect.</p>  <table> <tr> <th>Statistics</th> <th>Value</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Mean</td> <td>5.83</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Median</td> <td>6.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Standard Deviation</td> <td>0.41</td> </tr> </table>	Statistics	Value	Mean	5.83	Median	6.00	Standard Deviation	0.41
Statistics	Value																
Mean	5.83																
Median	6.00																
Standard Deviation	0.41																
Statistics	Value																
Mean	5.83																
Median	6.00																
Standard Deviation	0.41																
<p>5. I would recommend this instructor to other students.</p>  <table> <tr> <th>Statistics</th> <th>Value</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Mean</td> <td>5.83</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Median</td> <td>6.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Standard Deviation</td> <td>0.41</td> </tr> </table>	Statistics	Value	Mean	5.83	Median	6.00	Standard Deviation	0.41									
Statistics	Value																
Mean	5.83																
Median	6.00																
Standard Deviation	0.41																

Did you take this course because it was required or was it an elective?




Course Items

Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Score




Course Items**Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Frequency**

1. I have a deeper understanding of the subject matter as a result of this course.




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.83
Median	6.00
Standard Deviation	0.41

2. My interest in the subject matter was stimulated by this course.




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.50
Median	5.50
Standard Deviation	0.55

3. Instructional technology employed in this course was effective.




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.80
Median	6.00
Standard Deviation	0.45

4. The grading standards for this course were clear.




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.67
Median	6.00
Standard Deviation	0.52

5. I would recommend this course to other students.




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.83
Median	6.00
Standard Deviation	0.41

Approximately how many hours per week do you spend working on homework, reading, and projects for this course?




How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?


How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?



How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?



How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?





SRT Individual Report for ECON 8003 002 Microecon Analysis (Egor Malkov) - Spring 2017

Project Title: **Student Rating of Teaching - Spring 2017**

Courses Audience: **4**
Responses Received: **4**
Response Ratio: **100%**

Report Comments

Office of Measurement Services
Phone: 612.626.0006
Fax: 612.624.1336
879 29th Ave. S.E. Room 103
Minneapolis, MN 55414
<http://oms.umn.edu>
eval@umn.edu

©2016 Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved.
The University of Minnesota is an equal opportunity educator and employer.

Creation Date: **Friday, July 24, 2020**

Letter from the Director

In April 2014, the University Senate approved the updated Student Rating of Teaching (SRT) form. The SRT is expected to improve how teaching is assessed by students and help instructors better understand how they can improve their teaching. The SRT results are linked to the University's Student Learning Outcomes (<http://www.slo.umn.edu>).

The updated Student Rating of Teaching is divided into two major sections and two supplemental questions:

- Section 1: Instructor Ratings - This section contains five questions for students to rate their instructor and an open-ended question: "What did the instructor do that most helped your learning?"
- Section 2: Course Ratings - This section contains six questions for students to rate their course and an open-ended question: "What suggestions do you have for improving this course?"
- Supplemental Questions
 - ◊ Did you take this course because it was required or was an elective?
 - ◊ How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?

Included in this report are summary statistics for the five Instructor items, the six Course items and the two Supplemental items. If students were administered the online version of the SRT, their specific responses to the open-ended questions are also provided with this summary report.

Beginning Spring Semester 2015, SRT reports will be generated regardless of class size and provided to instructors and Department Chairs/Heads. Please be aware that reports based on small sample sizes need to be interpreted with caution.

For a fuller interpretation of the items and suggestions for improving instruction, please refer to the SRT User's Manual. This Manual, as well as other very useful SRT information, may be found at the SRT website: <http://oms.umn.edu/srt>. For an updated list of training resources, workshops and other sources for instructional support, you may also contact the Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL) (<http://www1.umn.edu/ohr/teachlearn>).

Thank you.


Thomas Dohm Signature

Thomas E. Dohm, Ph.D.


Instructor Items

Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Score


Overall




1. The instructor was well prepared for class.




2. The instructor presented the subject matter clearly.




3. The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance.



4. The instructor treated me with respect.



5. I would recommend this instructor to other students.




Instructor Items

Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Frequency

<div>1. The instructor was well prepared for class.</div> <div> <div> <div>6 Strongly Agree (3)</div> <div>5 Agree (1)</div> <div>4 Somewhat Agree (0)</div> <div>3 Somewhat Disagree (0)</div> <div>2 Disagree (0)</div> <div>1 Strongly Disagree (0)</div> <div>[Total (4)]</div> </div> <div> <div>75.00%</div> <div>25.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>0</div> <div>50%</div> <div>100%</div> </div>
--


Statistics	Value
Mean	5.75
Median	6.00
Standard Deviation	0.50


Did you take this course because it was required or was it an elective?




Course Items

Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Score




Course Items**Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Frequency**


Approximately how many hours per week do you spend working on homework, reading, and projects for this course?




How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?



How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?



How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?





SRT Individual Report for ECON 8004 002 Microecon Analysis (Egor Malkov) - Spring 2017

Project Title: **Student Rating of Teaching - Spring 2017**

Courses Audience: **4**
Responses Received: **3**
Response Ratio: **75.00%**

Report Comments

Office of Measurement Services
Phone: 612.626.0006
Fax: 612.624.1336
879 29th Ave. S.E. Room 103
Minneapolis, MN 55414
<http://oms.umn.edu>
eval@umn.edu

©2016 Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved.
The University of Minnesota is an equal opportunity educator and employer.

Creation Date: **Friday, July 24, 2020**

Letter from the Director

In April 2014, the University Senate approved the updated Student Rating of Teaching (SRT) form. The SRT is expected to improve how teaching is assessed by students and help instructors better understand how they can improve their teaching. The SRT results are linked to the University's Student Learning Outcomes (<http://www.slo.umn.edu>).

The updated Student Rating of Teaching is divided into two major sections and two supplemental questions:

- Section 1: Instructor Ratings - This section contains five questions for students to rate their instructor and an open-ended question: "What did the instructor do that most helped your learning?"
- Section 2: Course Ratings - This section contains six questions for students to rate their course and an open-ended question: "What suggestions do you have for improving this course?"
- Supplemental Questions
 - ◊ Did you take this course because it was required or was an elective?
 - ◊ How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?

Included in this report are summary statistics for the five Instructor items, the six Course items and the two Supplemental items. If students were administered the online version of the SRT, their specific responses to the open-ended questions are also provided with this summary report.

Beginning Spring Semester 2015, SRT reports will be generated regardless of class size and provided to instructors and Department Chairs/Heads. Please be aware that reports based on small sample sizes need to be interpreted with caution.

For a fuller interpretation of the items and suggestions for improving instruction, please refer to the SRT User's Manual. This Manual, as well as other very useful SRT information, may be found at the SRT website: <http://oms.umn.edu/srt>. For an updated list of training resources, workshops and other sources for instructional support, you may also contact the Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL) (<http://www1.umn.edu/ohr/teachlearn>).

Thank you.


Thomas Dohm Signature

Thomas E. Dohm, Ph.D.


Instructor Items

Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Score


Overall




1. The instructor was well prepared for class.




2. The instructor presented the subject matter clearly.




3. The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance.



4. The instructor treated me with respect.



5. I would recommend this instructor to other students.




Instructor Items

Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Frequency

<div>1. The instructor was well prepared for class.</div> <div> <div> <div>6 Strongly Agree (3)</div> <div>5 Agree (0)</div> <div>4 Somewhat Agree (0)</div> <div>3 Somewhat Disagree (0)</div> <div>2 Disagree (0)</div> <div>1 Strongly Disagree (0)</div> <div>[Total (3)]</div> </div> <div> <div>100.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>0</div> <div>50%</div> <div>100%</div> </div> <div> <div>Statistics</div> <div>Value</div> <div>Mean</div> <div>6.00</div> <div>Median</div> <div>6.00</div> <div>Standard Deviation</div> <div>0.00</div> </div>	<div>2. The instructor presented the subject matter clearly.</div> <div> <div> <div>6 Strongly Agree (3)</div> <div>5 Agree (0)</div> <div>4 Somewhat Agree (0)</div> <div>3 Somewhat Disagree (0)</div> <div>2 Disagree (0)</div> <div>1 Strongly Disagree (0)</div> <div>[Total (3)]</div> </div> <div> <div>100.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>0</div> <div>50%</div> <div>100%</div> </div> <div> <div>Statistics</div> <div>Value</div> <div>Mean</div> <div>6.00</div> <div>Median</div> <div>6.00</div> <div>Standard Deviation</div> <div>0.00</div> </div>
<div>3. The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance.</div> <div> <div> <div>6 Strongly Agree (3)</div> <div>5 Agree (0)</div> <div>4 Somewhat Agree (0)</div> <div>3 Somewhat Disagree (0)</div> <div>2 Disagree (0)</div> <div>1 Strongly Disagree (0)</div> <div>[Total (3)]</div> </div> <div> <div>100.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>0</div> <div>50%</div> <div>100%</div> </div> <div> <div>Statistics</div> <div>Value</div> <div>Mean</div> <div>6.00</div> <div>Median</div> <div>6.00</div> <div>Standard Deviation</div> <div>0.00</div> </div>	<div>4. The instructor treated me with respect.</div> <div> <div> <div>6 Strongly Agree (3)</div> <div>5 Agree (0)</div> <div>4 Somewhat Agree (0)</div> <div>3 Somewhat Disagree (0)</div> <div>2 Disagree (0)</div> <div>1 Strongly Disagree (0)</div> <div>[Total (3)]</div> </div> <div> <div>100.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>0</div> <div>50%</div> <div>100%</div> </div> <div> <div>Statistics</div> <div>Value</div> <div>Mean</div> <div>6.00</div> <div>Median</div> <div>6.00</div> <div>Standard Deviation</div> <div>0.00</div> </div>
<div>5. I would recommend this instructor to other students.</div> <div> <div> <div>6 Strongly Agree (3)</div> <div>5 Agree (0)</div> <div>4 Somewhat Agree (0)</div> <div>3 Somewhat Disagree (0)</div> <div>2 Disagree (0)</div> <div>1 Strongly Disagree (0)</div> <div>[Total (3)]</div> </div> <div> <div>100.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> <div>0.00%</div> </div> </div> <div> <div>0</div> <div>50%</div> <div>100%</div> </div> <div> <div>Statistics</div> <div>Value</div> <div>Mean</div> <div>6.00</div> <div>Median</div> <div>6.00</div> <div>Standard Deviation</div> <div>0.00</div> </div>	


Did you take this course because it was required or was it an elective?




Course Items

Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Score


Overall




1. I have a deeper understanding of the subject matter as a result of this course.




2. My interest in the subject matter was stimulated by this course.




3. Instructional technology employed in this course was effective.



4. The grading standards for this course were clear.



5. I would recommend this course to other students.




Course Items


Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Frequency

1. I have a deeper understanding of the subject matter as a result of this course.	2. My interest in the subject matter was stimulated by this course.																
<div><div>6 Strongly Agree (3)100.00%</div><div>5 Agree (0)0.00%</div><div>4 Somewhat Agree (0)0.00%</div><div>3 Somewhat Disagree (0)0.00%</div><div>2 Disagree (0)0.00%</div><div>1 Strongly Disagree (0)0.00%</div><div>[Total (3)]</div><div>050%100%</div></div> <table><tr><th>Statistics</th><th>Value</th></tr><tr><td>Mean</td><td>6.00</td></tr><tr><td>Median</td><td>6.00</td></tr><tr><td>Standard Deviation</td><td>0.00</td></tr></table>	Statistics	Value	Mean	6.00	Median	6.00	Standard Deviation	0.00	<div><div>6 Strongly Agree (3)100.00%</div><div>5 Agree (0)0.00%</div><div>4 Somewhat Agree (0)0.00%</div><div>3 Somewhat Disagree (0)0.00%</div><div>2 Disagree (0)0.00%</div><div>1 Strongly Disagree (0)0.00%</div><div>[Total (3)]</div><div>050%100%</div></div> <table><tr><th>Statistics</th><th>Value</th></tr><tr><td>Mean</td><td>6.00</td></tr><tr><td>Median</td><td>6.00</td></tr><tr><td>Standard Deviation</td><td>0.00</td></tr></table>	Statistics	Value	Mean	6.00	Median	6.00	Standard Deviation	0.00
Statistics	Value																
Mean	6.00																
Median	6.00																
Standard Deviation	0.00																
Statistics	Value																
Mean	6.00																
Median	6.00																
Standard Deviation	0.00																
3. Instructional technology employed in this course was effective.	4. The grading standards for this course were clear.																
<div><div>6 Strongly Agree (3)100.00%</div><div>5 Agree (0)0.00%</div><div>4 Somewhat Agree (0)0.00%</div><div>3 Somewhat Disagree (0)0.00%</div><div>2 Disagree (0)0.00%</div><div>1 Strongly Disagree (0)0.00%</div><div>[Total (3)]</div><div>050%100%</div></div> <table><tr><th>Statistics</th><th>Value</th></tr><tr><td>Mean</td><td>6.00</td></tr><tr><td>Median</td><td>6.00</td></tr><tr><td>Standard Deviation</td><td>0.00</td></tr></table>	Statistics	Value	Mean	6.00	Median	6.00	Standard Deviation	0.00	<div><div>6 Strongly Agree (3)100.00%</div><div>5 Agree (0)0.00%</div><div>4 Somewhat Agree (0)0.00%</div><div>3 Somewhat Disagree (0)0.00%</div><div>2 Disagree (0)0.00%</div><div>1 Strongly Disagree (0)0.00%</div><div>[Total (3)]</div><div>050%100%</div></div> <table><tr><th>Statistics</th><th>Value</th></tr><tr><td>Mean</td><td>6.00</td></tr><tr><td>Median</td><td>6.00</td></tr><tr><td>Standard Deviation</td><td>0.00</td></tr></table>	Statistics	Value	Mean	6.00	Median	6.00	Standard Deviation	0.00
Statistics	Value																
Mean	6.00																
Median	6.00																
Standard Deviation	0.00																
Statistics	Value																
Mean	6.00																
Median	6.00																
Standard Deviation	0.00																
5. I would recommend this course to other students.																	
<div><div>6 Strongly Agree (3)100.00%</div><div>5 Agree (0)0.00%</div><div>4 Somewhat Agree (0)0.00%</div><div>3 Somewhat Disagree (0)0.00%</div><div>2 Disagree (0)0.00%</div><div>1 Strongly Disagree (0)0.00%</div><div>[Total (3)]</div><div>050%100%</div></div> <table><tr><th>Statistics</th><th>Value</th></tr><tr><td>Mean</td><td>6.00</td></tr><tr><td>Median</td><td>6.00</td></tr><tr><td>Standard Deviation</td><td>0.00</td></tr></table>	Statistics	Value	Mean	6.00	Median	6.00	Standard Deviation	0.00									
Statistics	Value																
Mean	6.00																
Median	6.00																
Standard Deviation	0.00																


Approximately how many hours per week do you spend working on homework, reading, and projects for this course?



How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?



How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?



How would you rate the physical environment in which you take this class, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate and participate?

